

Jr

PROJECTS

LOCALES:

I - SHORT-TERM (to be completed in a year): Feb./85 - January/86.

A - ORDER OF PRIORITY BY LEVEL OF IMPORTANCE:

- 1 - Investigation/Research
 - a - information file
 - 1 - internal organizational
 - b - survey:
 - 1 - organizational at the participation level
- Ana*-
- 2 - Integration into the PIP
 - a - organizational
 - b - investigative
- R (sic)
- 3 - Instant print shop
 - a - infrastructure
 - b - business
- J-
- 4 - "Miguel el Negro" (lit. Miguel the Black Man) Society
 - a - Anthropology magazine:
 - 1 - organizational
- Pio
- 5 - Lay the foundation for obtaining periodic magazine
 - *a - investigation/research
 - b - organization of the needs and supply them
 - 1 - contacts, collaborators and writers
 - 2 - search for topics to develop as issues
 - c - Task of compiling information from newspapers and magazines
 - 1 - organizational at the participation level
 - 2 - resource for the magazine
- N.

Note: Pending internal organizational objectives

B - OF LESSER PRIORITY:

ORDER OF PRIORITY

- 1 - Painted and serigraphed murals
 - a - massive propaganda
 - b - organizational
 - c - to fill the public billboards with nationalist and pro-independence slogans to raise the level of national awareness.
- 5905
7300(sic)

- 2 - Production of leaflets/handbills
 - a - for particular issues and places
 - 1 - organizational
 - 2 - group propaganda
- org 3 - Lay the foundation and prepare what is necessary for the development and continuation of the LA
 - a - attain the necessary internal organization
- 4 - Lay the foundation for attaining audio visual "CLARIDAD" (lit. clarity) (videos - most important issues)
 - a - research on possibilities and needs
 - b - organize the resources, etc.
 - c - search for topics to develop as issues
 - ch - train personnel

org NOTE: Objective is med. term.

- 5 - Prepare the conditions and instructions for the use of commercial radio
 - a - organize it internally
- 6 - Lay the foundation for the use of a Radio broadcasting station
 - a - do research and preparation
- Decide it 7 - Guard School
 - a - infrastructure
 - b - business
 - c - organizational at the participation level

II - MID-TERM (for after the first year) Feb./86

A - ORDER OF PRIORITY:

- 1 - Periodic magazine
 - a - investigative/research
 - 1 - organizational
 - 2 - intelligence
 - b - organizational
 - 1 - contacts, collaborators and writers
 - 2 - students
 - 3 - development of issues
 - 4 - massive propaganda
- 2 - Audio visual "CLARIDAD" (videos - most important issues)
 - a - investigative/research
 - b - organizational
 - 1 - contacts
 - 2 - development of issues
 - 3 - group and massive propaganda

- 3 - Use of commercial radio
 - a - massive propaganda
 - b - internal organization

B - OF LESSER PRIORITY

- 1 - Do a study on the viability of the Theater
 - a - group or massive propaganda
 - b - organizational
 - c - economical
- 2 - Do a study on the viability of cartoon/caricature Equipment
 - a - internal and external organization
 - b - economical (evaluate)
 - c - infrastructure for the magazine or for some other orga. work.
 - ch - production of comic/caricature books
 - d - massive propaganda
 - e - organizational at the participation level

III - LONG TERM (after the first two years)

A - ORDER OF PRIORITY:

- 1 - LA
 - a - massive propaganda
 - b - internal organization
- 2 - Radio broadcasting station
 - a - massive propaganda

B - OF LESSER PRIORITY

- 1 - Theater
 - a - group or massive propaganda
 - b - organizational
 - c - economical
- 2 - Cartoonist'/caricaturists' equipment
 - a - internal and external organization
 - b - economical (evaluate)
 - c - infrastructure for the magazine or some other orga. work.
 - ch - production of cartoon/caricature books
 - d - massive propaganda
 - e - organizational at the participation level

INSTANT PRINT WORKSHOP
business and infrastructure

1 - Establish name

Objectives:

- 1 - That it generate profits for the area and make other projects viable.
- 2 - Cover the needs of the emp. in area of production of documents, written propaganda and security documents.
- 3 - Offer a service at a lower cost to recovering organizations in the zone's community.
- 4 - Train other comrades to handle equipment and business.

A. Short-term

- 1 - Find a suitable location: well situated, spacious, good location: be near a place that has a need for photo-copies and instant printing that will come to the location.

Suitable locale:

That provides necessary space for both the machinery we are starting with and the ones we are projecting, that it be available for at least three years and cost no more than \$400.00.

Fix it Up:

Put in air-conditioning, "rejas" (lit. iron security bars), make an office and keep an area that can be converted into a dark room.

- 2 - Find and insure payment for and maintenance of machinery which supplies the needs of the business and the infrastructure. Equipment that will cover following needs:

a - Offset

by Gesterner for making more than 30 copies with cardboard and metal plates that are easy to prepare and inexpensive _____

12,000.00 maintenance - by Gesterner itself.

b - photocopier

c - composer or computer

To offer a typesetting service and make a profit from this service, for commercial jobs and other print shops in the area - pending.

d - regular or electronic typewriters for infrastructure
e - camera

- 3 - Find other equipment and materials for the work in general.

a - clerical administrative

1 - contract sheets

2 - collection sheets

3 - sheets to do inventory of equipment and work

4 - accounting books

2/11/88
AD

- 5 - calculator
- 6 - files
- 7 - desk
- 8 - others
- b - art and composition
 - 1 - table
 - 2 - lamp
 - 3 - rulers
 - 4 - pens
 - 5 - drawing & sketch pads/cardboards
 - 6 - others
- c - maintenance of locale
- ch - maintenance of machinery at the employee level.
- 4 - Supplying of Materials
 - a - Paper of different sizes, weights, etc.
 - b - poster board
 - c - inks
- 5 - Payment of employees
 - a - depending on profits
- 6 - To train and manage the employees adequately.
 - a - give each one tasks in an organized and cooperative way.
 - b - make sure that the employees generate the work that is necessary to maintain the business and also support and help the progress of the business.

-----see about different ways of achieving this-----

 - c - train the employees to handle the machinery in an organized way.
- 7 - Make efforts to generate clients, offers and sales, etc.
 - Implement innovative ideas
 - Ex. provide other services at a low cost such as portraits/pictures/paintings/drawings on paper and cards/postcards.
- 8 - projected equipment

CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION

OF

AEROIMAGENES, INC.

(Organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico)

FIRST:--The name of the corporation will be Aeroimagenes, Inc.

SECOND:--The main office or place of business of the corporation in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico will be located at Condo. Los Robles, Apt. 913B, Villa Nevarez, Rio Piedras, Municipality of San Juan, Puerto Rico. The resident agent in charge of said main office is Roberto Jose Maldonado.

THIRD:--The nature of the business, objectives or purposes which will be carried out, promoted or performed for profit, are all or any of those mentioned here, as broadly as they can be developed by a natural person in any part of the world, to wit: Provide services of aerial photography, general photography, transportation of air cargo, instruction and training of private and commercial pilots, airplane mechanical repair and any other services related to the aviation industry compatible with the resources of the corporation.

FOURTH:--The number of stocks the corporation will be authorized to issue is

The minimum amount of capital with which the corporation will start its operations is one thousand dollars (\$1000.00).

1-21-88

FIFTH:--The names and addresses of each one of the incorporators are as follows:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>ADDRESS</u>
Roberto Jose Maldonado	Condo. Los Robles, Apt. 913B, Villa Nevarez, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico
Trinidad Santaliz Avila	Condo. Los Robles, Apt. 913B, Villa Nevarez, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico
Sylvia Maldonado Mulling	Condo. Los Robles, Apt. 1012A, Villa Nevarez, Rio Piedras, Puerto Rico

SIXTH:--The existence of this corporation will be perpetual.

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, with the purpose of forming a corporation for profit, under the provisions of the General Law of Corporations of the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, approved on January 9, 1956, hereby execute and file this Certificate, and swear that the facts set forth in same are true.

Dated in San Juan, Puerto Rico, today the 3rd of May, 1985.

/s/ (illegible)
Roberto Jose Maldonado

/s/ Trinidad Santaliz Avila
Trinidad Santaliz Avila

/s/ Sylvia Maldonado Mulling
Sylvia Maldonado Mulling

Sworn to and signed, before me, by Roberto Jose Maldonado, Trinidad Santaliz Avila and Sylvia Maldonado Mulling, of legal age, photographer, journalist and student, respectively, and

residents of San Juan all of whom I know personally in San Juan,
Puerto Rico, today the 3rd of May, 1985.

/s/ Juan G. Casanova Luiggi

NOTARY PUBLIC

AFFIDAVIT NO. 2983

1-31-88
PL

Z1 REPORT

PERIOD: September/84 to December/84

BACKGROUND:

Zone 1 was formed as a collective with the comrades who were previously members of a Club in this zone, which had already organized some political work. The first formal meeting was held on Sept. 9, 1984. It was agreed that the name of the collective would be "BIBLIOTECA" (lit. LIBRARY).

At the beginning, it was made up by comrades Jesus and Pio, in addition to Nany and Mateo who took charge of continuing the leadership of the group on an interim basis, for the reorganizational sector. Comrade Ana joined the meetings in October and comrade Ramon formally joined in December.

The political-organizational work the group had already been working on were "el Bate" (lit. the Bat), "la Bola" (lit. the Ball) and the Theater group.

GENERAL EVALUATION OF THE WORK PREVIOUSLY CARRIED OUT IN ORGANIZATIONAL TERMS:

They felt unappreciated; improper clandestine operating procedures by comrades who are leaders of the organization; Pio felt sanctioned since he first joined -- improper organizational operating procedures, an activity was planned and was cancelled at the last minute without an explanation which affected relations with other comrades; ideas were brought up which were either blocked or supposedly taken higher and no reasons were explained when they were not carried out; the publishing of a newspaper was proposed but not completed due to a lack of

4/26/88

resources and the inability to complete it; organizational projects fell through without explanation, implying irresponsibility; criticism was made of unfulfilled threat against the Gov. regarding Villa SM, which is thought to be very negative.

1- INITIAL WORK PLAN

A- ORGANIZATIONAL OBJECTIVES, DESCRIPTION AND GENERAL REPORT:

1- Integration of the comrades of the zones into the organizational political work under the leadership of the reorganizational sector.

a- Formalization of meetings

2- Emphasis on work with the masses

a- Establish contacts with independence advocates and workers in the community.

3- Analyze the political organizational work which the comrades have been doing in the Zone in order to prepare the Work Plan for the Zone, deciding priorities.

a- Reports and discussion in order to establish priorities and possibilities.

The following criteria was agreed upon for evaluating said work/tasks:

1- Political-organizational possibilities in the Left.

2- Recruiting possibilities

3- Possibility of serving as a means of information regarding social problems.

4- Means of Propaganda to increase the level of awareness

5- Means of intelligence information.

4/26/78

6- Contact with collaborators

7- Resources that are required.

It was agreed that Pio would prepare reports on "Bate" (Lit. Bat), "Bola" (lit. Ball) and recruitments of Anamu and Jesus would prepare the report regarding the Theater Group. Pio's reports were never discussed at the meetings since for different justified reasons he did not have them ready. The Theater Group was discussed. General information regarding the work with "el Bate" (lit. the Bat), "la Bola" (lit. the Ball) and the recruitment of Anamu was compiled.

"BATE" (lit. BAT)

Cultural Center for the People -- influencing the cultural work and may be used as a locale for holding meetings. The matter of "el Bate's" (lit. the Bat's) financial debts was discussed at meetings, these were being resolved.

Several aspects of the organizational work there were discussed, making observations about problems of lack of dedication to work, since the leadership is made up by the same independence advocates.

The Zone participated, giving financial support to a financial activity of the Cultural Center in the form of a \$475.00 loan, money which was subsequently used as part of the budget of the Zone.

The "Kiosko" matter is still pending.

"BOLA" (lit. BALL)

Anthropologic group already in existence for a year with chapters in different towns, promoting national awareness, of heterogeneous composition and cultural benefit.

RECRUITMENT OF COLLABORATOR ANAMU:

Pio criticized the delay in the recruitment of Anamu. This discussion has not been completed, mainly due to absences of comrade Pio from the meetings.

THEATER GROUP:

Report regarding Theater was brought by Jesus

a- It was made up by 15 people more or less; it was an avant-guard theater.

Three aspects were looked at:

1- internal organized group, to promote awareness of members of theater group.

2- Theater as a means of propaganda, present avant-guard theater to the communities.

3- as financial medium -- do theater work to raise money. Theater report was discussed -- Jesus; it was agreed it would be considered among the tasks to be carried out and it would be given priority in light of the other reports. It would be viewed in three perspectives.

Internal organization -- recruitment and organization of independence advocates and participants in Theater.

Financial -- as financial medium by presenting works in theaters.

Propaganda -- to promote awareness by presenting theater to communities, etc.

Continuation of objectives and reports:

4- Start to compile information from the geographical area.

a- Observe contradictions, social problems.

2/9/88
NN

b- Collaborators, progressive organizations.

c- Detect militant independence advocates, active sympathizers.

d- Open individual files.

5- Follow up on tasks of the area, including analysis of pending recruitment.

6- Plan meeting with Jr. and the collective to clear up situation that happened with Pio.

This meeting was planned three times and never took place, mostly due to problems presented by comrade Pio. It was agreed at a meeting, in the absence of Pio, to forget about this matter.

7- Carry out tasks assigned by the central area.

OPERATIVES:

Three operatives were planned and carried out, one for the rescue of a comrade (female) and two to take comrade Olmo to a meeting with members of the CT. Two of these activities were carried out with the participation of Jesus, Mateo and Nany. These activities were informally evaluated, reaching the conclusion that in general all the comrades who participated did so positively and satisfactorily; as far as the task is concerned, both activities were carried out correctly; although there were deficiencies which the Zone did not feel responsible for.

B- OBJECTIVES THAT WERE SUBSEQUENTLY DEVELOPED:

1- Follow up on initial objectives

a- Investigation

A plan was started to compile information, assigning aspects of it to the comrades.

"Loyola" report was assigned to Mateo, the comrade did not finish the report.

It was agreed to study possibility of conducting an investigative survey in the Zone. Pio agreed to prepare survey project.

b- Formalization of meetings

Discussion of separation documents which were never completed, was planned.

Although it was not followed up on, a plan was prepared to destroy compromising documents in the event of an emergency as part of the conspirative minute. Several meetings were held between the zone and the leadership. In the meetings previous agreements were revised.

Attempts were made to organize the contents of the meetings to insure the discussion of ideology and training, but no formal routine was achieved.

The discussion of pending reports was maintained on the agenda: "Bate" (lit. Bat), "Bola" (lit. Ball), Recruitment of Anamu (Pio); Theater (Jesus). Report on Oyola (Mateo) was added.

c- Develop the participation of the comrades in Zone meetings and tasks.

It was agreed that Jbo. would follow up on Samanta, keeping Ana informed, so she can advise the collective.

At the beginning of November, Pio made the decision to stop dealing with our Zone and changed his mind as a result of a meeting with a member of the CT.

2- Prepare budget for the zone and manage it properly.

a- Keep accounts, hand in timely reports.

b- Compile receipts.

c- Save money.

It was agreed to repair the vehicles in possession of the Zone, that the gasoline expenses would be paid in full, but only on those occasions when the vehicles are used for matters pertaining to the Zone or the orga.

It was agreed to work with austerity.

Funds were allocated for repair of mimeograph and stencil burning machines, but this money was not used for that because the alternative of acquiring a photocopier came up.

3- Evaluate financial means to serve as front for the full-time comrades.

4- Evaluate situation and disposition/willingness/availability of each of the comrades.

a- Ana - interest in factories and developing day-care center project.

b- Jesus - military interest, financial problems, national guard, intelligence information with collaborator. Several alternatives to deal with Jesus' financial situation were brought up, among them was the loan request which was included in the budget and approved for the comrade.

The comrade went through difficulties which were shared by the other comrades due to his financial distress at the time when the budget was no longer given for lack of a good budget proposal. The bureaucratic procedure adopted for granting the loans was criticized; the comrades in leadership were not knowledgeable of the financial policy.

4/20/87

- 5- Discuss and analyze pre-assembly document.
 - a- Reading and preparation of amendments.
 - b- Meeting with leadership to discuss amendments.
- 6- Insure participation of the comrades who will attend the Assembly.
 - a- Meeting with Ramon
 - b- Communication with Pio
- 7- Do studies and make efforts relative to infrastructure project - financial business of the Zone.
 - a- Find locale
 - b- Distribute related tasks
 - 1. Find out prices of equipment
 - 2. Find information about legal steps to make the workshop functional.
- 8- Carry out tasks assigned by the central level.

"PAPILLON":

Problem of "Papillon" was consulted on and discussed and the following agreements were reached:

- a- Deal cordially with the comrades, bearing in mind that we do not want them as enemies, keeping the doors open.
- b- Request transfer of the car.
- c- Reach agreement regarding payment of the debt when the comrades can pay it, example - that they become employed.
- ch- Not demand return of tools, but request a description of them.
- c- Infrastructure task- compartmentalized by Mateo.
- ch- Mateo's personal task - license.

d- Liaison with Zone 5 - Pio's compartmentalized task. This task was assigned to Mateo and was not completed. The reasons why the comrade did not carry it out were discussed with the person in charge of the Zone. It was subsequently discussed by the CT. The comrade was criticized and he was relieved of the task.

DEVELOPMENT ZONE 5:

Through Pio's contact with certain comrades, integration and development of zone 5 was started. Pio was assigned the task of serving as liaison between the orga. and the comrades who would make up Zone 5.

This task was planned at the central level with Pio's participation until the beginning of November, at which time the comrade expressed discontent with the way the organization was working and requested a vacation from political matters and that he be relieved from the task of serving as liaison with Zone 5.

"CABALLOS DE HIERRO" (lit. IRON HORSES):

The leadership instructed Pio to make contact with the "caballos de hierro" (lit. iron horses) for meeting with CT, which would be planned in conjunction with the Zone. This meeting was arranged through other means, reason for which the comrades of the leadership criticized themselves.

PHOTOCOPIER:

The zone was assigned the task of looking for information and purchase of photocopier. This task was completed satisfactorily.

L 30 F7 (556-564)

TASK REGARDING INFRASTRUCTURE:

a- Obtain two safehouses. This task has not been carried out.

b- Dig up a cellar.

c- Develop the project of a workshop for documentation, printing and propaganda -- with a view toward training other comrades.

DOCUMENTATION TRAINING:

Mateo and Nany participated in a documentation training session, plans were made to prepare a written bulletin to share with other members of the orga. so that documentation could be done under adverse conditions in terms of security. This has not been completed.

Continuation of objectives:

9- Prepare preliminary Work Plan based on the information accumulated to date, subject to coordination with the Central Work Plan which will be prepared after the Assembly.

10- Presentation of report to the Zone.

11- Perform evaluation of work carried out by the Zone.

12- Approval by the Zone of the preliminary Work Plan and the financial projects which will be presented to the leadership by the Zone.

13- Decide on how to formalize the work from now on.

L 30 F7 (556-564)

PROPOSAL FROM ZONE 1

In light of the situation being faced by Zone 1, in particular, and the implications this has for the organization in general, we submit our position for the comrades' consideration.

The basic facts to which we want to make reference are the following:

- The faction accusation made about Zone 1
- The bringing of charges against and expulsion of a comrade without giving him the opportunity to respond or explain.
- The Leadership imposing its decisions on the Zone on matters which basically pertain to the Zone, against the opinion and best judgment of the zone itself.

Our zone is accused of being factious, in a totally unjustified manner, using four basic facts as excuses: the Zone members' abstention from voting for the First Person in Charge; a conversation among members of the Zone and a collaborator in order to listen to what he had to say; the presentation for discussion by the leadership of a proposed Work Plan for the Zone; a conversation between a member of the zone and a personal friend of his who belongs to a sister organization, during which he told him he would see if he could help them print their publication.

Members of the leadership insist that the abstention from voting for the First Person in Charge was a sign of distrust, and due to this, the First Person in Charge says he started a "counter-offensive" of distrust against the Zone.

An A series of accusations regarding intentions to cause a faction have been made against the person in charge (female) of our zone. These accusations are completely false, because in reality there was enthusiasm and dedication and all the comrades of the Zone are witnesses of the positive action which is contrary to one of causing a faction.

One of the members of the Zone brings before the consideration of the person in charge (female) of the Zone the fact that a collaborator has something he wants to bring up. Comrades of the Zone visit this collaborator and listen to what he had to say, which was an offer on his part to set up a project which we considered very positive in every respect. It was made very clear to the collaborator that the decision had to be made Lie by the leadership, and that although we considered it very positive, we could not guarantee him anything. The Zone then submitted the project in writing for the consideration of the leadership.

From this, the leadership reaches conclusions that it is factious activity because it feels that correct procedures were not followed, (that the leadership had to be consulted prior to listening to the collaborator), procedures which are not clearly defined and the comrades involved assure the leadership that mutual there was no harm intended by the action, and that on the contrary, we acted in the manner we felt was most correct.

Afterwards, two comrs. from the leadership went to see that same collaborator, telling him that the comrades from the Zone who visited him were not following correct procedures and even

told him that one of those comrades was being disciplined by the organization.

In addition to that, the collaborating comrade, who has been involved in the struggle longer than the First Person in Charge himself, and who, due to his positive participation in the last activity of the organization felt enthusiastic about making every effort to help the organization, felt disillusioned after that visit by the First Person in Charge and the other member of the leadership, and was even offended by the arrogant attitude said comrades of the leadership displayed toward him and the unreasonable attitude they had toward the Zone.

Our Proposed Work Plan is rejected before it is discussed. It was prepared in good faith and with the best intention of cooperating in an attempt to formalize the work we were doing and to recover part of the time we had lost during the crisis process that took place.

With regard to the conversation between a comrade and his personal friend from another orga, it is absurd that without even knowing what really happened, charges are brought against another comrade for having had said conversation, and that in spite of the fact that this comrade had nothing to do with the matter and didn't even have any knowledge of it, this ends up being one of the reasons cited for deciding to expel this comrade, a founder of the organization. Of course neither was this matter clarified with the very comrade who was really involved, to ask him questions and orient him in this respect.

The counter-offensive of distrust goes to the extreme of accusing us of being factious, citing reasons which are interpreted beforehand, without entering into a dialogue consisting of criticism and certain clarifications that are necessary in order to reach clear agreements about what the correct procedures should be. We wonder how it is possible that in the name of correcting certain procedures, especially in these times we find ourselves in, procedures that are even more incorrect are followed and such drastic measures are taken. We cannot understand the political reason for this.

Comrade Jr is expelled based on certain charges, without giving him an opportunity to explain or criticize himself; and among the reasons used to reach this decision there is one that is completely unrelated to the comrade.

In light of the reality presented to them, which is that the comrade in charge (female) resigns from the Leadership and that the Zone claims its right to name its own person in charge, the leadership wants to impose its own way, insisting on the argument about faction and the distrust on the part of all the members of the Zone. Neither did they permit the reconsideration of the comrade in charge (female) to rejoin the organization after her resignation, a proposal which was made by the entire Zone.

Without the participation of any member of the Zone in the decisions concerning the Zone, the leadership wants to impose its negative decisions on all the proposals made by the Zone and the decision to dissolve the Zone, without permitting any opinion, insisting that said decision must be accepted compulsorily and

"irrevocably," including that the members of the Zone accept as leader a comrade who has not been a member of the Zone, who on different occasions has offended most of the comrades of the Zone, calling them big mouths and being disrespectful towards them, and has even threatened to kill members of the Zone.

The political measures the leadership imposes in order to resolve the situation are unacceptable and contrary to all the principles maintained in the re-organizational process; imposing criteria by force and rejecting the principles of autonomy, participation and democracy. It goes against all the processes accepted for stating criticism and once again authoritarianism prevails as a method of leadership.

On the other hand, this entire situation and action on the part of the leadership lacks common sense, because what it obviously promotes is separation, as if they were not aware that another person in charge, the one for Zone 4, has also resigned, as well as other comrades from his base, when it is well known that the real enemy is on the offensive and that many comrades are going through difficult situations in terms of security.

In light of all this, we are asking that the following measures be taken to resolve the problem in a positive manner.

- 1-That dialogue, not a relationship of imposition, be established in order to seek alternatives.
- 2-That the comrade who made the threats criticize himself, and that guarantees be offered that violence will not be used to resolve conflicts between comrades.

3-That the appointment of the Zone leader be withdrawn by the leadership and that the Zone be permitted to elect its own leader.

4-That harassment over work materials be eliminated, and that guarantees be offered so that operations can continue.

5-That the Zone not be discriminated against in the future.

6-That Jr and comrade Mima (female) be reinstated as full members of the Zone.

7-That the question of the security of all the comrades be followed up on even if they have resigned from the organization.

This is our first option. If an agreement cannot be reached between the Zone and the leadership, then we regret having to separate from the rest of the organization. We do not believe in trying to divide the organization and we will not make an effort to have others separate and join us.

Not recognizing our right to separate ourselves as a group from the organization and continue to struggle even if we are not together goes against the principles we upheld when we separated from the other sector. Wanting to impose now the same arguments and procedures which we criticized and rejected about the other sector makes us wonder: Were those arguments used in a opportunistic manner or did we really believe in them? We are referring specifically to the threats and the single constant preoccupation about the materials and the denial of all rights to those who have different opinions or reach the conclusion that they cannot work together under the existing conditions.

On the other hand, we believe we must have a dialogue about the rights and duties we have towards each other in order to reach decisions regarding the way to deal with the separation, and it seems to us that the principles used in the previous separation can be used as a guide for this situation.

6/4/88
MA

L30.F7(548-553)

April 3, 1985

Comrades:

We have not received any response to our letter in which we explained our position in relation to the situation we are going through.

Your lack of response could be interpreted in many ways, but none of them would be valid unless there was confirmation on your part, and since we have seen how many incorrect interpretations have been made, particularly when there isn't a dialogue, we want to receive a formal response from you before we draw conclusions.

Summarizing our last communication, we submitted a position to you and we requested a response. There was no response to any of the points listed in the letter. The only communication from you was the request that some vehicles be returned to you and that payments on loans and other materials be processed, and some monthly payments be given to Mima as well as allowing her to keep the car she has. You offered to coordinate security matters but you did not specify what that meant. Our response was that we had to discuss those things and many others, among those, guarantees for "Aguila's" (lit. Eagle's) family. We pointed out that it was our understanding that we should now be guided by the same principals which led to reaching the agreements in the previous division.

P 13 A-1-A
L 30 F7 (565-568)

Although you have not responded formally, Greco met with several comrades to explain his version of what was happening. He limited himself to explaining Jr.'s expulsion and, to some extent, the expulsion of Mima, although he did not explain why they were expelled without a political trial or defense. He didn't explain either, why two of the three remaining zone leaders had also resigned, nor that the zone from New York was demanding greater autonomy in order to operate. On that occasion, he also stated that the people of zone 1 were not "proven" and that they were new.

In practice, the response has also been to leave a group of comrades of the zone stranded with commitments which were already entered into per the instructions of that same comrade.

It is difficult for us to see how it can be stated that the comrades of the zone are new or have not been proven. If we compare the time in the organization, since its founding on July 26, 1976, of the members of the zone with that of the team who is working with the first person in charge, (we cannot compare with the other persons in charge of zones since they all resigned with the exception of Manuel), we have Greco and Jumbo (Facó) - founders, with nine (9) years: Mario - in charge of Training and Propaganda, who was a candidate for membership for a little over a year, then resigned and remained a collaborator until eight (8) months ago when he joined the reorganized faction, with two (2) or three (3) years total; Jean (Joaquin) - in charge of organization, was a member of the organization from 1977 to 78, was expelled for being a troublemaker (how ironic) and then

rejoined the reorganized faction with two (2) or three (3) years in total.

In the case of four (4) of the Zone members, we have Jr - founder with nine (9) years; Pedro and Mima, with eight (8) years each; and Pio with three (3) years.

In other words, the work team of the Overall Person in Charge totals 24 years of experience in this organization, Zone 1 totals 28 years.

Time, of course, is not the only proof of merit or willingness to work, but we should remember that three (3) of the comrades of the Zone worked full-time for years, not only now, but also when things were not going well. Neither can we deny their contribution to all the activities of the organization which various members of the leader's work team claim just because they have recently joined the organization.

If we were to analyze how many comrades in the organization have one (1) year or less of militancy, we will find that there is only one (1) in zone 1, which compares favorably with any other zone.

What is most difficult for us to believe is that we are going to be left up in the air with no discussion of contracted obligations and rights earned. We still don't understand, if in the previous division both factions had obligations and rights, why not now? However, that has been the practice, although in the previous division it was stated that "you don't play around with people's bread and butter".

2/4/88
m

We can even anticipate some of the criteria or principles that could be used separately or together to attain a separation among comrades.

We believe we can start with the recognition of the right of each person to continue working to the best of his/her ability without harassment, boycott or repression from one person to another. To that we commit ourselves.

We think that the criteria of amount or percentage (%) of people is valid and can be used as long as the criteria of time and work are taken into account. For example, it wouldn't be fair to just consider the amount of people, since ten or fifteen new people should not count like ten or fifteen veterans. Therefore, we suggest a combination of the three criteria.

We think that the feudal or capitalistic principle of property where no one except the landowner or capitalist employer has rights, is reactionary. We think that the correct thing is the socialist principle of "from each according to his ability; to each according to his work"; or the communist principle of "to each according to his needs"; or a combination of both according to the areas to be established, such as approved projects which are in the process of being carried out, security needs, etc.

The comrades must understand that no response at all is politically unacceptable. It is indispensable that we agree as

2/4/88
720

to how to conduct this separation in a fair and orderly manner.
If you feel it is necessary, you could choose a mediator, even
Said, the comrade who served as mediator in the previous
division, could be an alternative.

Having nothing else for the moment,

The Comrades of Zone 1
of the Reorganized Faction

2/4/78
20

L30 F7(565-568)

DOCUMENT IN RESPONSE TO COMRADES JUNIOR, MIMA

AND PEDRO

Through this document we hereby give a formal, written response to what was already done in person with the comrades in Zone 1. We feel that the documents that were written by Jr., Mima and Pedro contain a very clear purpose, which is nothing more than manipulating the conscience of the organization's comrades by distorting the facts, using half truths to serve their own goals, conforming them to their interests, particularly the interests of Junior and Mima, whom we hold responsible for the situation that now exists.

The points covered by said comrades in their first letter have to do with:

1. An alleged rejection of the dialogue.
2. Alleged death threats made to Jr.
3. Improper and arrogant attitude of the R.G. in his handling of Cholo, from Zone 5.
4. Not having brought charges against comrade Jr. for his expulsion.
5. Mima's and Pedro's abstention in the Congress when the R.G. was elected.

The document was prepared in a deceiving, demagogical and absolutely manipulative manner. It omits a large number of facts that were the fundamental reason for Jr.'s expulsion and all of them have to do with the attitude taken by said comrade as well as by Mima. Nevertheless, we will set forth our position for purposes of putting an end to this incident.

Besides explaining the facts mentioned in the first document prepared by Jr. and Mima, we will make an analytical exposition of our position with respect to the second document that was written by these comrades, as well as the facts that were intentionally omitted. We will, at the end, denounce the true purposes of these former comrades, the objective effects of same and our clear, final and firm position. We hold these comrades entirely responsible for any anti-revolutionary and demagogical provocation and we will respond to each one of them in a proper, mature and firmly revolutionary way. This will apply to the last provocative and dangerous act carried out by Jr. which is related to the communique that he did recently in the name of the Macheteros.

Historical Summary

All of the organizational projections of our organization's members were approved during the Congress that was held on January 11th of this year. In that Congress, certain political positions and structures were approved, an Overall Person in Charge was chosen and directives were imparted. It was a Congress in which there was broad participation, with a great showing of democracy. It was also a Congress in which comrades Jr., Mima and Pedro expressed a previously organized and agreed upon voice of opposition. Said opposition was manifested in practically all of the proposals made, but brought about a crisis, fundamentally, in the part that has to do with the structures. These comrades were overwhelmingly defeated, not by a feudal lord nor by a dictator, but by the coherent conscience of the remaining members of the organization; a defeat that they did not ultimately accept. Besides not accepting said defeat, they chose to sabotage, in an organized manner, the business/work of the organization.

The directives of the Congress consisted in the creation of the National Leadership in keeping with the structures created and directives that prioritize the drawing up of work plans, using the central work plan as a starting point/reference.

The first difficulties arose when the R.G. started to implement the constitution of the General Leadership. First, the persons in charge of Zones are chosen and then the persons in charge of Areas. It is decided, upon the petition and agreement of the persons in charge of Zones, that a meeting will be held with each elected person in charge for purposes of guaranteeing a greater degree of cohesion in the leadership. From the outset, there is concern regarding what comrade Mima's moral conduct might be, as well as Jr's., regarding what their marital agreements are. This was so because, in the opinion of many of the comrades, it was felt that comrades who had this type of arrangement/agreement could not be part of a leadership. The agreement between said comrades consisted in comrade Jr. as well as Mima being able to have extramarital sexual affairs with whomever they wished and that this would not affect their relationship. Said agreement, according to the opinion of the organization's majority, could be acceptable, but not for members of the different levels of leadership and much less so for members of the National Leadership.

When comrade Mima was questioned regarding this, she limited herself to saying that said agreement did not exist and that same came about at a time when Jr. was committing an immoral act several years back and that he pressured her. She also said that Jr. had talked to her and told her that said agreement was eliminated because he now comprehended that he was a monogamous person, for which reason it was

understood that he had eliminated this type of immoral concept from his ideology.

Comrade Mima clearly stated that Jr. was no longer involved with that. However, it was common knowledge among all of the members of the organization that Comrade Jr. had not only continued with it, but had also caused various types of problems during the development of an operative due to that type of sexual and emotional involvement.

The Overall Person in Charge had no alternative but to confront Jr. with this fact and his answer was that she had said what she did because she felt coerced/pressured, but that the agreement was actually the original one. In other words, she lied to the other members of the National Leadership. In virtue of this situation, the Overall Person in Charge confronted the comrade (female) during the second meeting of the General Leadership. Besides this, the members of the National Leadership confronted the comrade (female) with the lack of trust she had manifested toward the remaining members of the National Leadership, particularly those who are in the R.G.'s work team. The comrade (female) stated that she did not trust any of them. These confrontations, already conditioned beforehand by contempt and a negative attitude toward the National Leadership, motivated the comrade (female) to resign irrevocably from her position. This resignation was accepted.

On the other hand, comrade Jr., who in the beginning had been chosen by the R.G. to take the position of Person in Charge of the Training and Propaganda Area, was emphatically turned down by the members of the National Leadership who also admonished the R.G. for his paternalism and protectionism toward Jr. The R.G. accepts the

2/24/88
AD

criticisms made and proceeds to replace the candidate. This process related to Jr. keeps degenerating due to the bourgeois, reactionary and individualistic attitude assumed by Jr., all of which reveal a character which is clearly anti-revolutionary in nature. This process brings out Jr.'s negative aspects/flaws, all of which show his true frame of mind; the previous/preceding facts are better understood and his present attitudes are discussed. Therefore, we question the following facts/incidents:

1. The loans totalling \$58,000 that were made by the comrade without previous consultation.

2. The purchase of equipment without consulting first.

3. His extramarital sexual relations and how they have affected the organization.

4. His disproportionate obsession with the film and his concentrated efforts regarding same.

5. His serious mistakes related to the "Reyes" (lit. Kings) activity.

6. His petition for a stipend when he was withdrawn as a candidate for Person in Charge of Training. This petition for a stipend consisted of 12,000 dollars. When this petition was turned down by the National Leadership, Mima brings up an alternative, asking for 5,000 dollars so that Jr. can study.

7. His insubordination and his ideological leadership of the comrades in what used to be Zone 1, in open and premeditated defiance of the National Leadership.

8. His factious and dissociative/disruptive role in regard to the comrades in Zone 5.

2/14/28
M

9. His attempt to force the National Leadership to accept the lines of thought/perspectives of Zone 1, alleging/claiming an autonomy not yet defined by the National Leadership.

Let us now take a look at each one of the individual arguments that the comrades have presented in their documents.

Regarding the Alleged Rejection of the Dialogue

A dialogue consists of an exchange of ideas of positions that may or may not lead to agreements or changes of positions. This notwithstanding, in the case of a revolutionary organization, dialogue must go hand in hand with taking stands which are in keeping with its structures as well as accepting and working with such decisions. The disagreements that were set forth by Zone One's leaders, Mima and Pedro, were discussed by the National Leadership. The Leadership selected a commission that was to present its position to the zone's members. The commission met with the zone. In said meeting, Mima and Pedro took on a defiant, disrespectful, offensive, demagogical attitude that had clearly been premeditated to cause a confrontation. The commission explained the organizational view (rejected!); it explained the situation of Zone 5 and the incorrect way that Zone 1 was operating (rejected!); it explained the errors committed in the "Reyes" (lit. Kings) activity (rejected!). And so on, successively, they continued rejecting each one of the commission's statements/allegations. They didn't want a dialogue. Simply put, they wanted to begin a process geared toward proving/showing that the structure was no good and that the leaders, including the R.G., were inefficient.

L 30 F7(519-543)

2/24/88
no

The sabotage of the tasks and work of Training was done under the ideological leadership of Jr., with Jr., Mima and Pedro assuming a clear-cut factious role.

These comrades are a group of demagogues. They talk about having a dialogue, but in truth, they reject it because that, in fact, is not their objective.

Alleged Death Threats Made to Jr.

This point is brought up by Jr., Mima and Pedro in an untruthful and abusive manner. If this organization has sinned, it is for not executing the criminals and freeloaders who have taken advantage of the revolution's assets/goods for their own personal interests! And now Jr., who was the one who said this more than anyone else, comes with his demagoguery. He and his company know very well that this organization has always been opposed to solving problems using the Santo Domingo style. He knows very well that he isn't going to be killed, as he would have us believe. He knows very well that the Overall Person in Charge had to make him aware of the fact that his intentions of killing Roberto were unjustified and improper. And there were many efforts on the part of comrades such as Soledad and the R.G. to make him aware, unless that, too, has been demogaguery.

Comrade Jumbo made some comments which he immediately realized were negative and criticized himself for it, including going personally to Jesus (Translator's note: Jesus is a Spanish male name). However, Jr. and his clan talk about this, being fully aware that they're lying. They say they have five witnesses. And they distort the comment made in order to convey the idea that what they say is a party position. Sad role to be played for someone who has no morals to express himself this way!

2/2/28

L30 F7(519-543)

Regarding the Arrogant Attitude of the Overall Person in Charge

It is a clear fact that our Overall Person in Charge will always earn everyone's respect wherever he goes. Although this is so, said allegation is a highly despicable lie. If our Overall Person in Charge has sinned, it has been in allowing countless violations of revolutionary morality, for the sake of helping comrades in overcoming their deficiencies. This has been the fundamental criticism that has been made of him. The Overall Person in Charge was right, and this is something that Pio knows perfectly well.

The absence of facts has to do with the way in which Zone 1 took upon itself a right that it was not entitled to and created countless problems that have to do with Zone 5. The facts are as follows.

Zone 5 was created by the R.G. prior to the Congress, with comrade Pio's help, who had contact with those comrades. Once Bartolo was spoken to, a collective was formed in Zone 5, which had the collaboration of comrade Cholo. As a result of this organizational task, comrade Jumbo was appointed as Zone 5's liaison. Among the statements that Bartolo had made from the outset, was that he had proposed the discussion of the degree of autonomy, due to the distance. In order to agree on all these matters, the R.G. was going to travel to the Zone along with Jumbo. The autonomy issue has no relation to what Jr., Mima and Pedro are saying, distorting the facts and accommodating them to their interests.

As to comrade Cholo, he is a collaborator of Zone 5. To this effect, Bartolo and Pepe had already told the R.G. that Cholo would be coming to P.R. to open up a business. It was explained to the R.G.

0/4/80
oo

what it was about and there was an agreement to meet and interview Cholo when he got to P.R. It was understood that Cholo would be making certain investments that could be useful to the revolution. This agreement had already been reached with the R.G. Upon its implementation, Cholo would be placed in the corresponding Zone.

Pio, who was the original liaison and knew the facts, misrepresented them to comrades Mima and Pedro. Jr. and Mima, in turn, decided to see Cholo and take charge of the matter, totally disregarding/sidestepping the Leadership and the Overall Person in Charge, in a highly provocative manner. Besides decompartmentalizing themselves with said comrade, they led him to believe and made him hopeful that the organization would invest the sum of 85 thousand dollars in his project. This violation and imposition is emphatically rejected by the Leadership. It is one more provocation on the part of Jr., Mima and Pedro!

In virtue of the above, the R.G. goes to interview Cholo with Pio. He asks Pio if Cholo clearly understands the organization's structures and Pio answers that he does. When the R.G. arrives at his destination, he notices a general expectation and attention on the part of the different people in the surrounding areas, something that makes him doubt the degree of compartmentalization existing there. The situation is explained to Cholo; apologies are made for the improper way things are being handled and he is told that the project cannot proceed at this time.

This is what comrades Jr., Mima and Pedro call arrogance. Such phony, irresponsible comments have no parallel!

2/24/89
no

L 30 F 7 (519-543)

As if this weren't enough, Jr., who deep inside has in mind serving himself from the organization with as many assets as possible, goes to N.Y. to see Cholo, not for the purpose of criticizing himself, but to fill the heads of those comrades with an alleged wrong dealing on the part of the Leadership. In his demagogical eagerness, he refers in his document to a number of years that Cholo has been in the struggle. More than the R.G. Is it perhaps that he also wants people to think that the R.G. had no revolutionary merits? Sad role, this one played by Jr., Mima and Pedro!

The "Fiesta de Reyes" (lit. Three Kings Party or Activity) and Zone 5

The agreement that was reached, at the level of the Steering Committee, for carrying out the "Fiesta de Reyes" (lit. Three Kings Party or Activity) was that if any zone submitted that it couldn't be done, then it would be called off. Jr. is well aware of this! The report that Pio submits to the R.G. regarding the activity held in the United States consists of the following:

1. Two comrades (Pio and Pedro), from Zone 1, go to N.Y. to propose/present the task to Zone 5.
2. The task was proposed and, after a discussion, Zone 5 states that it cannot be done due to security reasons.
3. Pedro and Pio consult the Sub-Person in Charge of Zone 5 who, in turn, and contrary to the rest of the zone's members, agrees with the activity.
4. Pedro and Pio consult Jr. and Mima and the latter two give them instructions to recruit the Sub-Person in Charge and one collaborator to carry out the activity, disregarding the decision of Zone 5.

2/14/88
aw

5. This caused serious conflicts with Zone 5 and Bartolo says that if this is how it is, he isn't going to work/do anything else.

6. Bartolo went to see the R.G. to discuss this matter and also what was left pending in relation to the zone's autonomy.

7. In Pio's opinion, this was the incident that brought about the problems and conflicts with Zone 5.

How is it possible to say, having the above provable facts, that the Overall Person in Charge is the one who has created Zone 5's problems? No! Jr., Mima and Pedro, you are the ones who have created the problems. This is the position of the National Leadership. This, actually, is nothing more than another provocation by Jr., Mima and Pedro, who have formed a true threesome of renegades and inciters who are not measuring the scope of their provocations at all.

Bringing of Charges Against Jr.

The following decision was submitted to these comrades at a meeting of the National Leadership:

1. That the National Leadership would follow up on the security problem that had been created.

2. That the N.L. had decided to reorganize the Zone.

3. That, for this purpose, it would be holding conversations with each member in order to know their individual positions on the process of reorganization.

4. Jr. would be able to appeal to the Leadership whatever he felt necessary and that it would give him due process.

5. That Mima could propose a reevaluation of her resignation to the National Leadership.

These agreements clearly imply that Jr. as well as Mima have had the opportunity to have their cases reevaluated but, in truth, they are not interested in that. Their objectives are very different from the ones that they set forth demagogically and manipulatively - a style that is very characteristic of Junior.

Regarding the last letter

These comrades, in a deceiving manner, say the following:

1. That there was no answer to their petitions.
2. That Greco met with certain comrades and that he did not explain the "right to a trial".
3. Regarding the resignations by the Persons in Charge of Zones.
4. Regarding the matter of the members of Zone 1 being "proven".
5. It sets forth the criteria of time and other criteria to "negotiate" with the Leadership.
6. Makes the veiled accusation against the R.G. of being a feudal lord or a capitalist lord.
7. Regarding Jan's expulsion years ago.

We will examine these points one by one:

That there was no answer to their petitions.

The National Leadership has given Jr., Mima and Pedro very clear answers. The answer is contained in the minutes of the National Leadership's meeting with Zone 1. But, at the bottom of it all, the comrades don't care about the National Leadership. They attempt to create not an autonomous Zone, but a Zone that is outside the organization and to impose political styles and everything they can think of, just as they have in fact done, using the highest degree of demagoguery and irresponsibility in the communique regarding

2/24/82
M

"Aguila's" (lit. Eagle's) family. To have people think that it is he (Jr.), Mima and Pedro who are concerned about "Aguila's" (lit. Eagle's) family and to go to Paco to justify such a fraud is the last straw. His individualistic and bourgeois attitude has led him to increase the danger that now hovers over the comrades. Jr's. actions are controlled by his intention of getting his hands on a film script that cost the organization 6 thousand dollars plus a sum that was funneled along with it to an activity to make a film. This is why some postcards were sent, which provoked a negative reaction from the other sector! This comrade is capable of sinking the revolutionary movement for the sake of his film. Is this what autonomy is? We would rather believe that Jr. and Mima are not very aware of the effects of their actions. But it is high time for them to become aware because each step and provocation must, by obligation, be answered. Is this what they want?

They aren't interested in a response unless it's what they want. They will never have such a response. The response has been a clear one. Unwavering. The National Leadership is above the leadership of the Zone. Not under it. The organization is only one. There aren't five different organizations.

Greco's Meeting and the right to a trial

We regret that the conclusion reached by the comrades after a meeting that lasted almost four hours was that the Overall Person in Charge limited himself to explaining Jr's. expulsion. In honor of the truth, the R.G. covered each and every one of the points contained on the document from/on Zone 1. It wasn't Greco's version. It was the

2/24/58
AW

Central Leadership's position. As to the "accusations" made against Jr., the R.G.'s 1st words were: "We hereby ask the comrades to talk to Jr. and Mima. That all they have to do is deal with the leaders in the National Leadership and that they (Jr. and Mima) may appeal said decisions. This is the first step in the solution process."

The R.G. didn't have to go there to explain anything regarding the "resignations" of some comrades who continue with the organization, seeking a positive and disciplined solution to the problems. And, as to Zone 5's autonomy, we have already explained same in this document.

Regarding the comment about those who are proven

The comment was one which, and Jr. knows this to be true and, even if at this time he makes an absurd analysis of years of participation, etc., does not warrant further comment. The truth is that Pio as well as Jesus were going through different processes. Jr. knows, and he himself has so stated in the past, how Pio was immature in his dealings with H.P. in the past, which events led Pio to have discrepancies. He might, for the sake of his own opportunism, choose to distort the truth. As a last resort, you, Jr., Mima and Pedro, bring up this entire ridiculous mess about years and the only thing you are proving is that there are things that are worth more than all those years, something which you are still unaware of and, at this time, do not have the capability of learning. They are simple things, such as reasoning, loyalty (not to men, but to causes, such as the one that caused our division and which you, in your egotistic self centeredness, choose to ignore), and...loyalty to men, too, when they are upright and give all they have for you. Also patience, not

2/24/88

falling into the clutches of obscurantism, thinking that you already know everything there is to know. In many cases, all of this might not be learned over a period of many years.

Regarding Joaquin's alleged expulsion

Cynical mention is made in relation to Joaquin, for it is stated that he was expelled for being factious, adding: What irony! What opportunists and distorters Jr., Mima and Pedro are! There was never anyone who said that Joaquin had been expelled, much less for being factious, for Joaquin has never been factious. Joaquin's problem back then was very different and due to differences with leaders of the organization. His motive for withdrawing was a voluntary one. Besides, Jr. knows very well that Joaquin formed part of this process long before Jr. himself was even recruited. Since 1970, Joaquin has been participating. Several years ago, when the organization wanted to assign a revolutionary task of great importance and responsibility, it preferred to look for Joaquin to assign it to him because he was fully trusted, independent of the problems that had motivated his resignation. He performed the task and never took advantage of it for personal profit or interest with the contacts he came to know in the performance of same.

Can Jr. say the same thing? The answer is emphatically NO! Comrade Joaquin chose to resign and never act against the organization. Jr., you well know that several years back he rejoined the process because we went out looking for him. But the fact is that this truth is not convenient for you and you prefer to sow doubt and dissention.

2/24/88
20

With regard to the "negotiation"

The comrades are trying to give the impression that what is happening here is the same thing that happened before: a split in the organization. What a sad way to try to start an organization! Create problems and then pick up the pieces. Trying to give the impression that it is a "legitimate" split and that we have to negotiate is truly a case of simply not knowing the process and also not knowing our leaders. We believe you have gotten a lot of things confused but we never believed you would go this far. Jr. was expelled. Mima resigned, Pedro and Pio sided with Jr. and created a situation of insubordination. There is no such split. If Jr., Mima and Pedro want to separate and create another organization, then let them do it. They will have our backing. But let them do it with honor, decorum, honesty and sacrifice. Don't let them begin by taking over things that don't belong to them. They should have more pride in themselves and begin with higher morals. To begin the way you are will mean you are getting a very bad start. That is, to begin by creating resentment and serious security problems as well as making foolish and immature efforts to slander comrades. What a sad way to begin an organization!

All of this leads us to establish our clear and unequivocal position on this matter. In the first place, we firmly condemn the factious attitude taken by Jr., Mima and Pedro. We hold them responsible for the consequences of each action, including the communique that was recently printed, which will soon receive our answer, as well as the one they expect to print. Don't think, not even for one minute, that we will remain impassive. Each act of aggression will receive its political response.

2/24/88
N

2. We reiterate all of the above-mentioned points with the exception that Pedro and Pio are expelled from this moment on.
3. We demand the return of all of the organization's materials in an orderly manner. In addition, we demand that the shop be returned to the organization so that the latter can implement the necessary measures in terms of security.
4. We demand that Jr. turn over to the organization the money collected on the loans he made without authorization and that he turn over the materials in his possession, all of which (including the funds allotted to Zone 1) adds up to more than 150 thousand dollars.
5. We demand that Mima return to the organization the funds that were entrusted to her.
6. Should the above demands not be met, the organization will proceed to take the political and organizational measures it deems necessary.

GENERAL LEADERSHIP

"EJERCITO POPULAR BORICUA (EPB)"

(lit. POPULAR BORICUA ARMY)

DOCUMENT NO. 5

DELIVERED TO _____

RESP. _____

2/24/88
4

L 30 F 7 (519-543)

April 18, 1985

Comrades:

We received your letter entitled "Response Document," etc. In our opinion, there are incorrect and omitted facts in every one of the points brought up in said document, which we feel prevents the making of wise and mature decisions and actions which are required at this time. This provides an opportunity for both sides to better understand each other's points of view by means of dialogue and to look for the positive way by means of an agreement. We do not want, and neither has it been our intention, to provoke the comrades, and therefore we are willing to talk in order to find a solution to the situation.

However, in order to maintain the spirit of frankness which we have had up to now, we must tell you that we are sorry about the political and emotional deterioration reflected in your document. Regardless of how paranoid one is, the political level of things should be maintained. We are very sorry, and not because we feel sorry for Comrade Greco. We do regret the fact that the organization has divided and broken up, that the practice of looking for a scapegoat has not been overcome. We regret the consequences which all this has brought to "Aquila" (lit. Eagle) and his family. We regret the inability to deal productively and positively with so many resources, and we regret our own faults.

We will continue to work in spite of obstacles in our way and we will not resort to gossip as a political method. We reiterate our willingness to seek, through direct dialogue or

4/26/85
[Handwritten initials]

through a mediator that is acceptable to both sides, a positive alternative or, at least, one that is the least negative.

With nothing more for now,
Not Jr, Mima and Pedro but
rather, the members of Zone 1
and other comrades in
solidarity.

P.S.

Our only non-negotiable demand is that there be a guarantee that the needs of the children and family of the "Aquila" (lit. Eagle) will be met. We make this demand because we are certain that if anybody were to ask comrade Greco which of the two is a greater priority for the organization, providing financial and legal aid to lumpen elements who have been arrested or satisfying the needs of the families of clandestine comrades, the comrade would answer that of course helping the families of clandestine comrades is more important. Nevertheless, the opposite has been the practice, due to paranoia and personal immediacy instead of following revolutionary principles and a correct administrative policy.

L 30 F7 (517-518)

Fabio- please sign and
return to A-O

August 31 **19** 85

I Received from A-O

Eight Hundred **Dollars**

100

Special Adjustment - Month of September

\$ 800.00

NO. 6771 MADE IN U.S.A.

L30 F1-(2+3)

12/4/88

Z-1 Matter

- X 1 - Dialogue was discussed extensively in Congress. The leadership is resolving prob.
- 2 - Self-criticism - comr. is making revolutionary political exposition. The concept is clarified.
- X 3 - The name is definitely not going to be withdrawn. Decision is made to reorganize zone. Zone is not going to elect because that privilege has not been earned. According to Congress he/she is co. capable of leading. In one year a reelection can be held.
- X 4 - Harassment over mat. does not exist. Claims on the part of leadership to carry out zone reorg. as a basis.
- 5 - No one has ever been discriminated against. Prob is one of rev. and revol. discipline.
- X 6 - Mima and Jr. are not reinstated. If they are factious in the process. Also denounces what was done by a large part of the orga. They have been fair, correct and complied with the mandate of the base.
- X 7 - We accept That it will be followed up on.

Jr. and Mima

Each individual has to make an appeal in his/her own individual name. That right is recognized. The zone will be answered.

6/30/78
MS

L30 F3 (2-3)

Rest of Zone

All the points in letter are going to be answered.

Jr.

- 1 - Debt collection:
 - a) Josh -- \$20,000 - 3 months
9 months ago
 - b) Philip \$40,000
 - 2 - 2 vehicles
 - a) trailer home
 - b) trailer home
 - 3 - Clarify insurance "guagua" (lit. van/bus/stationwagon/
motorhome) - pick-up truck that turned over - Josh - \$7,000
of the "guagua" insurance in his name.
 - 4 - script - that he/she turn over the script already paid for -
\$6,000
 - 5 - List of deceased people
 - 6 - Computer - Apple II (\$3,800)
- Security Problems

Mima

- 1 - Her salary - paid until end of May
- 2 - letter from J.A.C. to Luis
- 3 - capsules (15)
- 4 - final financial report, and the money that is left over
- 5 - car is going to be left for her
- 6 - security problems

6/25/88
2/2

L30 F3 (2-3)

Z1

X Pedro Magnum 357

1 car

Proposal is simple, it is the one that is in the letter.

There will be possibilities of dialogue

Paloma resigns

Z-4 resigns

Way to resolve contradictions must be sought. There is

repressive question - it is not a question of gossip.

There are 7 points in letter. Since they cannot accept those 7 points, they opt to withdraw. It is necessary to talk about (1) the materials, (2) the name (3) explanations from other forums about division. They are members of a zone and they want to resolve things.

If things are not resolved according to what the letter says, they are leaving as an organization and have decided to continue working together.

We must sit down and talk about the fact that if the points are not accepted there must be talk about dissolution.

Write document of/from Z-1 in order to resolve.

6/25/88
AD

L30 F3(2-3)

Type and
File A1

Z-1 Matter

- ① Dialogue was discussed extensively in Congress. The leadership is resolving intimate probs.
- ② Self-Criticism - comr. is making revolutionary political exposition. The concept is clarified.
- ③ The name is definitely not going to be withdrawn Decision is made to reorganize zone. Zone is gnot going to elect because that privilege has not been earned. According to Congress he/she is co. capable of leading. In one year a reelection can be held.
- ④ Harassment over (illegible) mat. does not exist. Revd. claims on the part of leadership to carry out zone reorg. as a basis.
- ⑤ No zone has ever been discriminated against. Prob. is one of rev. treatment and revol. discipline.
- ⑥ Mima and Jr. are not reinstated because they are factious in the process. Also denounces what was done by a large part of the orga. The have been fair, correct and complied with the mandate of the base.
- ⑦ We accept seven points. It will be followed up on.

Jr. and Mima

Each individual has to make an appeal in his/her own individual name. That right is recognized. The zone will be answered. ~~Decision was made - Zone 1 does not dictate org. policy.~~ (sic)

6/30/88

L30 F3 (4-7)

Rest of Zone

- ① All the points in letter are going to be answered.

Jr.

- ① Debt collection:
 - ① a) Josh - \$20,000 - 3 months
9 months ago
 - ① b) Philip \$40,000
- ② 2 vehicles
 - ① 1 trailer home
 - ② 2 trailer home
- ③ Clarify insurance "guagua" (lit. van/bus/stationwagon/
motorhome) - pick-up truck that turned over - Josh - \$7,000
of the "guagua" insurance in his name
- ④ script - that he/she turn over the script already paid for -
\$6,000
- ⑤ List of deceased people
- ⑥ Computer - Apple II (\$3,800)
- ⑦ Security Problems

Mima

- ① Her salary - paid until end of May
- ② letter from J.A.C. to Luis
- ③ capsules (15)
- ④ final financial report, and the money that is left over
- ⑤ car is going to be left for her
- ⑥ security problems

Z1

Pedro Magnum 357

1 car

Proposal is simple, it is the one that is in the letter.

There will be possibilities of dialogue

Paloma resigns

Z-4 resigns

Way to revolve contradictions must be sought. There is repressive question - it is not a question of gossip.

There are 7 points in letter. Since they cannot accept those 7 points, they opt to withdraw. It is necessary to talk about (1) the materials, (2) the name (3) explanations from other forums about division. They are members of a zone and they want to resolve things. If things are not resolved according to what the letter says, they are leaving as an organization and have decided to continue working together.

We must sit down and talk about the fact that if the points are not accepted there must be talk about dissolution.

FAN?

Write document of from
Z-1 in order to resolve

6/25/88
PMB

L30 F3 (4-7)

CONTACT CENTER

Number	Pseudonym	Place
1	"Engranaje" (lit. gear engagement/connection)	Guaynabo Shopping Center--Burger King.
- 2 -	"Oropel" (lit. tinsel/tinfoil/glitter)	Burger King Dorado Shopping Center
3	"Manantial" (lit. spring of water)	Burger King in Manati
4	"Cinto A" (lit. Belt/Waist A)	Burger King in Arecibo (Coming from San Juan the first one at the entrance to the town)
5	"Cinto B" (lit. Belt/Waist B)	Burger King in the Arecibo Shopping Center (At the Arecibo-Aguadilla Exit. The second one.)
6	"El Indio" (lit. The Indian)	Burger King in the Mayaguez Shopping Center on Route #2 exit going towards Cabo Rojo.
7	"El Baron" (lit. The Baron)	Kent. Fried Chicken in the Bairoa Shopping Center in Caguas (Hwy. #1 before reaching the town).
8	"El Hospital" (lit. The Hospital)	Kent. Fried Chicken on the Expressway exit going towards Cidra before reaching toll booth.
9	"El Oasis" (lit. The Oasis)	Aguadilla Plaza Front of the Church.

2/14/88
M

L14 C5-8

164.50
149.85
4.95
325.00
15.00
\$659.30

- I. Logistics TECLA
- II. Intelligence
- III. Operations
- IV. ~~Com.~~ (sic) Training
travel agency
cars' imp. (sic)
~~Assure~~ (sic) Arecibo Office
Ext. Mas. Polit.
Pomaex

12-15-89
100

8/14/85

Meeting - M 1-2

- 1- "Chivo" (lit. goat)
- 2- \$ Certificates
- 3- Comments/Remarks: Identify the sources. Rob has commented to various people. Somebody also told Said.
- 4- \$ in "Juanos"
- 5- Communique
- 6- Disappearances
- 7- Materials

- 1. "Chivo" - Would be a better witness than -- Better to resolve his problems in order to keep him firm. \$8,000.00 to attorney, \$1,500 "Compa" (lit. wife/companion). That they share the expenses
- 5. Communique - it should be joint and have a positive tone 9-23-85. Necessary to do it
- 6. Disappearances - is being investigated
- 7. Materials will be delivered via the-Tino (sic)
- 8. Cash

debt:	\$ 179,500.00	
over there:	\$2,025,000.00	
Cristo:	69,000.00	
	<u>\$1,956,000.00</u>	
Here:	1,865,000.00	} 3,075,000.00
"	125,000.00	
	<u>2 3,946,000.00</u>	
	<u>1,973,000</u>	

3946
870
4716

2,025	2500
1,956	
125	
760	
<u>4,866</u>	

7000
4866
2134

12-15-84
MB

8-14-85 Vet - Javier

- 1 - Answer
- 2 - infrastructure
- 3 - "Criollos" (lit. natives of a country)

12-15-84