IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CASE NO. 81-17257 81-17267

81-17272

STATE OF FLORIDA.

81-17260

81-17264

Plaintiff,

81-17269 81-17280

vs.

ALFREDO ARIAS, et. al.,

Defendants.

State Attorney's Office Metro Justice Building 1351 N.W. 12th Street Miami, Florida Tuesday, April 13, 1982 1:20 P.M.

DEPOSITION OF RICARDO MORALES NAVAREHE

PART VII

Taken before Mary Jane Grant, Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition filed in the above cause.

Joycee Wax

COURT REPORTING SERVICES

5525 LA GORCE DRIVE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA 33140 (305) 864-6693

INDEX

APPEARANCES

Assistant State Attorney

on behalf of Defendant Arias.

717 Ponce de Leon Boulevard

on behalf of Defendant Villaverde.

on behalf of Plaintiff.

DOUGLAS WILLIAMS, ESQ.

444 Brickell Avenue

EDWARD CARHART, ESQ.

Coral Gables, Florida

RINA COHAN, ESQ.

Rivergate Plaza

Miami, Florida

Suite 700

Witness			Page
RICARDO	MORALES	NAVAREHE	Direct

Thereupon;

RICARDO MORALES NAVAREHE

was called as a witness and, having been duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q Identify yourself, for the record, please sir?

A Ricardo Morales Navarehe.

Q Are you the same person, sir, who has been in deposition with Ms. Cohan and me, for the past six or seven working days?

A Yes, I do.

Yes, I am.

Q Mr. Morales, since the deposition yesterday, have you thought of anything that came out in your testimony yesterday, that upon refreshing, is a matter that you think needs any change or correction or addition?

A____No, sir.

Q Okay. Tell me, please sir, with regard to Lieutenant Raul Diaz, with respect to whom you had given testimony last week before we broke, did there come a time when your relationship with Lieutenant Diaz, regardless of what his rank was at the time,

1	underwent any kind of a change, so that you came to
2	regard him more as a friend than as a business contact
3	or somebody whom the nature of your day to day life
4	raquired you to have contact with?
5	A Yes.
6	Q When did that occur?
7	A I cannot pinpoint it. But I say in the
8	by 1974, you know. On or about, you know I cannot
9	pinpoint an exact date. You know.
10	Because that's hardly, when you are in
11	daily contact with a person, when is the time that
12	actually you start developing, you know, feelings.
13	Q I understand that.
14	But to the extent that you can relay
15	any precision to it at all, it would be some point
16	in the vicinity of 1974?
17	A In the vicinity of 1974.
18	Q During that period of time, you were
19	primarily residing in Caracas. Isn't that correct?
20	A No.
21	At the time, in 1974?
22	Q Yes.
23	A I was commuting back and forth, from
24	Caracas Vanesuala te Miami

But I was holding an apartment in Caracas

1	and in Miami, too.	
2	Q But you had your position in DISIP by then?	
3	A No.	
4	My position came down in November, 1974.	
5	Which, I already pointed out to you, in my previous	
6	answers in depositions.	
7	Q Were there occasions when Lieutenant	
8	Diaz traveled with you to Venezuela?	
9	A No, sir. Not with me.	
10	Q Has there ever been an occasion when you	
11	have encountered him in Venezuela, regardless of	
12	whether he traveled down there with you or separately	
13	from you?	
14	A Yes.	
15	Q On how many different occasions?	
16	A One occasion.	
17	Q Was he there in connection with some	
18	case, in which he was involved, to your knowledge?	
19	A Yes.	
20	Q Which case was that?	
21	A Actually, Lieutenant Diaz went down to	
22	Caracas, Venezuela, in the month of October, 1976.	
23	In the company of police officer Otero Castro (phonetical)	Lу
24	from SIU section. Miami P.D. And, two assistant	
25	State Attorneys, Mr. Hank Adorno and Mr. George Yoss.	

1	Q That, then, would have been in connection
2	with the Otero affair. Isn't that correct?
3	A Not exactly with the Otero case.
4	There was a call to the chief investigator
5	of the State Attorney's office
6	Q Was that Mr. Dardes, at the time?
7	A Yes, sir. Mr. Martin Dardes.
8	From DISIP. And since Mr. Dardes didn't
9	speak any Spanish, I was called down by one of the
10	commissors. I just can't remember who. And, I answered
11	the telephone.
12	And, Mr. Dardes was doing inquiries about
13	the whereabouts of Rolando Boch. And, if it was
14	possible for a commission or a group of individuals
15	in the State of Florida, to travel down to Caracas
16	with the purpose of interviewing Dr. Rolando Boch.
17	I initially, I told him that that will
18	have to be done through the State Department, and the
19 20	representatives of the United States government in
20	Caracas, Venezuela. And then, when I relayed the
22	information to the inspector of DISIP, they told me
23	to tell them that they unofficially were being invited
24	to Caracas, Venezuela. And I believe that it was
	Mr. Dardes or either Hank Adorno, with whom I always

talk on the tslephone, that they put up the names of

1 Detective Diaz and Detective Castro -- whatever it was 2 -- the sequence or, you know. I have not quite a 3 good recollection about the conversation at that point. So it was agreed that they were being 5 invited to the country, unofficially, since to be 6 officially has to be done through the State Department 7 channels. 8 At that time, Mr. Morales, did you know 9 Mr. Boch's whereabouts? 10 Of course. He was being held at the A 11 DISIP building. 12 As a prisoner? 13 Yes, sir. 14 What was the status of the situation 15 involving Otero, at that time? 16 At the time of their visit down to Caracas? 17 Yes. 18 Otero was in jail down here in the United 19 States, for all I know. 20 When the contigent, from the State Attorney's 21 office, and their police investigators arrived, did 22 they seek to have conversation with you about Otero? 23 No. 24 Did the subject of the Otaro matter come 25

up, while they were --

- 11	
1	A Not to my knowledge. Not with me.
2	Q A curious incident occurred, as I under-
3	stand it, when Mr. Yoss and Adorno landed at the
4	airport in Caracas.
5	Is my understanding correct?
6	A Yes.
7	Q As I recall, there was also, on the same
8	airplane, one who turned out to be a newspaper reporter
9	from the Miami Herald. Isn't that correct?
10	A A woman reporter. Miami News.
11	Q It was from the News?
12	What was her name? I do not remember.
13	A Hilda Inclan.
14	Q What was it that took place, when Mr. Yoss
15	and Mr. Adorno and Miss Inclan arrived at the airport,
16	and disembarked the airplane?
17	A I received information my division
18	received information about the passenger list. That
19	means, the passengers were boarding that Pan American
20	flight, leaving Miami.
21	So I was given a list of the people who
22	were actually in mid-air, on the way down to Caracas.
23	And, it's come up to my attention, that Miss Hilda
24	Theles never program from the Minmi News Was

in the airplane. And also, two free lance reporters

1 by the name of Taylor Branch and John Rothschild, 2 over whom we have received previous information that 3 they were on some sort of an assignment by the IPS 4 people in Washington. 5 IPS is the International Institute for 6 Policy Studies, I believe, from where Mr. Rolando 7 was an associate. 8 And, I sent a teletype down to Simon 9 Bolivar Airport, to DISIP delegation there, requesting 10 them that Miss Inclan and Mr. Branch and Mr. Rothschild, 11 to be served with a citation that amounts to a subpoena 12 And, that they will be requested, according to the 13 terms of that citation or subpoena, to show themselves 14 the next day, at a certain time, at the DISIP building, 15 for the purpose of being interviewed, with regard to 16 their motive, purposes, and so forth, of the trip. 17 And, what if anything happened to Messers 18 Yoss and Adorno, upon their arrival? 19 A They were greated there by me. 20 took down, let's say about, six inspectors, and three 21 sub-inspectors from my division. 22 And, they were transported out of the 23 airport to a Hilton. 24 Which Hilton?

25

Α

Anauco Hilton.

Complex.

25

1	Q Can you spell that for the reporter?
2	A A-N-A-U-C-O. Hilton.
3	Where they had an apartment. At least,
4	that's the place they were living. And also, was a
5	hotel. You know.
6	A section of the hotel was for permanent
7	residents, and the other section was for tourists, or
8	transient persons.
9	Q And, you had an apartment there?
10	A Yes. I had an apartment there since 1974,
11	up to January, 1978.
12	Q And, what happened to the two State
13	prosecutors, after they were escorted to the Hilton
14	Hotel? Did they ever meet with you?
15	A The two prosecutors and the two police
16	officers, they were transported they were divided
17	in different cars. They had at least two or four
18	cars. And they were placed in different cars with
19	their luggage. And, they had reservations at Anauco
20	Hilton. And, they were, you know, they registered at
21	the Anauco Hilton.
22	And then, we had some dinner and drinks
23	across from the Anauco Hilton, at the Central Park

Their stay in Venezuela was rather short;

1 wasn't it? The prosecutors? 2 I just can't recall how many days they 3 were there. - 4 But I believe that at least four to five 5 days, I believe, that they were there. 6 While they were down there --Q 7 The ones who stay very short were the 8 newspaper people. 9 Q The reporters? 10 A The reporters. 11 Q They were put on the next plane out; weren't 12 they? 13 They were taken into custody by me, under A 14 instructions of my superiors, at five o'clock in the 15 morning. They were pulled out of the hotel where they 16 were staying. And, I had breakfast with them at the 17 cafeteria, at the airport. And, I turned them in to 18 the hands of the sub-commissar down there at the 19 Simon Bolivar Airport, with the instructions from the 20 secret quarters, to be flown back to Miami. 21 What determination was made about the 22 purpose of the trip on the part of the three journalists? 23 Determination? 24 What determination was made by you or 25 other DISIP people about the purpose of the journalists'

trip, and their presence in Venezuela?

A Oh, the thing is, that when they were served the citation or subpoenss, they refused to sign them.

And somehow, somewhere along the line, they bullied their way out of the airport, without the subpoenas, and without signing the subpoenas, ignoring the subpoenas, ignoring the immigration laws of the Republic of Venezuela, ignoring the subpoena powers of the DISIP.

That was brought to the attention of the deputy director.

And, I received orders through radio communications, at sometime around 4:30 in the morning, that the matter was being brought down in front of the director of immigration, there. And the decision was made, you know, to transport them back to the airport. And, put them in an airplane -- Pan American, whatever the airlines that they used, to be flown back to the States, since they had violated a series of, you know, laws and regulations there.

And so there was a choice, either they
were going to jail or out of the country. So they
took this way, you know. And said, you know, let's
put them in an airplane and be flown back to the States.

Q Had you had any prior contact on any level, with any of the three of them, either Rothschild or Branch or Inclan?

A Miss Inclan, since I have been a popular

A Miss Inclan, since I have been a popular figure down here in Miami, she was aware of me, and I was aware of her.

I do not believe that I ever met her in person, in my life, before that day.

Mr. Rothschild, I never seen him until that day.

And Mr. Branch just happened to be present sometime in my past, in a house down in Miami Beach. And I didn't recall Mr. Branch. Because he said that he was sporting a beard at the time. And there was, you know, afterward, you know -- I discussed with Mr. Branch in the States, the fact that he was there and the fact that I couldn't recall him.

So actually, to the best of my sincerity, at the time I told Mr. Taylor Branch, you know, I never met you, since he was cleanshaven.

Q Did any of the three journalists tell you that their purpose in coming down there was to meet with and interview you?

A They were not doing the talking. I was doing the talking.

exiles who have been, you know, involved in the Anti-2 Castro activities, and so forth. And, there was a lot of people there. 3 Maybe five, six, or seven. And, I just went by there 4 5 in the company of my girlfriend, Pilar Alfonso. And I stay there for maybe five -- ten minutes at the 6 7 most. Since I didn't like, you know, actually what they were conducting there. And honestly, I still don't remember Mr. 10 Branch from that occasion. 11 So I told him at the airport, you know, 12 On a couple of occasions, that I allowed him 13 to talk to me. 14 You did meet with Mr. Yoss and Mr. Adorno 15 and Officers Diaz and Castro; didn't you? 16 A Oh, yes. 17 Were they given access to Mr. Boch? 0 18 No, sir. 19 Why not? Q 20 They were taken to DISIP headquarters, 21 the next day. And they were introduced to the deputy 22 And they were introduced to the Commissar 23 General. 24 And, I took Officar Diaz and Officer Castro,

of a tour of the DISIP facilities. My office in the

generally the whole building.

By this time, the three reporters, you know, were raising hell over here in Miami. And the editorial page of the Miami Herald, there was some declaration by former State Attorney -- what was the name; Gerstein? Richard Gerstein --

Q Yes.

A Saying so many things, you know, that were not properly constructive to the truth or the reason why those three reporters had been, you know, sent out of the country. That the DISIP director and the Minister decided that not to allow the two prosecutors or the two police officers to have any kind of contact with Dr. Boch.

And, we were instructed -- when I say "we", my superiors were instructed not to give them any kind of information, since their boss was saying a lot of things about us, just on behalf of the reporters, with totally disregard of the mission that his employees were there.

- Q What was Gerstein saying?
- A I don't remember. You know.

It was in the editorial page of the Miami Herald. And there was something along the lines of, you know, that was a violation of the freedom of the

.12

2 3 4

press or whatever. He was completely way out in the left field, you know, when he did that. Either he didn't know that he has two assistants and two police officers there on a mission that was agreed upon with the chief, you know -- what is the name? Mr. Dardes --

Q Chief investigator.

A The chief investigator or, you know, it was completely out of context. And, it was taken very badly by the Interior Minister and by the information Minister. And actually, we were told to put all of them together in another flight, the same day, and fly them out of the country.

And I, personally, intervened with the deputy director and said, well, you know, let them at least have a nice time here, and informed them that we cannot cooperate, and they only want to be playing Mr. Boss.

And, there was a series of phone calls,

I believe. I can't recall the name of the other

assistant. I don't know whether it was Carhart or

Goodhart -- you know -- called Mr. Yoss. And of course,

all the lines there were being tapped at the time.

And it was apparently, to us, that the State Attorney's

office didn't know what was going on. That the State

Attorney's office, you know, has flunked somewhere

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

along the lines. That the State Attorney, Mr. Gerstein, 2 you know, had given us full pray to propaganda stunt. 3 And that was the situation of the government --4 Venezuelan government. 5 Now, wasn't their visit, the visit of the 6 prosecutors, in some way connected to the investigation 7 and or prosecution of Rolando Otero at the time? A No, sir. Didn't the prosecutors want to go down to 10 talk to Mr. Boch and talk to you, as well, in an effort 11 to get your assistance in investigating or prosecuting 12 or extraditing Otero? 13 Otero was in jail at the time. 14 Well, whatever. Whatever the purpose was 15 They never mentioned Otero. 16 Hadn't you been told by Mr. Dardes, at 17 the beginning of the communications among all of you 18

No, sir. I don't know the extent of the conversation of Mr. Dardes with whoever, you know, he talked before me.

Boch was to get his assistance in prosecuting Otero?

involved, that the purpose of wanting to talk to

And the only thing I agreed with Mr. Dardes on the telephone, it was to have that commission composed by assistants and police officers, to visit

Venezuela in an informal way.

And, there was no mention at all about anyone -- I mean, being interested in Rolando Otero, as far as I can recall.

Is it your testimony then, that at no time in advance of or during the trip of the two prosecutors and the policemen, to Caracas, was there any conversation with you or in your presence, that pertained in anyway to Rolando Otero and the possibility of having your assistance or Dr. Boch's assistance in the prosecution of Otero?

None of that ever occurred?

A Otero's name was mentioned generally, in the first night.

You have to understand, Mr. Williams, there was a breakdown in the communications and relations, between that commission and the Venezuelan government, ten hours after they arrived there or, eleven hours after they arrived there was some talk, kicking around the name of Otero, and things like that.

But there was nothing conclusive on the first night, that we have a social gathering there. Because the heart straight talkings were going to be conducted between them and my superiors at DISIP. And it was clearly instructed not to discuss -- not to

discuss and make no kind of promises to them, unless they talk with my superiors there.

Q But my question to you, Mr. Morales, is this. And, I will remind you of the session that we had yesterday with Judge Kogan, and ask you to give me a yes or no answer, if you can. And then explain your answer after that.

Here is the question.

Did you have any knowledge at all from any source, prior to the arrival of the prosecutors and the policemen in Caracas or, once they had arrived, that their purpose in coming down was to get assistance either from you or Dr. Boch or both, in their efforts to prosecute Rolando Otero?

Did you have knowledge of that fact from any source at all?

A No, sir. No, sir.

And, they were not seeking Polando Boch in helping anything. And they were not seeking my help on anything. They were seeking the help of the Venezuelan government, to get to interview Dr. Rolando Boch.

There was a breakdown of communication, as

I was explaining to you, and the reasons why. And
that was the end of it. What they talked with the

don't know.

Because the two assistant attorneys were with them in a separate room, while I was giving the tour to the police officers.

deputy director and the commissar general, that, I

Q Did you have any conversations with any of your superiors, inside DISIP, prior to or immediately following the arrival of the prosecutors and the policemen, about the desire of a North American law enforcement people, prosecutors and policemen, to have your assistance and or the assistance of Dr. Boch, in prosecuting Rolando Otero?

A No, sir.

Q So that was never discussed with your superiors, either?

A No, sir. As far as I know, no.

Did there ever come a time, Mr. Morales,

when you eventually learned that the purpose of the

help?

A They never mentioned Rolando Otero. All they were -- sir, that is incorrect. The answer is no.

visit to Venezuela by the two prosecutors, and their

accompanying policemen, was to further or enhance the

prosecution of Rolando Otero, with your help or Boch's

And the only purpose that it was disclosed to me, as far as I can recall, it was the subject of Rolando Boch. And, I am going to be a little more explicit, and I'm going to volunteer a little bit of information.

Mr. Adorno, on the first night there, made a remark about he was going to prosecute Rolando Otero, and that the only thing he was going to do was to just present the case, this is it, the fingerprint, and that was the end of it. That he has, you know, some witnesses, you know -- that were Otero's roommate or whatever. And that's exactly what he was going to do.

My specific information from Mr. Yoss, whenever I got back to Miami, to give him a phone call and have a dinner. Because I entertained them down there. And the DISIP entertained them there, the best that we could, under the circumstances, that we have been ordered to put them back on a flight at the same afternoon, that the reporters were sent back to Miami.

I believe that we were very courteous with them. And in fact, in the future, I returned one day to Miami. And I talked over the telephone with Mr. Yoss. And, he extended me an invitation

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Caracas had taken place, you would have already been 2 at the spot that you told us before, where your relation-3 ship with Raul Diaz had kind of changed and had become 4 sort of a friendly affair as we have said, that 5 depended upon business contacts; right? 6 That's correct. 7 Q Has there ever been a time, Mr. Morales, 8 when you have had a discussion with Lieutenant Diaz, 9 concerning the Eladio Ruiz homicide? 10 A discussion? A 11 Q Yes. 12 13 Lieutenant Diaz at all? 14

Have you ever discussed the subject with

A No, sir.

Now, when I ask you whether you have Q ever discussed it with him, just to have a full record understand please sir, that my question addresses itself to anytime prior to the homicide, as well as anytime after the homicide.

At any point in time, have you ever discussed the shooting of Eladio Ruiz with Ligutenant Raul Diaz?

> A No, sir.

Have you ever had conversation about the Eladio Ruiz homicide with the one who used to be

2 A No, sir. 3 MS. COHAN: Douglas, I would truthfully 4 appreciate it if we can exhaust an area, and then move 5 on. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: I generally try to do that, 7 Rina. But the overall subject is so fertile, that every 8 now and again, matters arise which just require attention. 9 And sometimes, I just cannot control the sequence in 10 which they arise. 11 MS. COHAN: The record will reflect an 12 amused grin on my face. 13 MR. WILLIAMS: It is nice to know that I 14 can still make you smile, Rina. 15 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 16 Now, Mr. Morales, has there ever been any Q 17 occasion in your life, prior to the commencement of what 18 we now refer to as the tick-tok investigation, when 19 anybody who had any contact with law enforcement at 20 all, ever told you that he or she as the case may be, 21 could not or would not take any official action based 22 upon information that you provided, because either 23 your information did not seem accurate or, it was felt 24 that you were not a sufficiently reliable source? 25 As far as I can understand your langthy

known as Nancies Lomazares (phonetically)?

question, the answer is no.

Q All right. Let me break it down for you, just to make sure there is no doubt about it in the record.

It is true, is it not, that there has been literally countless occasions upon which you have provided information of one sort or another to people in law enforcement, since the late sixties; you would say the number of occasions is countless?

- A Countless. That's correct.
- Q And, a substantial number of those occasions were ones that occurred in connection with a desire or an intention on the part of law enforcement to acquire evidence to be used either in a criminal prosecution or to further some criminal investigation; correct?
 - A That's the main purpose. That's correct.
- Q And, you know that there are several different circumstances or situations that could arise in the legal system in this country, in which the value of information received by a person depends directly upon that person's credibility or believability; correct?
 - A That's correct.
- Q And, you know that one of the things that determines a person's credibility or believability,

2 right? 3 That's the standard procedure. A 4 correct. 5 And, you know that another thing that deter-6 mines reliability or credibility, is the past conduct 7 of the person who is supplying information, as well; 8 correct? That's not a correct A Not necessarily. 10 statement. 11 0 Would you agree, generally speaking, that 12 aside from unique situations, it is generally the case, 13 that people in law enforcement, and to the extent you 14 have knowledge of it, the judges and lawyers, who are 15 involved in criminal law, also look to a person's 16 background, to determine whether there is anything in 17 that person's background that would indicate that he 18 or she is not a reliable source of information? 19 Would you agree with that generally? 20 Α Basically. 21 For example, if Jack the Ripper, for example, Q 22 walked into a police station in London, and said, "I'm 23 Jack the Ripper, and I slashed those ninety seven ladies 24 to bits, and now I want to give you information about 25 somebody who stole a cow from my farm two days ago",

is that person's past history of providing information;

1 they would probably laugh him out of the police station; 2 right, based on his background? 3 Not necessarily. A All right. In any event, you recognized that 0 5 that sometimes is a factor? 6 It's one of the contributing factors to A 7 analysis. 8 All right. Have you ever hidden from anybody 9 in law enforcement, any of the pertinent facts of your 10 background and your history and your private activities? 11 A No, sir. 12 Then, anytime you have had dealings with 13 people in law enforcement, when you have been giving 14 them information, if they have ever expressed a desire 15 or a need to know anything about your past, you have 16 told them. Isn't that correct? 17 A If they ask me, I will have to answer them. 18 And my quess is, that -- and correct me 19 if I am wrong -- that because of the close contacts you 20 maintain with the law enforcement community over the 21 years, there have even been times when, although you 22 were not asked, you thought it appropriate for the 23 benefit or the wellbeing of the given situation, to 24 tell the people with whom you were dealing, certain 25 things that you thought they should know about you.

Is that also correct?

Have there been times when you thought to yourself "Wait a minute, I better let this policeman or this agent know something that he hasn't thought to ask me, because it might come up in the future and better he know about it now", that kind of thing?

- A Basically.
- Now, my question to you is, whether there has ever been a time when somebody in law enforcement, policeman or prosecutor, has said to you "Ricky, based upon your evidence alone, we cannot and will not file a case", or something like that?

Something like "We need more, Ricky. We can't go in with just your evidence or just your testimony", or any variation to that general theme?

Has anybody in law enforcement ever told you that the information that you have to offer or had to offer, standing by itself, was not sufficient to be the basis of the criminal investigation or prosecution?

- A Not to my knowledge.
- Q Was there a time, Ricky, when you presented yourself to one or more people connected with law enforcement, in the South Florida area, to give them certain information about the personal drug habits or

1	drug practices of federal agents?
2	MS. COHAN: Will you read back the question?
3	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
4	Q I will restate it.
5	Was there a time when you presented your-
6	self to one or more persons in law enforcement in the
7	South Florida area, for the purpose of giving that person
8	or persons information, concerning their personal drug
9	habits or practices of a federal law enforcement agent?
10	A Not that I can recall, Mr. Williams.
11	Right now, I am racking my memory. I have
12	never seen any drug enforcement agents.
13	Q I did not say DEA agent. I said any law
14	enforcement agent.
15	MS. COHAN: Mr. Williams, I do not believe
16	that was his response.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: He was answering in terms of
18 19	a DEA agent. And I wanted him to understand that my
20	question applied to any federal agent.
21	THE WITNESS: I have never seen any federal
22	agent doing cocaine or grass or any drugs.
23	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
24	Q But my question to you, Mr. Morales, was,
25	whether you ever offered information to anybody in

law enforcement about the drug habits or practices of

1	any federal agent, whether you had seen it or not?
2	A I have never provided any kind of information
3	with regard to drug habits, as far as I can recall, now.
4	It's a very stunning question. And, as to
5	the best of my recollection, no, Mr. Williams.
6	Q Does it refresh your recollection any, Mr.
7	Morales, if I ask you more pointedly, whether there was
8	ever a time when you provided information or attempted
9	to provide information to State or federal prosecutors
10	concerning one, Raul DiArmos, a special agent with the
11	Internal Revenue Service, and his alleged or supposed
12	use or possession of cocaine?
13	A You are wrong. Completely. I was let
14	me tell you.
15	I never provide any kind of information,
16	saying that agent DiArmos was in possession or was
17	in the use of any narcotics.
18	Q What did you say about Agent DiArmos, to
19	whom?
20	MS. COHAN: Objection as to time and subject
21	matter.
22	MR. WILLIAMS: We will pin it down.
23	THE WITNESS: Where are we, now?
24	MS. COHAN: I objected. Because it is vague
25	as to time and subject matter as to what you have said

about Raul DiArmos, to anybody in the world.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

communications with any federal or State prosecutor at all, that bore upon Agent Raul DiArmos, and that addressed itself to whether Agent DiArmos had or had not been in possession of any cocaine?

You may answer, if you know the question.

Mr. Morales, was there a time when you had

Was there ever such a time?

A There was a time when I relayed to federal agents and federal agencies, that Raul DiArmos was duped and invited into Carlene Quesada's house, for whatever was the purpose that he was invited there, by Mr. Rafael Villaverde and Mr. Carlos Quesada.

an amount of drugs. And I let know, that it could be the case, that he was being drug into that kind of situation, along with whoever his partner was. It was an Anglo. I don't remember his name.

Just to let Agent DiArmos, to be aware that inside Villalola, Carlos Quesada, there was a constant possession of narcotics. And, that I refused to attend that gathering or that meeting, since I have no information whatsoever to provide to Agent DiArmos. And it was my educated guess and opinion, that he was being put on

A

1	the spot. And that the purpose was to pump Agent DiArmos
2	out of whatever information Mr. Rafael Villaverde wants
3	
4	in his mind to pump out of Raul DiArmos, especially
5	concerning in the case of Guillarmo Hernandez, Quesada
	operation Bancoshares and operation greenback.
6	Q Who was that you said, besides Rafael Villaverde
7	according to your understanding, had duped DiArmos into
8	going to Quesada's house?
9	MS. COHAN: Objection. There is no testimony
10	that he was duped. But that he was going to be duped.
11	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
12	Q Besides Rafael Villaverde, who was the other
13	one whom you said?
14	A Oh, the ones that were there?
15	Q Excuse me. What I am trying to find out,
16	Mr. Morales, is this.
17	You said a minute ago that Villaverde
18	A Carlos Quesada. Are you referring to
19	Q But you said that there was somebody else
20	besides Rafael Villaverde, who got DiArmos to go to
21	Quesada's house.
22	
23	A Carlos Quesada.
24	Q Now, when in point of time, was it, that
	you furnished this information to federal agencies?

The first time volunteering information --

the first time was, I believe, right after -- the first 2 time was before it happened. Before what happened? Before it happens, the meeting at the Α 5 That I find out that they were trying to get DiArmos over to that house. I passed on the information 6 7 that to let DiArmos know that there is a tricky situation 8 there. That is my opinion. They shouldn't go there. 10 And I have been called to be a part of that meeting. 11 And I am refusing, flatly, to be there. 12 And the second time was right after it happened. 13 Again, I said, you know, the objectives were so and so, 14 and so -- the ones that I mentioned to you before. And 15 I pointed out that at the time, there was a quantity of 16 cocaine, a stash inside the premises. 17 Put as accurate as you can, a date, Mr. 18 Morales. 19 I can't. Somebody will have to go back to Α 20 wherever the records are. But it was on or about the 21 summer or the beginning of the summer. It was in 1980. 22 That is close enough. 0 23 I believe it's fair enough. Α 24 0 That is close enough.

Now, to whom did you relay the various bits

1 of information that you have just described to us? 2 Α The ones that I just described to you? 3 Q Yes. Whom did you tell? 5 My special agent, Evelino Fernandez from 6 Drug Enforcement Administration. 7 Did you discuss that same topic with anybody 0 8 else in anyway connected with law enforcement, either 9 at the State or federal level in South Florida? 10 Yes. 11 With whom? 12 Raul Diaz, Rina Cohan, and D.C. Diaz, 13 Raul Martinez, the same Raul DiArmos, about five differ-14 ent IRS agents, and an assortment of assistant United 15 States Attorneys. 16 Did you ever provide or attempt to provide. Q 17 information in the late spring or early summer of 1980, 18 to any assistant United States Attorney, or to the 19 United States Attorney, himself, who then I believe 20 was Mr. Wombler, suggesting that Special Agent Raul 21 DiArmos, in fact, had used cocaine himself or was 22 using cocaine himself or had been in possession of 23 cocaine himself? 24 No. sir. 25 Did you ever discuss the subject of Raul 0

1 DiArmos and the use or possession of cocaine, with any 2 State prosecutor? 3 I already answered to that question. 4 State prosecutor? 0 5 MS. COHAN: State. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. I mentioned 7 Rina Cohan. 8 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 9 Okay. 10 About the facts of that meeting there, the A 11 way it was called. That meeting. 12 What I learned from, you know, the meeting 13 there, who was present at the meeting. And, my 14 recollection afterward. 15 And also, there was another occasion shortly 16 afterwards, that first occasion, volunteering more 17 information that Agent DiArmos was called up to the 18 Mutiny by Rafael Villaverde, and Carlos Quesada. And 19 I already -- I did, in advance, flatly refuse to 20 be present during that conversation. 21 And, I advised again, Officer Fernandez, 22 from DEA, that to tell Raul not to go to there, that he 23 was being put on the spot. 24 Did you ever have any conversations concern-

ing Raul DiArmos and the possession or use of cocaine

with one who, at the time, occupied the position of chief assistant State Attorney, a man by the name of William Richey?

A No. sir.

Q Was there ever a time when William Richey acting in his capacity as chief assistant State Attorney, ever told you that some information that you were providing to him, was not in his judgment, sufficient or worthy of being the basis for an investigation or a prosecution?

A That's not correct.

Because I never talked with Mr. Richey after my trial, in July, 1978.

Q I simply asked you whether there was a time when you --

A The answer was no. That information is incorrect.

- Q Did you provide information to him?
- A No.

O There was a time when you had some discussion or conversation with Ms. Cohan and or D.C. Diaz, and or Raul Martinez, about an argument or a disagreement that you had had with Mr. Richey.

Do you recall that?

A I already answered that question.

If you want me to, I can repeat it to you.

I never had any disagreement with Mr. Richey.

It was based upon the guidelines of the polygraph. And I already explained, it is in the record. And if I have to explain it again to you, basically, you know, what is said, I was complaining, was that Mr. Richey never gave a polygraph test to Fousto Villar.

But I never had any disagreement with him.

Because I never spoke to him.

So there is no -- if I don't talk to a person, I cannot have a disagreement with that person.

Q What was the incident or episode in connection with which Mr. Richey wanted you to take a polygraph examination?

A Well, I don't believe that Mr. Richey wanted me to take a polygraph examination.

It was based upon the information that I was providing to the Miami Police Department. And, they called and told me that if I was willing to take a polygraph. And I made a remark to them, that, you know, I was still, you know, let's say, sore that they didn't do that with Fousto Villar. . Which, they should have done.

And they told me that those guidelines

have been corrected to the point that whoever was providing any kind of information, that might result 3 in a criminal prosecution, was required to take a polygraph. 5 I already explained it to you. 6 What matter was that, Mr. Morales? Q 7 What incident or episode was it, with 8 regard to which the Miami Police told you that you would have to take a polygraph? 10 As a result of my meeting with Ms. Cohan 11 in the Holiday Inn. And, it was February the 16th --12 MS. COHAN: December. 13 THE WITNESS: December the 16th. 14 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 15 You are talking about the tick-tock invest-Q 16 igation or, what turned out to be the tick-tock 17 investigation? 18 Well, I didn't know that. A 19 Q Not at the time. But --20 At the time, you have a copy of my statement, 21 there. 22 Was there ever any other occasion, prior to December 16th, 1980, when somebody connected with law 24 enforcement, told you that either William Richey or 25 some other senior or supervising assistant State Attorney, required that you take a polygraph examination as a condition to using your information in connection with an investigation or prosecution?

A Douglas, I already stated three times, I believe. I am going to state it again.

I was told that whatever information I was willing to provide, would be subjected to a polygraph.

And I made the remark about Mr. Richey not doing so, at the time of my case, with regard to Fousto Villar.

Q So the reference you made to the fellow, Villar, was a reference to the case in which you were arrested and prosecuted for possession of marijuana?

A That's correct.

Or, Mr. Fousto Villar was the informant or the source of U.S. Customs agent, Edward Maderos, who was the affiant in the wire tap of Mr. Carlos Quesada. It was affidavit number two, I believe it was.

So it was reference, since Mr. Villar was,

I believe, caught, you know, redhanded, you know,

in denying what actually he has told Agent Maderos -
since Agent Maderos produced tapes of the conversation

that he was holding with Mr. Villar, my remark was,

you know, a normal one in a conversation of what I

say about Richey, he never put up Villar with a polygraph.

24

25

You know. It was just a kickback to, you know, the old times. Nothing specific. What I was trying to find out -- and I think you have answered me in the negative. But let's just have it this clearly, so I have a full understanding. I believe it is clear, Mr. Williams. I am willing to clarify it more. · Q The question to which I am trying to get an answer is, whether there was ever any other time before you thought to offer information about what came to be this tick-tock investigation, anytime before that, whether at a time when you were offering or attempting to offer information, you were told that you would have to take a polygraph examination, anytime before your meeting with Ms. Cohan in December of 1980. All it needs is a yes or no. Had that 19 occurred? 20 No. No. No. 21 Q Okay. 22 Α 23

Besides what I already testified to, that it never -- that was the first time, you know.

Has any law enforcement agent, whether at the State or federal level, at anytime, told you that

Q

1 he or she thought the information that you were giving 2 with regard to any particular matter or investigation, 3 would not be sufficient, standing by itself, to be the 4 basis of an on-going investigation? 5 Has that aver happened to you? 6 I already answered to that question in the Α 7 negative. There came a time in April of 1978, 0 Okay. 9 when you were arrested by City of Miami police agents, 10 and charged with possession of a few thousand pounds 11 of marijuana. 12 I do not remember the exact amount. But it 13 was in the thousands; wasn't it? 14 Yes. It was in the thousands. And I was 15 not arrested by the MPD. I was arrested by the PSD. 16 Where were you arrested? 17 Kendall Drive. And, it was in the vicinity 18 of a Hundred and Thirteenth Avenue. It was a scary 19 situation. 20 Who else was arrested? 21 A What? 22 0 Who else was arrested? 23 Α I was alone at the time I was arrested. 24 I was driving a car. 25

Were there other people eventually charged

1	in connection with the same event?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Who else?
4	A Three more individuals.
5	Q What were their names?
6	A They fade in my memory.
7	Douglas, to be honest with you, they
8	fade in my memory. You know. It's public record.
9	And, they pled guilty to the charges, you know. But
10	they fade in my memory.
11	Q Were there other law enforcement agents,
.12	besides Public Safety people, at the scene of the
13	arrest?
14	A I believe that of course. There were
15	about twenty twenty five people thirty. You
16	know.
17	MPD was there. State Attorney's office
18	was there. Highway Patrol was there.
19	And who else was there, I don't know.
20	I have never seen so many guns pointing at my head
21	at the same time.
22	Q Who turned out to be the lead investigator
23	or case agent in that case; do you know?
24	A In that case?
25	Ω Yes.

22

23

24

25

A Edward Maderos and Raul Martinez.

The same Raul Martinez who is involved in this case as a Sergeant?

> A Yes, sir.

0 When, prior to that arrest, Mr. Morales, was the last time that you had offered or provided any information to any law enforcement agent, including, for these purposes, the CIA and the FBI?

Well, I was not providing information. I was interviewed by the FBI prior to my arrest in April, in 1978, which I already explained to you, with regard to my departure from Caracas, Venezuela.

We will talk about that in a little while.

But what I am trying to find out is relative to the time you were arrested in April, when last have you been functioning as an informant for any agency, State or federal law enforcement or intelligence?

Α I already stated for the record, that I resigned my position as a paid informant for the FBI on July the 31st, 1975.

And, I stopped being a commissar for DISIP on January 23rd, 1978.

Now, my question, Mr. Morales, without regard to any formal relationship that you had with

anybody is, when relative to April of 1978, was the last time that you had given information to be used by any law enforcement agency for any purpose, ragardless of whether you were on somebody's payroll or not?

When was the last time you had done that before April of 1978?

A Mr. Williams, I cannot answer the question properly. Because all of my contacts with -- I mean, agencies, either federal or State level, were severed under orders of my superiors from DISIP.

But the last time that I provided information was -- the last time was 1977 -- it could have been maybe around, on or about April, 1977, that I was called to Washington for a meeting with Eugene Propper. He was an assistant U.S. Attorney.

- O That was on the --
- A Let me finish.

Mr. Carter Kornick (phonetically), a special agent of the FBI, and also present was then -- he was already convicted, I believe -- Rolando Otero, for the purpose -- since Otero was still considered that he was -- that I was his handler for the purpose of releasing Mr. Otero from his duties or as his oath of secrecy or whatever it was in his mind, and to instruct Mr. Otero to cooperate fully with the Latier

investigation. And, to identify a photo line-up that was going to be presented to him by the United States government.

Through 1977, I was subposensed for maybe,

two or four -- I don't remember how many -- grand

juries, federal grand juries. And, I also show up there
with my subposens.

Apart from that, was there ever a time following your return from Venezuela, when, however it happened and whatever the ultimate purpose was, even on a strictly informal basis, that you got together with one or more law enforcement agents, either in State or federal government, for the purpose of giving any information bearing upon any apparent or possible criminal activity?

A No. No. No.

Q No time between your return from Venezuela and the time you got arrested in April of 1978?

A No, sir.

Q But your personal friendship with Raul Diaz continued during that period; didn't it?

A No., sir.

Q Did you and Raul Diaz cease being friends during that period of time?

A I stopped talking to him or having any

1 kind of contact with him -- I can't pinpoint the 2 exact date, but we might say, 1977. 3 Why? Q 4 It was my decision. Α 5 0 Why? 6 Α Bacause I wanted to. 7 Not to talk to him or not to talk to anybody 8 else. 9 But you had told me before, that your relat-10 ionship with Diaz had passed into the realm of friendship 11 rather than just tachnical or business contact? 12 Well, besides the professional side of the 13 contacts that we had, we developed a sense of time that 14 I already mentioned to you before -- a personal friend-15 ship. And at one point in 1977, early 1977, I decided 16 not to talk to him or to anybody else connected with 17 law enforcement, down here in Miami, regardless of 18 personal friendships or whatever. 19 Because you have to draw a line between 20 their profession and a friendship relationship. 21 So I decided not to talk to anybody. 22 Did you and Raul Diaz have a falling out Q 23 of any kind, a disagreement? 24 No disagreements and no fall out.

I just stopped calling him and talking to

him, the same as I did a lot of other people.

Did he continue to try to establish contact

with you, after you closed down your communication with

A Not to my knowledge.

him?

- Q How did it come to pass, Mr. Morales --
- A How did it come to what?
- Q How did it come to pass -- how did it happen that Raul Diaz was at the scene of your arrest in 1978, in April, out on Kendall Drive, within moments after the other police units detained you and had taken you into custody?

A Oh, very simple.

when I was put in the back of the patrol car, by an officer by the last name of Cook -- C-O-O-K -- and since the way that I was arrested was so scary, to the point that after I had been already handcuffed and surrendered, there was a uniform officer who came running toward me, pointing a shotgun and screaming "Freeze, freeze", and he pumped one shell, a live shell out of the chamber of the shotgun, I told Officer Cook "Do you know a Sergeant by the name of Raul Diaz?"

And the officer says, "Yes."

And I said, "Pleasa, Mr. Cook, will you inform him that you have Mr. Ricardo Morales in custody?

Because definitely at the time, I was fearing for my life. Since I was hearing the radio communications among all -- there were at least a dozen cars there. And the things that they were saying over the radio about me being armed, dangerous, and being Dracula and things like that.

And I really was fearing for my life, Williams. It was one of those close calls, that I have had in my life. That was one of them.

With Raul Diaz at all, about what it was that you were doing or why you were going to be where you were on that particular might, in apparent possession of several thousand pounds of marijuana?

Had you discussed that with Raul Martinez at all?

A No, sir.

Q How long after you asked Officer Cook to call Lieutenant Diaz, who was then a Sergeant, was it, that Lieutenant Diaz arrived?

I put up that request. But I was returned from

Kendall Drive on or about One Hundred Thirteenth or

One Hundred and Fifteenth Avenue, to a house on One

Hundred and Twenty Third and Seventy Fourth -- if that

was the correct address. 2 Officer Diaz appeared on the scene, you know, 3 maybe three or four hours afterward. 4 Do you recall anything unusual taking 5 place between Raul Diaz and any other law enforcement 6 officer, who was present at the time? 7 Say that again? Α 8 Do you recall anything unusual taking 9 place between Raul Diaz and any other law enforcement 10 officer, who was present at the time, when Raul Diaz 11 arrived? 12 No. 13 But your testimony is, that it was not Q 14 until three or four hours later that Lieutenant Diaz 15 appeared? 16 Α That Sergeant Diaz -- actually, I saw 17 him in the crowd. 18 There was a crowd there. Maybe twenty --19 twenty five guys. 20 What were you doing with the marijuana? 21 A What? 22 What were you doing with the marijuana? Q 23 A I didn't have no marijuana with me. 24 Where was it? 0 25 The marijuana was stashed in a house. Α

1	Q	Where?
2	A	The address that I mentioned. I'm not sure
3	if it's the	correct one or not.
4		It was One Hundred and Twenty Third and
5	Seventy Four	rth, S.W. section.
6	Q	What had been your participation in the
7	marijuana t	ransaction?
8	A	I unloaded that the truck with Carlos
9	Quesada and	Fousto Villar, the previous night or a few
10	hours before	s, or whatever.
11	Q	Where?
12	A	At that address. The same place that the
13	five thousand	nd pounds were seized on April the 6th, 1978
14	Q	Whose marijuana was it?
15	A	What?
16	Q	Whose was it?
17	A	Oh, it certainly was not mine. It belongs
18	to a fellow	by the name of Franklin Sosa. I never
19	talked to t	he guy. But supposedly, that load belonged
20 21	to Franklin	Sosa.
21		And, he pulled Carlene and myself into that
23	deal.	
24		(Thereupon, Mr. Carhart is now present.)
25		BY MR. WILLIAMS:
23	Q	What role were you playing in the trans-

20

22

21

23

24

25

action, aside from physically unloading it? What was to be your part in it?

I never had the chance to discuss that A complately. Because the truck arrived somwhere around three o'clock in the morning. It was unloaded, and then I returned, you know, to my apartment. I dropped Carlos over at his house, his home at Villalola.

I went to my apartment, took a short nap, And then I went to Frank Sosa's apartment in the N.W. section. I don't know what Franklin was up to.

And then, he showed up there. And he dropped a rental truck, the same truck that where the load was carried. And he sent me down there, back again. He said, you know, "Show those people where the Because they are going to pick it up." house is.

And, I just can't tell you, you know. Whatever I learned after that, you know, is -- you know, was a perspective of time. You know. I can't tell you the whole story about it.

But at the time, I have not been involved in any kind of transaction with anybody. Franklin Sosa was the one doing the whole transaction.

How did you come to be involved in it, in the first place?

1 I received a call at nine o'clock on April 2 And, from Franklin Sosa. 5th. 3 And, he said, "Meet me at the Howard 4 Johnson's on One Hundred and Sixty Third Street." 5 And, when I arrived there, he was there. 6 There were a lot of cars, you know, people going from 7 one car to another. And Carlene was there, too. 8 And, we wind up in the same car -- Carlos 9 and myself. And we started discussing, you know, what 10 is going on. 11 And he said, "Well, I don't know. Franklin - 12 says that he is having a load, and he is a good buyer", 13 and things like that. 14 And then, we started saying, well, you know, 15 I don't like it, you know, and what about this, you 16 know -- you have just been released from bond, you know, 17 and where is the pot coming from. 18 And he said, "From up State." 19 And I said, "I never heard pot coming from 20 a north county back into Dads County." And things like 21 that. You know. That were confusing at the time. ----Q--_But what were you brought into the deal 23 to do? 24 What? A

What were you brought into the deal to do?

25

Q

1 What were you brought in for, Ricky? 2 You surely were not brought in to act as a porter and 3 unload the truck. Well, actually, this is what Carlens and I A 5 did. Because we didn't have -- I didn't have a customer for the grass. 7 Franklin claims that he had the customer for 8 the grass. And, that we were going to be cut into the proceedings of the transaction. 10 To do what? 0 11 What were you supposed to do for being cut 12 in? 13 I don't know. Unload the truck. A 14 That is it? Q 15 That is it. What else? 16 I am asking you, is there anything else you 17 were supposed to do, besides unload the truck? 18 That's as far as I was able to do. Nothing Α 19 else. 20 I was caught three hours after I unloaded 21 that truck. 22 But if you had not been caught, what else 23 were you supposed to do? 24 Nothing else. I never had a chance to go 25

into the whole operation. It was busted.

As soon as the pot was there, it was busted. There were cops all over the place. I'd show the people right in the truck, that I was -- sometime at five o'clock in the morning, that Franklin show them where the pot is.

where the pot was, you know. And I took off. After we unloaded the truck, you know, and on the way back to Carlos' house, you know, Carlos said, "I'm not going back to that house, Ricky. I don't like it. I hate pot deals. Because they bring bad luck. You know. Franklin used to -- the way he talks to everybody, the location is one of the worst that you can ever seen in your life", which I agreed with.

And, we were so apprehensive about the whole incident, that on the way down there, I was tempted to make a U-turn and forget about it.

Q Was the same true of Quesada?

In other words, had he been brought in by Sosa, with you at the same time?

A He was brought into it before. To me, I was surprised when he was there, when I arrived at the Howard Johnson's.

Q In other words, at the time you were brought in, it was by Sosa alone --

1	A I didn't know Carlene was there.
2	When he called me up at nine o'clock, he
3	said, "Come over."
4	And when I arrived there, Carlene was there.
5	Q Did you ever learn what Carlene's involvement
6	in it was or, was to have been?
7	Did you ever learn?
8	A Yes. Carlene told me, because I asked
9	I questioned him that night I questioned him at the
10	Howard Johnson's, and said, "What are you doing here?"
11	And he said, "Well, Franklin called me up
12	at five o'clock and he said come over, there is a load
13	he said, "What are you doing here, Carlene?"
14	And he said, "Franklin called me up about
15	five o'clock and said come over here, you know, that I
16	have something to discuss with you."
17	Q So as far as you could tell, then, Quesada
18	had been brought into it just alittle bit before you?
19	A According to both of them, four hours before
20-	me
21	Q Well, did you ever learn anything of the
22	contrary, later on?
23	A No. That's the way the story stands.
24	Q But you certainly knew that it was marijuana,
25	once you got there?

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	A Oh, no. I was told previously, you know.
2	Q And when you got there, you saw it?
3	A I unloaded it.
4	Q So you knaw it was marijuana?
5	A Of course.
6	Q So then, you were involved in an undertaking,
7	to unload, and then eventually distribute several
8	thousand pounds of marijuana?
9	A I was not to distribute it. Because I was
10	not a distributer. I didn't have a customer.
11	Franklin was the one who claimed that he
12	had the customer.
13	Q But your understanding was that you were
14	going to assist in some way or another in it's
15	distribution, somehow or another?
16	A Yes. Carlene and myself.
17	Q That is what you were there for?
18	A Yes. Of course.
19	Q But for the police getting there, however
20	it was that they got there, you would have done whatever
21	you could have or whatever was asked of you, in order
22	to move the five thousand pounds?
24	A That's speculative. Because it never
25	happened.
	Q But for the police being there, you would

1 have done that; wouldn't you? 2 Yes. A 3 How much were you going to get paid? 4 I don't know. We never got into that part **A** · 5 of the situation, because there was not even a price. 6 Your only understanding was, that you were 0 7 going to get paid something? 8 I was going to get a cut out of that. 9 Maybe ten points. Maybe fifteen points. You know. 10 The procedures of grass are different from 11 the procedures of cocains. You get points. 12 So we were going to submit points, according 13 to the wholesale price and --14 (Thereupon, a brisf recess was held at 15 3:20 P.M.) 16 (Thereupon, the deposition was resumed at 17 3:35 P.M.) 18 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 19 So Mr. Morales, it would be accurate then, Q 20 to say that, to the extent that you had held in the 21 initial procedures of unloading the five thousand 22 pounds of marijuana and to the extent that you intended 23 or contemplated being involved in its eventual distrib-24 ution, that you were involved in a conspiracy to 25 distribute marijuana at the time. Isn't that accurate?

Conspiracy?

I mean, you had agreed with other people

Yas.

1

2

3

A

0

enforcement at the time.

knew that it was a violation of the laws, either of this 1 2 State or of the United States? 3 MS. COHAN: That has been ground into the 4 ground. Not merely battered. 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. 6 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 7 Q Would it be accurate to say that, Mr. Morales? 8 Yes. A 9 Were you, at that point in time, Mr. Morales, 10 providing any information about the marijuana transaction 11 and the participants in it, to any law enforcement agent 12 or agency? 13 No, sir. 14 Now, you have already told us in detail, 15 that there have been times when you appeared to be 16 involved or were involved in other illegal activities. 17 But also, were giving information to law enforcement; 18 correct? 19 Α Basically. 20 So this was simply a time in which, for your 21 own personal reasons or to suit your own personal ends, 22 you decided not to tell law enforcement. 23 correct? 24 I have no contacts whatsoever, with law

1	{
1	Q Well, if you had wanted to, for any reason,
2	you sure could have gone to D.C. Diaz or Raul Martinez?
3	A I didn't know D.C. Diaz at the time.
4	Q Raul Martinez, you knew?
5	A No, I didn't.
6	Q Did you know Mr. Maderos?
7	A Oh, yes. I knew Edward.
8	Q Did you know Evalino Farnandez?
9	A Oh, yes.
10	Q So you could have gone to either of those
11	two DEA agents or Raul Martinez, who is a sergeant, and
12	you could have told them about it if you wanted; correct:
13	A That's correct.
14	Q But you chose not to, because that was not
15	consistent with what your purposes were at the time.
16	Isn't that right?
17	A That's correct.
18	Q Now, would you tell me please, sir, when is
19	the first time that you met with the one called Carlos
20	Quesada?
21	A Oh, Carlos, way back in 1973, at a spot
22	I used to go to during the social Fridays, for the
24	purpose of dancing.
25	And, I was aware of the existence of Carlos
	Quesada since he became an FBI informant. And, at the

end of the sixties, with regard to a car theft ring. And, he was an informant or source or whatever he was, you know, providing information against, you know, the 3 other people involved there. But actually, I was introduced to Carlos 5 Quesada socially, in a nightclub spot, in 1973. 6 When did you first come to know who Raul 0 7 Villaverde was? 8 In Cuba. 9 You told us you knew the Raul Villaverde 0 10 family in Cuba? 11 12 When, in the United States, did you first 13 establish contact with the Villaverde family? 14 MS. COHAN: Objection. I believe it has 15 been asked and answered. And I object. 16 MR. WILLIAMS: It will be easier for us to 17 ask a second time, then for us to go through our notes 18 19 and chack. Go ahead. 20 THE WITNESS: It's not there, Rina. 21 MS. COHAN: No. As to your involvement with 22 Raul Villaverde, I have no objection. We have covered 23 24 Rafael.

THE WITNESS: Okay. During a refresher course,

25

It was

1

22

23

25

in the CIA, down here in the south Florida area, I was 2 at a safe house. And it was standard procedure to 3 wait a certain amount of time to get a refresher course. And, he was part of the instruction section of the 5 company. 6 And, he showed up one day at the safe house 7 in the company of the CO, and another instructor, I 8 believe. 9 BY MR. WILLIAMS! 10 When was that please, sir? 0 11 Sometime in 1964. 12 Was it in 1964 that you established or 13 resumed, as the case may be, social contact with Raul 14 Villaverde? 15 Well, there was no social contact. Α 16 business contact. 17 That is not my question to you, sir. 18 Did there come a time when you broadened 19 your contact with Raul Villaverde, so as to have social 20 contact with him as well as business contacts? 21 It was business. There was no social.

Has there ever been a time when you describe your contact with Raul Villaverde as social contacts, rather than business?

Α Yes, sir. Q When did that start?

2

3

5

6

A I saw him on and off, during the seventies.

And actually seeing him more, because he was out of the country for awhile in the Dominican Republic or previously, he was over there in Vero Beach. He was first in Vero Beach, after he left the company. And then, from Vero Beach, he was moved down to the D.C.

7

8

Q I am sorry. Down to --

9

A Dominican Republic.

10

In that span of time, I saw him several occasions.

11 : 12

Q Would you describe those occasions, as being social rather than business?

13 14

A No. Social. Social.

15

Q See if you can affix a point in time to that, please.

time, from very late sixties to 19 -- the end of 1977.

To be exact, on or about the months of December, that

actually he paid me a visit at my apartment, at the

Brickell Bay Club. And, he told me that he has been

transferred, for whataver company he was working for,

It was, you know, in covering that span of

16

A No, I can't.

18

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to Miami.

Q What was the purpose of his visit?

know, that you never know.

A Not to my knowledge.

I mean, how can I make a possible statement about that? You might be put in a position where you might attract so many flies. And eventually, you are going to be burned up at the same time that those flies and bees and bugs. You know. I cannot make a possible statement about that. Definitely not.

Q Let's stay away from the insect world.

And let me ask it this way.

As of the latter part of 1977, when you had this social meeting with Raul Villaverde, you described just a minute ago, had you ever heard anything up until that time, indicated or was consistent with the belief or fact that Raul Diaz had -- Raul Villaverde, had breached any confidence or trust with which he had been entrusted in the CIA?

- A Not to my knowledge.
- Q Had you ever heard anything to indicate that Raul Villaverde had been guilty of any kind of negligence or inappropriate or inapt performance, while in the CIA?
 - A Not to my knowledge.
- Q Have you ever heard anything indicating that Raul Villaverde had been suspected of violating any of his responsibilities in the CIA?

1 Α Same as mine. 2 Did you learn that Mr. Villaverde freely 0 3 admitted to having killed the man in whose homicide he had been implicated? 5 What? 6 Did you ever learn that Raul Villaverde had Q 7 freely admitted that he had killed the man whose death 8 was the subject of investigation? Yes, sir. Α 10 While he was still under investigation? Q 11 He was in Vero Beach. And I don't know. 12 I'm just trying to find out whether you Q 13 learned it or not. 14 I mean, did you know that Raul Villaverde 15 appeared in front of a grand jury? 16 I don't know. 17 And, admitted that he killed the man? 18 Α I don't know. 19 Did you ever learn that the law enforcement Q 20 agents and prosecutors, after reviewing the case, 21 determined that he should not even be charged with an 22 unlawful homicids? 23 I don't know anything about the legal 24 procedures of that case. 25 Okay. Did you ever hear that the man whom

Apart from that sad and unfortunate incident,

25

Q

1	have you ever heard any other information that in anyway,
2	indicated or tended to indicate that Raul Villaverde
3	had been involved in any violations of the laws?
4	A Well, I have first hand knowledge that Raul
5	Villaverde violated the law.
6	Q Did you have that knowledge in December of
7	1977?
8	A No.
9	Q My question to you is, whether at the time
10	you ranewed your social acquaintance with Raul Villaverde
11	as of December, 1977, had you ever known or received
12	any information to the effect that Raul Villaverde had
13	been involved in any illegal activity of any sort?
14	A No, sir. Not to my knowledge.
15	Q So far as you knew at the time, he was a
16	fine upstanding fallow, upstanding citizen?
17	A Oh, yes. And I looked up to him. And I
18	still now, I have no grudges against him. He's a
19	likeable fellow.
20	Q Did you ever come to know that from time to
21	time, aven after he resigned from
22	A I don't know if he resigned or he was laid
24	off. No. No. Wait.
25	Q Whatever.
ديد	A Whataver.

1	Q Did you learn from time to time after Raul
2	Villaverde's active service and contact with the CIA
3	had been discontinued, that he was till called upon by
4	the CIA, from time to time, to render specific services?
5	A Not to my knowledge.
6	Q You never heard that?
7	A Not to my knowladge.
8	Q When did you first meat, make the acquaintant
9	of, the man called Alfredo Arias?
10	A Alfredo Arias?
11	Q Yes.
12	A It was at the Happy Days. It's now called
13	Goldfinger, where he used to be an owner of the place.
14	Q When was that? When did you meet him?
15	A Well, thers. Maybe
16	Q Donde? When, sir?
17	MS. COHAN: Objection to the use of Spanish.
18	We are conducting this in English, which Mr. Morales
19	understands perfectly adequate.
20	THE WITNESS: When? That's what you mean?
21	When I mat Alfrado Arias?
22	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
23	Q Yes.
24	A Somewhere in 1979. I went down there for a
25	haircut with Carlane. And he was there.

NEAL CO., BATONNE, N.J. 07002 - 1

1 indicate that Mr. Orozco was a person involved in any 2 activities that violated the laws of this State or 3 country? A Yes. 5 0 What? 6 Anti-Castro activities. Α 7 He was raiding the fishing boats of Castro's 8 fishing fleets, and signing them, you know, almost on a 9 daily basis. He was nick named El Pirata. The Pirate. 10 And Franklin was part of that group. And 11 he was going along with Ramone in those raids. 12 Had you acquired any information at the time 13 that you met Mr. Oroaco, that either Mr. Orozco or Mr. 14 Castro himself, were involved in any activities, apart 15 from violation of neutrality laws, that were crimes 16 against either the State or the United States, just for 17 example, as narcotics or gambling or murder or --18 A No, sir. No, sir. 19 Theft or anything like that? 20 No, sir. A 21 It was strictly -- strictly Ani-Castro 22 activity. 23 So apart from that, at the time you met 24 Mr. Castro, you had no reason to believe that he was

involved in any criminal activities, either. Is that

1	correct? Except for the neutrality law violations?
2	A And the bombings and things like that.
3	Q Had you known Mr. Castro to have been
4	directly involved in any bombing episodes in the south
5	Florida area?
6	A Well, there were information about it. But
7	I have no firsthand knowledge about them.
8	Q What you call rumor?
9	A No rumor. Information from sources.
10	Q Did you ever corroborate any of the informa-
11	tion?
12	A That was not my job.
13	Q Whether it was or was not, did you ever
14	do it, sir?
15	A What?
16	Q Did you ever corroborate the information?
17	A That was not my job.
18	Q Give me a yes or no, please sir?
19	A No, sir.
20	Q Okay. Did there ever come a time when you
21 -	had conversations with Mr. Castro, Frank Castro, relating
22	to his involvement or participation in the bombing
23	incidents or episodes?
24	A Not in the United States.
25	Q Are you saying, no conversations in the

When it comes to that point, yes.

A

I have come to learn that that is a word Q that is a term of art in your vocabulary. So I am asking you whether you regard me, as I stand here now, as a surrogate for the Cuban State Security or Counter-intelligence apparatus? 5 A Not at this moment. 6 Have you ever regarded me in that capacity? Q 7

Not so far.

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you regard Mr. Carhart as a DGI or a Cuban State Security surrogate?

> No, sir. A

Even though, I mentioned that word to Mr. Carhart, you know, that in my former line of job, specifically to the question that he put up to me, which are very sensitive and to which I answer it correctly, you know, I made a point to Mr. Carhart that there was the word that was used in the lingo of my former profession. And, that it was not intended to be unpolities or, you know, accusatory or any derrogatory remarks, with regard to Mr. Carhart.

- Your apology is accepted by me as his attorney. Q
- I don't understand what you already said, Α surrogate, apologies, attorney. Would you define what you said?
 - Q It really is not worth repeating.

You have

22

23

24

25

1 MR. CARHART: It is worth it for me. I said I accepted Mr. Morales' MR. WILLIAMS: apology to Mr. Carhart, in the capacity of Mr. Carhart's surrogate, or attorney. THE WITNESS: I am not apologizing for something that I have not done. BY MR. WILLIAMS: All right. When you use the term surrogate, and you use it in the lingo of the intelligence business, you mean somebody who is an agent for somebody else or someone who is being manipulated by somebody else? It could be manipulated. It is an open field. You know, for a surrogate, to be a surrogate is an open field. It doesn't mean it's necessarily that, you know, that you are a surrogate. You can be used by another surrogate, who is being manipulated by a source. It's a whole ball game. I mean, that a twisted world of intelligence and counter-intelligence -- I mean, you know. to live in it to understand what I'm saying.

Let me ask you this, Mr. Morales. Q

If one were acting as an intelligence or counter-intelligence agent or espionage agent, for some -- well, relative to the United States, some foreign power --

1 That's right. Α 2 Some power other than the United States, Q 3 and that person were subposnaed to appear and testify in a court or before a grand jury or on deposition, and that 5 person was asked pointblank "Are you an espionage agent 6 or an intelligence agent or a counter-intelligence 7 agent for some other country", your experience tells 8 us that the person would never admit it anyway. Isn't 9 that correct? 10 Isn't that what your experience tells you? 11 Not necessarily. Α 12 Under what cirucmstances would a person 13 make that admission? 14 MS. COHAN: Objection. Hypothetical. 15 You may answer if you can. 16 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 17 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 18 Well, you said not necessarily --0 19 Not necessarily. 20 That means sometimes, that your judgment is 21 sometimes a person would answer and sometimes a person 22 would not answer; correct? 23 That's correct. А 24 Under what circumstances do you think a 25 person would not answer?

1	A I don't know.
2	Q Under what circumstances, do you think?
3	A It's a hypothetical Douglas Douglas,
4	please. Let's not get entangled in suppositions.
5	My world is different from your world.
6	Okay?
7	You are trying to get my world or my former,
8	you know, world, right into the complexities of the
9	legal procedures of your world.
10	Q Let me ask you this question, then.
11	A Let me make you an example of that. Okay?
12	There are DGI agents walking all over Miami
13	Right?
14	Q Do you know any of them?
15	A Hold on a second.
16	Q Do you know any of them?
17	A It's public record.
18	MS. COHAN: Let him finish one question at
19	a time, please.
20	THE WITNESS: If I know any, I'm not going
21	to tell it there: Okay?
22	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
23	Q All right.
24 25	A If I am aware of any, I'm not going to tell
23	you right there. Okay?

Q I just want the record to reflect that when you said you are not going to tell there, you were pointing at the court reporter's machine; right?

A Right,

Because, you know, that will become a surrogate machine.

There are DGI agents walking the streets of Miami, for example. Now, what they are doing, they are not -- they are not endangering the defense of the United States. They are not trying to acquire or buy, you know, atomic secrets.

As far as their activities are concerned with the activities of the Cuban community, you know.

I mean, they have been getting away with it. Because nobody has ever been brought before, you know, a court — an open court on that charges.

There have been several cases, you know,

Cuban diplomats, you know, who are actually intelligence

agents, have been expelled. It happens with the Russians

all the time. They know that they are there. They

know what they are doing. And as far as the national

security compromise, they can do whatever they want to.

It's part of the game. Let the guy do it. Because we

know it's him or otherwise, they will send somebody

else that we don't know who he is.

It's a very complex world. You know. That

I cannot put it back in your mind, you know, in five

minutes -- ten minutes. Or, put it into the legal frame

of your legal mentality. Either as a prosecutor or as

a defense attorney.

If for example, now, people have been trading with Cuba throughout the years. Now, they are enforcing the trading with the enemy, which dates back to 1970.

And businesses are being closed. People are being indicted. That never happened before. Now it's happening.

Q If you, Ricky Morales Navarehe are an agent for any government that is foreign to the United States, and you are asked whether you are or are not under oath, in front of a court or a grand jury or on deposition, will you disclose the fact that you were?

MS. COHAN: Objection. Objection.

Mr. Morales has taken on oath to tell the truth during the course of these proceedings. Ask him the question.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q---That-is-the question .- That is the question.
- A Any agent can take in the case that you are referring to, you know, that hypothetical.
 - Q No.

This is not hypothetical. I am asking you

1 now, Mr. Morales --2 If I am --3 Q I am asking you whether, if you are asked by anybody now or ten years from now, whether you are an 5 agent for some foreign government, checking intelligence 6 or counter-intelligence, will you answer that question 7 truthfully, if you are? 8 MS. COHAN: Objection. 9 MR. WILLIAMS: Will you disclose it, if you 10 are? 11 MS. COHAN: Objection as to ten years from 12 now or any future time. As it relates to now, you may 13 answer. 14 MR. WILLIAMS: Go ahead and answer it. 15 The prosecutor's objection is a record. 16 THE WITNESS: If it is a direct question to 17 me? 18 MS. COHAN: Yes. 19 THE WITNESS: Of course I will. Under oath, 20 of course I will. 21 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 22 Q Are you? 23 Α No, sir. 24 Q Have you ever lied under oath, ever? 25 A No, sir.

- 11	
1	Q Not at all, ever?
2	A No, sir.
3	MS. COHAN: At that point, unless you have
4	more questions along those lines
5	MR. WILLIAMS: Let's break it off, here.
6	(Thereupon, the deposition was terminated
7	at 4:10 P.M. Formalities were not waived,)
8	
9	
10	TOTAL MANAGEMENT OF THE PARTY O
11	RICARDO MORALES NAVAREHE
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

RG

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA :

s SS:

COUNTY OF DADE :

I, MARY JANE GRANT, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to report the deposition of RICARDO MORALES NAVAREHE, a witness herein; that said witness was duly sworn, and that the foregoing pages numbered one through eighty four constitute a true record of the deposition given by said witness.

Dated at Miami, Dade County, Florida, this day of April, 1982.

GOURT REPORTER