

Nicaraguan Affairs—The Recent Letters.
To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

A communication in your paper of this morning, signed "K. ROMAN," manifestly written by some one inimical to Nicaragua, contains, among other erroneous statements, the following:

"The *Orizaba* left San Juan del Sur on the 18th, where neither the United States Consul, Mr. PIERCE, nor any of the inhabitants, had heard any tidings about a battle on the 16th, nor had any accounts of such battle been published, or even whispered at Panama, on or after the arrival there of the *Orizaba*."

The *Orizaba* left San Juan del Sur on the 20th March, and not on the 18th, as this writer states; and all the accounts of the battles published, prior to the publication of Gen. HENNINGSEN's letters in the *Herald*, were founded upon the reports brought by the *Orizaba* to Panama. That writer also says:

"Two letters have been published, from General HENNINGSEN, in the New-York *Herald*, dated March 19. The General says the battle took place on the 16th."

If he had really read Gen. HENNINGSEN's letters published in the *Herald*, it is difficult to imagine how he could have made such a statement.

The following is an extract from one of his letters so published:

"RIVAS, Thursday, March 19, 1857.

" " " " will show you my letters to her, giving a full account of our victory won on the day before yesterday. We had ten hour's fighting. We attacked San Jorge by a cannonade, and drove the allies out of the town. At nightfall we suspended our fire, and the enemy being reinforced ventured to attack us in a hollow in the road near the town."

The writer, who signs himself "K. ROMAN," then says:

"Immediately following General HENNINGSEN's letter is another, 'from an officer with General WALKER to a friend in this City,' dated RIVAS, March 18. It does not tally, as to dates, with HENNINGSEN's, for he says the battle was fought on the 17th, and not on the 16th, as the General alleges."

The "officer's" letter does tally exactly with General HENNINGSEN's letters. Both state the 17th as the day of the battle of which they speak, and neither refer to a battle on the 16th. It may be, there was another victory on the 17th, and if so, it is not doubted that General HENNINGSEN gave an account of it in his letter of the 18th, which was doubtless intercepted in its passage from San Juan del Sur. If Mr. K. ROMAN has seen such letter, information should be furnished, and the letter delivered to its rightful owner. These errors of K. ROMAN being rectified, it is unnecessary to notice the unsounded deductions and conclusions he makes, so calumnious of Generals WALKER and HENNINGSEN. H.