

Bu^rkingham

17 October 1873

John L. O'Sullivan
(son of Founder
Patronus)

New York

No announcement can be
made at present. - Particulars
will be sent. The draft
is excellent, but can
wait.

Averiguado

To ~~C. L. Abbott~~ & Co. the Editors of the Herald.

1/11,

I notice only so much of Mr. C. Edwards Lester's publication as contains an erroneous statement respecting Mr. Cushing, and I do that only because some persons might possibly accept ^{correct} or ~~acknowledged~~ what was not corrected.

Having seen in the Herald an assertion that Mr. Cushing was the author of two recent articles respecting Mr. Lester, the one in the Washington Union, the other in the Boston Post, and having seen that assertion accompanied with an intimation that Mr. Lester would make a retaliatory publication of some "naïve" private ~~letters~~ ^{correspondence} ~~correspondence~~ ~~had a place for a period with~~ ~~Mr. Cushing~~, I felt confident that there was no foundation for the former statement, ^{as well as indignant} ^{such a} threatened publication of unguarded confidential letters. With no other interest in the matter than that of a friend, I felt some desire to be positively informed on the one point, and then to prevent the other by removing the ^{apparent} erroneous impression under which Mr. Lester appeared to be about to commit the threatened indecency. ~~Had I known then that there is~~ ^{the intention of} ~~willing me in the correspondence~~ ~~that alluded to him~~ ~~any power to be used to cause, I certainly had not been~~ ~~put the slightest concern on the subject.~~ A letter from Mr. Cushing fully confirmed what had been already my own conviction; and, ^{I thought it worth while to take the trouble of} on reading to Mr. Lester a ~~passage from it~~ the following passage from it:

"My personal acquaintance with Mr. Lester began in Boston last winter. Without having any exact knowledge of his antecedents, I felt interest in him simply from regard to a cultivator of literature, and that interest continued

to be felt in good faith, until I heard of his attack
on the President in the London Times. I have never seen
the letter, in the Times, but from what I have heard of them
I could not fail, of course to repeat and condemn them, But
I had no participation in the publications in question, and saw
them for the first time in print. The Herald speaks of Mr.
Lester having name letters from Mr. Greeley & myself. I
believe, in answer to a letter of request to me from Mr. L. I
did write to him a brief letter while in Boston, as one gentleman
writes to another, assuming the latter to be a man of honor.
Any person may give annoyance by publishing private letters,
but I think the case of the letter published by Mr. MacKenzie
proves that every such act injures the betrayer more than
the betrayed, whatever the character of the letter. If
Mr. L. has any letters of mine, I know there is nothing in it
which might not have been properly said; and his publica-
tion of it under any circumstances would go far to
establish the accusations against him put forth in the
Post and the Union."

From this extract, ^{this letter in 1844, 24)} I thought it proper
to read to him, ~~excess~~ and this is the simple circumstance
which ~~he~~ has ^{been} conciliated into a mission to him from "the Cabinet".
~~for the purpose of~~ I was particular to mention that
~~I can very well~~ Mr. Cushing would certainly not do any thing ^{myself} to
depreciate any man's threatened hostility or attacks, ^{of that country, thus} I thought
it proper, as a personal friend to correct his ^{apparent} error on the
point of fact ^{referred to in the accompanying conversation which I certainly} did not intend ^{at the time to be destined for the newspapers} I will say no
more than that Mr. Lester denied that he had ever imagined Mr. Cushing to have
the ~~idea~~ ^{that it would be dangerous} here ~~it~~

3/ been the writer of the ~~not~~ article, in ~~the~~ ^{question,} ~~the~~ ⁱⁿ ~~the~~ Boston Post;
but said ~~that~~ he knew that Mr. C. had ~~the~~ ^{written} ~~written~~ it within
a few days, talked about him to several persons to similar
purpose. I will only add that having ^{been} ~~had~~ subsequently ~~said~~
^{caused} ~~to~~ Washington on business, I ^{took occasion to} make further inquiry on this
point variation of the former statement, and was told that
it too, ^{like the other,} was totally unfounded. It would thus seem that Mr.
Lester was determined to publish ~~Mr. Cushing's~~ private letter, which
a request of his own had elicited from Mr. Cushing, ^{on one ground of}
palliating ^{or another.} ~~any how,~~ It happens, however, to turn out that ~~the letter~~ contained nothing which
could make its author care a straw whether it were published
or not.

I confine my notice of Mr. Lester's publication to this single
point; ~~a~~ ^{I repeat it,} and this, simply ^{in regard} as a duty to Mr. Cushing. If
Sir. Lester, ^{(after being himself} ^{in the day of our entente on that subject} a speaker at free-soil meetings ^{in 1848}) objects
to me, as an "abolitionist"; and ^{as a "fellow-traveler"} within a few months tendered to
me ^{upon} ~~the~~ ^{the} column,
The London Times to ~~convey~~ ⁱⁿ ^{influence of my} the English & European mind ^{any}
the known views about Cuba, so far as he could venture to
go in leading the opinions of the times that way, ~~he~~ ^{to} ~~to be~~ ^{as} ⁱⁿ
~~as a "libertator,"~~ I can only say that I regard it as not more
unwise to have any sort of public dispute with him, than
he has proved it to be safe for any gentleman to hold an
uninstructed conversation with him, or ~~to~~ ^{to} get led into writing
him a private letter. Neither of those imprecisions is likely to be hereafter
committed by any one who may ^{Respectfully,} remember the iteration warn-
ing - Tamen habet in cornu; hinc
tu, Romane, Caveto.

Your obedient servant,
J. L. O'Delligan