lost to us by acquisition. In the second place, I cont think mingthey with the Latin race, and particularly the Spaniards, would be enentical. Just took at the history of the South American republies and of Mexico. Has it not been a series of revolutions, which prove their inability for self-governments I ask you honestly if that is not a fact how? I would like to see Okas medeend-

ent; but, after all, I am not satisfied it would be better for the Chuban to Succeed. Then prover could govern themselves; and, were they to become annexed to our Union, I think it would be no advantegs. What, for instance, would we do with their than the contract of the contract of the contract of them are pure Africans. Our negroes have, to come extent, received the unipress of the American character. They are advanced in critication, ourbecome possessed of Cuba I don't know how we

WASHINGTON GOSSIP.

A Cabinet Minister's Reasons for Not Favoring the Annexation of Cuba and St. Do-

WASHINGTON, NOV. 14, 1809.
Theard a Cabinet Minister remark the other day that "Cuba would not be a desirable acquisition for the United States." Said the Cabinet Minister.—"In the first place, it would destroy our revenue derived from that island. We now obtain from her some dry or sixty multions of revenue. That would be all

mingo.

sections possessed of those if don's kilow how we should get slong with such an element. We would have to revive the Freedman's Bureau, and I don't think our people would reliah that. We would find them a difficult class to manage in an enfranchised section and the statements of the section state; and as to keeping them in a state of bondage, of course that is out of the question. Now, u pro-that could get Cuba on a sort of 11 we could be well enough; bation. we could exercise a healthy Bort of protectorate over it and keep it so for ten or twenty years, until the African element might become civi-Jeans, that a shiven element might recurring the permicious infinence of the Spaniards and Africans, then we might safely say, 'Welcome, welcome, to our free Union!' But, until then, I think we are better as we are." The Minister likewise alluded to St. Domingo in the same way, saying that we would have to encounter the same difficulties by its annexation, though not, perhaps, to such an alarming degree. He thought, too, that the possession of St. Domingo

whose tion in case of a foreign war, and that both chas and St. Domingo, in such an even, would be the first objects of nostile attacks. "We should have to send or there a large feet," said the Minister, "which might be better amployed in protecting our or extended associate." The protecting our control of the control o

would require to keep up a powerful navy for its