IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

-----X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

VS. : Criminal No.

VICTOR MANUEL GERENA, ET AL, : H-85-50 TEC

Defendants

Federal Building

450 Main Street

Hartford, Connecticut

February 3, 1989

## TRIAL

Held Before:

The Hon. T. EMMET CLARIE
Senior U. S. D. J.

and a Jury of Twelve

## **Cunningham Reporting Associates**

Specialists in Court Reporting and Litigation Management

Member, The Cunningham Group, Inc.

111 Gillett Street (Corner Asylum Ave.) Hartford, CT 06105

Danbury 797-8107 1633 Washington Boulevard Suite 2-C Stamford, CT 06902

1	Appearances:
2	
3	For the Government:
4	OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
5	450 Main Street
6	Hartford, Connecticut 06103
7	By: ALBERT S. DABROWSKI, ESQ.
8	Executive Asst. U.S. Attorney
9	
10	OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
11	450 Main Street
12	Hartford, Connecticut 06103
13	By: JOHN A. DANAHER III., ESQ.
14	LEONARD C. BOYLE, ESQ.
15	CARMEN ESPINOSA-VAN KIRK, ESQ.
16	Assistant U.S. Attorneys
17	
18	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
19	Narcotics and Dangerous Drug Section
20	450 Main Street
21	Hartford, Connecticut 06103
22	By: DAVID D. BUVINGER, ESQ.
23	
24	
	ll .

1	
2	For the Defendant Juan E. Segarra-Palmer:
3	LEONARD I. WEINGLASS, ESQ.
4	DANIEL WILLIAMS, ESQ.
5	6 West 20th Street
6	New York, New York 10011
7	
8	For the Defendant Antonio Camacho-Negron:
9	LINDA BACKIEL, ESQ.
10	424 West Schoolhouse Lane
11	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19144
12	
13	For the Defendant Carlos Ayes-Suarez:
14	SHIPMAN & GOODWIN
15	799 Main Street
16	Hartford, Connecticut 06103
17	By: JAMES W. BERGENN, ESQ.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	For the Defendant Norman Ramirez-Talavera:
2	JUAN R. ACEVEDO, ESQ.
3	107 Franklin Avenue
4	Hartford, Connecticut 06114
5	
6	For the Defendant Roberto J. Maldonado-Rivera:
7	ROBERTO J. MALDONADO-RIVERA, PRO SE
8	Post Office Box 23063
9	U.P.R. Station
10	Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico 00931
11	
12	For Anne Gassin:
13	McDERMOTT & RIZZO
14	One Liberty Square
15	Boston, Massachusetts 02109
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

listening to any radio or television broadcast 1 2 concerning the subject matter of this trial, assuming there has been any, will please raise 3 their right hand. Thank you. 4 Call the witness. 5 6 ANNE GASSIN, 7 called as a witness by the Government, having 8 been previously duly sworn, was examined and 9 testified further on her oath as follows: 10 THE COURT: Ms. Gassin, for the 11 record, you were previously sworn in this case 12 yesterday and your testimony today will continue 13 to be under oath. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes. 15 THE COURT: Very well. 16 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 CONTINUED BY MR. BOYLE: 19 Ms. Gassin, you indicated to us yesterday 20 that since early September of 1985 you have been 21 represented by Attorney Lloyd Macdonald; is that 22 correct? 23 Yes, that's right. Α. 24

25

Q.

Is Attorney Macdonald in the courtroom

1.	today?
2	A. Yes, he's here today.
3	Q. Would you point him out for the ladies
4	and gentlemen of the jury here?
5	A. He's sitting here in the first bench.
6	MR. BOYLE: Thank you.
7	BY MR. BOYLE:
8	Q. Ms. Gassin, as we closed court yesterday,
9	you listened to conversation number 2 from tape 54;
10	is that correct?
11	A. Yes, that's right.
12	Q. Where were you when that conversation
13	took place?
14	A. I'm sorry, but can I see that again? To
15	the best of my recollection, I was at my house.
16	Q. Would you like to see the transcript to
17	refresh your recollection?
18	A. Yes, I'd like to see it again.
19	MR. ACEVEDO: Excuse me, counselor,
20	is it conversation 1 or 2?
21	MR. BOYLE: Conversation 2.
22	(Pause.)
23	THE WITNESS: Yes, I was at my house.
24	BY MR. BOYLE:
25	Q. Where was Juan Segarra when he was

engaged in that call with you? 1 2 He was calling from the Northhampton area. Α. Do you know why he was in the 3 Q. 4 Northhampton area that day? 5 He had gone out there to meet with Paul 6 Weinberg. He was at that point trying to put the 7 mobile home up for sale. 8 Now, during that conversation Juan Q. 9 Segarra said to you that he had had an upsetting 10 moment in Central Square; do you recall that? 11 Α. Yes, I do. 12 0. Did you later have a discussion with Juan 13 Segarra concerning what that upsetting moment was? 14 A. Yes. He told me later that he had gone 15 into a bank in Central Square and was exchanging 16 some money and that, apparently, the clerk told 17 him to wait a minute and stepped back and went 18 away from where he was standing from behind the 19 counter and so he was worried at that point that 20 she somehow was suspicious about the money. 21 Did he tell you what he did at that point? 0. 22 As far as I remember, he stayed there and 23 she came back and he left.

him that you were upset by something you had seen

24

25

Q.

Later on in that conversation you said to

2 A. Yes, that's right. Would you tell us please what it was that 3 Q. 4 you saw on television that had you upset? 5 A. That was at the time when Victor Gerena's identification was found and there had been an 6 7 article about that in the press and there was also 8 mention of it on the news. 9 0. Why were you upset by that? Because it was evidence that had been 10 A. 11 found relative to the robbery. THE COURT: Where had it been found? 12 13 THE WITNESS: As I remember --14 MR. WEINGLASS: Your Honor, I assume 15 this question, the answer is not for the truth of 16 the matter, but rather goes to the state of mind 17 of the witness. THE COURT: That's correct. 18 19 MR. WEINGLASS: I would ask the jury 20 be instructed with respect to that. 21 THE COURT: It's a very simple 22 matter. It's come out in the evidence, I think, 23 previously where it was found. Not where it was found, but it was thrown apparently --24 25 MR. BOYLE: Ms. Gassin testified

on television that day; do you recall that?

1	yesterday that according to her discussions with
2	Juan Segarra, the identification had been thrown
3	away by Victor Gerena.
4	THE COURT: The question is, do you
5	know where it was found?
6	THE WITNESS: I remember my
7	conversation with Papo as to where it was thrown
8	away. I don't remember precisely where it was
9	found; whether that was in the same place or not.
10	THE COURT: Do you know where it was
11	thrown away?
12	THE WITNESS: At some exit on the
13	Mass. Pike.
14	THE COURT: All right.
15	BY MR. BOYLE:
16	Q. Did you ever have a conversation with
17	Juan Segarra concerning an exit on the Mass. Pike
18	where the identification had been thrown away?
19	A. Yes, I had a conversation with him about
20	that.
21	Q. Would you tell us, please, as best you
22	can recall what he said?
23	A. I don't remember precisely what he said,
24	but we had a discussion about the Mass. Pike,
25	about an exit and the fact that it was not his

idea at some point. 1 Ms. Gassin, at my request, did you also 2 listen to tape number 56 from Boston? 3 Yes, I did. 4 In listening to that tape, did you 5 recognize any voices that appeared on it? 6 Yes, I did. 7 A. Whose voice did you hear? 8 0. If I'm correct in remembering that that 9 Α. is the tape in which there was a conversation 10 between Papo and someone from an insurance company, 11 then the voice I recognize is Papo's. 12 Did you recognize the voice from the 13 insurance company? 14 No. Α. 15 Did you review a transcript of that 0. 16 conversation that I had provided to you? 17 Just to identify the voice. 18 Α. In those instances on the transcript 19 where the voice of Juan Segarra-Palmer is 20 identified, did you have any dispute with that 21 attribution? 22 No, I didn't. 23 (Government's Exhibit 454-A: Marked 24 for identification.) 25

1	BY MR. BOYLE:
2	Q. Showing you 454-A for Identification, is
3	that the transcript of tape 56?
4	A. Yes, it is.
5	Q. Did you review that transcript while
6	listening to the tape to determine the attribution
7	accuracy of the voice attribution for Juan
8	Segarra-Palmer?
9	A. Yes. I listened to it long enough to
. 0	determine that that was Papo speaking.
11	Q. Thank you.
L 2	Q. Your voice does not appear on that tape;
13	is that right?
14	A. That's right. It doesn't appear.
15	Q. As of April 1985, did Juan Segarra still
16	own the Jamboree motor home?
17	A. Yes, he did.
18	Q. At some point during the spring of 1985
19	did he sell it?
20	A. He put it up for sale when he had come up
21	at the end of April. He sold it when he came back
22	up in June.
23	Q. Did anyone assist him in the selling of
24	the motor home?
25	A. Paul Weinberg.

1	MR. WEINGLASS: Objection, unless
2	it's clear whether, the witness' knowledge or
3	whether was told or whether she assumes.
4	THE COURT: Does she know?
5	MR. BOYLE: I'll withdraw the
6	question and ask another, your Honor.
7	BY MR. BOYLE:
8	Q. Did Juan Segarra tell you that anyone had
9	assisted him in selling the motor home?
10	A. Yes, he did.
11	Q. Who did he tell you assisted him in
12	selling the motor home?
13	A. Paul Weinberg.
14	Q. Did Juan Segarra tell you how much money
15	he received for the sale of the motor home?
16	A. Yes, he did.
17	Q. What did he tell you he received for the
18	amount?
19	A. Well, he asked me to put into my account
20	a check which was for \$16,000.
21	Q. Did he give you the check?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Did you deposit it to your account?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. After you deposited that check to your

` ∥	account, and he ask you to do anything further
2	with the \$16,000?
3	A. Yes, he did.
4	Q. Would you tell us, please, what he asked
5	you to do?
6	A. He asked me to ask that the bank make out
7	a cashier's check, a bank check for \$8,000 to be
8	sent to a person named Norman Ramirez in Puerto
9	Rico.
. 0	THE COURT: Sent to whom?
1	THE WITNESS: Norman Ramirez.
L 2	BY MR. BOYLE:
13	Q. Did you do that?
14	A. Yes, I did.
15	Q. Ms. Gassin, showing you Government 455
16	for Identification, do you recognize that?
17	A. Yes, I do.
18	(Government's Exhibit 455: Marked
19	for identification.)
20	BY MR. BOYLE:
21	Q. What is it, please?
22	A. It's a savings account withdrawal for \$8,000.
23	Q. Is that a savings account withdrawal that
24	you executed?
25	A. Yes. It's my account. It's my signature.

1	Q. When did you make that withdrawal?
2	A. It says here the first of August '85.
3	Q. Is that \$8,000 the money that you
4	withdrew at Juan Segarra's request to send to
5	Norman Ramirez?
6	A. That's right.
7	MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, I move
8	Exhibit 455 in full.
9	MR. ACEVEDO: No objection.
10	THE COURT: Full exhibit.
11	(Government's Exhibit 455: Received
12	in evidence.)
13	(Government's Exhibit 456: Marked
14	for identification.)
15	BY MR. BOYLE:
16	Q. Ms. Gassin, showing you Exhibit 456 for
17	Identification, do you recognize that?
18	A. Yes. That's the cashier's check for \$8,000
19	made out to the order of Norman Ramirez.
20	Q. Is that the cashier's check you obtained
21	from your account at Bay Bank?
22	A. Yes, it is.
2.3	Q. What did you do with that check after you
24	got it from Bay Bank?
25	A. I then sent it to the address which Papo

1	had given me in Puerto Rico.
2	MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, I move
3	exhibit 456 in full.
4	MR. ACEVEDO: I don't have any
5	objection, your Honor, but I think it should be
6	clear in the record and for the jury that the
7	check is made out to Norman Ramirez endorsed by
8	Norman Ramirez and deposited in an account in Vega
9	Baja, Puerto Rico. I believe it's Banco de Ponce.
10	THE COURT: When you say, Norman
11	Ramirez, you understand Norman Ramirez-Talavera?
12	MR. ACEVEDO: As a matter of fact,
13	it's endorsed Norman Ramirez-Talavera. It's
14	deposited into an account.
15	MR. BOYLE: It ought to be admitted
16	in full before she testifies to those facts.
17	THE COURT: Full.
18	(Government's Exhibit 456: Received
19	in evidence.)
20	BY MR. BOYLE:
21	Q. To whom is that check for \$8,000 made
22	payable?
23	A. To Norman Ramirez.
24	Q. If you would look to the reverse side,
25	does the check bear an endorsement?

1	A. Yes, it does.
2	Q. Would you read the name of the person who
3	endorsed it?
4	A. Norman Ramirez-Talavera.
5	Q. According to the back of that check, is
6	there an indication of when and where that check
7	was executed?
8	A. Seventh of August '85, Banco de Ponce.
9	MR. BOYLE: May I publish these to
10	the jury, your Honor?
11	THE COURT: You may publish them to
12	the jury.
13	BY MR. BOYLE:
14	Q. Ms. Gassin, yesterday you testified about
15	things that you had done for which Juan Segarra's
16	organization was grateful; do you recall that?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. Why did you do the things that you did?
19	A. I did them because he asked me to. I did
20	them because I was at the time very much in love
21	with him and I wanted to do what I could to help
22	him.
23	Q. During the course of the time that you
24	knew Juan Segarra can you tell us the names of
25	other moonle that he had mentioned as heing mart

1	of his organization?
2	A. The name of Gaby. Luis. I think that's
3	all.
4	Q. Before yesterday when was the last time
5	that you saw Juan Segarra?
6	A. I saw him when he left the Boston area, I
7	think it was July 3, 1985.
8	Q. Did he tell you was he returning to
9	Puerto Rico on July 3, 1985?
10	A. No, he was going to Mexico.
11	Q. Did he tell you why he was going to
12	Mexico?
13	A. Yes, he did.
14	Q. What did he say?
15	A. He told me when he came up from Puerto
16	Rico at the end of June or around the 21st of June
17	that he had found out that there was going to be
18	an FBI raid in Puerto Rico and that he was one of
19	the principal targets and so he was getting out
20	and he was going to Mexico. He told me that his
21	wife and kids had already left for Mexico and he
22	had come up to sell the mobile home and was going
_ 23 -	- to-leave.
24	Q. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Gassin.

MR. BOYLE: I have no more questions

at this time, your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Which counsel is going 2 3 to proceed first? I will, your Honor. 4 MR. WEINGLASS: 5 CROSS EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. WEINGLASS: 8 Good morning, Ms. Gassin. Q. 9 Α. Good morning. 10 I want to start with questions that you 11 were asked this morning by Mr. Boyle so that we 12 could have some context. 13 Do I understand your testimony to be that 14 you started a relationship with Juan Segarra, Papo, 15 in the month of May, 1984? That's right. 16 Α. 17 That's about, by my calculation, eight months after September 1983, which is the date of 18 19 the Wells Fargo robbery? 20 Α. Yes. 21 0. And you told us you loved him. 22 That's right. Α. 23 Q. And this is of no particular moment, but at the time you started your relationship with him, 24

you knew he was a married man. He told you that?

1	A. That's right.
2	Q. He told you he had children?
3	A. That's right, uh-huh.
4	Q. He told you he loved his family?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. You were then 25 or 26?
7	A. Twenty-five I think, yes.
8	Q. And he was about 34.
9	A. That's right.
10	Q. At the time of your arrest 15 months
11	later in August of 1985 you had begun a
12	relationship with another man?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. So, and that's Alex; is that correct
15	THE COURT: I didn't hear that,
16	counselor.
17	MR. WEINGLASS: Alex. A-1-e-x.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
20	Q. So, at the time of your arrest 15 months
21	later it would be fair to say your relationship
22	with Papo, for all intents and purposes, had ended?
23	A. He had left, he being Papo.
24	Q. So, the relationship had ended?
25	A. I don't think I can answer that with a

yes or no. 1 Would it be that you still had some 2 feelings, but the relationship was over? 3 I don't think I can say what would have Α. 4 happened if I hadn't been arrested on August 30, 5 1985. 6 Well, you were involved with this other 7 man, isn't that correct, at the time of your 8 arrest? 9 Well, I didn't know what was going to 10 happen with that. I think it's very difficult to 11 answer those kinds of questions. I can't agree to 12 that. 13 But you were arrested about 6:55 in the 14 Q. morning on August 30th; is that right? 15 Yes, that's right. 16 Α. On the street? 17 0 That's right. 18 Α. You were walking at 6:55 in the morning? Q. 19 20 Α. That's right. Where were you coming from? Q. 21 My house. 22 Α. Where were you going to? 23 Q. I was going to, as I recall, pick up a 24 Α. truck at Ryder Trucks because I was then supposed

2	Q. You were moving on that very day?
3	A. As I remember, yes.
4	Q. Now, it's true, isn't it, that part of
5	the reason why you loved Papo was because you
6	believed that he was committed to helping the
7	oppressed?
8	A. I think it's fair to say that I was
9	captivated by him and by his values, yes.
10	Q. When you say, "his values," what are you
11	referring to?
12	A. What he told me himself, that he believed
13	in freeing the oppressed and he believed in
14	freedom.
15	Q. As a matter of fact, freeing the
16	oppressed and freedom are values that you yourself
17	sympathize with?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. As a matter of fact, during the time you
20	knew him in those 15 months, would it be fair to
21	say and tell me if it's not fair, that you
22	yourself were searching for a more active role in
23	helping the oppressed?
24	A. I was searching at that point in my life
25	for meaning and direction in my life. I think

to be moving to New York City later that day.

that's fair to say.
Q. For meaning?
A. Meaning and

- A. Meaning and a direction in my life, personal and professional.
- Q. You felt that in this search for meaning as a 25-year-old woman, you would find meaning in helping the oppressed in part?
- A. In part and helping people who need help, yes.
- Q. That included refugees from Central America?
  - A. Well, you would have to be more specific.
- Q. Did you want to lend your skills and talents towards helping refugees from Central America during this time?
- A. If you're referring to a conversation I had with Papo, I remember I worked at the time in a health center in a clinic and I had been asked by a friend of mine whether I could help someone who was a refugee who needed to have some sort of operation, needed to be admitted into a hospital and whether there was a way through my contacts that I could ask physicians what would be the best way of doing that.

So, I tried to find a solution to that

problem, yes.

- Q. As a humanitarian gesture?
- A. Yes, because I felt there was someone here who needed medical help and there should be a way of providing that help.
- Q. You were also, and I don't want to belabor the point, you were also interested in the broader issue of the policy of the United States that creates situations where people like this political refugee needs help?
  - A. Yes. I think you can say I was interested in the broader issues, yes.
  - Q. You yourself wanted to go to a demonstration in Washington to protest United States policies in Central America?
    - A. I think so, yes.
  - Q. You did. Isn't it also a fact that one of the reasons which you didn't talk about this morning why you wanted to help Papo was because you had this set of values and beliefs in 1984 and '85?
  - A. Yes. I'm not denying that I sympathize with values of freedom and as I said, helping people who need help.
    - Q. You didn't mention that this morning when

you were asked why you helped him.

- A. Yes. I would say there's two major reasons which for me are part and parcel of the same thing; which is that I loved this man and I respected the values that he shared with me.
- Q. It was that respect which was also part of the reason why you loved him?
  - A. Most probably, yes. I don't know.
- Q. As a matter of fact, correct me if I'm wrong, in your own family history either your parents or grandparents were active in the resistance against the occupation of France?
  - A. During World War II., yes.
- Q. So, you could relate easily to someone who would dedicate his life to resist what he considered to be an occupation of his people?
- A. Well, there I have to stop in the sense that what Papo shared with me were, I would say, general issues of freedom and freeing the oppressed and not very -- he always actually answered my questions in very general terms.
  - Q. Yes. I appreciate that.
- A. So, it was also very difficult to know precisely what his plans were. He often asked -- when I asked questions he would answer by saying,

ll ll	
1	"That's just the kind of question you don't ask."
2	Q. We're going to touch on that later on.
3	Now, you described a screenplay that you
4	read; do you remember when you read it?
5	A. Yes. In July of 1984.
6	Q. Four and a half years ago?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Four and a half years ago. At that same
9	time, actually the night before, you had watched a
10	video with Papo?
11	A. That's right.
12	Q. About the history of Puerto Rico, the
13	suffering?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. You told us what you recall the
16	screenplay. What do you recall of the video?
17	A. As I said yesterday, what I recall is
18	just that it was a video of the history of the
19	island and of the independence movement.
20	Q. Could you be any more specific in your
21	recollection about that video that you saw at the
2 <b>2</b>	same time that you read the screenplay?
_ 23_	A. The only thing that I remember
24	specifically is a scene of a demonstration in
2.5	Puerto Rico.

1	Q. That's all you remember?
2	A. Specifically, yes.
3	Q. How long was that video?
4	A. It's really an estimate, because I don't
5	remember, but I would say maybe 15 minutes.
6	Q. You don't remember any of the content of
7	that particular video other than there was a scene
8	of a demonstration?
9	A. And that, as I said, it was the history
10	of the independence movement.
11	Q. Well, share with us one item of the
12	history that was projected on that video at the
13	same time that you read the screenplay?
14	A. Well, I can only share with you what I
15	remember.
16	Q. Of course. What do you remember?
17	A. As I said, the only specific recollection
18	of what I have is of this scene that happened I
19	don't remember when a demonstration of people
20	in Puerto Rico.
21	Q. You and Papo that night after you watched
22	the video had a discussion about that video and
23	the history of the Puerto Rican independence
24	movement; is that right?
25	A. Probably we did.

1	Q. What do you recall of that discussion?
2	A. I just remember it's a movement that's
3	gone on for some time. That's all that I remember.
4	Q. Just generally you remember that
5	discussion just generally?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Did the word, "Lares," L-a-r-e-s, come up?
8	A. I don't remember that.
9	Q. You don't remember that?
10	A. No.
11	Q. That wasn't vividly portrayed in the
12	video and discussed by you and he afterwards?
13	A. I'm sorry, Mr. Weinglass, I don't
14	remember.
15	Q. I understand.
16	Q. And the phrase "El Grito de Lares." "El
17	Grito de Lares," you speak Spanish, do you
18	remember that phrase?
19	A. " El Grito de Lares"?
20	Q. Yes.
21	A. No.
22	Q. It's the slogan or the it's not
23	"Remember Pearl Harbor" in the United States?
24	
25	Q. The cry of Lares. You don't remember

1 discussing that? No, I don't remember discussing that. 2 A. Where several hundred Puerto Ricans were 3 0. slaughtered, executed in their fight against the 5 Spanish for their independence in the square in 6 Lares? MR. BOYLE: Objection. 7 Mr. 8 Weinglass is testifying. The witness said she 9 doesn't have any recollection of that phrase or 10 that term. 11 MR. WEINGLASS: I'm trying my best to help her recollection. I'm not going to go 12 13 through --14 THE COURT: I'll permit that one 15 question, counselor. If she remembers, all right. If she doesn't, that's all right. 16 BY MR. WEINGLASS: 17 0.. You don't --18 19 Α. I don't remember. 20 Q. How would you rate your own memory? Good, 21 fair, poor? 22-A. I think I have -- when you're looking

back to events that happened four or five years

to remember events where you're directly

ago, I think you'll agree with me it's much easier

23

24

1	implicated or associated. So, the manuscript i
2	have much more precise memory of certain incidents
3	because they're associated with a person who I was
4	involved with.
5	Q. Have you discussed that answer with
6	counsel before today?
7	A. Absolutely not.
8	Q. By the way, how many hours strike that.
9	Your sister is an attorney?
10	A. Yes, she is.
11	Q. Did you discuss your role as a witness
12	with your sister?
13	A. My role as a witness?
14	Q. Your involvement in the case as a witness?
15	A. I discussed that with her when I was
16	arrested, yes.
17	Q. You discussed it briefly with Mr. Avery?
18	A. Very briefly when I was first arrested.
19	Q. Discussed at greater length with Mr. Good?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Your second lawyer?
22	A. Yes.
23	THE COURT: Mr. Who?
24	MR. WEINGLASS: Mr. Good, G-o-o-d-e?
25	THE WITNESS: I don't think there is

an E. 1 BY MR. WEINGLASS: 2 You discussed it at still greater length 3 Q. with Mr. Macdonald who is here in court? That's right. Α. 5 You discussed your role as a witness with 6 **Q**. Mr. Boyle; is that right? 7 I discussed with Mr. Boyle the obligation 8 that I had in terms of the agreement that I had 9 with the Government, yes. 10 That's all you discussed with him, right? 11 Q. 12 That's all you discussed with him? Plus the meetings that I had with the 13 Government that you're aware of. 14 The meetings I'm aware of are some of 15 Q. your meetings with the FBI. I'm not aware of your 16 meetings with the attorneys in this case for the 17 Government, who you met with; isn't that right? 18 Yes, I met with them. 19 Α. You went over what you were going to say 20 Q. here in court with them?

- The direct testimony, yes. Α.
- When did you do that? Q.

21

22

23

24

- Well, I was here last week, as you know. Α.
- That's one time. How many hours then? 0.

1	A. I would say probably four to five hours
2	maximum.
3	Q. Did you do it again since last week?
4	A. Since last week very briefly yesterday.
5	Q. With whom?
6	A. Mr. Boyle.
7	Q. For how long?
8	A. Half an hour, maximum.
9	Q. Prior to last week had you gone over your
10	testimony with any attorneys from the United
11	States Attorneys Office?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. With whom?
14	A. With Mr. Boyle and Mr. Dabrowski.
15	Q. And Mr. Dabrowski also?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. How many hours that time?
18	A. I would say six hours. Six or seven
19	hours.
20	Q Now, we're up to about eleven and a half
21	hours and prior to that time had you met with U.S.
22	Attorneys to discuss your testimony?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q With whom?
25	A. Mr. Dabrowski and with Carmen Espinosa.

7	Q. so, now many nours that time:
2	A. I don't remember exactly, but I think it
3	was roughly six to seven hours again.
4	Q. So now it's getting up around close to 20
5	hours and prior to that time had you met with U.S.
6	Attorneys?
7	A. No. Prior to that time I think that put
8	us to the time of my arrest and the meetings that
9	I had, the 302's and the Grand Jury testimony.
10	Q. That was the 40 pages of single space
11	that we have in writing?
12	A. That's right.
13	Q. All told, totaling it all up, would you
14	say it comes to approximately 40 hours?
15	A. Well, I haven't done the calculation as
16	we've been talking. If you've been doing the
17	calculation and it adds up to 40, then I would
18	agree with you.
19	Q. You said the diary helped you remember
20	dates.
21	A. That's right.
22	Q. This is 450. When you testified
23	yesterday, did you have to open the diary once?
24	A. No.
25	Q. You had those dates memorized?

Well, as I said, I reconstructed the 1 Α. events once, twice, several times to get the 2 chronology. Now, I know that chronology, yes. 3 But you said that the diary helped you. 4 Q. Yes. 5 Α. Is there any entry -- strike that. 6 Q. Your said Papo came and you met him in 7 May of '84. He came in June of '84. He came in 8 July of '84. He came in September of '84. He 9 came in November of '84. He came in December of '84. 10 He came in February of '85. He came in April of '85. 11 He came in June of '85. And in your diary is 12 there one entry for Papo ever coming to visit you? 13 I don't know if there is, sir, or not. 14 A. Why don't you take a minute and look? 15 Q. As I said yesterday, the way that I 16 Α. reconstructed that chronology were from events 17 that were happening in my life. The May 4th date 18 I know because I know that it was a Friday and I 19 remember that day because I had a performance that 20 21 day. I knew a week before that I had knee 22 surgery. I knew I was at Debra Weaver's house. Ι 23 knew one week later on May 4th that's when I saw 24

25

That's how I went about reconstructing that

chronology.

- Q. How did you reconstruct July? Is your diary completely blank?
  - A. We're talking about July 1984?
  - Q. Yes.
    - A. Yes.
      - Q. Is your diary blank?
- A. It is. I reconstructed that period based on events that were happening in my life.
- Q. Look through the whole diary and see if there's one notation of Papo coming to visit you, of all those times you told us about.
- A. Well, I don't know if you want me to look at every page right now. It's very possible that there isn't, sir.
- Q. It's not the kind of thing that I would write down or I would need to write down.
- Q. That's not the kind of book that you would refresh your recollection since there's no notation whatsoever of him ever coming?
- A. No, you're wrong in the sense that's the kind of book I would use to refresh my recollection. His visits for me were associated with other events going on in my life. I know one day he came and I had a performance that day and I

1	had it on my calendar.
2	THE COURT: What kind of performance?
3	THE WITNESS: Dance company. I was
4	involved with a dance company at the time.
5	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
6	Q. Let's see what we have here. Were there
7	any entries if you told us he came up August
8	16th and you went to Hartford with him on August
9	18th. Any entries for August 14, 15, 16, 17, 18,
10	19, 20, 21? Any entries?
11	A. No. The diary is blank at that point,
12	sir. I retraced that chronology based on a very
13	personal event which was happening in my life and
14	I don't think which is of any interest of your
15	client for me to speak about.
16	Q. Well, I'll accept that, but there's
17	nothing in your diary, right?
18	A. No, there's nothing in my diary.
19	Q. Now, yesterday right at the start of your
20	testimony you told us you considered yourself
21	guilty of being an accomplice and a co-conspirator
22	in a \$7 million robbery; is that right?
23	A. Because I had helped with disposing of
24	the money, yes.

Q. You were told, were you not, how many

<u> </u>	years in prison you raced, right:
2	A. Well, at that point it was hard to know.
3	I discussed all that with my attorney, yes.
4	Q. How many years in prison did you believe
5	you faced shortly after your arrest?
6	A. I don't remember specifically. I knew
7	that I had the prospect of extended custody in
8	front of me. I don't remember specifically in
9	terms of years.
10	Q. That wasn't anything that you were
11	concerned about?
12	MR. BOYLE: Objection. That's an
13	argumentative question.
14	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
15	Q. The charges were read to you?
16	THE COURT: I didn't hear your
17	response, counselor. It wasn't clear to counsel.
18	MR. WEINGLASS: Counsel objected to
19	my question as being argumentative and I've
20	withdrawn it.
21	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
22	Q. When you were arrested, the FBI told you
23	what charges you faced, right?
24	A. Yes.
25	O. They read to you from the indictment.

-	right:	
2	A.	That's right.
3	Q.	You met with an attorney that day, right? (Area)
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	You met with a second attorney that day, (Good)
6	right?	
7	A.	That's right.
8	Q.	Didn't the question of how many years in
9	prison y	ou faced come up?
LO	Α.	Well, yes, as I remember at that point in
11	time it	was hard to know.
12	Q.	When the FBI read the charges and all
13	they sai	id to you it's hard to know how many years
14	in priso	on you might face if you get a maximum
15	sentence	e?
16	A.	I don't remember, sir, what they told me.
17	Q.	Does the figure 40 years in prison sound
18	familia	r to you?
19	Α.	I don't know.
20	Q.	To this day do you know how many years in
21	prison	you faced for being what you said was
22	guilty	of being an accomplice and a co-conspirator?
23	Α.	It would depend on the outcome of the
24	trial.	I don't know.
2.5 -	0-,-	If you're found quilty and you're

2	face?
3	A. Guilty of what I was charged with?
4	Q. Yes.
5	A. I would have to talk to my attorney. I
6	don't know.
7	Q. That subject has never come up?
8	MR. BOYLE: Objection. That's not
9	what she said. She answered his question.
10	Q. Has that subject ever come up?
11	A. Obviously, it did.
12	Q. You don't remember; is that right?
13	A. In terms of years, yeah, I don't remember
14	Q. You don't remember that even though it's
15	a matter of some personal interest to you; how
16	many years you faced in prison; is that right?
17	A. Well, I remember be given the outcome of
18	the trial.
19	Q. Your testimony that you never asked and
20	none of your three lawyers, plus all the United
21	States Attorneys you talked to, one, two, three,
22	never once said to you how many years in prison
23	you faced
24	MR. BOYLE: Objection.
25	BY MR. WEINGLASS:

sentenced to a maximum term, how many years do you

1	Q for what you've done?
2	MR. BOYLE: Mischaracterization of
3	the testimony. She testified directly the
4	opposite of that.
5	THE COURT: She said she didn't
6	remember.
7	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
8	Q. That's your testimony. You don't
9	remember?
10	A. I know we discussed it and I know it
11	would be based on the outcome of the trial.
12	That's what I remember.
13	Q. Although you considered yourself guilty
14	of the \$7 million robbery, United States
15	Government never asked you to plead guilty. You
16	didn't have to plead guilty to anything; isn't
17	that right?
18	A. That was one of the conditions of my
19	agreement, yes.
20	Q. Your case was diverted, right?
21	A. The terms of the agreement were that the
22	charges against me would be dismissed provided
23	that I comply with the terms of the agreement.
24	Q. so, you didn't even have to plead guilty

to a traffic violation in this case; isn't that

1	right?
2	A. That's right.
3	Q. Now, for all this, how many days did you
4	spend in jail; in a cell?
5	A. I was released on September 12th.
6	Q. So, you spent a total of 12 days in jail?
7	A. I was arrested on August 30th. So, from
8	August 30th until September 12th, yes.
9	Q. And you don't want to go back to jail?
10	A. I don't think anyone likes to be in jail.
11	Q. Now, the FBI told you, did they not, that
12	your ex-lover, Juan Segarra now faces more than
13	150 years in prison?
14	THE COURT: Counsel, that is
15	something that's argumentative before the jury.
16	MR. WEINGLASS: It's what the FBI
17	told her.
18	THE COURT: Question is, what did he
19	tell her about her. If you manufacture a
20	particular number of years to put before the jury
21	to acquaint them with, it is improper.
22	MR. WEINGLASS: It's not
23	manufactured. It's in the indictment.
24	THE COURT: If you'll listen. My
25	point is, if you want to ask her if she was told

or that was discussed, that would be permitted. 1 MR. WEINGLASS: That's what I asked. 2 THE COURT: Whatever sentence of the 3 jury, will be charged at the end. It will be 4 solely, if it's a guilty verdict, it will not be 5 the jury, but the Court will make the decision as 6 Congress provided. So, the jury or others will 7 have nothing to do with it. 8 MR. WEINGLASS: I must take 9 exception to the Court's use of the word, 10 "manufacture." Your Honor has read the indictment. 11 I've read the indictment. We know what my client 12 faces and I'm asking her if the FBI told her what 13 the tally was. 14 BY MR. WEINGLASS: 15 Did they tell you? 16 Q. I don't remember if they did or not. 17 don't think so. When I was arrested, I don't 18 think so. 19 Since you've been arrested? 20 Q. As I remember, I've spoken with my 21 attorney about that and what he told me is that 22 obviously it would depend on the verdict and that 23 it would probably be lengthy, yes. 24

25

Q.

Now, yesterday Mr. Boyle marked but not

1	admitted into evidence Exhibit 448, which is a
2	statement of acceptance of the benefits of pretrial
3	diversion. You've read this document, haven't you?
4	A. Yes, I have.
5	Q. More than once?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You signed it, correct?
8	A. That's right.
9	Q. Yesterday you were able to give us
10	verbatim some of the words in the document about
11	how you were to testify?
12	A. I don't know if it was verbatim, but I
13	understand the terms of my agreement, yes.
14	Q. Well, let's turn to that verbatim part
15	THE COURT: This is not a full
16	exhibit. Do you want to make it a full exhibit,
17	counselor?
18	MR. WEINGLASS: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Any objection.
20	MR. BOYLE: No.
21	THE COURT: Full exhibit. Mark it
22	Madam Clerk.
23	(Defendants' Exhibit 448: Received
24	in evidence.)
25	BY MR. WEINGLASS:

Now, the agreement provides, I'm now 1  $\circ$ . referring to the letter of September 23, 1985 from 2 the U.S. Attorney's office in Massachusetts to Mr. 3 Macdonald, your attorney? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Paragraph 1, you agree to give complete ο. 6 and truthful testimony and information, right? 7 That's right. A. 8 You agree to answer completely and 0. 9 truthfully all questions put to you by law 10 enforcement agents? 11 Yes. Α. 12 Now, under the terms of this agreement, 13 as you understand it, what happens to you if you 14 don't give law enforcement -- or if you don't 15 testify completely and truthfully? What happens 16 to you? 17 Well, the terms of the agreement were Α. 18 that I say what I know so that I do give complete 19 information about that and then I not make any 20 false statements, and that if I went against those 21 terms, that the immunity that I had would be 22 revoked. 23 And you would face 40 years or more again? 24 Q.

Α.

25

Well, then I would go to trial, yes.

1 ∦	Q. Who decides in this agreement, who
2	decides if you're being truthful?
3	Is it the jury or is it the folks sitting
4	at this table backed up by the folks at that bench,
5	the formidable array of people to my right?
6	MR. BOYLE: Objection, your Honor.
7	Could we have a question without the inclusion of
8	comments by counsel?
9	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
10	Q. Who decides? Does the jury decide if
11	you're being truthful or is it exclusively the
12	United States Attorneys and the FBI?
13	A. Well, it's the Government that decides.
14	Q. Let's review the time of your arrest and
15	the events that transpired after your arrest.
16	You were arrested at 6:55 in the morning
17	and you were walking on a public street in
18	Cambridge; is that right?
19	A. That's right.
20	Q. You were walking alone?
21	A. That's right.
22	Q. How many agents or police officers
23	arrested you?
24	A. As I recall, there were three.
25	Q. Do you recall their names?

1.	A. Nell Cronin, John Huyler and a woman was
2	present. I don't remember her name.
3	Q. Marita Hopkins?
4	A. That's right.
5	Q. Neil Cronin and John Huyler were sent by
6	the FBI to arrest you, right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. The two gentlemen who had been listening
9	to your phone conversation and had bugged your
10	front sitting room, right?
11	A. Yes, as far as I know, yes.
12	Q. Describe the arrest for us?
13	A. You want me to describe what happened?
14	Q. Yes, at 6:55 in the morning on the street,
15	Neil Cronin, John Huyler and Marita Hopkins
16	approach you. What happens?
17	A. I came out of my house. As I'm walking
18	down the street, a car came up to me and I heard
19	something being said and I thought here's someone
20	trying to bug me and I kept trying to walk forward.
21	Then the car stopped and
22	Q. You weren't wrong. Go ahead.
23	THE COURT: Counselor, that's an
24	improper remark and you don't make it again.
25	You've been warned before. That's very

unprofessional and you know it. Proceed. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Then as far as I 3 remember, Neil and John both got out of the car 4 and told me that I was under arrest. BY MR. WEINGLASS: 5 Ο. Neil and John? We know them as Agents 6 7 Cronin and Huyler, but it's okay. 8 MR. BOYLE: Objection, your Honor. It's not a matter what Mr. Weinglass knows them as. 9 10 She described them as she knows them. BY MR. WEINGLASS: 11 What did Neil and John do then? 12 0. 13 Α. They told me that I was under arrest. 14 Were you handcuffed? Q. I got in the car. I was -- I remember 15 16 being handcuffed later at some point. I suppose that I was. I don't remember if I was at that 17 18 point or not. Is it your testimony that the FBI put you 19 20 in a vehicle with agents without first handcuffing 21 you? 22 MR. BOYLE: Objection. That's not her testimony. 23 BY MR. WEINGLASS: -----24

Do you recall if you were handcuffed

25

Q.

1 before you went into the vehicle? 2 MR. BOYLE: She said she didn't recall. 3 4 THE COURT: It wasn't too clear to her. She wasn't sure if it was the beginning or 5 after. 6 7 BY MR. WEINGLASS: Would it assist your recollection to know 8 9 that the FBI does not get into a vehicle with 10 arrestees unless they're first handcuffed for the 11 safety of the agents? 12 Well, if that's the case, then I imagine Α. 13 I was handcuffed. I don't remember that. Ι 14 remember being handcuffed when I was then taken to 15 Framingham to prison. 16 What happened to your purse; if you were 17 carrying it -- I'm sorry, it's an assumption I 18 made. 19 They took it from me. Α. 20 Marita Hopkins? Q. 21 Α. I don't remember if it was Neil Cronin or 22 John Huyler. Now, then where did they take you? 23 24 Α. They took me to the Marshal's lock-up in 25 Boston.

L	Q. Are you sure of that?
2	A. That's where I ended up, yes.
3	Q. That's where you ended up?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Where did they take you?
6	A. Well, I don't remember where I was. I
7	first had to get photographs taken of me. I don't
8	know where that was.
9	Q. Did they take you to the FBI offices
LO	not the Marshal's lock-up on the ninth floor of
11	the John F. Kennedy building in Boston?
12	A. I remember what happened to me, sir. I
13	don't remember at that point in time. I don't
14	know where that was. I remember that I was in a
15	room where photographs were taken of me.
16	Q. You were in a room designated by the FBI
17	as the interview room of the FBI office. Isn't
18	that where you were when they first took you in?
19	A. I was taken into a room, yes and the FBI
20	was present, yes.
21	Q. You weren't put into a cell until three
22	hours and 45 minutes later in another building; in
23	the Marshal's office in the John McCormick
_ 2.4	-building; isn't that right?
25	A. Yes, I was taken there later. Again, I

- 11	
2	Q. So, you spent three hours and 45 minutes
3	in the interview room with the FBI, right?
1	A. If you say so. I don't remember the time,
5	sir.
6	Q. Now, you were allowed to call a lawyer at
7	7:15 in the morning; isn't that right?
8	A. That's right.
9	Q. Kind of not too easy to reach a lawyer at
.0	that hour, but you had a friend who was an
11	attorney?
12	A. That's right.
13	Q. Did the FBI explain to you why they
14	arrested you at a time before any law offices were
15	open?
16	MR. BOYLE: Objection. The question
17	assumes facts not in evidence, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Well, it's harmless. Go
19	ahead.
20	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
21	Q. Do you know how many law offices are
22	opened at 7:00 in the morning in Boston?
23	A. How many law offices?
24	Q.· Yes.
25	A. I don't know, no.

don't remember the time.

Did anyone tell you that people go to law 1 Q. school so they can sleep late in the morning? 2 you ever hear that expression? 3 Now, this three hours and 45 minutes that 4 you were in the interview room, was a lawyer with 5 6 you? During the time that I was with the FBI? 7 8 0. Yes. Well, I'll tell you what happened. 9 No. I'll let you tell us what happened, 10 Q. but first answer my question and then you can tell 11 us what happened. 12 In the three hours and 45 minutes that 13 you were in the interview room was a lawyer with 14 15 you? No. 16 Α. Now, please tell us what happened. 17 Well, what happened is that I called this 18 Α. friend of mine who is an attorney in order to tell 19 him that I've been arrested and to ask him what I 20 should do and he said that he would get somebody 21 down there to talk to me. 22 You reached him at home? 23 Q.

But in the three hours and 45 minutes, of

That's right.

24

25

Α.

Q.

·	
2	A. Well, you see, I don't remember the
3	timing on this. I know when I got back to the
4	Marshal's lock-up, I did see an attorney.
5	Q. That was later in the other building in
6	the cell you saw a lawyer for the first time.
7	I'm talking about when you were in the
8	John F. Kennedy building in the FBI interview room.
9	No lawyer showed up.
10	A. No lawyer showed up.
11	Q. Right. Well, Neil and John were there
12	though; is that right?
13	A. I remember Neil Cronin being there. I
14	don't remember if John Huyler was there.
15	Q. When Neil was there, he talked to you;
16	isn't that right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. He told you they had been listening to
19	some of your conversations on the telephone the
20	last few months.
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. He told you they had a microphone in your
23_	sitting room?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. How did that strike you?

course, no one showed up?

1	A. Well, I think anybody would be shocked,
2	surprised, which I was.
3	Q. Dismayed?
4	A. You can add that, too.
5	Q. Fearful?
6	A. Pardon?
7	Q. Fearful.
8	A. I would say fearful and shocked.
9	Q. Concerned that your private life had been
10	intruded upon?
11	A. Yes, you can say that.
12	Q. Somewhat contrary to your values of a
13	free society.
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Did they enlighten you by playing any
16	tapes for you?
17	A. They played me an excerpt of one tape,
18	yes.
19	Q. It was a little shocking, right?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And they played that excerpt after you
22	had talked to a lawyer and before that lawyer
23	showed up?
24	A. I don't remember if they played that tape
25	before I called my friend or after. It was before

ı	a lawyer showed up, yes.
2	Q. So that the sequence is you get in the
3	interview room and at about 7:15 you call the
4	lawyer and about 7:40 another lawyer calls back.
5	The lawyer who calls back is Mike Avery?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. He talks to John Cronin and then after
8	that Cronin plays for you one of your tapes; isn't
9	that right?
ro	A. Sir I don't remember the chronology of
11	that.
12	Q. Have you been shown your arrest report by
13	the FBI?
14	A. The arrest report?
15	Q. Yes.
16	A. I don't know what that is. No, I don't
17	think I've seen it.
18	Q. Let me see if you've been shown it.
19	MR. WEINGLASS: This may be marked.
20	THE COURT: The identification,
21	Madam Clerk?
22	THE CLERK: Defendant's 198.
23	(Defendants' Exhibit 198: Marked
24	for identification.)
25	BY MR. WEINGLASS:

1 I want to show you a two-page report of 0. 2 your arrest, the official 302 of the FBI. Nowhere in that official report do they say they played a 3 tape of your voice; do they? 4 No, it's not indicated. 5 It's a rather detailed report. 6 7 you ate some yogurt and you had some coffee, some 8 cookies, but they don't say they played you the tape; do they? 9 10 Α. It's not written down there, no. In that official report. Now, do you 11 0. 12 remember that tape? 13 They played a very brief excerpt. 14 recognized my voice and Papo's voice. 15 Would you say that tape bordered on the 16 intimate? 17 I don't remember the content at all. Α. Tell us what was going on in your mind at 18 Q. that time when you were in an interview room and 19 20 they played you the tape and read you the charges? 21 Α. Well, I was in shock. I think also at 22 that point in time I even wondered whether there might be some sort of mistake. I didn't know why 23 24 I was there. And I was upset, yeah.

You didn't know why you were there

25

Q.,

because -- how come you didn't know why you were there?

Is it because Juan Segarra or Papo never explicitly told you that anything that you were doing in connection with money had anything to do with the Wells Fargo robbery?

A. It's not that. It's because I felt that I didn't have a culpable role related to the robbery. I was listed on an indictment with a list of individuals who belonged to an organization that I didn't know the name of until I was arrested.

Also because Papo had asked me to do several things for him without ever telling me there are consequences to your action. This is a high-risk situation.

- Q. And he never told you that it was connected to a robbery, a Wells Fargo robbery; is that your testimony?
  - A. No, I can't say that, sir.
- Q. In any event, that wasn't your testimony yesterday?
  - A. I'm sorry. You have to repeat that.
- Q. When Judge Clarie asked you yesterday afternoon, "Did Juan Segarra ever tell you that

the money in your footlocker was from the Wells

Fargo robbery?" You thought for a long while and
do you remember what you said?

- A. I said I would have to answer yes.
- Q. On the day of the arrest are you saying that you didn't understand why you were arrested?
- A. What I'm saying is that what Papo had asked me to do, he had presented to me in a very matter of fact way and never informed me that there were very real and grave consequences to my actions.
- Q. Ms. Gassin, isn't the truth of the matter what you told the FBI back in September of '85 and that is that Juan Segarra never told you that the \$35,000 in your footlocker came from the Wells Fargo robbery? Isn't that what you told the FBI?
- A. What I told the FBI is what I can tell you again today, which is that I'd been informed by Papo in July that he had participated in the Wells Fargo robbery.

I had read this manuscript and he told me about the robbery. Less than a month later he asked me to participate in the transport of money that was to be concealed in a trailer and driven across the Mexican border.

As I said before on other occasions when I asked questions as to, specific questions, as to for example where the money was going, I was often told, "That's just the kind of question you don't ask."

I think that, as I said also yesterday, I wasn't aware of his having participated in any other robbery.

- Q. Is that your answer?
- A. And also I don't have a present recollection to date of him -- of him saying this is the money that came out of that truck.
- Q. But when Judge Clarie asked you that yesterday, didn't you indicate that he did tell you?
- A. I said that I would have to answer yes and then I went on to explain that what I just told you today, which is that he had never -- he hadn't told me about any other robbery and that if at the same time he's telling me that the fives which are in the footlocker can't be used in the States because they can be traced, if he's counting money and pulling down the blinds so people can't look, I think it's safe to say that --
  - Q. It's safe --

THE COURT: Let her finish her 1 statement. 2 I'm sorry. MR. WEINGLASS: 3 THE WITNESS: And due to the fact he 4 had spoken to me about the robbery and he talked 5 about transporting this money in a concealed 6 fashion, we're talking about the same money. 7 BY MR. WEINGLASS: 8 Right. You're making a reasonable Q. 9 inference and a reasonable assumption and I have 10 no quarrel with your reasonable inferences and 11 your assumptions; but Judge Clarie asked you, "Did 12 he tell you that it came from the robbery?" And 13 you said, "Yes." 14 Did he tell you? I'll ask you that now. 15 Did he tell you that that money came from the 16 Wells Fargo robbery? 17 I'll answer it the same way that I just Α. 18 did. 19 Did he say it in words to you? Q. 20 I can't answer that that way. I'm sorry. Α. 21 Do you remember when the FBI asked you Q. 22 that on September 16, page 12, Gassin stated that --23 strike that. 24

25

Regarding the money and the footlocker

which Segarra kept at her residence, Gassin

claimed that Segarra never mentioned the origin of

the money.

Did you tell the FBI that?

THE COURT: Can we establish the date of that, counselor?

MR. WEINGLASS: September 16th.

## BY MR. WEINGLASS:

- Q. You were being truthful with the FBI?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Never mentioned it, the origin of the money; isn't that right?
- A. What I meant by that is that the money that was -- I'm going to repeat myself again. That there was a series of consequences, events and conversations which made it clear where the money came from.

However, I don't have a present recollection of a particular conversation on a particular date when he said that that money in there is from that truck.

Q. Thank you. Isn't that the problem here, precisely, Ms. Gassin; that you're putting

1 together a screenplay. Your recollection of 2 events, some conversations and drawing a lot of 3 assumptions and inferences as if these things were discussed specifically between you when you really don't remember and you can't take those apart? 5 All I'm saying is what I remember, 6 No. 7 sir. Now, let's go back to the day of 8 Q. your arrest. After three hours and 45 minutes 9 10 with the FBI in the interview room you are 11 transported to the John McCormick building, the 12 15th floor where you're put in a cell. 13 Α. Yes.

- Q. Had you ever been in a cell before?
- A. No.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. You remain in that cell and the lawyer comes to see you, Mr. Avery?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. To discuss the charges with you and he tells you that you're going to appear before a magistrate on that day, federal magistrate?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You don't remember the rest of the conversation?
  - A. I remember that he read through the

- ∦	indictment and he said that he wouldn't be able to
2	represent me because of a conflict.
3	Q. So, he exits and another lawyer shows up.
4	Lawyer Good?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And you and Lawyer Good go before a
7	magistrate that afternoon?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. They take you out of your cell to go
.0	before a magistrate.
11	A. That's right.
12	Q. Who appears for the United States
13	Government, if you remember?
14	A. You mean the prosecutor?
15	Q. Yes.
16	A. Mr. Mueller.
17	Q. And to your shock and dismay the United
18	States Government says, "This young woman is not
19	to be released on bail under any conditions."
20	A. Well, Mr. Good already told me that that
21	would probably be the outcome of that, yes.
22	THE COURT: It's now 11:30,
23	counselor. The jury will be excused for 15
24	minutes. Quarter of 12:00 we will resume.
	(Management the dury was excused )

THE COURT: The witness is excused. 1 2 (Witness excused.) THE COURT: Recess, Mr. Bailiff. 3 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 4 11:30 o'clock a.m. to 11:47 o'clock a.m.) 5 THE COURT: Call the jury, please. 6 Maybe you better wait until everyone is seated. 7 (Pause.) 8 THE COURT: Everyone is here. Yes, 9 10 you may call the jury. (Whereupon, the jury entered the 11 courtroom.) 12 THE COURT: Call the witness, please. 13 (Whereupon, the witness resumed the 14 15 stand.) 16 BY MR. WEINGLASS: Ms. Gassin, I want to back up for just a 17 0. moment. The time that you're in the interview 18 room of the FBI offices on the ninth floor of the 19 John F. Kennedy building in Boston, do you recall 20 if Neil Cronin suggested to you that you might 21 want to consider turning state's evidence in this 22 case and being a witness for the Government? 23 Yes, he did. 24 A. That was suggested within an hour of your 25 Q.

arrest; isn't that right? 1 I would say so, yes. 2 Α. Did he tell you then that they had been Q. 3 listening to your private life and your personal 4 concerns and they thought you might want to go 5 easy on yourself by cooperating with the 6 Government? 7 I don't remember the term, Go easy on 8 myself, no. 9 August 1985 was a pretty rough month for 10 0. you personally, was it not? 11 How so? August 1985. How so? 12 Well, I don't want to worsen what's 13 0. already happened, but your stepfather died 14 unexpectedly? 15 That's right. Α. 16 Things were not going very well with Papo? 17 Q. Well, all I can say is that he had left, 18 Α. 19 yes. You had started with Alex? 20 Q. I don't see what that has to do with it. 21 Α. There was another man in your life. 22 Q. It's not as simple as that, sir. 23 Α. 24 sorry.

25

Q.

You were moving from Boston to New York?

-	A. That B state, jest
2	Q. Leaving your friends behind?
3	A. I was going off to school. I was very
4	excited about going off to school; Columbia
5	University.
6	Q. You were also scared about New York City?
7	A. I don't know being particularly scared
8	about New York City, no.
9	Q. So, they suggest to you that maybe you
10	would want to cooperate here with the Government
11	and you didn't say, Yes, at that point?
12	A. I refused to talk to them, as I remember.
13	Q. Then, as a matter of fact, when Neil
14	Cronin made that suggestion, that's when he told
15	you how many years in prison you faced?
16	A. It's possible that he did.
17	Q. And then you met that afternoon with
18	Michael Avery, your first lawyer, Andy Good, your
19	second lawyer and you go before a magistrate.
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. You're taken from a cell and the
22	magistrate says what about releasing you on bail?
23	A. No bail. That I'm going to jail.
24	Q. No bail?
25	A. Well, that I'm not being released that

day on bail; that I was going to jail that night, 1 2 yes. 3 The magistrate said, "You're not going to be released on bail, period." 4 Well, I don't remember that. I know 5 Α. there was a bail hearing that was coming up at the 6 7 time when Lloyd Macdonald started representing me. All I remember is that on that particular day I 8 9 was going to Framingham. You wanted bail on that day. Your lawyer 10 asked for bail. You wanted out? 11 Yeah, I imagine I did, yes. 1.2 Α. 13 The magistrate said, "No. No bail," 0. 14 right? 15 Α. I went to Framingham that night. The Government said you're dangerous to 16 Q. the magistrate? 17 That I don't remember, sir. 18 Α. So, they take you off to Framingham. Now, 19 Q. what's Framingham? 20 21 Α. To jail. It's a jail? Is it a federal jail; if 22 Q. you know? 23

24

25

Α.

As far as I'm concerned, it was a jail.

Q. You were put in a state jail, weren't you,

-	with women and had been proved up it is the
2	crimes on the street?
3	A. Yes, that's right.
4	Q. Was that a pleasant experience?
5	A. I don't think it's a pleasant experience
6	to be in jail, no.
7	Q. And particularly in that
8	A. I have no other points of comparison.
9	Q. Now, we're getting toward the Labor Day
10	weekend, August 30th, you're arrested, right?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Your lawyer comes to see you, Andy Good
13	through that weekend?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And you begin to talk to Andy about
16	getting out of this thing even if it means
17	becoming a Government witness.
18	A. That was one of the options that was
19	discussed, yes.
20	Q. Andy stays with you a few more days as
21	your lawyer.
22	A. That's right.
23	Q. Then he leaves, right?
24	A. Yes.
	o pid he leave begange within a few days

-	You had decided you would become a Government
2	witness?
3	A. No. I hadn't made that decision, sir.
4	Q. Pardon?
5	A. I had not made that decision, sir.
6	Q. Not yet?
7	A. No.
8	Q. But he's replaced by Mr. Macdonald who is
9	a very able former Assistant United States
LO	Attorney?
11	A. Andy Good recommended Lloyd Macdonald and
1.2	I also understood Lloyd Macdonald to be one of the
13	more prominent defense attorneys in the Boston
14	area.
15	Q. Good. I would hope my clients are as
16	kind. But you also knew him to be an Assistant
17	United States Attorney who could talk to the
18	Government for you?
19	A. Well, that was not the reason why he was
20	retained.
21	Q. That played no part in your thinking or
22	did it?
23	A. He was recommended to me, as I said, by
24	Andy Good. My sister was also involved in
2.5	colocting an attornoy. I mot with Iloud and wo

decided.

Q. But there are all kinds of lawyers and the lawyer you selected was a prominent, good lawyer who had connections to the U.S. Attorney so that you could work your deal?

MR. BOYLE: Object to the question on connections to the U.S. Attorney's office.

THE COURT: Sustained.

## BY MR. WEINGLASS:

- Q. Now, within eleven days a deal had been struck; isn't that right?
  - A. Well, I got out on September 12th, yes.
- Q. And you had written during that 11-day period some things about what you recalled had happened, right?
  - A. I tried to retrace what had happened, yes.
  - Q. And you gave those to your lawyer, right?
- A. Well, I imagine I did. We had lengthy discussions about what had happened. He took notes as well.
- Q. But you wrote things in your own hand; isn't that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You gave it to your lawyer and the process was that your lawyer would go to the FBI

and the Justice Department with your notes saying, 1 Is this enough?" And they would say, "No, 2 we want more." And your lawyer would come back 3 and you would write a little more and they would go back to the Justice Department, "Is this enough?" 5 "No, we want a little more." 6 No, that's my understanding. 7 absolutely not the way it happened, sir. 8 It's not? Where are those notes you 9 wrote your handwritten explanations of, 10 "What happened"? 11 They're with myself and my attorney. Α. 12 You have them here with you? 13 Yes. Α. 14 MR. WEINGLASS: Your Honor, at this 15 point I would like to have those turned over for 16 purposes of further cross-examination. 17 continue now. 18 THE COURT: The jury will be excused 19 for a few minutes. 20 (Whereupon, the jury was excused.) 21 THE COURT: What is the position of 22 the Government and counsel for the witness? 23 MR. BOYLE: Government's position, 24

your Honor, is that the Defendant is entitled only

to prior statements by this witness that relate to her testimony on direct that are in the Government's possession. The Government doesn't have these notes.

To the best of my knowledge, no one associated with the Government has ever seen them. The Defense -- we can't turn them over and the Defense is not entitled to any such notes under any provision of law.

THE COURT: Were there any notes tendered to the U.S. Attorney as an inducement to make this agreement?

MR. BOYLE: Not to my knowledge, your Honor.

MR. WEINGLASS: Your Honor, I accept Mr. Boyle's representation, as I always would; but it's our position that the negotiation was with the U.S. Attorney's office in Massachusetts and if your Honor will look at the agreement that was entered into, it was with Mr. Mueller, the U.S. Attorneys in Massachusetts and I assume they have the principal responsibility for the negotiation, backed up, perhaps, but only backed up, by the U.S. Attorney's office in Connecticut.

I think no U.S. Attorney present in

1 court today perhaps will have personal knowledge of the process. I think we would need Mr. Mueller. 2 I think we can short cut it if we 3 4 ask Mr. Macdonald. I'll accept his representation. 5 THE COURT: Mr. MacDonald, do you 6 care to comment? 7 MR. MACDONALD: Yes I would, your 8 Honor. THE COURT: Would you come forward 9 10 please and give your full name for the record so 11 we'll have it in the record your position. 12 MR. MACDONALD: My name is Deverest Lloyd Macdonald and I am counsel for the witness, 13 14 Ms. Gassin. I can say in answer to that question 15 or on the subject that at no time were any 16 handwritten notes of Ms. Gassin while I 17 represented her or to my knowledge while Mr. Good 18 represented her ever proffered to the Government, shown to the Government or otherwise referred to 19 20 in the course of any discussions as to her 21 position with the prosecution. 22 MR. DABROWSKI: Your Honor, may I 23 add to that Assistant United States Attorney Van 24 Kirk was involved in the process at that time.

Contrary to Mr. Weinglass' understanding, the

United States Attorneys Office in Connecticut had returned the indictment, was coordinating the events.

It was, in fact, Mr. Mueller who was involved in the face-to-face conversations, but Mrs. Van Kirk was kept informed. The United States Attorney then now District Judge Alan Nevas was kept fully informed and I can represent to the Court on their behalf no notes of any kind were tendered or offered to them during this process.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WEINGLASS: Your Honor, I don't think -- that doesn't conclude the matter at all. Even if all these representations are true, if this witness hand wrote to her attorney notes about the matter, she's publicly testified in court today and yesterday, she no longer has a privilege, if she ever had one, about those notes, number one, having publicly and under oath already testified about the same events.

Number two, even if she had that privilege, an attorney/client privilege, and no one has asked her if she wants to waive it yet, the Defendant's Sixth Amendment right to confront this witness with her own handwritten notes, not

her lawyer's notes, is a right that has priority over the witness' right to claim her privilege. This raises an interesting question of conflicts of rights, which I'm sensitive to.

I must say that if the witness does not waive the right, then as in all criminal cases where the Defendant has a Sixth Amendment right that must also be protected, the witness' testimony must be struck. So that the witness' right to counsel is protected and the Defendant's right to cross-examination is protected.

If it comes to that, that must be the solution.

I would hope it doesn't come to that and I would hope that one of two things happen. Either the witness waives her right or if she and her counsel do not waive their right, then the Court orders the turnover light of my client's Sixth Amendment right of confrontation and she's already testified publicly about the same events.

THE COURT: Let's establish, first, from her attorney, are there handwritten notes of a material matter concerning her testimony that she gave to you that are in your possession?

MR. MACDONALD: I'm a bit confused,

your Honor, frankly, as to what Mr. Weinglass is referring to here. I am aware of some handwritten notes of my client which were prepared, I believe, sometime after the events of her arrest for purposes of refreshing her own recollection. As I'm standing here, I can't put a particular date on it, but it was well after the negotiations with respect to her posture with the Government.

THE COURT: They were written after?

MR. MACDONALD: Yes, sometime within the last three and a half years. Longer ago than six months ago. Those are the ones I'm familiar with and I believe those are in her possession.

Again, as I am standing here, I don't know whether or not I have any other handwritten notes of hers, your Honor, and I would be happy to review my files.

I don't have all of my records in this case in my hand today. I can certainly have those examined before the beginning of court this afternoon.

THE COURT: If there are none, we're arguing about nothing. If there are some, then the question might arise in the Court's ruling whether the procedure is that it's a privilege as

has been suggested between the client and the attorney or should they be found in camera with the Court to see whether there's anything conflicting that would indicate their testimony was not wholly factual and truthful.

Those are the things I'm thinking about as the possibilities.

Do you have any suggestions, counselor, as an experienced trial counselor?

MR. MACDONALD: I would think, your Honor, the first question is a factual one. Does anything exist that would justify the Court having to think about this problem. I do know that these refreshment of recollection notes are in Ms. Gassin's possession at this point.

I would have to discuss with her what our position would be on that, but previewing my own feelings, I believe my advice to her would be not to resist disclosure of those to the Defense.

THE COURT: As I understand Mr.

Weinglass' claim is that these notes were written

not recently or since the agreement was struck, so

to speak, between the Government and the witness

and her attorney, but that the notes he's seeking

1.8

are notes that were used with counsel or directly 1 with the Government to induce them to make this 2 Isn't that what you're seeking? agreement. 3 MR. WEINGLASS: Yes, your Honor. I'm doing it on the basis, and I don't think we 5 can forget this, that just prior to sending the 6 jury out I asked Ms. Gassin if she did write notes 7 at that time and Ms. Gassin indicated she did. 8 So, I believe those notes exist. 9 She might have written THE COURT: 10 The question is, are they material to many notes. 11 the issue at hand? That's the real question. 12 I think she said MR. WEINGLASS: 13 notes about the event or what happened. I assume 14 those are very material. They're all assumptions 15 on my part. 16 Your Honor, I would MR. DABROWSKI: 17 propose we take a brief recess to provide Mr. 18 Macdonald an opportunity to speak with his client 19 to obtain facts upon which he can certainly rely 20 and perhaps share with us, if appropriate, in 21 order to address this question. 22 MR. WEINGLASS: I would agree. 23 Why couldn't we do this? THE COURT: 24 This is only one phase of your cross-examination. 25

Why can't we proceed until 1:00 o'clock with the 1 jury and not waste any time having the jury 2 sitting out there twirling their thumbs and finish 3 at 1:00 and at the noon recess you will have a 4 whole hour to speak with the witness and review 5 whatever exist, if anything does exist? 6 MR. WEINGLASS: Your Honor, in 7 fairness to all concerned, including the jury, I 8 believer from Mr. Macdonald's representations, 9 that we're talking about what Mr. Dabrowski says 10 11 is just a five-minute break here. THE COURT: If it's only five 12 minutes, there's no problem. I'm thinking about 13 an hour. 14 MR. WEINGLASS: Oh, no. I don't 15 think it would be that long. If it's that long, 16 we'll come back. 17 It would be very helpful if we could 18 resolve this at this point. If the Court is 19 concerned about time, we could put a cap on the 20 If it isn't enough time we would go ahead. 21 time. 22 It would be helpful to try. THE COURT: You're suggesting five 23 minutes, that's quarter past 12:00. 24

MR. WEINGLASS: Yes.

I know how these THE COURT: 1 recesses are once they start. Suppose we aim to 2 resume at quarter past 12:00. The witness is 3 excused temporarily. We'll recess until quarter past 12:00, Mr. Bailiff. 5 (Whereupon, the jury was excused.) 6 (Whereupon, a recess was taken from 7 12:09 o'clock p.m. to 12:15 o'clock p.m.) 8 THE COURT: Is Attorney Macdonald 9 available with his client? 10 It's my MR. DABROWSKI: 11 understanding he's on the way, your Honor. He was 12 with Ms. Gassin, speaking to her and he's coming. 13 THE COURT: Counselor, is there 14 anything to report to the Court? 15 MR. MACDONALD: Yes, there is, your 16 There were no notes that Ms. Gassin 17 prepared in 1985. She tells me that her reference 18 in her recent testimony to the existence of notes 19 were some that she made while she was in the 20 institution at Framingham while in detention and I 21 am informed by her and by the Government that 22 those notes are already in the possession of the 23 Defense. 24

25

THE COURT: They're in the

possession of the Defense?

MR. MACDONALD: Yes, your Honor.

MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, for clarification, Ms. Gassin turned over to the Government that calendar which is in evidence marked as Exhibit 450 for Identification. It's a week-at-a-glance calendar which she testified to on direct and part of Mr. Weinglass' cross.

In addition to the calendar, there are notes written on some blank pages.

THE COURT: Are these the notes she was referring to?

MR. BOYLE: I don't know what she was referring to. I haven't spoken to her. Mr. Macdonald has. This is in evidence and these are notes she made after her arrest and I believe her testimony was to help her reconstruct the events.

THE COURT: Are there any other notes to which counsel refer to; namely, notes prepared at Framingham in the detention jail there that she made or prepared that were turned over to Defense counsel?

MR. BOYLE: No, what was turned over to Defense counsel, your Honor, was a copy of this calendar with those pages that include the notes.

Those are the only handwritten notes that the 1 Government has made by Ms. Gassin and they have 2 been turned over. 3 THE COURT: Any other notes, 4 counselor, except those? 5 There aren't any. MR. MACDONALD: 6 THE COURT: Does that answer your 7 question, counselor? 8 MR. WEINGLASS: Yes. 9 THE COURT: Call the jury, please. 10 (Whereupon, the jury entered the 11 12 courtroom.) THE COURT: You will recall the 13 witness, please. 14 15 BY MR. WEINGLASS: During the period of time that you 16 remained in Framingham in the state jail from 17 August 30th to September 11th --18 September 12th. 19 Α. Thank you. You were housed in a cell; is 20 ٥. that correct? 21 That's right. 22 Α. Now, the agreement for you to become a 23 state witness was entered into on the 11th; is 24 that right? 25

- A. Yes.
- Q. On the 12th you're taken from your cell and taken out of the jail the next day?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. When you're taken out of the jail, that followed an event that happened the night before, on the 11th, when you met with Mr. Cronin, Agent Cronin, Neil Cronin and you met with Joe Rodriquez and you met with another Assistant United States Attorney, Mr. Mueller and you met with Ms. Van Kirk who is seated here and you started to talk to them the late afternoon and evening of September 11th.
  - A. That's right.
  - Q. And your lawyer, Mr. Macdonald, was there.
  - A. That's right.
  - Q. Prior to that time in the process of negotiation of days did that negotiation proceed in a fashion where you offered to do certain things and then a counter offer was made through your counsel to you that he would have to do a little more?
  - A. You have to be more specific. I don't know what you're talking about.
    - Q. Why don't you tell us? That would be

better. What was the process of negotiation as 1 you understood it from August --2 First of all, there was a process of 3 Α. decision making. I had to decide first whether I wanted to cooperate at all. 5 When did you decide? 6 I would say it was fairly late on, 7 because it was also -- there were also conditions 8 that I placed on that cooperation, which needed to 9 be met. 10 You mean you were making demands on the 11 Q. Government of the United States? 12 I don't know what you mean by making 13 Α. demands. 14 Making conditions. 15 0. What was negotiated by my attorney that 16 the charges against me would be dismissed should I 17 cooperate. 18 That was a condition, right? 19 Q .• That was one of the conditions, yes. 20 Α. You wouldn't come forward and help the 21 United States Government unless you were let out 22 from under this whole thing, right? 23

24

25

Α.

sir.

It was a complex-decision making process,

1	Q. I'm sure. Did your sister help you?
2	A. I talked with my sister.
3	Q. At that time?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Did she fly in to see you?
6	A. Yes, she did.
7	Q. She practices in California?
8	A. Yes, she does.
9	Q. Of course, you were consulting Mr.
10	Macdonald along the way here?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. Now, did the Government agree right away
13	to your condition, that all the charges be
14	dismissed?
15	A. Well, the person who would be apt to
16	answer that is my attorney.
17	Q. I'm sure. Why don't you give us your
18	understanding of what your attorney told you in
19	September of 1985 about the negotiation?
20	A. Are you talking about the process?
21	Q.· Yes.
22	A. As I said, I wasn't involved in the
23	process. So, I know what we discussed. We
24	discuss, first of all, there was a period of time
25	in which I discussed with him at great length the

decision to cooperate and he actually repeatedly painted a dismal picture of cooperation because he wanted to know exactly what I was getting into.

We discussed this at great length for days on end. The exact timing of the negotiation and when conditions were met, I don't know.

- Q. Now, describe for us that scene on September 11th when Ms. Van Kirk comes to Boston with Special Agent Rodriquez and they're joined by Neil Cronin and Assistant United States Mueller, Attorney Mueller. Where is this meeting taking place? In the jail?
  - A. No.

- Q. Where is it taking place?
- A. As far as I remember, it was in a room near the Marshal's lock-up. I don't remember exactly.
- Q. You went back to the McCormick building, 15th floor?
- A. Maybe it was the 15th floor. I don't know.
  - Q. You were all seated around a table?
  - A. Yes, we sat around a table.
  - Q. You spoke for how many hours?
  - A. Well, we went through this yesterday, sir.

1	I don't remember exactly the number of hours that
2	I spoke.
3	Q. Did you tell us how many hours yesterday?
4	A. Yes. I imagine I answered your question.
5	Q. Do you remember what you answered
6	yesterday? That's February 2, 1989. What did you
7	say?
8	A. I think my first response was two to
9	three hours.
10	Q. Have you gone over the official 302, the
11	official Government report, of that meeting?
12	A. Yes, I have.
13	Q. How many times?
14	A. Two or three times, I would say.
15	Q. Two or three times? When was the last
16	time you read it?
17	A. I think I glanced at it or looked over it
18	quickly again a week ago.
19	Q. About a week ago?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. Was that the third time you read it or
22	more than the third time?
23	A. I really don't remember.
24	Q. This has been marked 195. The official
25	report of that meeting does not say when the

meeting began, when the meeting ended or how long 1 2 the meeting took place, does it? MR. BOYLE: Objection, your Honor. 3 The witness is going to testify from a document, 4 it ought to be marked full. 5 I'm not offering it. MR. WEINGLASS: 6 I'm using it to refresh the witness' recollection. 7 MR. BOYLE: He's not. His question 8 didn't have anything to do with her recollection. 9 It had to do with what appears in the report. 10 he wants to try to refresh the witness' 11 recollection, I have no objection. 12 MR. WEINGLASS: I accept that. 13 BY MR. WEINGLASS: 14 Is there anything in that report that 15 would help you refresh your recollection aside 16 from the fact that it's 16 single-spaced 17 typewritten pages as to how long that meeting 18 occurred? 19 20

A. Anything particular in the report, no, I don't see that there would be a particular report that would give me the time of the meeting.

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you take any notes of the timing of the meeting?
  - A. Did I take notes of the timing of the

1	meeting.
2	Q.· Yes.
3	A. No.
4	Q. Did you take any notes of that meeting?
5	A. No.
6	Q. Were you asked to write anything of that
7	meeting?
8	A. No.
9	Q. You weren't recorded at that meeting by
10	the FBI?
11	A. Not that I know of.
12	Q. Evidently, it's not their habit to record
13	when you're face to face. Now, were you
14	confronted at that meeting with various items of
15	evidence like documents or tapes that the FBI and
16	Justice Department had?
17	A. Various documents, such as I don't
18	know? I don't know what you mean exactly. I
19	don't remember. You'll have to refresh my memory
20	because I don't remember anything being shown to
21	me at that point.
22	Q. You don't remember September of '85. It
23	involved you directly?
24	A. Yes. Well, my answer is to the best of
25	my recollection nothing was shown to me.

- N	Q. who was quescioning you.
2	A. As I remember, Mr. Mueller was
3	questioning me.
4	Q. Did anyone else question you besides Mr.
5	Mueller?
6	A. Ms. Van Kirk.
7	Q. Anyone else?
8	A. Possibly, the agents. I don't remember.
9	Q. Agent Cronin, Agent Rodriquez, right?
10	A. That's right.
11	Q. You were there being confronted by no
12	fewer than four people from the Justice Department
13	and the FBI?
14	A. In the presence of my attorney, yes.
15	Q. They were all questioning you, right, and
16	you were still in jail hoping to get out the next
17	morning, right?
18	A. Well, I wasn't sure when I was going to
19	get out, no.
20	Q. You did get out the next morning?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. While you were talking to them, it was
23	your hope that you would get out the next morning?
24	A. As I understand, sir, an agreement had
25	been reached at that point.

+	Q. So, arthough the magistrate had bard the
2	September 30th you were to be held without bail by
3	virtue of the magic of the agreement
4	A. On August 30th.
5	Q. You were going to be released, right,
6	even though you were a danger to the United States?
7	A. Well, as I said, I don't remember that
8	there was a discussion about the fact that I was a
9	dangerous individual, no.
10	Q. Now, you don't remember anybody
11	confronting you with a tape on that occasion?
12	A. I have not. The only time that I have
13	listened to tapes were exactly one week ago.
14	Q. We'll get into that. Were you shown any
15	documents?
16	A. At that meeting?
17	Q. Yes.
18	A. No. As far as I remember, no.
19	Q. So, is it your recollection this was a
20	meeting where just four people were asking you
21	questions and you were giving answers?
22	A. That's right.
23	Q. Who was writing down your answers?
24	A. The people who were questioning me.
	O All four of them?

1	A. Well, I don't remember precisely who took
2	notes, if they all took notes, if one took notes.
3	Q. Well, there were two lawyers and two
4	agents; isn't that right?
5	A. That's right.
6	Q. Only the agents filed a report; isn't
7	that correct?
8	A. That's right.
9	Q. You didn't write anything?
10	A. No.
11	Q. Isn't it your understanding, Ms. Gassin,
12	that if you veer from these 16 typewritten pages
13	that the FBI wrote down, that you would be in
14	danger of being accused of not being truthful and,
15	therefore, you would face 40 years ago?
16	A. No. My only obligation was to say what I
17	knew to be true and that's what I've done from the
18	outset.
19	Q. Okay. We're going to get into that. Now,
20	this meeting of two to three hours was followed
21	within five days by a second meeting.
22	A. There was a second meeting, yes.
23	Q. That was followed within eight days by a
24	third meeting, right?

25

Yes.

A.

1	Q. That was followed within one day by a
2	fourth meeting and by another day with the fifth
3	meeting and by about a month with a telephone call,
4	October 30th from Agent Cronin, right?
5	A. A telephone call? I don't know what
6	you're referring to.
7	Q. Do you remember Agent Cronin called you
8	on October 30th to try to get from you details of
9	what you had talked about with your first lawyer
10	when you met him in private.
11	A. I don't remember that conversation, sir.
12	Q. Let me show you this document which is
13	marked 199.
14	(Defendants' Exhibit 199: Marked
15	for identification.)
16	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
17	Q. I want to show you what's been marked 199
18	for Identification, which is a 302 by Agent Cronin
19	and directing your attention to the third
20	paragraph. Does that refresh your recollection
21	that the FBI wanted to know the details of your
22	private conversation with your first lawyer?
23	MR. DABROWSKI: Your Honor, may we
24	have a moment to speak briefly with Mr. Weinglass?

We think we should inform him of something.

(Pause.) MR. DABR

MR. DABROWSKI: Thank you, your

Honor.

THE WITNESS: So, your question was.

BY MR. WEINGLASS:

- Q. Do you remember a conversation with Agent Cronin on the telephone?
  - A. Well, now that you show me that, yes.
- Q. Now, I'm advised by Government counsel that that call was made pursuant to a Court order. So, I didn't know that, but I didn't mean to imply any impropriety. I haven't seen the Court order, but I assume it exists.

When you talked to Agent Cronin, you didn't remember any of the details -- that's what I wanted to know -- of the conversation, even though you had talked with Mr. Avery about a month earlier.

A. No, what the report says in there is that I primarily discussed with Mr. Avery what was going to happen that day. Reviewed, as I recall, what I was charged with and then as I say, or as not as I say but as the agent has written -- the outcome of my discussion with Mr. Avery was the fact there was a conflict Mr. Avery could not

represent me and I met with him a very short time and not very much was discussed, as far as I remember, as to what my involvement was.

- Q. About five weeks after you talked to your lawyer, you told Agent Cronin that you could not remember any details of the conversation?
- A. Well, read the rest of the paragraph that's written there. It goes on to say what was discussed.
- Q. Does it say, "Gassin could not remember any details of her conversation with Avery"?
- A. Well, again, I don't know how they have chosen to write that. If you continue to read that document, it does specify we discussed what was going to happen to me that day, what I was charged with and the fact that there was this conflict.
- Q. "She believes she may have furnished Avery with some idea of her involvement."
- A. I met with him a very short time.

  Obviously, it was not the time to go into the whole sequence of events.
- Q. But you couldn't remember specifically if you had told Mr. Avery anything about your involvement. You might have. Five weeks after --

1	A. I don't remember what was specifically
2	discussed with regards to my involvement, yes.
3	Q. Now, prior to your testimony, which began
4	yesterday, you reviewed, for the third time, these
5	FBI notes; is that right?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. You listened to tapes; is that right?
8	A. I listen to the tapes which weren't
9	entered in as evidence, yes.
10	Q. Did you listen to any other tapes?
11	A. No.
12	Q. Did you review your Grand Jury testimony?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Did you review anything else?
15	A. I reviewed the 302's and I reviewed the
16	Grand Jury testimony.
17	Q. Now, you testified before the Grand Jury
18	on the 25th of September; isn't that right?
19	A. If that's the date that's written on the
20	document, yes, that's right.
21	Q. You don't remember the date?
22	
23	Q. Yes. Was that an important date for you?
24	1
25	A. I think everything was important

-	totiowing my affest, yes. I think that b tall to
2	say.
3	Q. But some important things you can't
4	remember, even if they impact your interests?
5	MR. BOYLE: Objection.
6	Argumentative.
7	THE COURT: Well, he's asking about
8	specific dates. The jury will evaluate it.
9	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
10	Q. Have you ever testified before a Grand
11	Jury in your life?
12	A. Prior to that time?
13	Q. Yes.
14	A. No.
15	Q. So, this is the one and only time that
16	you testified before a Grand Jury and you don't
17	remember what date exactly?
18	MR. BOYLE: Objection, that question
19	has been answered.
20	THE COURT: I think she has answered
21	she doesn't recall the dates specifically,
22	counselor.
23	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
24	Q. This is a copy of your Grand Jury
2.5	transcript. Is this what you reviewed?

L	A. Yes, it is.
2	Q. Now, this time we have a time when you
3	began, which is noted on that transcript and a
4	time when you finished?
5	A. Yes.
6	MR. BOYLE: Excuse me, please. May
7	I just have the number?
8	MR. WEINGLASS: Two hundred.
9	(Defendants' Exhibit 200: Marked
10	for identification.)
11	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
12	Q. Now we know your testimony before the
13	Grand Jury was about five hours. You can
14	calculate it may be give or take a few minutes,
15	because it's noted?
16	A. Yes.
1,7	Q. Unlike the 302's?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Those five hours of questioning before
20	the Grand Jury, was your lawyer present in the
21	Grand Jury room?
22	A. As I remember, my lawyer was available to
23	me should I need to consult with him, yes.
24	Q. Was he present in the Grand Jury room?
25	THE COURT: The law provides,

1	counselor, that the lawyer cannot the be in the
2	Grand Jury room. He can be outside sitting at the
3	door and if you want to consult with him, you can.
4	MR. WEINGLASS: Thank you, your
5	Honor.
6	THE COURT: You know that.
7	MR. WEINGLASS: I want to see if the
8	witness recalls that. I appreciate the assistance.
9	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
10	Q. Was your lawyer in the room with you?
11	A. As I remember, I consulted with my lawyer
12	when we had breaks and when I had a lunch break.
13	Q. Was the lawyer in the room with you?
14	A. I don't think he was. I don't remember.
15	Q. Now, this Grand Jury was sitting in
16	Massachusetts, right?
17	A. That's right.
18	Q. You weren't brought before the
19	Connecticut Grand Jury which voted the indictments
20	in this case?
21	A. No, I wasn't.
22	Q. So, the grand jurors who voted the
23	indictment in this case never had an opportunity
24	to question you; isn't that right?
25	MR. BOYLE: Objection. That assumes

1	a fact not in evidence, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: She said she wasn't
3	there. If she wasn't there, they couldn't
4	question her.
5	MR. DABROWSKI: It's also a
6	misrepresentation of the state of the Grand Jury
7	record, your Honor.
8	MR. WEINGLASS: I'd be glad to be
9	corrected.
10	MR. DABROWSKI: I'll correct Mr.
11	Weinglass during the lunch break, your Honor.
12	MR. WEINGLASS: I prefer to do my
13	business in court.
14	MR. DABROWSKI: I'll tell him right
15	now over here, if he'd like. I'll advise the
16	Court and the jury right now if they would like.
17	MR. WEINGLASS: Well
18	(Pause.)
19	MR. WEINGLASS: I think if I
20	understand counsel correctly, the Grand Jury who
21	voted the indictments in this case were offered
22	the opportunity to question Ms. Gassin and they
23	declined it. So, her testimony was read.
24	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
25	Q. Now, to prepare yourself for your

To prepare for my testimony? 2 Α. 0. Yes. 3 I believe what we were discussing an hour 4 Α. ago was the fact that I had taken notes after the 5 time that -- I don't know how to phrase it -- that 6 I left the custody of the FBI sometime in between 7 the time period of that and now. 8 Where are those notes? 9 Q. I have them here. 10 Α. Are those notes that you've turned over 11 Q. to the Government? 12 Those notes I turned over to my attorney. 13 I see. Are those the notes that are in 14 your diary or are they other notes? 15 They're other notes. They have the same Α. 16 information. 17 MR. WEINGLASS: Your Honor, I would 18 ask that I be given those notes. 19 THE COURT: We'll have to excuse the 20 jury again and resolve that issue. I don't know 21 what they are. 22 MR. WEINGLASS: Well --23 THE COURT: Can you proceed until 24 1:00 o'clock and take that up after the recess? 25

testimony, did you take notes to assist you?

## MR. WEINGLASS: Yes.

## BY MR. WEINGLASS:

Q. Now, I want to get into some of the matters you've been discussing with us in the last day and a half; day.

You said there were boxes in your house, under your bed. When?

- A. Well, as I said yesterday, it was sometime in the time period between when Papo came back from Panama, which was around, as I recall, the 25th of August until between that time and the time when the mobile home finally leaves for Mexico, which was around a month later, around the 20th of September.
  - Q. You told us yesterday that there were six or eight boxes?
    - A. As far as I remember, yes.
  - Q. Did you ever tell anyone, the FBI, Ms. Van Kirk, Agent Rodriquez, Neil Cronin, Agent Huyler or the Grand Jury under oath that there were eight boxes before yesterday?
  - A. I don't remember specifically what -- I have to go over the Grand Jury testimony to see if that question was asked and if I answered that question.

1	Q. Well, let me give you your Grand Jury
2	testimony
3	THE COURT: Do you know where it is,
4	counselor?
5	THE WITNESS: You want me to go
6	through this from beginning to end?
7	MR. WEINGLASS: We'll refer to a
8	specific tape.
9	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
10	Q. Are you pretty conversant with that
11	volume, Ms. Gassin?
12	A. Well, I've read it, as I said, in its
13	entirety twice, I believe.
14	Q. Pardon?
15	A. I believe in its entirety I've read it
16	twice.
17	Q. Well, why don't you look on page 123?
18	A. So, I said I would say about six.
19	Q. And six was the number you gave the FBI?
20	A. I said about six, yes.
21	Q. Did you ever say to anyone, "About eight"?
22	A. Well, I don't know. I base that number
23	on what I recall the dimension of those boxes
24	could be and what could fit under my bed.
25	Q. Has your memory improved from September

1985 of what you could fit under your bed or was 1 your memory better now than September 1985? 2 Well, I don't think you can make that 3 kind of conclusion. Oftentimes, yes, your memory 4 is better when you're near that specific event, 5 but sometimes things come back to you. 6 With this particular point, I'm not 7 implying that something is always going to come 8 back to me and I know there's eight boxes. 9 continue to say there's about six boxes there. 10 Did you ever say there was "About eight," 11 Q. to anyone? 12 I don't remember, sir. 13 Α. Well, you've read over these 302's, you 14 read over your Grand Jury testimony. 15 number eight pop out at you anywhere, ever? 16 I haven't memorized what are in those 17 transcripts, sir. I don't know for certain if the 18 number eight appears in those documents. 19 You never mentioned it when you were 20 Q. under oath before the Grand Jury, the figure eight? 21 I said, "About six." Α. 22 Were you present when the boxes went into 23 Q. your apartment? 24

Α.

25

Well, I have a memory of boxes being

- 1	there at some point, or seeing the boxes and onen
2	the boxes were gone. I don't remember
3	specifically when they arrived, as I said.
4	Q. You weren't there when the boxes came in.
5	A. I don't know if I was there or not. I
6	just don't remember.
7	Q. You weren't there when the boxes went out.
8	A. I don't remember that either.
9	Q. You never looked in the boxes?
10	A. I never looked in the boxes.
11	Q. The boxes were never opened in your
12	presence sense?
13	A. They were never opened in my presence.
14	Q. You never held the boxes?
15	A. Again, not that I remember.
16	Q. You never saw the boxes in the trailer
17	that was being pulled by the pickup truck?
18	A. No, I was just told they were in the
19	trailer.
20	Q. You never were inside the trailer?
21	A. Which trailer are we talking about?
22	Inside the van?
23	Q. The trailer that was pulled by the pickup
24	truck?
2 =	A No.

11	
1	Q. So, you never held the boxes. They were
2	never opened in your presence. You don't remember
3	how they got in your apartment; you don't remember
4	if you were there when they were taken out; you
5	never saw them in the trailer?
6	A. Well.
7	Q. You never saw them in the motor home?
8	A. I know how the boxes got in my house.
9	Q. You were told and you assumed you were
10	told the truth?
11	A. Well, I was told by Papo, yes.
12	Q. Did Papo always tell you the truth?
13	A. Well, that's a question you should ask
14	him.
15	Q. What about your sense?
16	A. When he spoke to me, I trusted him and I
17	believed what you told me to be true.
18	Q. But you knew because of his political
19	involvement sometimes he told you things of what
20	he did specifically and you later found out he had
21	done something else, right?
22	A. There was one reference to that with a
23	trip that he took, yes.
24	Q. He told up he was going to New York.

Later you found out he wasn't in New York at all.

1	He was in Mexico?
2	A. That's right.
3	Q. Sometimes he told you he was going to
4	Mexico. You don't know if he was in New York;
5	isn't that right?
6	A. I know what he told me.
7	Q. But you don't know what actually happened?
8	MR. BOYLE: Objection, without
9	specification as to what Mr. Weinglass is
10	referring to.
11	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
12	Q. Ms. Gassin, all you can tell us, as best
13	you can remember, is what he told you, but you
14	don't know if that actually happened in general.
15	MR. BOYLE: Objection. The question
16	is too general and it's a mischaracterization of
17	her testimony in the past day and a half.
18	THE COURT: It's too broad. If you
19	want to particularize it, it would be in order.
20	BY MR. WEINGLASS:
21	Q. You do know that he told you once he was
22	going to New York and you later found out he was
23	going to Mexico, right?
24	A. On one occasion, yes.
25	Q. All you can tell us is what you recall,

as best you can recall, after four and a half 1 years of what he told you, but unless you were 2 3 with him, you're not sure what he told you actually happened; isn't that right? 4 MR. BOYLE: Object again, your Honor, 5 unless Mr. Weinglass will specify what event and 6 circumstances Mr. Weinglass will specify. 7 BY MR. WEINGLASS: 8 In general, as you sit here today, in 9 general, you can only tell us what you believe you 10 can recall of what he told you, but you don't know 11 if actually what he told you occurred? 12 It depends on the incident. I'm sorry. 13 Α. For instance, his travels. He told you 14 Q. he was going to New York. He went to Mexico you 15 later found out; right? 16 On one occasion and I remember a 17 conversation I had with him when he came back and 18 he told me, in fact, where he had been and he 19 excused himself for not having said where he had 20 21 been. You traveled with him only once to Mexico? 22 0. To Mexico I only went once, yes. 23 Α. That was when? 24 Q.

25

That was on Valentine's Day, February, of

1	1984.
2	Q. Careful.
3	A. Nineteen eighty-five.
4	Q. So, you were with him in Mexico?
5	A. I flew down to meet him.
6	Q. What did you do in Mexico that you saw
7	and can tell us directly?
8	A. He went and bought clothes.
9	Q. He went and bought clothes?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. What do you mean by that?
12	A. At that point in time his organization
13	had fallen apart and as he told me that he wasn't
14	receiving a salary from them anymore, he was
15	looking for other ways of making a living and he
16	had, he explained to me he was, in fact, going to
17	set up some kind of an import business and he was
18	going to purchase clothes in Mexico and sell them.
19	Sell them in Puerto Rico and sell them in
20	New York, Boston, et cetera.
21	Q So, he was going around buying clothes
22	for his clothing business?
23	A. When I was there, that's what he did, yes.
24	Q You were with him?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. You saw what he was doing?
2	A. Yes.
3	Q. And the clothes came back and you tried
4	selling some of them?
5	A. <u>Yes</u> .
6	Q. You sold some rabozos?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Those are shawls?
9	A. <u>Yes</u> .
LO	Q. But the only thing that you actually can
11	tell us from your own personal knowledge of what
12	Papo did in Mexico is that he bought clothes for
13	his clothing business in February of 1985 when you
14	were there and you saw it happen; right?
15	A. I was there on that trip, yes.
16	Q. Other than that, you can only tell us
17	what's in a screenplay, which we'll talk about
18	this afternoon, and what you can remember he might
19	have told you because you weren't there; isn't
20	that right?
21	A. All I know is what he told me what he did
22	when I was around him.
23	THE COURT: It's 1:00 o'clock.
24	We'll take our recess, Mr. Bailiff and ladies and
25	gentlemen of the jury.

1	(Whereupon, the jury was excused.)
2	THE COURT: The witness is excused.
3	(Witness excused.)
4	THE COURT: Recess until 2:00
5	o'clock. I understand in the interim, counsel for
6	the witness and U.S. Attorney and Defense counsel
7	will confer on these notes to find out what they
8	are.
9	MR. WEINGLASS: Yes.
10	THE COURT: Report when we convene.
11	(Whereupon, court recessed for lunch
12	at 1:00 o'clock p.m.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	