1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	•
_	Plaintiffs, ) Criminal Number
5	vs. ) H-85-50 (TEC)
6	VICTOR MANUEL GERENA, et al,
7	Defendants. )
8	Federal Building
9	Hartford, Connecticut
10	Febraury 25; 1987
11	
12	PROCEEDINGS BEFORE: HONORABLE T. EMMET CLARIE, U.S.D.J.
13	APPEARANCES
14	For the Plaintiffs:
15	CARMEN ESPINOSA VAN KIRK, ESQ. ALBERT S. CABROWSKI, ESQ.
16	Assistant U.S. Attorneys
17	450 Main Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103
18	For the Defendants:
19	LINDA BACKIEL, ESQ.
17	Attorney for Antonio Camacho-Negron 424 W. Schoolhouse Lane
20	Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19144
21	RAFAEL ANGLADA-LOPEZ, ESQ.
22	Attorney for Ivonne Melendez-Carrion
	6 East 45th Street New York, New York 10017
23	
24	
	li

CAPITOL COURT REPORTERS
P.O. Box 1532
Hartford, Connecticut 06101

1	For the Defendants: (Continued)
2	DIANE POLAN, ESQ.
3	Attorney for Elias Castro-Ramos 265 Church Street
4	New Haven, Connecticut 06510
5	SHIPMAN & GOODWIN Attorneys for Carlos Ayes-Suarez
6	799 Main Street Hartford, connecticut 06103
7	BY: JAMES BERGEN, ESQ.
8	RICHARD REEVE, ESQ.
	Assistant Federal Public Defender Attorney for Isaac Camacho-Negron
9-	234 Church Street
10	New Haven, Connecitudt 06510
1	LEONARD WEINGLASS, ESQ. Attorney for Juan E. Segarra-Palmer
12	6 West 20th Street New York, New York 10011
13	AVERY & FRIEDMAN
14	Attorneys for Jorge Farinacci-Garcia
-	Six Beacon Street, Suite 520 Boston, Massachusetts 02108
15	BY: MICHAEL AVERY, ESQ.
16.	MARGARET P. LEVY, ESQ.
17	Attorney for Angel Diaz-Ruiz 60 Washington Street
18	Suite 1402 Hartford, Conhecticut 06106
19	JOHN WILLIAMS, ESQ.
20	Attorney for Hilton Fernandez-Diamante
21	51 Elm Street New Haven, Connecticut 06510
	RONALD KUBY, ESQ.
22	Attorney for Luis Alfredo Colon-Osorio
23	13 Gay Street New York, New York 10014
24	MICHAEL E. DEUTSCH, ESQ.
25	Attorney for Orlando Gonzalez-Claudio 343 S. Dearborn
	Chicago, Illinois 60604

## PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

Call the roll, Madam Clerk, please.

THE CLERK: Diane Polan.

MS. POLAN: Present.

THE CLERK: John Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm here.

THE CLERK: Jim Bergen.

MR. BERGEN: Here.

THE CLERK: Juan Acevedo.

MR. KUBY: He was ill when I saw him this morning. I don't know if he's going to be here or not. I will cover for him, and I'll speak to him in the next half hour to make sure that's acceptable.

THE COURT: Very good.

THE CLERK: Ron Kuby.

MR. KUBY: Here.

THE CLERK: Mac Buckley.

[Absent.]

THE CLERK: Jack Wieselman.

[Absent.]

THE CLERK: Michael Avery.

MR. AVERY: I'm present, Your Honor.

THE CLERK: Margaret Levy.

MS. LEVY: Here.

25

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	THE CLERK: Leonard Weinglass.
2	MR. WEINGLASS: Here.
3	THE CLERK: Linda Backiel.
4	MS. BACKIEL: Here.
5	THE CLERK: Richard Reeve.
6	MR. REEVE: Here
7	THE CLERK: Michael Deutsch.
8	MR. REEVE: He's upstairs in our office doing
9	some xeroxing. He will be down in just a moment.
10	THE CLERK: Harold Meyerson.
11	MR. ANGLADA-LOPEZ: Good morning.
12	THE CLERK: Mr. Kunstler.
13	MR. KUBY: For Mr. Kunstler.
14	THE CLERK: Roberto Maldonado.
15	[No response.]
16	MR. BERGEN: If you read Jack Wieselman, I'm
17	going to be standing in for him this morning.
18	THE CLERK: Antonio Camacho-Negron.
19	MS. BACKIEL: Puerto Rico.
20	THE CLERK: Norman Ramirez-Talavera.
21	MS. POLAN: He's in Puerto Rico pursuant to a
22	waiver.
23	THE CLERK: Ivonne Melendez Carrion.
24	MR. ANGLADA-LOPEZ: Your Honor, she might not
	11

come early. She's doing some things pursuant to her

. 1 limited waiver, to matters pertaining to the well-being 2 of the family. THE CLERK: Elias Castro-Ramos. MS. POLAN: Your Honor, I believe M. 5 He went home yesterday afternoon, and he was is sick. sick at that time. But he doesn't have a phone. And I think everybody altogether is sick in the court, 8 generally. THE CLERK: Carlos Ayes-Suarez. 10 [Present.] 11 THE CLERK: Isaac Camacho-Negron. 12 MR. REEVE: In Puerto Rico on a waiver. 13 THE CLERK: Juan Segarra-Palmer. 14 [Present.] 15 THE CLERK: Filiberto Ojeda-Rios. 16 [Present.] 17 THE CLERK: Angel Diaz Ruiz. 18 MS. LEVY: At home in Puerto Rico pursuant to 19 a waiver. 20 THE CLERK: Orlando Gonzalez-Claudio. 21 MR. KUBY: He's in Puerto Rico pursuant to a 22 waiver. 23 THE CLERK: Thank you. 24 Hilton Fernandez-Diamante. 25 MR. WILLIAMS: Puerto Rico.

- 11	
1	THE CLERK: Luis Alfredo Colon Osorio.
2	MR. KUBY: In Puerto Rico.
3	THE CLERK: Luz Berrios-Berrios.
4	MR. ANGLADA-LOPEZ: She's in a similar situa-
5	tion, Your Honor. I do know that she's in a meeting
6	concerning the well-being of her family.
7	THE COURT: She was here yesterday.
8	THE CLERK: Roberto Maldonado.
9	[Absent.]
10	THE CLERK: Paul Weinberg.
11	[Absent.]
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	Counsel, did you receive from the Government
14	the papers you spoke of at the close of court?
15	MR. AVERY: Not yet, I didn't, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Are they available?
17	MS. VAN KIRK: Yes, Your Honor.
1.8	THE COURT: Madam Prosecutor?
19	MS. VAN KIRK: They are.
20	THE COURT: Could we identify them for the
21	record?
22	MS. VAN KIRK: Yes, Your Honor. I would like
23	to make them part of the record also.
24	The sketch that was described by Agent Wil-
25	liamson, prepared by Agent John Caldwell, and also the

photo log prepared by Agent Reiley, it's all one document, consisting of three pages, front and back. And
we would like it filed and made part of the next
Government exhibit.

THE COURT: The number, Madam?

THE CLERK: 70, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Without objection, a full exhibit.

MS. VAN KIRK: What was the number?

THE CLERK: 70.

[Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 70 was marked as a full exhibit.]

THE COURT: Does that complete all of the documents with which you were concerned, counsel?

MS. VAN KIRK: There was one other document, Your Honor, which was a notebook, that counsel stated he didn't have all the pages. We have copied that, and I'm turning it over. It's already a part of the record.

THE COURT: Very well.

What was that exhibit number, do you recall?

MR. AVERY: The notebook, it was -- I think
it might be 189. Seemed to me it was near the end of
the day yesterday and we skipped it. 198. No, I'm
sorry, it is 189.

•

*7* 

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we're going to submit a photocopy of that, because the exhibit that was submitted by counsel was incomplete. So we'll have a complete copy. Perhaps we can substitute it.

THE COURT: Very well. The witness will resume the stand, please.

[John Williamson resumed the witness stand.]

THE COURT: Agent Williamson, you were sworn yesterday, and your testimony today will continue to be under oath.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

MR. AVERY: If I could just have another minute, Your Honor. I don't quite have all my papers in order. The reason I don't quite have all my papers in order is a subject on which I would like to address the Court.

I don't know, perhaps other counsel addressed this during the time I was absent, and if it is redundant, then I would apologize.

I have to say I see no reason whatsoever for counsel in this case to be subjected to having their briefcases gone through in the excruciating detail in which our briefcases are examined as we come into this courtroom. I stood out there for several minutes while this was done. Of course, my briefcases had already

1,8

passed through the metal detector downstairs. I didn't see anyplace between the first floor and the second floor where I could have obtained a weapon, if I had any inclination to do so, which, of course, as an attorney who's been practicing as long as I have, and as an officer of this Court, I have no inclination to do.

I think it's an unnecessary level of security. I know the marshals have their own views. I respect the marshals. I intend them no disrespect, but I certainly find it unnecessary and inconvenient, and to some extent humiliating, to have someone go through my files paper-by-paper, as though there is anything in there dangerous, other than the words written on the papers in the file.

THE COURT: I'll speak to the marshals about it, counsel.

I do recall over in Windham County once an attorney coming in and going through one of those up in Putnam, after I had stopped practicing, but it came to my attention. And he always carried a gun in his briefcase. And to everyone's surprise and shock, they picked it up on this coming through.

But I will inquire of the marshals.

MR. AVERY: I just rely on "the pen is mightier than the sword," Your Honor. That's --

2 3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Very good.

I would like to make one addi-MR. AVERY: tional request, if I might. And that is, if the Court would allow me to be seated during the questioning today.

THE COURT: I understand. I know the other It isn't -- we don't encounsel understand, too. courage that, but when somebody has a physical problem that you are just getting over, the Court is very understanding. And if you want to be seated, we'll per-That won't be a precedent for your colmit that. leagues, however.

MR. AVERY: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I probably should add, unless they have a foot operation, too.

MR. AVERY: I hope they don't have to.

Your Honor, for the record, I'm putting all of the exhibits that I've marked in front of the witness so that it will eliminate some of the shuttling back and forth here.

## JOHN WILLIAMSON

having been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified further as follows:

## CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. AVERY:

Agent Williamson, would you see if you can find Q.

1 Exhibit 197? I believe they are in numerical order there. 2 THE COURT: Can everyone hear the counsel 3 without the use of the microphone? 4 [No response.] 5 MR. AVERY: Very good. 6 THE COURT: Witness has the identification 7 number? 8 THE WITNESS: Yes. Our inventory number 9 L18-A-2-15. 10 And that's a piece of paper, is it not, sir, with 11 a telephone number on it? 12 Α. Yes, that's correct. 13 And did you seize that because it was a telephone 14 number and for no other reason? 15 That's the only reason that I recall. 16 And at the time you seized that, did you recognize 17 that telephone number? 18 Α. No, I did not. 19 Q. All right. 20 Would you see if you could find No. 203 there, 21 sir? 22 Do you have that, sir? 23 I believe so. Let me just -- yes. And this 24 our Inventory No. L18-X-X-2. 25 Q. And that is a piece of paper, single piece of

Could I just see that exhibit for a

5

8

10

11

24

25

Q.

please, sir?

25

[Witness handing exhibit to Mr. Avery.]

Do you recognize, sir, that at least some of the numbers on this page relate to phone numbers of school officials?

- A. I don't know that.
- Q. Do you see the reference here --

THE COURT: Is that marked, counsel, as an exhibit?

MR. AVERY: No. This is his original. Here's the one that's marked, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, then, because we were referring to something that appeared to have no markings, and it wasn't going to be very useful if we didn't have an identification. Very good.

MR. AVERY: Right. I think we're on 202 now.

THE COURT: Yes. 203 I have here.

MR. AVERY: 203. My mistake.

- Q. Do you see a reference here to the superintendent of the region, and the supervisor of RPI, and things of that sort?
- A. There is a notation at the top that says in Spanish, "superintendent of the region."

Where was the other?

Yes, there is the name, looks like Gladys Martin, supervisor RPI.

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Gladys Martin wasn't the name of anybody you identified to you as a Machetero, was it, sir?

- Α. No.
- All right. Q.

Can you find Exhibit 224 there, please?

Mr. Williamson, I notice you're looking at the original exhibits. Will you tell us if the original deviates in any way from the copy that I was furnished by the United States Attorneys Office?

- Α. Yes.
- Do you have 224, sir?
- Α. I have your exhibit.

THE COURT: Looking for the original. there some way, counsel, of setting this up more orderly so he can just reach in and pick it up? going to be scrambling through these hours on end for the rest of the day.

MS. VAN KIRK:, Your Honor, we had, after consultation with counsel, thought that they were in order. That's why we got all of the originals and put in the order that counsel told us they would be in. Now he's mixing them all up, so it's hard to find.

MR. AVERY: Well --

MS. VAN KIRK: Even when you skip -- we went from 190-something to 2-something -- he's got to look

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

through all of that.

THE COURT: I just wondered if there wasn't a better way.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, two things.

First of all, the reason I'm skipping is I'm trying to do all the telephone numbers at one time, because it occurred to me as I was thinking about this last night that that would enable us to go faster.

And the second thing is, I was unaware until I got here yesterday, and this problem came up a couple of times, that the copies I had been furnished by the United States Attorneys Office weren't identical with the originals in any respects. I didn't know it was going to be necessary for the agent to examine the originals, because I had accepted the representation that I was furnished with accurate copies.

THE COURT: Now that we know what the facts are, is there any better way of doing this? That's the question.

MS. VAN KIRK: If we went in order, Honor, that the exhibits are in, it would go a faster. That's the order that counsel told me they would be in.

THE COURT: Could we try that, counsel, it will end up with the same result, whichever sequence we take.

MR. AVERY: Sure.

THE COURT: I just want to make it go a little faster, that's all.

MR. AVERY: That's what I was trying to do.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. AVERY: Because if we did all the telephone numbers at once, the examination would be very simple and direct.

THE COURT: I suppose if we're told we were going to do all the telephone numbers and set them up in order, that would have worked, too. Since you had the agreement and she set them up otherwise, I think it would be better to follow the sequence in which they are in. I think we'll move along.

MR. AVERY: Whatever the Court and opposing counsel --

THE COURT: It seems a practical solution.

MR. AVERY: -- wants to do is fine with me.

I will abandon my own efforts to speed things along, Your Honor.

## BY MR. AVERY:

24

25

- Q. Let's go back, Agent Williamson, and take No. 189.
- A. I've located that.

That exhibit was our Inventory No. L18-A-27.

Q. Yes.

Have you looked at that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Why did you seize that?
- A. As a financial record.
- Q. Now, this financial record consists of a number of slips of paper, each of which appear to be for a different month; isn't that correct? Or for a portion of a month or for a two-week period?
- A. Well, each slip of paper bears a date, or most of them bear dates.
- Q. And don't they appear to bear dates for two-week periods, approximately?
- A. Some of them are approximately two weeks apart.

  Others are eight days, and there is one that's five days apart.
  - Q. Do these appear to you to be budgets, sir?
  - A. That's what it appears to be.
  - Q. And don't they appear to be family budgets?
- A. I can't tell by looking at the budget, or at this piece of paper.
- Q. What kind of financial records did you think they were?
- A. I did not know what kind of financial records they were.

٥

10

11

13

14

..

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

- Q. Let me see if I understand this. You went in someone else's house and took papers out without knowing what you were taking; is that your testimony?
- A. My testimony is that I did not know -- I knew this was a financial record, but I did not know what type of a financial record that it was.
  - 2. How did you know it was a financial record?
- A. Because there are numbers, and in certain cases they have dollar signs in front of them.
- Q. Now, on the very first page it says March 14th on the top, doesn't it?
- A. Yes. And it is in Spanish, with the notation in parentheses "SLPR" after it.
- Q. And then among the entries there is one for gas, one for food, one for the Christmas Club, and one for the house; isn't that right?
  - A. There is a notation that says "gas."
- Q. Agent, we'll go a lot faster if I ask you a yes or no question and you can answer it yes or no, and you do answer yes or no.
- A. If I can answer the question yes or no, I'll answer it yes or no.
  - Q. I'm going to repeat the question for you.

Among the notations there, there is one for gas, one for good, one for Christmas Club, and one for the house;

word "casa," c-a-s-a?

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

23 24

25

- There again, I can't make out the handwriting. **A.** 2 | That would be one interpretation of what that word could be.
  - **Q**. On the right-hand side, where it says 4 times 20, then next to that on the right-hand side do you see where it says "Sears"?
    - Α. Yes.
    - Underneath that you see "Padin," P-a-d-i-n?
    - Yes. Α.
  - **Q**. You know there is a department store in the San Juan area called Gonzalez Padin, don't you?
    - Α. Yes.
    - Underneath that you see "Plaza card"?

    - Q. And underneath that you see "Penneys"?
    - Α. Yes.
  - Q. Then down at the bottom, you see a reference to American Express?
  - Yes. It says "Am, " Express," with a question mark after it.
  - Q. And there are similar references -- without, hope, the necessity of going through page-by-page with you, won't you agree that there are similar references on most of the other pages in that exhibit?
    - Α. Yes.
    - Then look at the second to the last page in the

exhibit, Agent.

Oh, I don't know what it is in the original. On the copy, it is the second to the last page. But it says "consensus 1985." Do you see that?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see there where whoever wrote this indicates, "don't charge anything on American Express, Visa, or on credit cards except in emergencies"?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you see how it goes on to indicate, number 8, for example, "With the planned monthly savings I gave myself for the monthly payments of Tanya, 100, and the semester payments of Varela, 300"?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And No. 9, you see the references to "purchases of clothing and home equipment, equipment repairs, car repairs, hair cuts," et cetera?
  - A. Yes, among other things.
- Q. Now, did you have any reason to believe that this obvious family budget was connected with any illegal activity?
- A. Yes. This was located in the home of two known Macheteros members.
- Q. So, your thesis is, sir, that the family budget of two known Macheteros members is evidence of illegal

1	activity?	The contract of the contract o
2	Α	Yes.
3	Q.	All right.
4		Would you look at Exhibit No. 90 again, please?
5	These are	the maps we were talking about yesterday.
6	Α.	Yes.
7	Q.	Would you agree that No. 1 is Continental map of
8	the Ameri	cas that includes the Antilles?
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	And No. 2 is a map of the Greater and Lesser
11	Antilles?	
12	Α.	That one I couldn't say.
13	Q.	What about No. 3, three maps of Puerto Rico?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	a.	And No. 4, map of Africa with divisions?
16	Α.	There again, I could not say on that.
17		MR. AVERY: Your Honor, could we impose on
1,8	one	of the interpreters just to give us a quick render-
19	ing	of No. 2 and 4, which the Agent is not sure of?
20		Thank you.
21		[Interpreter reading document.]
22		INTERPRETER: No. 2, the first word is
23	"map	a, m-a-p-a. Second word, I can't make out what it
24	mean	s. It seems to be "a-n-l-t-e-s, a-n-t-t-o-s, or
25		•

24

25

The third one says "three mapas PR," the initials "PR" in caps.

No. 4, mapa, it seems to say "Africa with divisions."

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

- Q. Is it still your testimony that you seized this because it was evidence, in your opinion, of future targets of Macheteros attacks?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. All right.

Would you take one -- I think I asked you 191.

- A. Yes. That bears our Inventory No. L18-A-2.
- Q. And this appears to be the 1985 calendar, that is weekly calendar, of Maria Dolores Fernos; does it not?
- A. Yes. It bears her name on the inside on the first page.
  - Q. And what does it say under her name inside?
- A. It says "Servicios Legales," and then a telephone number below that.
- Q. And you know that that "Servicios Legales" was legal services?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you knew before you went to search this home that Maria Dolores Fernos was an attorney at Legal Services,

| did you not?

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- A. I don't recall that, no.
- Q. Did you know that she was an attorney?
- A. When she told me that she was.
- Q. Prior to that, you didn't know that?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. They gave you a background briefing in which they told you she was a member of the Macheteros, a member of directive committee, showed you her picture on the wall. Nobody bothered to mention to you that she was a lawyer?
  - A. I don't recall that.
  - Q. Did you know that Mr. Farinacci was a lawyer?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. So you knew that when you were going to search his home, at least you were going to search the home where at least one lawyer lived; is that right?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. But you say you didn't know about Miss Fernos?
  - A. I may have. I don't recall that detail.
- Q. How early was it during the search that she told you that she was an attorney?
- A. I remember a specific discussion when she wanted to copy some telephone numbers out of one of these books, that she indicated that she was an attorney.
  - Q. And I think you told us yesterday, you let her

21 22

23

24

25

10

13

14

12

15

17

18

19

20

22 23

24

25

copy some of the numbers, but you wouldn't let her copy all of the numbers; is that right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, in the briefings you had before you went to conduct this search, were you given any instructions with regard to the fact that at least one of the residents of the home you would be searching was a lawyer?
- A. I don't recall any specific instructions concerning that.
- Q. You were not told to limit your search in any way, because one of the people who lived there was an attorney?
- A. I don't recall any limiting instructions to that effect, no.
- Q. And in fact, in practice, you didn't limit your search in any way, despite the fact that the people who lived there were attorneys, did you?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, in this 1985 weekly date keeper, there are fairly large numbers of entries; isn't that right?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- activities of the person who kept the calendar.

Just a transitional question, Mr. Williamson.

- A. Yes, there are entries.
- Q. And some of those are entries for things that have

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20 21

> 22 23

24

to do with her work at Legal Services, or at least appear to be such entries; isn't that right?

- A. I don't know. I did not make any determination then about what the entries were.
- Q. So you seized it without determining anything about the individual entries; is that correct?
- A. That's correct. I don't recall reading any of these entries specifically.
- Q. So it would follow then, would it not, sir, that at the time you seized this, you didn't have any specific reason for thinking that the entries in here were ever evidence of illegal activity, did you?
- A. Well, yes. This was a known Macheteros member, and she had identified it as her telephone book.
- Q. This is a book which contains some telephone numbers in the back, and then a calendar section which, in fact, constitutes most of the book in the front and middle portion; correct? In other, words, it's an average week-at-a-glance-type thing; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So you're saying you seized it because of the presence of the telephone numbers in the back.

Did you seize it for any other reason?

A. I don't recall any other reason. That was the main reason, that this was filled with telephone numbers.

- Q. Did you recognize any of those telephone numbers before you seized it?
  - A. I don't recall recognizing any of them.
  - Q. All right.

Would you take Exhibit 193, please? I'm sorry, we have done 193. Exhibit 194.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, on 194, the photocopy itself is very blurry. I think counsel would probably agree. You can't make it out at all, so we want to examine the original.

Could I just have a moment with this, Your Honor?

[Pause.]

Let me just pass that up to the Court.

THE COURT: Is that the original or the copy?

MR. AVERY: That's the original. It's not

much less blurry than the copy, in my opinion.

THE COURT: All right.

- Q. What did you seize that for?
- A. I don't know. The only reason I can think of is that this is part -- this exhibit number is L18-A-8-3. This, along with the other items marked A through sequentially, were located in the telephone book. And if this were, if I didn't review it, that's the only reason I can think that I seized this.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Seize that photo?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Would you look at 196, please? 196 consists of some kind of referral letter regarding a dental patient; does it not?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. It appears to be a letter from Dr. Veve, V-e-v-e, to Dr. Agrait, A-g-r-a-i-t, regarding x-rays that were done or about to be done on Roxanna Varela; correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And why was this seized, sir?
- A. I don't recall specifically. There are telephone numbers of the doctors' group that appear on the order.
  - Q. I'll grant you that.

Did you suspect those doctors of being members of the Macheteros?

- A. I don't recall any specific suspicions of those doctors.
- Q. Did you seize this because it had those dentists' telephone numbers on them?
- A. As I say, I don't recall specifically that, because there are telephone numbers there, I would -- that would have given me one reason to seize the document.
  - Q. As you sit here today, do you think that this

- A. Yes.
- Q. Simply because it has telephone numbers on it?
- A. That, plus other knowledge that I have of the case.
  - Q. And what knowledge is that?
- A. Well, I have an understanding that the Macheteros looked for certain medical personnel that were from their cause that they would use.
- Q. So you thought that the fact that these people were suspected of being Macheteros, they might send their kid to a dentist who was a Macheteros, and therefore you could get a lead on that dentist by seizing this document?
- A. See, I don't recall anything specific like that that I used to seize this in August of 1985.
- Q. But as you sit here today, that would be a reason that would occur to you, if you were making the decision today?
- A. If I made the decision today, knowing what I know, that would make the telephone numbers even more pertinent.
- Q. And I take it, then, you didn't receive any instructions during your briefing sessions that would have indicated to you that you should not seize a document under the telephone number listing, that was a printed piece of stationery where the telephone numbers were part of

1

2

3

6

8

11

10

12

13

15

16

-

18

20

21-

22

23

24

25

7

9

. 7

23

- A. I don't recall any discussion like that.
- Q. All right.

Let me ask you to look for No. 199.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, I'm skipping 197 and 198 because we have already done them. So we're moving on to 199.

- A. Okay. I have this document located. This is our Inventory No. L18-A-8.
  - Q. Could I see that for a moment?

And for the record, can we agree that the photocopy that's been introduced into evidence contains the advice to international passengers portion of the ticket, the seat portion of the ticket, but does not have the writing on the back or the notice of incorporated terms, all of which are part of the original?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- O. And the parts that are missing from the photocopy on file are simply those portions which are part of any airline ticket you buy that are printed on there by the company as a standard form; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. Now, why did you seize this airline ticket?
- A. This would be evidence of travel of a Macheteros member.

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Did you have any reason to believe that this particular airline ticket would be evidence of any illegal activity?
- A. Yes. I knew we were looking for travel documents for Macheteros members.
- Q. Well, you are telling us you thought all travel documents of suspected Macheteros members were evidence; is that right?
  - A. Yes.

Ê

; -3

. . . .

- Q. But asking you a somewhat more narrow question,

  did you have any reason to think that this particular ticket

  was evidence of any specific illegal activity?
- A. I don't recall any decision on my part this was specifically connected to anything.
  - Q. You just took all the tickets you found?
  - A. I would have taken any ticket that was found there.
    - Q. All right.

And as you sit here today, you don't have any evidence that this ticket is evidence of any specific illegal activity, do you?

A. I have no knowledge of that myself, other than

that it would be evidence of one of the crimes that was listed in the warrant.

Q. Namely?

8

Ç

:0

1.1

12

: 3

٠.,

· 5

1.5

1.7

ં કે

19

20

-1

. .

13

- A. Well, any -- it could be any of the -- we knew that the Macheteros traveled to overseas locations for training and support with other groups overseas. And this could be evidence of their travel to that.
- Q. Did you have any evidence that Macheteros were being trained in the Dominican Republic?
  - A. I don't recall that.
- Q. You wouldn't suspect the Government of the Dominican Republic to be training the Macheteros, would you?
  - A. I would not suspect that.
- Q. No. And this was a ticket for travel to the Dominican Republic; was it not?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. So apart from your general suspicion of international travel by Macheteros, this wasn't connected with any specific illegal activity that you knew of, was it?
  - A. Not that I knew of specifically.
  - Q. All right.

Would you look at No. 200, please?

Let me just, to try to save some time, let me just call your attention to the date on the itinerary there that's part of that exhibit, and ask you if you seized this

exhibit for the same reason you seized No. 199.

- A. This is our Inventory No. L18-A-11. Yes, this would also be evidence of the travel of Macheteros member.
- Q. And you didn't have any additional reason for seizing this, than you've already described to us in connection with 199, did you?
  - A. Not that I recall.
  - Q. All right.

8

1.1

13

ે છે

٠. ټ

22

. ز

. .

Would you look at No. 201, please?

- A. Okay. This exhibit is our Inventory No. L18-H-11.
- Q. Can I see that?
  - May I just pass this up to the Court for a moment? [Handing document to the Court.]

[Pause.]

Agent, why did you seize this particular document?

Well, first, for the record, this is a little notebook with some writings and scribblings on it; correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And why did you seize that?
- A. One of the reasons that I would have seized this would have been for the telephone numbers that appear on the book.
  - Q. Any other reason?
- A. I don't recall specifically, but there are some large amounts of money noted on the note pad, on the third

page, the amount of \$14,000.

3

9

14 []

٠5

15

٠,

·à

23 |

15

- ٥. Is there a dollar sign there?
- Yes. That's what it appears to me. Α.
- Q. So there is a notation there of \$14,000. And is it related to anything on this note?
- Α. I don't know. It appears under a date of June 4, 1985. I don't know if that relates to that or not.

Then there is 14,000, also appears on the righthand side of the page, without the dollar sign circled, and --

- Q. Underneath the words "I don't have"; right?
- That's correct. Α.

And then on the --

MR. AVERY: Actually, Your Honor, just so the record is clear, it says "no tengo," and underneath that is 14,000.

- Q. And what else, Agent?
- More telephone numbers that appear there on the Α. There is also the -- a notation on -- it would be the fourth page, 75,000. That's 75,000, with no dollar sign. And then the notation beside it, "expensive places," in English.
- Q.\_\_\_And--did--you have any specific knowledge relating these notations to any illegal activity?
  - A. Well, I knew that we were looking for evidence of

what happened to large sums of money.

- nota-These particular they? did to you, that. don't understand meaning specific ou Н tions had Ġ
- relationship specific any recall don't Н A.
- this seized you why reason other any there Is document? ď
- page E,I seventh dates Page with on the would be 02 of expenses paper. this o£ a list are pages þe there of to backs then there also appears And the counting
- m-a-y-o, mayo," 430 says ιţ where mean You so on? ö and
- A. Yes.
- record? financial ๙ as that treat So you o
- expenses. of list æ record or financial A Yes. A.
- did activity suspect illegal to reason of evidence any have or didn't exbenses You illegal ď you? were
  - C eimthe dresser Macheteros of off the expenses. that seized aware certain This was was for Н yes. members master bedroom. Well, their bursed the
- reference having e < any ernos there Ĺ. Miss 13 or any evidence, Farinacci expenses? to Mr. you have for the affidavit been reimbursed Did r,
- - A. - I don't specifically recall.

1

Farinacci Ar. that specifically recall you Don't Ġ

and Miss Fernos are not listed in the affidavit as among those alleged Macheteros who received regular payments from the organization?

- A. No, I don't recall that. No.
- Q. Didn't anyone call to your attention, when you went to search their house, that they were not alleged to be paid members, paid staff members of the organization?
  - A. I don't recall any discussion to that effect, no.
- Q. And you don't recall reading the affidavit and learning that yourself from a reading of the affidavit?
- A. I recall reading the affidavit. I don't recall that specific point.
  - Q. All right.

3

.1

5

8

Ç

10

1:

13

. 4

10

17

ìè

13

20

<u>.</u> ;

23

24

So you seized this because these were expenses and you thought as Macheteros they might be reimbursed for these expenses?

- A. No. That's not my testimony.
- Q. What is your testimony?
- A. As I say, the -- based upon what I wrote on my inventory, I described it as the note pad with phone numbers.

  I would have seized the document because there were these telephone numbers in there.

Upon reviewing it now, I also see these large sums of money noted, which I would have also seized the document because of those.

A. That would have been an additional reason, other than the first two that I've already given you.

- Q. But the reason you seized it, in fact, was because of the telephone numbers, as you recall, what you actually did on August 30th?
- A. Based upon my inventory, that's -- as I say, I don't specifically recall seizing this document, you know, what went through my mind on August 30th. But that would have been my main reason for it.
- Q. Let me see if I understand something about your approach to the addendum.

You say this -- where it says "30 mayo 990; 25 marzo, m-a-r-z-o, 670; 11 marzo 800; 4 mz 806," and then that's totaled to come up to 3266, that that would have been a reason to seize this document?

A. Yes.

3

ê !

. -

Q. And is that because any list of expenses that you found in this home, where suspected Macheteros lived, would have fallen within Addendum 2 as you understood it?

1.

- A. I don't know if any would have.
- Q. But this would have?
- A. Based upon what I know about the documents right now, yes.

5

7

5

**つ** 

• :

:0

: 3

; 2

· ŝ

: 7

18

20

21

22

23

25

- Q. I'm calling your attention to that portion of the document that we're looking at right now, the 990, 670 and so on. That would have fallen within the addendum as you understood it?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And you don't -- you didn't get any instructions from anyone which would have excluded this type of notation from what fell within Addendum 2, did you?
  - A. No.
- Q. And you didn't know what this 990, 670, 800 or 806 referred to, did you?
  - A. No.
- Q. You seized it in part because you didn't know and you wanted to find out; isn't that right?
  - A. No. I think I've already testified the main reason that I seized this document was because it contained telephone numbers, to the best of my recollection.
  - Q. Let me put it this way: Had you seized just this page, you would have seized it because you didn't know what this was, and you wanted to find out; isn't that right?
  - A. No. I would have seized it because, to me, this appears to be a financial record that would show where certain moneys have been spent.
    - Q. Where were these moneys spent?
    - A. I don't know.

- A. No, it just shows the particular date that they were spent.
  - Q. Doesn't -- well, never mind.

Let me ask you to go back in your box there, be
/ cause we have, through my inadvertence, passed an exhibit.

Between 198 and 199 there is a letter or a portion of a let
ter which you call L18-A-2-19.

Can you find that? It's not in the exhibits. It in your box. It hasn't been marked as an exhibit yet.

A. Okay.

?

::

13

: :

. **.** 

١8

; Ç

:0 |

21

. 5

THE COURT: The number again, so he can follow it?

MR. AVERY: L18-A-2-19.

Let me show the witness what I have. I'm just not sure I have the whole exhibit. That is what I'm looking for.

THE WITNESS: Is that it?

MR. AVERY: Yes.

Your Honor, this is a document that I only have the second page of. I just want to show it to Miss Van Kirk for a moment.

Your Honor, we have agreed we'll mark the original at this point and then substitute a copy

later, which the --

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. AVERY: -- the U.S. Attorneys Office will make.

Would you make a copy for me, too, then, since I don't have one?

MS. VAN KIRK: Yes.

MR. AVERY: Could I just have another moment with this, Your Honor? Or you know, what I would like to do, just set this aside for now and come back to it, because this is the first I've seen the first page, so I want to ask you about it before I question the agent.

But let's give it to the clerk, because she is going to make a copy for us.

MS. VAN KIRK: What number is that, please?

MR. AVERY: That will be 262.

## BY MR. AVERY:

É

ز

10

11

.;

.. |

. .

Q. All right. Can you look at -- you have the original photographs in there, do you, that are part of --

MR. AVERY: I'm sorry, Your Honor, I've totally lost my place here.

THE COURT: We'd left off with 201, and you skipped to 262.

MR. AVERY: Yes, we did, and I went back.

Q. And now after 201 in your box, do you have some

original photographs of -- package of approximately 33 photographs?

MS. VAN KIRK: Excuse me. Perhaps I can be of assistance. Off the record.

[A discussion was held off the record.]

- Q. You have them in your hands now?
- A. Yes.

7

3 ||

. .

. 5 .

Q. Could we see those, please?

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, we haven't marked any exhibit for those photographs, because the photocopy is not clear enough to see anything.

Let me suggest, so we don't take everyone's time, that we pass this for the time being, and I'll check this during the recess and come back to it.

Can you just hold this out, Agent?

MS. VAN KIRK: Could we have it marked?

MR. AVERY: Do you want to mark the package?

MS. VAN KIRKe! If you want to mark the original.

MR. AVERY: There is 33 in here.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure.

MR. AVERY: One package of 33 photographs,
Your Honor, which would be No. 263.

THE COURT: Were these found in one place, or were they put together?

evidence brought down here which I've previously ad-

1

15

vised the Government we are going to go through, all the evidence. For convenience sake, I tried to premark some of these things so we could do that. Now I don't want to be hoisted on that petard.

I advised the government that we wanted to look at all the evidence, specifically the video cassettes.

THE COURT: Well, she'll bring them in. But the point is, why don't we proceed with the list we have here, and then we'll have those out of the way.

MR. AVERY: Yes, we are.

3 |

ò

13 h

8

٠.

:0

::

. 5

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, perhaps we should have counsel tell us which are not here so that we can get them here, if we don't have them, like the video cassettes. That's one of them.

MR. AVERY: All the exhibits which were seized from Mr. Farinacci's home. Almost all of them are listed on Exhibit C attached to our motion, as I have previously indicated.

MS. VAN KIRK: Well, Your Honor, if he can identify which one specifically, we don't have here, we can find it much easier. Otherwise, we'll have to bring everything and then rummage through the boxes. That's the only purpose for telling us now what it is that's not on the list that he would like here, and

we'll have it here.

THE COURT: Why don't you find that out at the recess, between yourselves. I'm sure you can work it out.

MR. AVERY: I don't share your confidence, but I would like all the evidence listed on Exhibit C brought down to the courtroom.

THE COURT: All right.

- Q. Do you have in your box there, Agent Williamson, what you call L18-I-1? Should be right after Exhibit 203.
  - A. Yes.

t !!

5

Ĉ

Ė

٠.;

23 :

. . ;

. 5

Q. Could we see those, please?

[Pause.]

Are these in one envelope?

A. Yes.

MR. AVERY: Let me have that one marked. These are the two photos.

Your Honor, these are two colored photographs. Could we have these marked as the next exhibit, please?

THE CLERK: 264.

[Handing the document to the Judge.]

[Defendants' Exhibit No. 264 was marked for identification.]

Q. Now, Agent Williamson, would it be fair to say

that one of these photographs is of a man holding two small children in his arms?

A. Yes, that's correct.

٤

.

...

•

..

13

. .

1

- Q. And the other photograph is of a man holding one child and a woman balancing another child on a -- some kind of fence or railing of some kind?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And do you recognize any of the people in any of the photographs?
  - A. No, I do not.
- Q. You don't recognize Maria Fernos in the photograph you're holding in your hands?
  - A. No, I do not.
  - Q. Do you -- can you tell us who either of the people -- well, can you tell us why you seized those photos?
  - A. Yes. We were specifically looking for photographs in the addendum. These photographs were located by Agent Miller. He reviewed an album containing other photographs.
    - Q. And he seized these?
    - A. He brought these to my attention.
    - Q. All right.

So he reviewed a whole album containing photographs, took these two out, and brought them to you?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And why did you take these?

- Α. I don't have a specific recollection. But these are, right now to me, these are photographs of unknown adult individuals. These could -- I was aware at the time that there were numerous Macheteros members that were unidentified.
  - Q. Did you think that these photos constituted evidence of any of the offenses listed on the second page of the addendum?
    - I thought they could, yes. Α.

٠,٥

5

23

- Q. In what sense could they have?
- Well, those offenses lay out certain crimes, a number of which the group Macheteros has taken credit for. And if these would identify a member of the Macheteros, those could be used as evidence.
  - By that same token, you could have seized any photograph in Mr. Farinacci's home; is that correct?
  - Α. No, I believe I've testified previously that that blurred photograph of a very young child, I would not have seized that. Nor did we seize this entire album that was reviewed by Agent Miller.
  - How did you decide which ones to seize and which ones not to seize?

Let's set aside blurred photos of infants as a category that on reflection you wouldn't seize.

That aside, how did you decide which ones to seize

and which ones not to seize?

- A. Based on the photographs that were -- I only made a determination based on the photographs that searching agents presented to me.
  - Q. Yes.
- A. And these would be the reasons I would have seized these photographs, because these are unknown adult individuals.
- Q. So, did you understand the addendum to allow you to seize any photograph of an unknown adult that you found in Mr. Farinacci's home?
- A. That would be my understanding today. At the time, I just made specific decisions based upon the photographs that were presented to me.
- Q. Well, you're saying Agent Miller didn't bring you the whole album?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. So he exercised some decision-making about which ones he would bring to you; correct?
  - A. He only brought me these two after reviewing the entire album.
    - Q. Do you know what criteria -- strike that.
- Did you give him any instructions about what criteria to use in selecting photos out of the album to bring to you?

Α. I don't recall specific instructions. He read the addendum and the affidavit.

- And then he was on his own in terms of making the initial selection?
  - Α. Yes.

2

3

**:** 

7

8

Ģ

• 3

; 3

5

15 ||

. .

- All right. And he brought those to you?
- Α. Yes.
- And you seized them.

And would you have seized or authorized the seizure of any photo of an unknown adult that you found Mr. Farinacci's house?

Α. I don't know if -- I'm trying to think of a hypothetical.

There may be -- might have something in the photograph that would have made it innocuous to me, and for |T| that reason I would not have seized it.

But I can only give you my decision based upon the -- these photographs here.

> MR. AVERY: Could I just have a second, Honor?

- Well, you're saying that some photos might have appeared to you to be innocuous and you might not have seized them; correct?
  - Α. That's correct. I don't recall that situation

arising.

- Q. In other words, in fact, you didn't reject any photos that were brought to you?
  - A. I don't recall any.
- Q. How would you tell the difference between an innocuous photo and one that's not innocuous? Was there any
  general guidelines you would use?
- A. That's why I say I can't think of a specific incident.

  I know that blurred baby pictures I would not have taken.
  - Q. Sure. Sure. But are you saying that -- well, let me put it this way.

Was there anything in the warrant addendum to -or the affidavit -- which you understood limited in any way
the photographs you could seize?

- A. Well, yes. There was no evidence in there that extremely young children were Macheteros members.
  - Q. Let me just talk about adults. Okay.

Did you -- of course there are young children in those photographs you are holding in your hands, which you did seize; isn't that right?

- A. Yes, along with the adults.
- Q. Along with adults.

there was anything in the addendum or in the affidavit which

limited in any way your ability to seize all photos of unknown adults?

- A. I guess I don't know, unless I saw a specific photograph, maybe, that would bring up some reason why that could not possibly have a relationship to the, you know, to the affidavit.
  - Q. To the investigation?
  - A. Or the investigation.
- Q. But that's not spelled out in the addendum as such, is it?
  - A. In the addendum?
  - Q. Yes.

p)

- A. I believe the addendum says photographs.
- Q. Right. So would it be fair to say you viewed it as within your discretion to decide if there were some photographs that shouldn't be taken?
  - A. Yes.

23 H

.:

Q. All right.

Now, Exhibit 204.

THE COURT: Is that the number we were handling, counsel?

MR. AVERY: Exhibit 204 would be the next one we haven't reached yet. We took those photos out of order because I thought they were out of order in the box.

THE COURT: All right. What was the number on those, Madam Clerk, those two?

THE CLERK: 264.

THE COURT: 264?

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. AVERY: I'm sorry, Your Honor. 264 is what we just had. Now I'm asking about 204.

THE COURT: All right.

Q. Agent Williamson, let me ask you a question, one last question, about 264.

Have you ever learned who the people are who are in those photos?

A. No.

MR. AVERY: Could I just see those for a second, Your Honor?

THE WITNESS: The photographs?

- Q. If I told you, Agent Williamson, that the male in the pictures was the dean of the law school at the University of Puerto Rico, would that enable you to recognize him?
  - A. No.

. / 1

٠:

Q. All right.

Now I want you to look at 204.

THE COURT: It's now 11:30. We'll take our usual recess.

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

ANTONIO FERNOS [Recess taken from 11:30 a.m. until 11:55 a.m.]

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, Mr. Farinacci asked that I mention to the Court that he's really not feeling well. He's been sick since he arrived here, and he has a fever, and he wants to attend the proceedings. But from time to time, he may go out, just to get some relief or something.

And I would just ask the Court's indulgence in that regard.

THE COURT: No problem.

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

THE COURT: I hope this sickness doesn't prevail through the courtroom.

MR. AVERY: Well, I think it's a potential problem on this case.

## BY MR. AVERY:

Q. Agent Williamson, let me show you some of these photographs that are part of Exhibit 263.

First of all, when you seized these photos, why did you seize these photos?

- A. Can I look at the individual photographs?
- Q. Sure.
- A. Would you like me to go through them one-by-one?
- Q. Hopefully that won't be necessary.

Can you give us some idea of why you seized them, without doing that?

If it is necessary for you to go through them oneby-one, then by all means do so. If you can tell us generically why you seized them, I would appreciate that.

A. Well, they are photographs and -- of the unknown individual. I think I've already testified why I believe that would be evidence of the crimes that the Macheteros have taken credit for.

Also, some of these could be evidence of travel of the Macheteros members, and this could be -- if these could be identified, the locations --

Q. Did you interpret the addendum which authorized you to seek evidence of travel, to authorize evidence of travel within Puerto Rico?

In other words, if someone traveled from San Juan to Utuado, U-t-u-a-d-o -- you know what Utuado is, don't you?

- A. I know that's a city.
- Q. In Puerto Rico?
- A. In Puerto Rico, yes.
- Q. So if someone traveled from San Juan to Utuado, would you consider that evidence of travel that you could seize within the meaning of Addendum 2?
  - A. Yes.

: :

11 H

- Q. How far did someone have to go for it to be considered travel, in your opinion?
  - A. I would have to look at each specific document.
- Q. So you didn't have any general guidelines that you used in deciding what constituted travel?
- A. I interpreted it based upon the warrant and what was contained in the affidavit; my knowledge of that at the time.
- Q. Was there anything in the warrant which limited travel to trips of any given distance?
- A. I don't recall any language like that in the addendum.
- Q. And do you recall anything from the affidavit which you interpreted as limiting travel to trips of any given distance?
  - A. I don't recall any specific language to that effect either.
- Q. So would you say that you applied your own discretion in determining whether or not a given trip constituted travel within the meaning of the warrant?
- A. Well, my decision was based on each -- each piece of evidence that I seized here. If I said that it was a travel document, I have tried to give you the reasons why.
  - Q. Well, let me put it this way: When you looked at individual documents to determine, or photos, to determine

whether they were evidence of travel, you made an individualized decision about each one; correct?

A. That's correct.

ì

. 5

- Q. And you applied your discretion in deciding whether that one was evidence of travel, as you understood the warrant; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. All right.

Now, let me just ask you -- and so you're saying some of these photos might have been evidence of travel if you could identify the places in them; right?

- A. Yes.
- Q. I'm just repeating what you just said.
- A. Yes.
- Q. Let me show you this particular photograph. It shows a man standing next to a -- in between two women, pointing down at what might be a baby in a little blanket.

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

MR. AVERY: Can I just show this to the court here?

[Handing document to the Judge.]

- I know the Judge has met these people, but you haven't.
- Q. You don't recognize that as Mr. Farinacci's mother

and father in that photograph?

A. The only individual that I recognize in the photograph, it looks to me like Maria Fernos.

THE COURT: I don't think I have met them, counsel.

MR. AVERY: You saw his father in court.

THE COURT: I have never met them.

MR. AVERY: I didn't mean to say that you did. I don't want you disqualified from the case on the grounds that you have met the defendant's parents. I just meant in court.

- Q. Doesn't that look like a family that just brought home a new baby and is showing it off to the grandparents?
  - A. That could be that, yes.
  - Q. Why did you take it?
- A. Well, this photograph in particular shows one of the many different hair styles or -- looks of Maria Fernos, who's a -- to me, she was a known Macheteros member, and this would help to identify her.
  - Q. So you could have taken any picture you found in the house of Maria Fernos; that's your testimony?
    - A. My testimony is that that's why I took this. This would have been a reason to take this particular photograph.
      - Q. All right.

Let me just show you this photograph. Has a male

standing behind a child on a bicycle.

Do you recognize the person in that photo?

- A. Yes. That looks like the defendant, Jorge Farinacci.
  - Q. And do you recognize the little girl on the bike?
  - A. No, I don't.

MR. AVERY: All right. Let me just show this to the Court, if you don't mind.

[Handing document to the Judge.]

- Q. It appears to be a photo of someone teaching a child how to ride a bicycle, doesn't it?
  - A. But it also shows the vehicle in the photograph.
- Q. And so you would have seized any photograph that had a vehicle in it, would you?
  - A. Well, no. That's not my testimony.
  - Q. Why is that significant?
- A. Well, as I recall from the affidavit, Mr. Farinacci was using a BMW and was surveilled going to Macheteros meetings. And this -- it appears to be a BMW vehicle in the photograph, with Mr. Farinacci standing behind it.
  - Q. All right.

Now, do you know where this -- where these 33 photographs were seized from?

A. These were located, according to my inventory, un-

der the place found -- I put "dresser in the master bedroom
of Mr. Farinacci's house."

- Q. And you didn't take all the photos that were located on the dresser, did you, or do you know?
  - A. I don't know.
  - Q. But in any event, you didn't take all the photos that were found in the house?
    - A. No.
- Q. And the decision of which photos to take was apparently made by the agents who went out and -- the initial decision was made by the other agents who were searching and who then brought them to you; correct?
  - A. Yes.

**5** .;

. 4 ,

. :

Q. All right.

Now, do you have in front of you what is marked as Exhibit 262?

THE COURT: Could I see the one you're just putting down there?

[Handing document to the Judge.]

MR. AVERY: While you're looking at that, could I just have a moment, Your Honor?

[Pause.]

THE COURT: All right, counsel.

MR. AVERY: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q. What do you have in front of you now, 262?

- A. Yes.
  - And our Inventory No. is L18-A-2-19.
- Q. All right.
  - Now, why did you seize this particular document?
- A. Okay. This document, reading the letter, it appears to be from an individual named Carlos, who apparently is writing from prison, to an individual by the name of Miguel, M-i-g-u-e-l, dated June 9, '85. And the letter uses the defendant Farinacci's -- one of his code names.
  - Q. And what would that be?
  - A. Fari.
  - Q. F-a-r-i?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. And it's requesting that he needs financial assistance, that he needs on the part of Mr. Farinacci.
- Q. Now, you're saying, and you said yesterday, too, that Fari is a code name for Mr. Farinacci.

Who told you that?

- A. That's in the affidavit for the search warrant.
- Q. And where is that in the affidavit? Can you show us that?
  - A. Do I have the affidavit here?
- Q. I think so. I think we marked it as Exhibit 261, if I'm not mistaken.

then get could and that ,--1 on Page affidavit, starting 67-page you're ๗ being see Н that Well, and tedious 2

ţ back redirect. come can ر و to, Ø she wants question; can, if ask you this Kirk Van Ð Mrs. Let or thi:

time ordinary the perfectly know by you ๙ Didn't 8 B B Fari this: that you search Farinacci? ask this ē Let conducted for nickname

- the that name code Ø ŋ E D ¥ -H that knew was for him Н Macheteros used What Α.
- the keep that 20 designed know you supposedly didn't persons? 1 secret the actual identity of were weren't names Well, Macheteros code Ġ
- A. Yes.
- in to agents names the fictional bу made select was that would names? allegation they for their real that the was And affidavit stand in the
- A. Yes, I recall.
- think name dn't Ë that you you told Mike, you? a O Н ì£ called as a code name, would 30, people And but some Yes. Michael that
- your the that name code ิส 8 3 that unless you. Not group gave to . A
- wouldn't inept, pretty рe would Ġ

- A. Seems to me --
- Q. Yes. And --
- A. -- it would be.
- Q. And that wasn't typically how the Macheteros were accused of selecting the code names, was it?
  - A. I don't know how they selected their code names.
- Q. Well, you weren't aware of any code names that simply consisted of the shortening of someone's last name, the way everybody would call him on the street who knew him and was his friend, were you?
- A. I don't know. My specific recall was this code name, or this was listed in the affidavit as a code name for the defendant.
- Q. You're telling me today, under oath, that you didn't realize that F-a-r-i was the everyday, commonplace nickname for Mr. Farinacci that was used by his acquaintances?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. All right.

Do you know who this Carlos is that this letter is from?

- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Did you have a suspicion on August 30th of 1985 who this Carlos was?
  - A. I don't recall.

Q. You don't recall.

Did you know who Miguel was, or did you have a suspicion as to who Miguel was?

- A. I don't recall that, either, at that time.
- Q. Could I see that affidavit for a moment?

  I want to show you Page 28 of Exhibit 261.

Now, this is a portion of the affidavit that describes information allegedly given by an individual known as Carlos Rodriquez. Rodriquez; isn't that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And this Carlos Rodriquez indicates on Page 28, during the time that -- or rather, the affiant indicates on Page 28, "During the time that Rodriquez was a member of the Macheteros, he came to know the following individuals as also being members of the Macheteros or other clandestine Puerto Rican terrorist groups, as shown." And there had, lists Jorge A. Farinacci, "Fari," "Roberto"; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Doesn't say there that Fari is a code name, does it?
- A. Well, this paragraph is directly below the \_..\_ paragraph about code names.
- Q. Yes. There is a paragraph about code names that -- for individuals cells, meeting place, places, and for operations; correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And then the next paragraph simply says he knew these people. And that's listed as one of the names he knew them by; correct?
  - A. Well, you would have to read from the document.
    It says that.
    - Q. Well, I already did that, didn't I?
- A. He came to know the following individuals as being members of the Macheteros. And under Farinacci it just gives his name and a comma, and then the two names in quotations.
- Q. Yes. Which there are not specifically identified as code names; right?
- A. My reading of this, if I read it as a whole document, was that those were code names.
  - Q. All right.

Now, being aware of that document, did you think that the Carlos here was Carlos Rodriquez?

- A. I don't recall what I thought at that time.
- Q. That Carlos in Exhibit 262 was Carlos Rodriquez?
- A. I don't recall. Certainly today that would be a possibility, based on the fact that I know that Carlos Rodriquez is also in prison.
- O. This letter is sent from prison, apparently, is it not, by its text?

- A. That's how I would interpret the letter.
- Q. All right. Yes.

And when you went to search Mr. Farinacci's house,
did any of the agents on the terrorism squad tell you to
look for this letter in particular?

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Didn't they tell you that there was an FBI informant named Carlos Rodriquez who had been cooperating with the Bureau?
  - A. Yes, they did.
- Q. And didn't they tell you that they had had this Carlos Rodriquez send this letter to Mr. Farinacci in an attempt to set him up and develop evidence against him in connection with this investigation?
  - A. No, they did not.
  - Q. And didn't they tell you to make sure that you got that letter out of his house if it was there?
    - A. No, they did not.

÷

٠.;

- Q. You find something humorous in that?
- A. It just seems ridiculous.
- Q. It seems ridiculous to you that the FBI would try

  to -- set -- somebody up -- using an -- informant or an agent

  provocateur?
  - A. To me, it seemed like you were suggesting that we had planted this evidence to show you in the residence.

Ä set to trying suggesting Were was you is what I that suggesting that Yes, was nb. H Farinacci ö

. .

the letter with that working sent have was couldn't Rodriquez he? could he Mr. time, your knowledge, as Inasmuch that at without Bureau

prison? of Out Ġ

knowledge. Ε Y about Talking A.

m, I 0 N Williamson. Agent about talking FBI the not I'm about talking ö

The Honor. Your object, H KIRK: VAN MS.

knows

he

whether

Williamson,

Agent

issue here is

Honor Your it, withdraw Ι'11 AVERY: MR.

204 No. look at ဌ hon me ask Let Ġ

just you Spanish? letter in other or English that ន in that COURT: over, is THE passed

Your it. page. of second Spanish, copy the in complete of have it just Ø is only have got didn't H Н translation AVERY: Н because AR. the Honor, And And

in it have witness the Does COURT: or\_Spanish? THE English

1: 1::

Spanish 'n i, t has He AVERY: MR.

get there. r. t leave We'll COURT: later. THE anslation

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

I just wanted you to know that we did have the translation of the second page. But I'm advised that the translation wasn't very accurate, so that's why I didn't offer it.

THE COURT: Who did it, do you know?

AVERY: Whoever was hired by -- I don't MR. know whether it was Mr. Iavarone or whoever arranged for --

MR. WILLIAMS: That was the Accent people, Your Honor.

- Do you have Exhibit 204?
- Yes. That's our Inventory No. L18-A-20. Α.
- And is the photocopy a complete copy of the original?
- Your photocopy goes from L18-A-20-1 through 19, Α. which it does not include the check register.
  - Q. No, I think that's a separate exhibit.

Take a look at Exhibit 205. Take a look at 205. Look at 204 and 205 together, if you would, sir.

- Α. Okay. Those are the checks. And then the 206, I believe, is the check register.
  - Q. Yes.

14 11

So if you look at 204, 205 and 206 all together, does that -- is that then a complete set of what was originally seized?

I notice you also have here a piece of paper which you identify as L18-A-20-23. But that, I think has been identified as one of our earlier exhibits, if I'm not mistaken.

- A. They look familiar.
- Q. That's No. 188 or 187.

THE COURT: You have gone through those once.

MR. AVERY: Yes, we have. I'm just trying to account for all the paper that he has in front of him, Your Honor, not to go back and do it over.

- A. I believe it's all here, without going through it page-by-page.
  - Q. All right.

Basically you seized this checkbook; correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And it's now been broken out into different items for photocopying purposes, which we had marked as different exhibits; right?
  - A. That's correct.

MR. AVERY: All right.

And for the record, Your Honor, that would be Exhibit 187, 204, 205 and 206.

- Q. Now, why did you seize this checkbook?
- A. The main reason would be that they would be finan-

cial record.

- Q. Any other reason?
- Α. They do contain some telephone numbers register.
  - Did you recognize any of those telephone numbers? Q.
  - Α. No.
- And the financial records you seized, did you not, Q. because they weren't ancient and you were seizing all financial records that you found?
  - I can't tell you whether we seized all the ones we found. I can't tell you I definitely seized --
    - These? Q.

4 '

.3

:3 |

14

15

- Α. -- these.
- But my question is: You seized them because they were financial records and for no particular reason beyond that; correct?
- Well, the particular reason was they were listed in the affidavit as being evidence of crimes.
- Q. Right. But the point is, you seized them without making a specific examination of each check or each entry in the register to determine whether that check or entry was evidence of any specific illegal activity; correct?
  - Α. That's correct.
  - Q. All right.

Let me ask you to look at No. 207.

- A. This item was our Inventory No. L18-A-22.
- Q. And this is a list of credit card numbers; is that it right?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
  - Q. And did you seize this because it constituted financial records?
    - A. That would have been one of the reasons, yes.
    - Q. Were there other reasons?
    - A. I think for identification purposes.
    - Q. Any other reasons?
    - A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. And that would have been pursuant to the rationale you've already given us for seizing other financial records and identification document; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. All right.

Let me ask you to look at Exhibit 208.

- A. May I explain something on this? This card was not found in the wallet.
  - Q. Where was that card found?
- A. This card was -- the agent wrote this in his handwriting. These were the -- he copied these off of the cards
  that were found in the wallet.
- Q. You mean to say that you gave her a little break and didn't actually seize her credit cards?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And so he just wrote down the different credit cards she had in her wallet and their numbers and so on; right?
  - A. Yes.

Q

Ė

٠;

. .

. .

23

: :

15

- Q. So it isn't that you seized the card; that's simply a summary of information you saw in her possession which you didn't seize?
  - A. That's correct.
  - Q. And under what paragraph of the warrant, addendum, did you think you were authorized to do that?
    - A. Under what we just spoke of.
- Q. The financial records, identifying documents-type information?
  - A. Yes.

THE COURT: What's that exhibit number?

MR. AVERY: That would be 207, Your Honor.

- Q. Okay. Thank you.
  - 208, Please.
- A. Yes. These are our Inventory Nos. L18-A-2-A, and -- correction, that's A-217 and A-218.

MR. AVERY: Could I just have one second, Your Honor?

[Pause.]

Q. This is a receipt or an invoice from a place

called Sonny Car Care, S-o-n-n-y, or a receipt and an invoice, indicating some repair work done on an automobile; is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And why did you take this?
- A. Well, there would have been several reasons. It gives the identification of a make of an automobile that was being driven on this -- on a certain date by the defendant.
  - Q. Namely?
  - A. Jorge Farinacci.
  - Q. No, the automobile being namely?
  - A. Oh, a gray Mazda.
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. That I knew we were looking for information concerning vehicles used by the Macheteros.

Also, this is a receipt and type of financial record. I was aware that the Macheteros reimbursed their -- certain of their members for certain expenses.

Once again, it is both of these, well, at least on two different dates.

Q. All right.

Is that the --

A. I would also -- the amount of the expense, these are a type of financial records showing the amount of money that he paid on that date.

- Α. There are also phone numbers on the invoice, yes.
- Now, tell me if you think this would be a fair characterization of what you were doing, Agent Williamson.

8

. 5

`o ||

9 |

.)

2.2

. 3

. 4

25

You have been told that the people who were suspected of being members of the Macheteros talked on the telephone, drove cars, spent money, and knew people. So you felt you could seize, pursuant to the warrant you had in your hands, any evidence of any telephone numbers, any expenditures of money, any relationship to any vehicle, identification putting them together with other people; ithat right?

- Α. I think your initial characterization would be But the latter part of your statement, those were the reasons that I took these particular documents.
- Q. You didn't have any specific information that the gray Mazda identified in this auto record was used in illegal activity or driven to any so-called clandestine meetings, did you?
- I don't recall specifically the gray Mazda being mentioned.
- Q. And you didn't have any information that Sonny Car Care was some kind of front for Macheteros or other illegal

activity, did you?

- Α. No.
- Q. All right.

Let me call your attention to No. 209.

By the way, while we're on 208, just so the transcript is complete, you mention it shows a certain amount of money. That amount is total of \$230, isn't it?

- That's correct. Α.
- Q. Now 209.

ŝ

, Ç

٠;

3

7

3

1

. .

:3

14

- Α. Yes. This is our Inventory No. L18-A-2-21.
- ۵. And this is a Visa bill, is it not?
- Α. Yes.
- Q. Doesn't have the name of the debtor on it, it?
  - Α. No, it does not.
  - Q. It has an account number?
  - Α. Yes.
  - And it shows whoever this is had a previous balance of \$40, paid ten bucks, now has a balance of \$30.70, taking into account the 70 cents is finance charge?
    - Α. That's correct.
    - Q. You took this as a financial record, I take it?
    - Yes. This would be a financial record.
    - Q. Did you take it for any other reason?
    - Α. I don't recall specifically.

A. Well, I was aware that the Macheteros were in possession of a large amount of money that was obtained illegally.

- Q. Probably enough to pay off this \$10 here?
- A. More than that, yes.
- Q. Other than the fact that you were aware that the Macheteros were accused of stealing a large amount of money, did you have any information regarding the account number listed on this bill?
  - A. No.

1

2

3

Ó

7

8

Ç

10

11

1.2

; 3

14

. 5

`o ||

٠,

18

20

21

22

23

14

25

Q. All right.

Would you look at 210, please?

By the way, just to go back to 208, do you know where that Sonny Car Care is located?

It's a block from Mr. Farinacci's house.

Did you happen to pass it as you were -- did you see it that day?

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. All right. 210.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, I just have a point I would like to make about that last question.

I would like the record to reflect that the witness did not testify that, in fact, it was a block

: ;

20

: 8

25

from Mr. Farinacci's residence. That was testimony from counsel.

MR. AVERY: Well, it was an unsuccessful question.

MS. VAN KIRK: Well, just so the record is clear.

MR. AVERY: I know the questions are not evidence.

THE COURT: Won't carry any weight either way, whether it was a block or two blocks or a mile.

MR. AVERY: If he had known where it was, I was going to ask him whether it was evidence of travel, Your Honor. But since he didn't, I let it slide.

- Q. We have a photocopy here of this checkbook you seized. And the photocopy contains a copy of what you seized, other than the ordinary pages of the check register, which don't have any writing on them. And the fact that the person just photocopied a single check, whereas you seized a whole checkbook full of blank checks -- is that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you seized this as a financial record, I take it?
  - A. That's correct.

MS. VAN KIRK: May we have the identification number, please?

THE WITNESS: This is L18-A-14.

- Q. And this appears to be the personal checkbook of Mr. Farinacci, does it not?
  - A. It's a checkbook in his name.
- Q. And it demonstrates a high balance. I mean, the highest balance over the period of time indicated in the check register is \$852; isn't that right?
  - A. Yes.

2

3

5

1.0

11

1.2

1.3

14

15 |

16

:7

23

24

- Q. Now, this was taken from his briefcase?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And that briefcase was in the -- on the sofa in the living room of his house, was it?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you have told us previously that you knew he was an attorney; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you take any steps at all, when searching that briefcase, or did you direct the other agents to take any steps at all when they searched the briefcase, to avoid looking at or seizing or reading material that might relate to Mr. Farinacci's clients and be covered by the attorney-client privilege?
- A. I don't recall any limiting discussions to that effect.
  - Q. And you don't recall any instructions being given

23

24

25

to you by the people who gave you briefings to that effect either, do you?

- A. I don't recall any.
- Q. And in fact, no such precautions were taken when the briefcase was actually opened and searched, were they?
  - A. To limit our reading of the --
  - Q. Yes.
  - A. No.
  - Q. All right.

Would you look at Exhibit 211, please?

And I would ask you to compare this with the original and see if we have the entire exhibit here.

THE COURT: Have you found it?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

- A. These copies are the same that are in the Defendants' Exhibit 211. They are our Inventory No. L18-H-2-C.
- Q. And these are invoices or copies of bills or the like from the magazine Pensamiento Critico, and the print shop Talleras Alborado; isn't that correct?
  - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And did you seize these because they were financial records?
  - A. That would have been one of the reasons, yes.
  - Q. Were there other reasons?

- Q. Well, you knew that Talleras Alborado was the print shop where Pensamiento Critico, the journal, was printed; isn't that right?
- A. I was not aware of that but from the affidavit that the Macheteros have a connection with the publication Pensamiento Critico.
- Q. Well, quite apart from the question of whether or not the Macheteros have any relationship to Pensamiento Critico, you knew that Mr. Farinacci was an editor at Pensamiento Critico; did you not?
  - A. I don't recall that.

- Q. You didn't know that. They didn't tell you that in the background briefings?
- A. I don't know that now. I don't recall any such knowledge.
  - Q. All right.

What are you telling me? Why did you take these?

Just because Talleras Alborado was also going to be searched and so you recognized the name and seized it?

A. The main reason would have been that these are financial -- type of financial records. They also show a connection with the -- a-- the name of the client on here is -- well, I guess they are all the same client. It's Puerto

And I'm aware of, from the affidavit, that the Macheteros also had connections with certain other countries, including El Salvador.

- Q. Well, did you have any information, or do you claim that there was any information in the affidavit that indicated that the Puerto Rican Committee of Solidarity for the People of El Salvador was an illegal activity?
  - A. I don't recall specific language on that.
- Q. Now, there is -- well, so you took these financial records basically for the same rationale you've seized other financial records, number one; correct?
  - A. Yes, for that reason.

1.5

- Q. And then, number two, you are saying that you saw El Salvador in here and you had been told that the Macheteros had connections with El Salvador, and so you seized it for that reason?
- A. Yes. That was in the affidavit for the search warrant.
- Q. Had you heard that from anyplace else besides in the affidavit for the search warrant?
  - A. Not that I recall.
  - Q. Before you -- strike that.

Did you give the agents on your team any instructions that would have limited what items they searched or

what items they seized, based on the First Amendment rights of Mr. Farinacci or anybody else?

- A. Well, the limiting instructions would be that we were only looking for documents that were specifically listed in the addendum to the search warrant.
  - Q. Which included financial records; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you've indicated that you understood that to mean all financial records, without limitation, unless you ran across some real ancient financial records; correct?
- A. That was the hypothetical that I could think of that I would not have seized.
- Q. Right. But except for real ancient financial records, you understood there were no limitations on the financial records you could seize; correct?
- A. I don't recall my specific limitations, other than by -- I can tell you why I seized these particular items.
- Q. Yeah, but don't you recall telling Miss Polan last week in testimony that you didn't understand there were any limitations on financial records, with the possible exception of maybe some real ancient financial records?
- A. She -- Miss Polan asked me to think of what types of financial records I would not have taken. And, you know, as a hypothetical, the only thing that I could think of at the time were very ancient financial records.

8

10

7

5

11

12

14

15

17

18

20

22

23

7

10

12

11

14

13

16

: 7

1,8

;9

20 21

2324

22

25

- Q. Agent Williamson, you have told me several times today that you didn't recognize any limitations on the financial records you could seize; isn't that right?
  - A. I have just repeated what my testimony was.
- Q. Well, other than this hypothetical -- let's just set aside real ancient financial records, because it didn't even come up; right?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Right. So you didn't see any limitations on the financial records that you could seize; isn't that right?
- A. Well, I don't recall whether I rejected or not any financial records.
- Q. Well, was there any category of financial records, as you read the warrant, that you should have rejected?

We have been over this.

- A. The hypothetical that I thought of at the time, with Miss Polan, was the very ancient financial records.
- Q. Yes. Put that out of your mind, please. I'm just asking you to repeat your former testimony.

You thought you could take all financial records, with that possible exception?

A. I would have looked at each record and made a specific determination on that record, whether that fell within the scope of the warrant.

So if you're asking me on a specific item, I can

hard time.

Q. I'm not asking you to dream up anything. But we have already gone over today a large number of financial records that you seized, and you've told us you took them

tell you, but just trying to dream up ideas, I'm having a

A. I think on a number of them I gave you other reasons also.

simply because they were financial records; correct?

Q. Yes. Well, let me just ask you this. I thought this point was clearly established by now, but let me just ask you this.

Did you think that the warrant authorized you to seize all financial records, or did you think there were any limitations in the warrant on the financial records you could seize?

- A. I think on anything in the warrant there is a limitation.
  - Q. And what is that limitation?
- A. Well, they are evidence of specific crimes that are delineated in the warrant.
- Q. And did you understand that all financial records you found in the home of a suspected Machetero fell within that category?
- A. I think I've already stated, I gave the exception of ancient records --

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

4 5

7

9

8

11

12

10

13

14 15

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

- Other than that. ۵.
- -- as one. Α.

And at this point, I can't think of other exceptions.

- the addendum didn't describe any other exceptions to you, did it, specifically?
  - No. Α.
- And you didn't receive any instructions in any of the briefings which identified other exceptions to you, did you?
  - I don't recall any limiting instructions. Α.
- And nobody gave you a limiting instruction which related to protecting the First Amendment rights of publications, did they?
  - I don't recall any such instructions.
- So no one told you with regard to seizing records from Pensamiento Critico or Talleras Alborado that you to take care not to tread upon their First Amendment rights, did they?
  - Α. I don't recall a specific instruction on that.
- And you didn't give any such instructions to any Q. of the people working with you, did you?
  - I don't recall. Α.
- And as you made decisions about what to seize, you didn't discard anything because of a sensitivity to

First Amendment rights of people who publish journals, did you?

- A. I made each decision based upon whether I thought it fell within the scope of the warrant, specifically.
- Q. Well, that's commendable, but try to answer my question.

THE COURT: I think the last question might be too obtuse, counsellor. In other words, you limited it to Pensamiento, which is a publishing firm, their First Amendment rights.

Actually, he was in Mr. Farinacci's home at the time.

Let's stay with him. When we get to Pensamiento, you can ask whoever did that --

MR. AVERY: Well, the reason this came up is we're talking about records of Pensamiento Critico that he seized in Mr. Farinacci's house.

THE COURT: Whose records were they, Mr. Farinacci's or Pensamiento's? I thought they were bills to Mr. Farinacci. That was the impression I got.

THE WITNESS: This is a -- it appears to be, Your Honor, an invoice.

MR. AVERY: Could you just pass it up to the Judge so he can see it?

[Handing document to the Judge.]

THE COURT: This first one you showed me is a bill from Pensamiento Critico to the Committee of Solidarity, so to speak, for El Salvador; is that it?

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And apparently it was a bill to Mr. Farinacci, although it doesn't name him specifically, he found it in his home.

MR. AVERY: I think that might be an unwarranted assumption, that it was a bill to Mr. Farinacci, Your Honor. But --

THE COURT: Well, whoever he was affiliated with. It wouldn't come to his home in that case.

MR. AVERY: Mr. Farinacci makes no secret of his association with Pensamiento Critico. He's the editor of Pensamiento Critico. And I think this is a bill to this organization from Pensamiento Critico. In other words, I think Pensamiento Critico wants the Puerto Rican Committee of Solidarity with El Salvador to pay \$145 for designing a -- some kind of bulletin for them.

THE COURT: Is that an incorporated entity?

MR. AVERY: Pensamiento Critico?

THE COURT: Or is that an individually-

owned --

MR. AVERY: It's an unincorporated joint venture, I supposed, with an editorial board and whatnot.

THE COURT: In other words, it's a committee of which he's a member; is that the --

MR. AVERY: He's on the editorial board. In fact, he's the editor.

Your Honor, I notice it's 1:00 o'clock.

THE COURT: Very good. We'll recess until 2:00 o'clock.

[Thereupon, a luncheon recess was taken at 1:00 o'clock p.m.]

1.

## AFTERNOON SESSION

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, Mr. Farinacci had suggested to me that he's not sure that he can make it until 4:00 o'clock today because of the way he is feeling.

We're agreeable to going forward. In the ordinary course, he would want to be here and I would insist on it. But given that he's from out of town -- my feet are killing me, to tell you the truth, and the agents are just here for this hearing.

Given that, an it's going to be -- his absence will be for a short period of time. Our calculation was if he has to leave early, we would just waive his presence for the remainder of the afternoon, if that's agreeable with the Court. Because he does want to be fit for the hearing on the statements that were allegedly made, where his presence is more --

THE COURT: On these, he can't help you too much anyway. They submit --

MR. AVERY: Well, he's been helpful. If I need something later this afternoon, I'll come back to it tomorrow.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. AVERY: If he gets up and leaves, I hope that will be --

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

MS. VAN KIRK: Excuse me, Your Honor. If we could have a summation of how long this witness is anticipated. He had made travel arrangements for today. But just so that he can have an idea.

MR. AVERY: Let's see. We're on No. 211. Well, we're not going to finish with him today, I would say. Maybe we'll finish with Agent Williamson tomorrow. I would hope so. I'm trying to move it along as far as I can. I think the Court knows that.

At least until sometime tomorrow, we'll need this agent.

THE COURT: I'm sure with your background, you will look at it in the same way that you know the Court is looking at these, as you submit them. So we'll proceed.

MR. AVERY: That's why I'm asking him, "Did you take this for the same reason you took the last one?

We do have a general request I wanted to take. Since we're pausing here for a moment, let me take that at this time, Your Honor, if I can find my -- yes, there were two things we wanted to request that were mentioned in the testimony. Or one that was men-

oned in s, that hat he stified iden
a. And ing the seized bf that

chart e, and to the

ng that

a per-

ent ob-

Your ssion.

elihood

of finding explosives at the various residences. That is to say, they had arranged them in terms of, I supposed, the most to the least likely that they would find explosives there.

And we would ask for production of that document with respect to the probable cause issue in the warrant. That is to say, that might be relevant to whether or not there was any probable cause at all to search for explosives at certain residences.

And so we would ask that that be de-incamera-tized and furnished to the defense for reasons that I have just given.

MS. VAN KIRK: The Government objects to that also, Your Honor. There was a reason for submitting it in-camera. And the probable cause is within the four corners of the affidavit. If it's not there, it's not anywhere else, so it's not relevant to the probable cause issue.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. AVERY: I just wanted to make sure that the record is clear, that it would be relevant, in our view, to a potential challenge on a Franks basis to the affidavit, if at the same time they submitted an affidavit\_with\_regard\_to\_a\_particular\_defendant's\_house, saying they were looking for explosives there, they had

3

4 5

כ

7

3

1)

1:

13

1.0

1-

15

17

3.1

15

2C 2·

22

23

24

25

another memo indicating that there was no likelihood of finding explosives there.

THE COURT: Same ruling.

The Court doesn't believe it's relevant and vital to protect your interests.

MR. AVERY: Thank you, Your Honor.

## BY MR. AVERY:

- Q. Going back to No. 211 just for a moment, the Pensamiento Critico bills, do you still have those in front of you, Agent Williamson?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You mentioned just before we broke for lunch the crimes which are listed on the second page of the addendum, the various violations of Title 18 noted in the addendum.

My question, sir, is: What crime among those crimes listed on the second page of the addendum did you think this exhibit might be relevant to or evidence of?

THE COURT: This is 209 you're speaking of now?

MR. AVERY: 211, I'm speaking of. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: 211.

A. Well, in any of the crimes where the -- there is an amount of money that was taken by the Macheteros, and they took credit for.

- Q. Have you finished your answer, sir?
- A. I'm considering the document.

က

4

2

9

/

œ

0

0

=

12

3

4

15

the were of statutes overthrow the of an t wo abrogating recall, Н ช ช and addition, conspiracy In Government. seditious

the to those  $E_1$ including r L given for language assistance significance countries, WAS there Was foreign there have recall, that may certain Н **a** this effect again, ьу that the Macheteros And **0** t 0 affidavit Salvador, crimes. the

ЬУ Critico seditious Government the Pensamiento of with crime the Solidarity to the from overthrow bill relevant of σ Rican Committee ij t 0 рe testimony conspiracy might ence? Salvador Your viol Puerto or conspiracy and the ΕJ force

A. Yes.

9

17

18

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

that of οf you, amount amount indicates what specific that stealing it, H 8 ø take ţ value. of related accused evidential answer, that were other some document Macheteros And your have might any the saying? Ġ money. money since

would they think Н particular, in documents These relevance. Α. have

Q. Okay. No. 212, please.

may that, for looking he's While AVERY: MR.

3 4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

13

12

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

25

24

THE COURT: Surely. [Pause.]

I confer with the prosecutor for a moment?

- Q. Do you have that?
- Α. Yes.
- Could I just see the original of that? Q.

Just so the record is clear, Agent Williamson, you have seized a document which you entitled L18-H-6, which is a checkbook, and Exhibit 212 is a photocopy of one of the blank checks that's in that checkbook; is that correct?

- Α. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. And there is no check register in that checkbook, is there, sir?
  - Α. No, there is not.
- And none of the checks in there executed; isn't that right?
  - Α. That's correct.
  - So this is just a checkbook of blank checks?
  - That's correct. Α.
  - Q. And why did you seize this?
  - All right. As a financial record. Α.
- Q. And it's simply a record of the existence at some point in time of a bank account; isn't that right?
  - Α. Yes, that's correct.
  - Q. And there is nothing in that exhibit which tells

you at what point in time that bank account was opened, 1 is 2 there? 3 No. Α. 4 Q. All right. 5 Would you look at No. 213, please? 6 Oh, let me ask you a question about 212. 7 Did you know who Yolanda Musgrave Font was at the 8 time you seized Exhibit 212? 9 Α. No. 10 Have you learned since? Q. 11 No. Α. 12 Q. You didn't know that that was Mr. Farinacci's 13 first wife? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Okay. 213. 16 THE COURT: Name was Musgrave? 17 MR. AVERY: Yolanda Musgrave, M-u-s-g-r-18 Font, F-o-n-t. Your Honor may recall there was 19 some evidence of that during the bail hearings. She LEXINGTON 20 lives in Kentucky now. 21 THE COURT: I don't recall that offhand. 22 MR. AVERY: I shouldn't even say that, 23 there's been so much information.

Now, this No. 212 is another checkbook, isn't that

right? Or rather, 212 is a photocopy of some material from

24

25

Q.

Α.

2

4

\_

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

1,8

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right.

A. And that that's what we were looking for.

Q. All right.

A. Yes, I believe so.

- Q. Why did you take this checkbook?
- A. As financial records.

a checkbook which you have, 213, I mean?

Yes, that's correct.

on them, other than standard bank pages?

- Q. Any other reason?
- A. I don't recall any other reason.
- Q. And when you decided to seize this particular item, did you go through entry-by-entry and seize it because of what you found the individual entries represented, or because as a whole it constituted a financial record?

And have we photocopied an Exhibit 213, all por-

tions of the checkbook which have any writing or information

- A. Because as a whole
- Q. And you were not aware of any particular relationship between any particular entry in there and any of the crimes described in the affidavit, were you?
- A. Well, my answer would be pretty much the same as I answered before, that I was aware that crimes had been committed by the Macheteros in which sums of money had been taken.

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

13

15

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Would you look at No. 214, please?

This consists, does it not, Agent Williamson, of the card member copy of an American Express slip, and a receipt from Budget Rental of a vehicle?

- A. Yes.
- Q. It's your Exhibit 18H-33?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Why did you seize this?
- A. This would be a document showing travel of a Macheteros member.
  - Q. Travel from where to where, sir?
- A. By the credit card statement, this is from St. Thomas, in the Virgin Islands --
- Q. So this indicates -- and this is Maria Fernos' credit card, is it?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Can I just see the original of that?

[Handing document to Mr. Avery.]

And it would seem that this was a car that was rented by -- the renter's name given here is Maria Cepero, C-e-p-e-r-o; isn't that right?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Which is the last name of Maria Fernos?
- A. I believe so, yes.

THE COURT: The date on that, counsellor?

1

MR. AVERY: I'm trying to find that, Your Honor. The photocopy's impossible to read. But --

- Q. This would appear to be on August 2nd; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And the year is not indicated, is it?
- A. This may be '84, but I can't make it out on this photocopy.
  - Q. Carbon copy, you mean to say, don't you?
  - A. Carbon copy, yeah.
  - Q. All right.

But in any event, this would indicate that someone rented a car in St. Thomas, possibly Maria Fernos, and spent \$108 on the rental?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And you seized it because it's a travel record?
- A. That would be one of the reasons that I can recall now, yes.
  - Q. Were there other reasons?
  - A. I can't recall any other reasons at this time.
  - Q. All right. Let's move on to 215.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, the record might reflect that Mr. Farinacci is going to go. He's feeling dizzy. - Thank-you for your consideration.

THE COURT: If he isn't well enough to be

- back tomorrow morning, are you prepared to have somebody else continue with some other case or some other
  matter? I don't know how he's going to feel tomorrow.

  MR. AVERY: I haven't talked to anybody about
- MR. AVERY: I haven't talked to anybody about that, but --
- 6 THE COURT: You can be thinking about it.
- 7 MR. AVERY: Yeah. And I'll talk to the 8 others during the next recess.
- 9 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. ANGLADA-LOPEZ: May I be excused, Your
  Honor? I will bring him.
- 12 [Mr. Anglada-Lopez and Mr. Farinacci left the courtroom.]
- 14 BY MR. AVERY:
- 15 Q. Have you got 215?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, 215 is a small card; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And it has a description of the works of the art-
- 20 ist Francis Marrero, M-a-r-r-e-r-o, in English and Spanish;
- 21 is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Where was that card located?
- A. This card was located in Item A-20, which is a checkbook. This was located in the red bag that was located

on the dining room table.

- Q. Item A-20 is one that we have already discussed here; is it not?
  - A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And this card was stuck in that checkbook?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Why did you seize the card?
- A. I don't recall the item specifically. However, it does -- there is a telephone number handwritten on the card, and if I had reviewed it, I would have taken it for that reason.
  - Q. Because there was a telephone number on it?
  - A. Yes.
    - Q. Do you recognize that telephone number?
- A. No, I don't.
  - Q. All right. Would you go to Item 216, please?

    Let me rephrase that last question.
  - Did you recognize that telephone number on August 30th?
    - A. I don't recall.
    - Q. All right.
    - A. Was that 216?
  - Q. Please.
    - May I just see the original?
    - Now, Item 216 is a small piece of paper with some

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

printing on it; is that correct?

- A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. And it's in Spanish, and it's -- appears to be a quotation from Petro Albizu, A-l-b-i-z-u, Campos,

C-a-m-p-o-s; is that correct?

- A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. Where was that located?
- A. That was located with these -- with this other group of papers that we have previously discussed within this telephone book.
- Q. You mean it was stuck in the telephone book that was on the counter by the kitchen?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And why did you seize this?
- A. I don't recall specifically, other than that we had seized a similar document from the residence of Elias Castro-Ramos earlier that day.
- Q. When you say "a similar document," do you mean you seized the identical quotation from Mr. Castro's house?
  - A. As I recall, but I would have to compare it.
- Q. Do you recall whether the quotation you seized from Mr. Castro's house was from Albizu Campos?
- A. There was a quotation that we had taken by Albizu Campos, yes.
  - Q. But you don't recall for sure whether it was this

A. I believe it was, but without actually comparing the two documents, I don't know.

- Q. Did you seize it because of the contents of the quotation or because regardless of contents it was similar to something you had seized from Mr. Castro's house?
  - A. Not because of content.
  - Q. Why did you seize it from Mr. Castro's house then?
- A. As I recall, this was one of the documents that was in a -- there were certain items of evidence, that based upon their location, I seized the entire group of items, because I thought that the entire group had significance.
- Q. I remember you saying that. And didn't you say that with regard to those items, you didn't necessarily make any particular examination of the items within the larger group?
- A. I said that I did not recall reviewing every single document.
- Q. Are you saying that this document was for some reason one that you did review?
- A. Well, I recall this one as one of the documents from Mr. Castro-Ramos'. We had seized a piece of paper with a quotation by this same individual.
  - Q. All right.

Would you look at No. 217, please?

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

2223

24

25

May I just see the original of that?

And is it accurate to say that what you actually seized was a page from a -- some published material, Pages 7 and 8 from some published material, 7 being on the opposite of 8? And what we have photocopied as Exhibit 217 is the Page 8 side.

- A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. And that consists of a poem, does it not?
- A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. And why did you -- well, first let me ask you:
  Did you seize this because of the Page 7 side or because of
  the Page 8 side?
- A. I believe this would have been both sides together.

MR. AVERY: Can we mark the original on that, Your Honor, and then have it substituted?

MS. VAN KIRK: No objection.

[The original copy of Defendant's Exhibit 217 was marked as 217-A.]

THE COURT: Do you know who Juan Antonio Cortejer was?

THE WITNESS: I know some things about him, Your Honor.

THE COURT: What do you know about him?

THE WITNESS: I know that the Macheteros had

given him a code name, that he had been observed at clandestine meetings with the Macheteros. I have heard agents from the terrorism squad refer to him as the father of Puerto Rican terrorism, and --

THE COURT: Is he living now, so you know?

THE WITNESS: I believe he died about two
years ago.

- Q. Did anybody ever tell you that the Puerto Rican Atheneum named him the national poet of Puerto Rico?
  - A. No.
- MR. AVERY: We've marked this as Exhibit 217-
- A, Your Honor, the original, so that we can substitute a photocopy with both sides copied.
- Q. Why did you seize this particular document?
- A. For the significance of the article concerning -the information concerning Cortejer in the document. And I
  know one of -- the name Commandant Juan, that's J-u-a-n,
  Pitirre, P-i-t-i-r-re, appears also after one of these articles. And I was aware that Pitirre was a Machetero code
  name that they had used for several of their operations.
- Q. What information concerning Cortejer is in that document that made it seizable, in your opinion?
- A. I don't recall reading the actual contents. I would have done what I've just done now, picked out key words out of the document.

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

23

22

25

2-4

- Q. In other words, Cortejer's name was mentioned, and you had seen that before, and the name Pitirre is there, and you had seen that before, so you seized it?
- A. And let me see if there is -- there is also some language about revolutionaries against the imperialists, Yankees.
  - Q. On Page?
  - A. Seven.
  - Q. Seven.

Where did you seize that document from?

- A. This document was also located in the telephone book. It was folded up in this Item L18-A-2, which we located on the counter in the living room/dining room.
- Q. Now, the article that is on Page 7 is only a portion of an article; isn't that right?
  - A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. Whoever ripped this out did not rip out the entire article that concludes on Page 7; correct?
- A. Well, there is the termination of one article and then there is a message at the bottom. It appears to be talking about the loss of life of Cortejer.
- Q. But the page of the -- which contains something in its entirety, is the poem on the other side, which has the whole poem; correct?
  - A. That has the entire poem. The other side, the

only contents in its totality would be that message about the death.

## Q. All right.

Would you look at 218, please? Please don't put 217 back in your package, because that's been marked as an exhibit now.

## A. Oh.

THE COURT: If we had these in the steel file, counsellor, wouldn't this be more approachable, so you could just have them numbered and pick them right out without having to go through all of this procedure?

MS. VAN KIRK: Well, I believe they are in the order -- I think what makes it a little cumbersome are the plastic bags, but that's necessary.

THE COURT: If we had a steel file here like you would have in your office, wouldn't that make it more practical?

I didn't want to delay you. I just asked the question.

MR. AVERY: If we can take a page from Marshall McLewen, Your Honor, thinking about the fact that the medium is the message, I think the defendants hope that the Court is getting the idea that, you know, the difficulty of managing this material itself suggests

that perhaps the search was overbroad, if you understand my meaning.

THE COURT: You may argue that.

- Q. Do you have 218 there?
- A. Yes. This is our Inventory No. L18-H-2-A.
- Q. And this is a two-page press release, is it?
- A. It's a two-page typewritten document.
- Q. Entitled "Comunicado De Prensa"?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Press release?
- A. That would be a translation, yeah.
- Q. And it involves a peace march; isn't that right?
- A. This is a document which appears to be a press release from an integrated group from the Puerto Rican coordinator of the -- of solidarity with Central America and the Caribbean. And it's speaking about the people of El Salvador and Nicaragua and Honduras and --

THE COURT: We don't have to get into that. What does Jornada mean? does that mean journal or journey, which? J-o-r-n-a-d-a.

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure, Your Honor. I would translate it as like a walk or a --

THE COURT: It's a journey for peace?

MR. AVERY: I think journey for peace is --

\_THE\_WITNESS: - Yeah. - -

3 4

> 5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

There is nothing here suggesting any illegal activity in this press release, is there, Agent Williamson?

THE COURT: The other suggestion I would make to coursel, not only in this case, but in the ones that are about to come on, if the witness to be offered could go through each of the exhibits that's going to come up and read them the night before or the day before, so when he's asked a question, he doesn't have to stop and translate it, it would expedite matters considerably.

MS. VAN KIRK: Well, Your Honor, I can assure you -- '

THE COURT: Not criticism, it's just an observation.

VAN KIRK: I can assure you that's ex-MS. actly what this witness did. However, there are a lot of exhibits there, and there's no way that he could memorize what's in there. So he has to be careful and assure himself that what he's saying is correct, from the documents.

THE COURT: We want him to do that.

MR. AVERY: Let me rephrase my previous question, if I might, Your Honor.

Agent Williamson, other than the reference in this document to the mining of the harbors in Nicaragua by the

United States Government, there is no discussion in here of illegal activity, is there, sir?

- A. Well, they are alleging that the United States are the terrorists in Central America.
  - Q. Yes. So why did you seize this document?
- A. Well, again, I was aware that from my reading of the affidavit, that the Macheteros had connections with groups in El Salvador, Nicaragua, Cuba, among other countries, and that this particular matter was specifically concerned with activities in El Salvador and Nicaragua.

In addition, there is -- there are handwritten notations at the bottom of the second page, one of which is Antonio Maceo, M-a-c-e-o, Brigade, which I understood was a group that frequently traveled to Cuba.

- Q. You understood also that the Antonio Maceo Brigade is a legal organization, and in no sense a clandestine organization; did you not?
- A. I don't know very much about the brigade, other than it was a group of individuals that traveled to Cuba frequently.
- Q. And there is a reference here to the PSP, which you understood was the Puerto Rican Socialist Party; did you not?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And you know that that's a legal political party

in Puerto Rico; do you not?

2

1

Α. Yes.

4

3

And then there are other handwritten notes about other organizations, including a Committee for the Defense of Nicaragua, Committee For Aid to El Salvador.

5 6

Let me --

7

Α. And Pensamiento Critico and some others that I can't read.

8 9

10

Now, was it your opinion that the addendum authorized you to seize documents describing political activities that involved El Salvador and Nicaragua, whether or

11 12

not the document on its face was evidence of any activity?

13 14

Α. I believe the -- the specific ties with the Macheteros and those countries, that's what I saw as significant. The actual crimes, I don't recall specific crimes

that the Macheteros had connections with Nicaragua and

regardless of the contents of the document?

Salvador, you could seize any document found in the home of

a suspected Machetero relating to Nicaragua and El Salvador,

I don't want to make the general statement.

So because you believed there was some suggestion

16 17

15

tied to those connections.

Q.

Α.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- - - -Q- - - Well, in any event, you felt you could seize this document, even though it didn't describe illegal activity of

- A. Well, the activities -- this would be evidence that the Machetero member as alleged in the affidavit had ties with these countries, where we knew that the members had traveled, received training, and were in Cuba where we thought some money was located.
- Q. In other words, you felt that a document which demonstrated any interest in activities involving those countries was relevant to your investigation, whether or not the document itself explicitly described illegal activities.

  Am I correct in that?
- A. You keep saying any -- I'm just -- I can give you my answer on this document.
- Q. Well, there is no explicit reference to illegal activity in this document, is there?
  - A. Not that I can see at this time.

However, I was aware that the Pensamiento Critico was one of the Machetero-controlled organizations, and the Macheteros were a criminal organization.

- Q. Did anyone ever give you any instructions that there would be documents that you might find describing political activities protected by the First Amendment that you should not seize unless they explicitly also described illegal activities?
  - A. I don't recall the specific briefings.

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And did you ever give any instructions like that to anybody on your search team?
- A. I know we discussed what we could seize pursuant to the warrant. But I don't recall specific instructions.
  - Q. Regarding the First Amendment; right?
  - A. I don't recall specific instructions like that.
  - Q. Thank you.

Would you look at Item 219?

May I see the original on that?

[Handing document to Mr. Avery.]

Now, 219, which you have labeled L18-H-15-A, is a photocopy of a book by Abraham Guillen, G-u-i-l-l-e-n; isn't that correct?

- A. Most of it's a book that appears to be a photocopy of a book, and then there is photocopy --
  - Q. Of a bibliography?
- A. Typewritten pages of what's titled "The Second Book."
  - Q. And then at the end there is a bibliography?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And why did you seize this?
  - A. To me, this is a type of training manual.
  - Q. Training manual for what?
- A. The title of it, I would translate -- it's in Spanish, but I would translate it to be "The War Without

Fronts, or Battles, Strategic Principles of Revolutionary
War."

Q. And did you read the book?

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I don't recall reading it, no.
- Q. Did you examine the contents beyond the title?
- A. I don't recall examining it beyond the title.
- Q. Would the title itself have been enough for you to seize it as a training manual?
  - A. I believe it would, yes.
  - Q. Would you look at No. 220, please?

MR. AVERY: Could I have a moment, Your Honor?

## [Pause.]

- Q. Have you got 220 there, sir?
- A. Yes. This is L18-H-15-F.
- Q. Now, let me see the original on that, if I might (looking at document). A-ha.

Would it be fair to say that what we have in the record, marked as Exhibit 220, are photocopies of the front pages of several issues of a journal entitled "Adrede," A-d-r-e-d-e, which you seized? And, in fact, what you seized, however, are the whole journals?

- A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. For example, this -- well, the pages aren't numbered. But each journal consists of several pages?

1,8

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, why did you seize these journals?
- A. I described them as terrorist manuals and documents. And as I recall, some of the -- after looking through the documents, there is some specific training manual information in the documents on how to operate guns, make explosives, and there are also articles on Macheteros in the documents.
  - MR. AVERY: Your Honor, I hadn't seen those except for the front pages. If you are going to take a mid-afternoon recess, this might be a good time to do it, from my point of view, so I could look at those and see if I have any questions about them in particular.

THE COURT: You haven't had these previously?

MR. AVERY: No. The only thing that was furnished to me was photocopies of the front pages. I really wasn't sure they had seized the whole thing. So exactly what they had seized -- I don't pretend that I'm going to read them during the five-minute recess, either, but I might be able to consult with some others in the courtroom.

THE COURT: This is in Spanish.

MR. AVERY: Those are in Spanish.

THE COURT: How about yours?

MR. AVERY: I don't have anything except the

front pages.

THE COURT: I thought you were holding the translation.

MR. AVERY: No, I'm holding the warrant at this time.

THE COURT: We'll take a five-minute recess.

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

[Recess taken until 3:12 p.m.]

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, We're attempting to come to some agreement about our logistical problems. And what we have been discussing is the possibility that Mr. Farinacci may not be well enough to return to court tomorrow.

In that event, there is a possibility that we could start the hearing of Mr. Ayes' motion. Mr. Bergen is ready. And Mr. Dabrowski may be ready. The other prosecutors are checking with him. Those agents are here.

Whoever goes ahead, the Government will review or have the agent review the particular exhibits that are going to be offered so that as soon as they are presented, "I read that. I know what that says. That says so-and-so." The next one, so on, right down the line. Otherwise we'll be here until June, you know, just discussing these little pieces of paper.

Here's the aspect that I wanted to make sure that Your Honor had no problem with. And that is, that if we do that, then there's been some discussion between Mr. Bergen and Miss Van Kirk and myself that it might make sense to just go ahead with the Ayes hearing then and bring Mr. Farinacci and Mr. Williamson back at some other point, maybe after Mr. Ayes or whatever.

In other words, if we break it because of that -- I know Mr. Williamson has expressed a desire to go home. I have to talk to Mr. Farinacci.

But if the Court will go along with whatever we agree among ourselves, I think we can work it out in some sensible way.

THE COURT: If I think counsel will agree, the Court will try and accommodate them.

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

MR. BERGEN: Your Honor, James Bergen for Carl Ayes.

We have been trying to set up an exhibit list and premark the exhibits in the fashion that has been discussed here. And the Government, I know, has today been trying to put the things in the same order that we're going to be enumerating these items. And I just wanted to ask if the Court could modify the identification system. which I think will then accelerate the

1 2 3

ability of all of us to premark exhibits, where we could perhaps identify certain items starting at the next hundred number. Like 301 would be Carlos', or 401. I think that would perhaps allow us some freedom to get all of these things done in sufficient --

THE COURT: Can you and the prosecutor agree on that?

MS. VAN KIRK: That would be fine with me, Your Honor. I would have no objection that we do as we did for the bond hearings, and start each individual hearing with 1. Carlos Ayes-Suarez' hearing, first document, et cetera.

MR. BERGEN: I have no problem with that.

The only exception I would make, Your Honor, is that there are some documents that will be used, for example, Addendum No. 2, used for every search, and I'm going to end up referring to Farinacci No. 27. And --

THE COURT: The better procedure is to use the hundredth unit and stop there.

MS. VAN KIRK: That's fine. Of course, it could be more than 100.

MR. BERGEN: It might be in the case of Carlos Ayes-Suarez, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right, counsellor.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, I would like to have

this entire package of documents marked as an exhibit.

THE COURT: Mark it.

MR. AVERY: If I could suggest 220-A. It will go along with the other exhibit.

[Defendant's Exhibit 220-A was marked for identification.]

## BY MR. AVERY:

1

2

3

11

17

23

24

25

- Q. Now, Agent Williamson, you indicated before we took this recess that you seized these because there was some information in them that you consider training manual-type information; is that correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- Q. For example, in some of these journals there is a reference, or in at least one of these journals there is a reference to how to use different types of weapons; is that right?
  - A. Among other things.
- Q. But in others, for example, this little one here entitled "Adrede," and underneath it it says "The Pocket Edition" in English -- this is actually the one with your L18-15-H stamp on it, No. 6 from 1981 -- this just contains quotations and poems; isn't that right?
  - A. Yes. And small articles.
  - Q. Some proceeds?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. It's a fact, isn't it, that once you found what you considered to be training manual-type information, in your view, in one copy of those journals, you just seized all the journals from that publisher that you found in the house?
- A. As I recall, to the best of my recollection, once I located -- there was one in particular that stood out in my mind. Once I had located that, I took the entire group of documents.

THE COURT: May I see one of those, please?
[Handing document to the Judge.]

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, may we have an identification of the white document that the Court just looked at?

THE WITNESS: That one is L18-H-15-N.

- Q. Now, there was a journal, namely, La Voz, V-o-z, Obrera, O-b-r-e-r-a, which was listed by name in Addendum 2; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. But this journal was not listed by name in Addendum 2; isn't that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- \_\_\_\_Q.\_\_New,-weuld-you-look at No. 221, please?

Keep in mind, those have now been marked, so they

22

23

24

25

should stay with the exhibits rather than be boxed.

A. Yes.

This is L18-H-18.

- Q. And this was a pamphlet that was distributed concerning relay stations site planning for a Voice of America site; is that correct?
  - A. Yes. That's correct. .
  - Q. Why did you seize this?
  - A. As a possible future terrorist act.
- Q. This relay station site had not been built as of August 30th of 1985; isn't that correct?
  - A. I believe that's correct.
- Q. This is just a document talking about some relay station they may build in the future; isn't that right?
- A. It talks about specific site needs, and there is a copy of a map attached to the document, showing the proposed site.
- Q. For something which hadn't been built as of that time?
  - A. As to the best of my knowledge.
  - Q. Would you look at No. 222, please?

May I see the original of that?

[Mr. Avery looking at the document.]

Just so the record is clear, we have previously marked as Exhibit 224 -- I'm sorry, 222 -- just a photocopy

4 Ho
5
6
7 id
8 Q.
9 League;
10 A.
11 Q.
12 A.
13 Q.
14 A.
15 formati
16 This wo

19

20

21

22

23

25

2

3

of front page; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

MR. AVERY: Could we mark this as 222-A, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes. Mark it.

[Defendant's Exhibit 222-A was marked for identification.]

- Q. This is a magazine published by the Socialist League; isn't that right?
  - A. Could I see the document?
  - Q. Sure.
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And why did you seize this?
- A. As I recall, because of the -- well, there was information about the Macheteros on the cover of the document. This would be the, actually the back of the document. And we were, as I recall in the addendum, there was language about magazine and newspaper articles bearing the Macheteros.
- Q. The addendum states newspaper and magazine clippings related to the Macheteros and other terrorist groups; is that correct?

Exhibit 23, you have it in front of you, or you might. Does he have exhibit -- it's a Government exhibit.

= =  $-A_+$  = -I -don't-believe f've used that exhibit today.

- Q. 23, in the fourth paragraph of Exhibit 23, which is the addendum, there is a reference, is there not, to newspaper and magazine clippings related to the Macheteros and other terrorist groups?
  - A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. And you understood that to apply to entire magazines which had not been clipped, but which contained articles concerning the Macheteros?
- A. As I review this, this document now, I do not believe that this would -- the clippings portion of the language would apply to this.
  - Q. All right.

Why did you seize this then?

- A. Well, the --
- Q. Or are you saying that as you look at it now, you think it wasn't within Addendum 2?
- A. I would have seized it -- there is a message, if you will, from the Macheteros on the back of the document, and a -- in Spanish. It says that this renowned poem was sent by the clandestine revolutionary organizations known as the Macheteros to this -- apparently to this publication.
  - Q. But now --
  - A. So that would have been the reason I seized it.
  - Q. And where does that fit into Addendum 2?
  - A. Well, that, to me, that a type of communique from

the Macheteros to the -- to this organization.

- Q. So that you didn't understand communique to be limited to copies of the actual communique that the Macheteros might have sent out, but you understood it to include what might finally appear in the print media; is that correct?
  - A. Right.

- Q. Did you say right?
- A. No. What I -- I said in this particular instance, I'm trying to give you the reason why I would have seized this document. And in addition, I see just from flipping through --
- Q. I'm asking you a particular question. I'll give you a chance to go flipping through in a minute.

But with regard to the back page, that is something which appears in the print media, purportedly from the Macheteros; is that right?

- A. Well, that appears in this, you know, whatever this organization publication is.
- Q. Yes. I'm calling it print media because it's apparently a magazine that's published.
  - A. You're referring to it as a magazine.
  - Q. Or a journal or whatever you want to call it.

    What would you call it?
  - A. It's not a very professionally done magazine in

Q.

the sense of that word. So --

3

1

2

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

But it's -- indicates on it, this is No. myself. Volume 22, and it appears to be something that is published; isn't that right?

Well, I wouldn't call it a slick-looking magazine

k'That's what it would appear to be.

- Okay. All I'm asking you, if you say it's a Macheteros communique, what you're telling me is that you understood communique to also include whatever got published in some publicly-available media, as well as copies of the actual communique that somebody in the clandestine group might have sent to the media?
- Number one, I don't know if this is publicly available or if this is simply available to people like the Macheteros.
- Q. Well, did you ever hear of the Liga Socialista before?
  - Α. No.
- How long had you been an FBI agent in Puerto Rico before August 30th of 1985?
  - A little over one year.
- And how long did you continue to work in Puerto Rico after August 30th of 1985?
  - Α. About a year and a half, so far.
  - Q. So you're still there?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

That's correct.

And are you familiar as you sit here today with the socialist league?

No, I'm not.

MR. AVERY: Could I have a moment, Honor?

[Pause.]

Q. All right.

What did you want to tell us about flipping through this, Agent Williamson?

Well, there is also, on Page 14 of this publica-Α. tion, it's entitled at the top, "Fuerzas Armados de ResistenciaPopular (FARP), which I knew from the affidavit was an organization described in the affidavit as a terrorist organization with whom the Macheteros had conducted joint operations.

And there is underneath that, it's entitled "Communicado de Prensa," which would be a communique of the press.

- Q. Press release?
- Α. That would be, as I said before, that would be one interpretation, yes.
- Well, here's what I want to ask you about this particular exhibit.

Did you get any instructions in your briefings

**4** 5

7 8

6

9

11

12

13

15

17

18

20

2223

24

25

clandestine group, on the one hand, and materials concerning those clandestine groups which were published in publications, on the other hand?

Did you get anything in your briefings that distinguished those two things?

that distinguished for you between literature generated by a

clandestine group, that actually appear to be from

- A. I don't recall.
- Q. And did you, in the briefings you gave to the agents on your team, distinguish between those two things?
  - A. I don't recall that, either.
- Q. And in seizing items, did you make that distinction in determining what to seize?
- A. I don't recall that, other than by my decisions on each particular item.
  - Q. All right.

You don't recall that as a category that you had in your mind, that you would take things in one category but not the other category?

- A. I don't recall that, no.
- Q. All right. Let me ask you about 225.
- A. Yes. That's our Inventory No. L18-H-25-A.
- Q. For the record, we have just marked the first page of this exhibit as our exhibit; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

25

MR. AVERY: And could I mark this, then, as 223-A, Your Honor?

[Defendant's Exhibit No. 223-A was marked for identification.]

- Q. And this is an issue of journal La Voz Obrera; isn't that right?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you seize it because that's specifically identified in Addendum 2 as something that might be seized?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, when you seized that, was it enough for you that it was an issue of La Voz Obrera, or did you examine it to see if it was a particular issue of La Voz Obrera, which was evidence of the crimes cited in the affidavit?
- A. I don't recall reviewing it particularly. The fact that it was La Voz Obrera --

THE COURT: What does that mean?

THE WITNESS: The worker's voice. That would be my --

INTERPRETER: That's right.

Q. We're getting assent from interpreters.

In other words, you read the addendum to indicate that you could take any copy of La Voz Obrera that you found; correct?

A. That's correct.

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. All right.

Would you look at No. 224, please?

- A. Yes. These are two business cards that were located in the checkbook marked A-20.
  - Q. Why were these taken?
- A. I don't recall these documents specifically, other than that they do contain telephone numbers and names of individuals on the cards.
  - Q. So did you seize these as telephone nubmers?
  - A. That would have been one of the reasons, yes.
- Q. You didn't have any information suggesting that Artesania, A-r-t-e-s-a-n-i-a, Puertorriquena was part of any illegal activity, did you?
  - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Or that the Alver Exterminating company was anything other than a bug exterminating company, did you?
  - A. Not that I recall.
  - Q. Would you have a look at 225, please?

THE COURT: Do you have a copy, counsellor?

MR. AVERY: He has a copy, too. He's just looking for the original.

THE WITNESS: I was looking for the original. I have the copy.

THE COURT: Why don't we use the copy, unless the original is essential.

Q.

Α.

\_

7

9

10

12

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

23

24

25

\_Q.\_\_All\_right.-

Would you look at No. 226, please?

A. This, again, was located within L18-A-2.

travel by a Machetero ember?

Yes. That's correct.

Q. What other reasons did you take this for?

Yes. That's one of the reasons.

A. I was also aware that the Macheteros used condominiums for meeting places and safe houses, and that there was also handwritten -- a handwritten address identifying a certain condominium.

And did you take this because it is evidence

- Q. In the Dominican Republic?
- A. No.
- Q. Where?
- A. Well, it indicates East Isla Verde, which is in Puerto Rico.
- Q. So here's a reference in someone's handwriting to a condominium, and you are suspicion was that might be a safe house or a meeting place?
  - A. That was a possibility.
- Q. Did you have any evidence about this particular condominium that caused you to suspect it?
- A. I don't recall any specific information on that condominium.

- 1
- L18-A-2 being? Q.

counter in the living-dining room.

4

Q. All right.

5 6

But you have Defendant's Exhibit 226, photocopy of an envelope that contains some postage stamps and a photocopy of one of the postage stamps.

That was the telephone book that we located on the

7 8

Now, why did you take this?

Α. I don't know.

10 11

Would you agree with me today that it doesn't fall within the addendum?

12

Α. Yes.

Α.

13

Now, would you look at No. 227, please?

14 15

Other than that was located somewhere in the phone book, I assume that I did not see the stamps in the phone book.

16 17

Oh, in other words, maybe they were just seized by accident. Is that what you're saying?

19

Α. Well, they were secreted somewhere in the phone book.

20 21

Q. 227?

23

These are also photocopies of items from L18-A-2, which was the telephone book that we seized from the coun--ter.

24

25

Q. And these are -mean our Exhibit 227 photocopies of some different business cards; isn't that

right?

2

A. That's correct.

4

Q. And a calendar?

5

A. That's correct.

6

Q. Is that calendar actually on the back of the business -- one of the business cards?

8

A. Yes. That's on the back of the card from -- I'll spell the name -- E-u-f-r-a-s-i-o-s, Pizza Restaurants.

9

INTERPRETER: Excuse me, can you repeat that

11

12

THE WITNESS: Yes. It's Eufrasios Pizza Restaurants.

13

Q. Why did you seize these cards?

15

A. These are identification cards that I thought were within the terms of the addendum, and they contained telephone numbers.

16 17

Q. YOu say "identification cards."

18

A. Of these, yes.

20

Q. Identifying these various business entities; is that right?

21

A. Yes.

again?

23

24

Q. And you didn't have any reason to suspect any of these particular businesses of being involved in illegal activity, did you?

25

A. I don't recall any specific information that I had, other than the fact that these were located within the possession of Macheteros members.

Q. Right.

But other than the notion that this is where this suspected Macheteros member goes for pizza, you didn't have any information about this pizza place, did you?

A. I don't recall any specific information about this restaurant.

THE COURT: The same applies to the other cards?

THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your Honor.

- Q. No. 228, please?
- A. This is another business card, L18-A-14-1.
- Q. And would the same comments we have just made about the other business cards apply to this?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. All right.

How about 229? Can you tell from the photocopy that this is another business card, only this one appears to have some phone numbers written on the back?

- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And why did you seize this?
- A. This would have been mainly for the telephone numbers that appear on the back of the business card.

23

24

25

- Q. Did you recognize those numbers?
- A. No, I don't recall recognizing those.
- Q. Or the name that appears next to one of them, if it is a name?
  - A. No.
  - Q. All right.

230, please.

I'm sorry, before you get to 230, do you have L18-H-31 at this point in your file there?

- A. Well, we have been taking them out of order. That's one of the reasons I'm having trouble finding them. But H-31, yes.
  - Q. You do have it?
  - A. Yes.

MR. AVERY: All right. May I have this marked, Your Honor?

THE COURT: It may be marked.

MR. AVERY: And while I'm having this marked, would you look for H-32, please?

L18-H-31 would be marked as No. 265, if Your Honor please.

[Defendant's Exhibit No. 265 was marked for identification.]

- Q. Did you find H-32 yet?
- A. Is that one in any kind of order from the list

that you gave me? Q. It's not on the list that I gave you, but on Exhibit C it's right after H-31. It's an envelope. If it's not -- these would be the exhibits that you listed for us. Q. Yes. Well, this one wasn't listed either. MR. AVERY: Could I have this next one marked 8 also, Your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Yes. 10 MS. VAN KIRK: What was 31? 11 MR. AVERY: 265. 12 This would be No. 266, Your Honor, L18-H-32. 13 [Defendant's Exhibit No. 266 was marked for 14 identification.] 15 Q. Now, with regard to Exhibit No. 265, can you tell us what that is? 17 A. Yes. It's an envelope, air mail envelope, in ink, 18 the word Estrella, E-s-t-r-e-l-l-a, and the telephoen number 19 below it. 20 Q. And is there anything in that envelope? 21 Α. No. 22 Q. And did you recognize the telephone number?

23

24

25

Α.

Q.

Α.

No, I did not.

Yes.

Did you recognize the name?

- 2
- 3
- 4
- J
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- Q. And what did you recognize that to be?
- A. I recalled from the affidavit that there was a group identified as a terrorist organization, Grupo Estrella, that conducted activities with the Macheteros.
  - Q. Spelled the same?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. And where did you find that particular envelope?
- A. This was located on the night stand in the master bedroom.
- Q. Now, calling your attention to the next exhibit, 266, can you tell us what that is?
- A. This is H18-H-32, which I described as a Banco Popular statement.
  - Q. A bank statement?
  - A. That's how I described it, yes.
  - Q. And whose statement does it appear to be?
- A. Individual by the name of Blanca E. Lagares, L-a-g-a-r-e-s, Caban, C-a-b-a-n.
  - Q. And did you recognize that name?
- A. I don't have any specific recollection of that,
- Q. And you did not know that that person's name was Blanca Estrella Lagares, E-s-t-r-e-l-l-a?
  - A. No, I did not.
  - Q. Or that the phone number on the other exhibit we

1	just looked at was her phone number?							
2	A. No, I did not.							
3	Q. Why did you seize 266?							
4	A. As a bank statement, I would have deemed this a							
5	financial record, within the meaning of the warrant.							
ó	Q. Well, this isn't really anything more than an en-							
7	velope, is it?							
8	A. Well, from the envelope you can see the numbers on							
9	the back from the carbon.							
10	I believe you would be able to determine the ac-							
11	count number.							
12	Q. So this would give you the account number of							
13	Blanca E. Lagares Caban; correct, if your thesis is right?							
14	A. Yes.							
15	Q. And you didn't know who she was, or he?							
16	A. I don't recall any specific knowledge of that at							
17	the time.							
1,8	Q. All right.							
19	Or is it a she?							
20	Thank you.							
21	MR. AVERY: It is 4:00 o'clock, Your Honor, I							
22	notice.							
23	THE COURT: How are we progressing,							
24	counsellor?							
25	MR. AVERY: Well, I think we're progressing							

4 5

nicely. We still have several items to go.

THE COURT: How much longer do you think your examination will take?

MR. AVERY: I would say probably most of another day with this agent. But I'm --

THE COURT: In other words, that next page will take that long?

MR. AVERY: Well, I haven't cross-examined him about any of Miss Van Kirk's direct examination yet or the Government's exhibits, since I have been going through these exhibits. So that still remains to be done.

Maybe it will take less. I hope it will take less.

THE COURT: I would think what she's brought out, whatever it stands for, together with the documents, that's what it would stand for. But I'll leave that up to you. It's your case.

MR. AVERY: Thank you. We won't waste any time, Your Honor. But we'll inform the Court in the morning whether we're going ahead with this witness or whether we're going to go ahead with Mr. Bergen's hearing. And counsel have agreed to try to work that out this afternoon.

THE COURT: And if Mr. Bergen's going ahead,

he will talk with whoever is opposite on the Government side and line up these documents so that there will be no delay?

MR. DABROWSKI: Your Honor, we need to know by 5:00 o'clock tonight as to what we're going to be going forward with tomorrow. If we're going to be shifting to another matter tomorrow, we need to know now. And we can't --

MR. AVERY: Yes. We're going to attempt to determine that right now. It's been a little hard for me to make a phone call while I was examining the agent, but I'm going to go make one now.

MR. DABROWSKI: It's not a question of attempt, Your Honor. Unless I'm notified by 5:00 o'clock that we'll not be going forward with Carlos Ayes-Suarez --

THE COURT: Is that agreed, counsellor?

MR. DABROWSKĮ: If we're notified by 5:00 o'clock --

MR. AVERY: It's fine with me. I'm going to call Mr. Farinacci, and if he tells me he thinks he can come tomorrow, we're going to go with this agent. And if he tells me he's not sure, then I'll let Mr. Dabrowski know that he should do Mr. Ayes' hearing tomorrow.

THE COURT: Very well.

AVERY: If he has to know by 5:00, we'll just leave it, if we're not sure whether we can do it, we won't do it.

The only point I would add to MR. BERGEN: that, Your Honor, though, is, we have approximately 400 exhibits to mark. And the Government and counsel for Carlos Ayes-Suarez have not really had an opportunity to do any of that. We're marking them. We have a list, but we haven't had a chance to confer. not even sure whether or not the agent has them in order.

If it cn be done, obviously, it will be done. But I mentioned that --

THE COURT: You can do it tonight or tomorrow morning. Come in a little early.

MR. BERGEN: Very well.

MR. DABROWSKI: Well --

THE COURT: All right. Adjourn court, please, bailiff.

[Court was in recess at 4:02 p.m.]

23

24

25

## CERTIFICATION

I, Kim U. Sears, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewritten form under my supervision and represent a true, complete and accurate transcript of the above proceedings, to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto or their counsel and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of said cause.

		IN	WITNESS	THEREOF,	Ι	have	hereunto	set	my	hand	this	
d <b>a</b> y	of		•			1987						
uay	OI				•	1707						

Kim U. Sears

 $_{1}$   $^{\circ}$ 

1	I N D E X							
2								
3	<u>WITNESS</u> :							
4	John Williamson							
5	Cross-examinatio	n by Mr. Avery 10						
6								
7								
8	<u>E X</u>	HIBITS						
9	Number	Marked Received						
10	Pltf's 70	7						
11	Deft's 264	44						
12	Deft's 217-A	102						
13	Deft's 220-A	117						
14	Deft's 222-A	120						
15	Deft's 265	133						
16	Deft's 266	134						
17								
18								
19		C.C.						
20								
21								
22								
23								
-								

...