FARINACCI

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
4	Plaintiff,
5	Vs. H-85-50
6	VICTOR GERENA of al
	VICTOR GERENA, et al.,) Defendants.)
7	
8	Pebruary 4 7 9 8 7
9	
10	
11	BEFORE: HONORABLE T. EMMET CLARIE, U.S.D.J.
12	, 13
	APPEARANCES
13 14	Por the Plaintiff:
	OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY
15	450 Main Street
16	Hartford, Connecticut 06103
	BY: ALBERT S. DABROWSKI, ESQUIRE
	•
17	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE
•	•
17	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE WILLIAM J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE STANLEY A. TWARDY, ESQUIRE DAVID A. BAVINGER, ESQUIRE
•	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE WILLIAM J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE STANLEY A. TWARDY, ESQUIRE
18	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE WILLIAM J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE STANLEY A. TWARDY, ESQUIRE DAVID A. BAVINGER, ESQUIRE
18	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE WILLIAM J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE STANLEY A. TWARDY, ESQUIRE DAVID A. BAVINGER, ESQUIRE CARMEN E. VAN KIRK, ESQUIRE
18 19 20	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE WILLIAM J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE STANLEY A. TWARDY, ESQUIRE DAVID A. BAVINGER, ESQUIRE CARMEN E. VAN KIRK, ESQUIRE For the Defendant Antonio Camacho-Negron: LINDA BACKIEL, ESQUIRE 424 West Schoolhouse Lane
18 19 20 21 22	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE WILLIAM J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE STANLEY A. TWARDY, ESQUIRE DAVID A. BAVINGER, ESQUIRE CARMEN E. VAN KIRK, ESQUIRE Por the Defendant Antonio Camacho-Negron: LINDA BACKIEL, ESQUIRE
18 19 20 21	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE WILLIAM J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE STANLEY A. TWARDY, ESQUIRE DAVID A. BAVINGER, ESQUIRE CARMEN E. VAN KIRK, ESQUIRE For the Defendant Antonio Camacho-Negron: LINDA BACKIEL, ESQUIRE 424 West Schoolhouse Lane
18 19 20 21 22	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE WILLIAM J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE STANLEY A. TWARDY, ESQUIRE DAVID A. BAVINGER, ESQUIRE CARMEN E. VAN KIRK, ESQUIRE For the Defendant Antonio Camacho-Negron: LINDA BACKIEL, ESQUIRE 424 West Schoolhouse Lane
18 19 20 21 22 23	JOHN A. DANAHER, ESQUIRE WILLIAM J. CORCORAN, ESQUIRE STANLEY A. TWARDY, ESQUIRE DAVID A. BAVINGER, ESQUIRE CARMEN E. VAN KIRK, ESQUIRE For the Defendant Antonio Camacho-Negron: LINDA BACKIEL, ESQUIRE 424 West Schoolhouse Lane Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19144

1	For the Defendant Norman Ramirez-Talavera:
2	JUAN R. ACEVEDO, ESQUIRE 107 Franklin Avenue
3	Hartford, Connecticut 06114
4	For the Defendant Ivonne Melendez-Carrion:
5	HAROLD MEYERSON, ESQUIRE
6	6 East 45th Street New York, New York 10017
7	For the Defendant Elias Castro-Ramos:
8	DIANE POLAN, ESQUIRE
9	265 Church Street
10	Suite 808 New Haven, Connecticut 06510
	New Maveny Commeditate 00310
11	For the Defendant Carlos Ayes-Suarez:
12	SHIPMAN & GOODWIN
13	799 Main Street
	Hartford, Connecticut 06103 BY: JAMES BERGEN, ESQUIRE
14	br. Samba Bhadhay Bagarab
15	For the Defendant Isaac Camacho-Negron:
16	RICHARD REEVE, ESQUIRE
17	Assistant Public Defender
	234 Church Street
18	New Haven, Connecticut 06510
19	For the Defendant Juan E. Segarra-Palmer:
20	LEONARD I. WEINGLASS, ESQUIRE
21	6 W. 2oth Street
	New York, New York 10011
22	For the Defendant Filiberto Ojeda-Rios:
23	WILLIAM M. KUNSTLER, ESQUIRE
24	13 Gay Street
	New York, New York 10014
25	The state of the s

-1	For the Defendant Jorge Farinacci-Garcia:
2	AVERY & FRIEDMAN
	Six Beacon Street
3	Boston, Massachusetts 02108
4	BY: ELLEN WADE, ESQUIRE
5	For the Defendant Angel Diaz-Ruiz:
6	MARGARET P. LEVY, ESQUIRE
7	60 Washington Street
′	Suite 1402
8	Hartford, Connecticut 06106
9	For the Defendant Orlando Gonzalez-Claudio:
10	MICHAEL E. DEUTSCH, ESQUIRE
,	343 S. Dearborn
11	Chicago, Illinois 60604
12	For the Defendant Hilton Fernandez-Diamante:
	TOT THE DETERMENT HILLOW I CHANGE DIGHTLES
13	JOHN WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE
14	51 Elm Street
-	New Haven, Connecticut 06510
15	
16	For the Defendant Luis Alfredo Colon-Osorio:
	RONALD L. KUBY, ESQUIRE
17	13 Gay Street
18	New York, New York 10014
19	For the Defendant Luz Maria Berrios-Berrios:
20	BLUME, ELBAUM & SEIDMAN, ESQUIRE
21	50 Columbus Bouldvard
21	Hartford, Connecticut 06103
22	BY: JACOB WIESELMAN, ESQ.
23	For the Defendant Paul Weinberg:
24	BUCKLEY & SANTOS
-7	51 Russ Street
25	Hartford, Connecticut 06106
	BY: F. MAC BUCKLEY, ESQUIRE

I. N.D.E.X

	4 000.	DIRECT	CRUSS	REDIRECT	RE
ЈОНИ	VILLIAHSON	19	97		
EXHIB	ITS:	DESCR	IPTION .		
GOVER	nment:	**************************************	***	. en	
30,000					
43	воа	RDING PA	S S		
42		SPORT			
44		LINE TIC	KETS	•	
45		UMENT			
46 A	•	ERATURE			
46 B			NSLATION	•	
47			OF DEPOS	IT	
48		SPORT	n 3 1/		
49 A 49 B		TLE PROG	RAM Sion of 4	Λ 3	
50			SION OF 4 S - EQUIP		
50 A			s - EQUIP S - EQUIP		
50 A		INSCITOR TO SENSO	~	LIDIAI	•
5 2		ERATURE	X		
53		ERATURE.			
54		IE TAPE			
55		TOL			
56	CAS	SETTE TA	PE		
57	PIS	TOL CLIP			
58	вох	FOR PIS	TOL		
59	вох	FOR PIS	TOL		
60	PIS	TOL CLIP			
61	AMA	UNITION			
62	.30	CALIBER	CARBINE	AMMUNITION	
63			(50 round	is)	
64		FOR AMM	UNITION		
65		STER			
66			ETTA PIST	OL	
67 63		CLEANING TAG			

INDEX (continued)

. £. 2

DEFENDANTS:

4	168 - 257	EXHIBITS	96
	258	INVENTORY	107
5	259	SEARCH PLAN	118
	260	SEARCH LOG	120
6	198 A	DRAFT OF LETTER	142
7	261	AFFIDAVIT	149

1	THE COURT: Mr. Avery, I believe
2	you are the main counsel this morning. Maybe we
3	should have the Clerk call the role first as we
4	usually do.
5	THE CLERK: Diane Polan?
6	MR. WILLIAMS: I am standing in for
7	her, Your Honor. She'll be about an hour late.
8	She has a medical appointment.
9	THE CLERK: John Williams?
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Here.
11	THE CLERK: James Bergen? Absent.
12	Juan Acevedo?
13	MR. ACEVEDO: Present.
14	THE CLERK: Ron Kuby?
15	MR. KUBY: Present.
16	THE CLERK: Mac Buckley? Absent.
17	Jack Wieselman? Absent. Michael Avery?
18	MR. AVERY: Present, Your Honor.
19	May I introduce to the Court Nereyda Garcia who
20	is a law student from Northern Law School. And
21	I'd like the Court's permission for her to sit at
22	counsel table to assist me during the hearing.
23	THE COURT: Surely. Be glad to
24	have her.
25	THE CLERK: Margaret Levy?

1	MS.	LEVY: Here.
2		COURT: Leonard Weinglass?
3	MR.	WEINGLASS: Here.
4	THE	CLERK: Linda Backiel?
5	MS.	BACKIEL: Here.
6	THE	CLERK: Richard Reeve?
7	MR.	REEVE: Here.
8	THE	CLERK: Michael Deutsch?
9	MR.	DEUTSCH: Here.
10 ्	THE	CLERK: Harold Meyerson?
11	MR.	ANGLADA-LOPEZ: Good morning.
12	THE	CLERK: William Kunstler?
13	MR.	KUBY: Covering for Mr.
14	Kunstler.	
15	THE	CLERK: Roberto Maldonado?
16	Absent.	
17	Antonio	Camacho-Negron? Norman
18	Ramirez-Talavera?	
19	MR.	ACEVEDO: He's in Puerto Rico.
20	THE	CLERK: Ivonne
21	Melendez-Carrion?	
22	MR.	ANGLADA-LOPEZ: She's on her
23	way.	
24	THE	CLERK: Okay. Elias
25	Castro-Ramos?	

,	
•	MR. CASTRO-RAMOS: Here.
2	THE CLERK: Carlos Ayes-Suarez?
3	MR. AYES-SUAREZ: Present.
4	THE CLERK: Isaac Camacho-Negron?
5	MR. REEVE: In Puerto Rico pursuant
6	to a waiver.
7	THE CLERK: Juán Segarra-Palmer?
8	MR. SEGARRA: Good morning.
9	THE CLERK: Filiberto Ojeda-Rios?
10	MR. OJEDA-RIOS: Yes.
11	THE CLERK: Jorge Farinacci-Garcia?
12	MR. FARINACCI-GARCIA: Present.
13	THE CLERK: Angel Diaz-Ruiz?
1.4	MS. LEVY: He's at home in Puerto
15	Rico pursuant to a waiver.
16	THE CLERK: Orlando
17	Gonzalez-Claudio?
18	MR. DEUTSCH: In Puerto Rico.
19	THE CLERK: Hilton
20	Fernandez-Diamante?
21	MR. WILLIAMS: In Puerto Rico, Your
22	Honor.
23	THE CLERK: Luis Colon-Osorio.
24	MR. KUBY: In Puerto Rico.
25	THE CLERK: Luz Berrios-Berrios?

Present. Roberto Maldonado? Absent. And Paul Weinberg? Absent.

MR. REEVE: Your Honor, just a brief point of order. My client signed a waiver requiring his presence back for a hearing on February 26th. Because of the state of the case at this time, I think all parties agree that that hearing will not be held at that time. I just wanted to orally move to change that waiver time and we will -- I will sit down with the Government. And we will determine when it's necessary. And if necessary, we'll file something in writing with the Court.

THE COURT: Is that agreed?

MR. DABROWSKI: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. REEVE: Thank you.

MR. DABROWSKI: Your Honor, on Priday the Court ordered the Government to submit to the Court in camera a copy of any search plan that was utilized by Agent Williamson or other search team leaders or members of the search teams that participated in the various executions of warrants in this case. What I did is I filed a copy of that search plan with the Court and provided copies of the bulk of it to the

defendants this morning.

There were certain portions of it that were excised, and it was those portions that were submitted to the Court in camera. And there is an in camera notice particularizing in some detail, but obviously not in great detail, the information that was submitted in camera.

THE COURT: When was that filed, Counsellor?

MR. DABROWSKI: That was filed -THE CLERK: This morning, Your

Honor.

MR. DABROWSKI: That was filed this morning, Your Honor. The notice says yesterday.

THE COURT: I haven't read it yet, so that's the reason for my question.

MR. DABROWSKI: I hopefully have saved the Court some time by providing the defendants with the bulk of it. It would not be necessary for the Court to read all of it. The in camera portion is relatively limited. And it's -- I wanted the record to reflect that -- I also want the Court and the defendants to understand that specifically this is a plan.

And if I might give one example, and

this is from a document and a page that was turned over to the defendant, defendants. Search team number 6, that's the search team that was headed by Mr. Williamson, has on the plan that the sketcher or the sketch agent, was one Agent Cleary, C L E A R Y. Now, we know from testimony in this court that --

THE COURT: No relation to the presiding judge.

MR. DABROWSKI: Nor spelled -- it's spelled differently. And there is no relation, Your Honor.

I would note that during testimony in the Court, in this Court, it turns out that Agent Caldwell was the sketch agent. The point I'm making is that this is a plan. It doesn't necessarily represent what happened. And, in fact, in that particular instance, did not represent what happened. Now, in general, these guidelines were followed.

But I did not -- do not want the defendants, in particular, relying on the list of members of the search team because there were some variations. We will try as we increase these searches to particularize those variations

by providing to the defendants the actual names of the agents who did, in fact, participate in those searches.

On a related matter, the Court on

Friday expressed a strong preference that the

former special agent in charge of the San Juan

office, Special Agent Held, testify in connection

with these hearings. Agent Held is in

California. I have made arrangements to speak

with him at some point after twelve noon today.

I could not reach him yesterday. He was not in

his office.

However, it's my clear understanding that while Agent Held did participate in a briefing to the -- and to that extent did address the various agents who were assembled in Puerto Rico on August 30th of 1985, the substance of his remarks were limited to advising them to be careful, to be cautious, and then making a referral of those agents to their search team leaders for further particularized briefings.

So that if Agent Held were to appear here in this courtroom, he would, in effect, simply be indicating that he spoke to the agents in mass, told them to be careful, words to that

execution of these searches were concerned, he referred each and every one of the agents to the search team leader, the arrest team leader and to the security team leader. And it was through those particular individuals that the detailed briefings came.

Now, the Government, based upon our understanding of what Agent Held said during those remarks, has no need whatsoever to subpoena him here and does not intend to do so. However, it was quite clear on Friday that the defense was demanding that he be produced. And it was also quite clear that this Court was leaning quite strongly towards honoring that request and requiring the Government to produce Agent Held.

THE COURT: After you talk with him, if that's all he's got to offer, why don't you have him submit an affidavit limiting himself just to the limited remarks that you've just stated. And if that's -- have him say specifically that he did not go into detail and specify the particular procedures to be followed. And in the individual cases, it was left to the team leader. And it would seem that should cover

it. If that's all he gave them, that's all he gave them.

MR. DABROWSKI: The substance of those remarks, of course, if the Court wanted to hear them in a preliminary fashion, could be obtained through the very witnesses who are here in connection with these hearings who heard them to the extent they recalled them.

THE COURT: Knowing that, maybe when the next team leader testifies, it could be brought out how much had been given by this man, Held. And if it concurs with what he says, they probably will agree.

MR. DABROWSKI: All right. I will report in writing to the Court or in some other way to the Court with notice to the defendants of the particular -- of the substance of my personal conversation with Special Agent Held this afternoon.

I would also like to report to the

Court that I've made inquiry and I've been

advised that that speech and those remarks were

not tape recorded or otherwise recorded. I will

ask Agent Held, Special Agent Held, that question

as well when I talk to him. But it's my

understanding that there was no tape recording made of those remarks.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, very briefly. John Williams.

of co-counsel that Your Honor did not express a preference that Mr. Held be here, but rather Your Honor ordered that he be here. I think it is remarkable that the Government would so cavalierly choose to disregard an order of Court. I think it's safe to say the defendants have agreed, since Mr. Held indisputably spoke to these agents, he ought to be here to submit to cross examination.

MR. BERGEN: Your Honor, one procedural matter. My paralegal, Laura Ingersol, who is well versed in Spanish and English and has served to assist me with Carlos Ayes-Suarez throughout this case, is here prepared to serve as a translator. The normal translator came in with Carlos at 4:00 in the morning with a delayed air flight. And for health reasons, she has not been able to come in this morning.

And I would ask -- and I've checked

with the Clerk. I would ask if the Court would approve Laura Ingersol to serve as individual defense translator for Carlos Ayes-Suarez for purposes of attorney-client discussions today in Court.

THE COURT: I don't think we'll reach her today. Your case, according to counsel, is going to take most of this week.

MR. BERGEN: I understand. I guess what I'm speaking about -- just as we have individual attorney-client defense translators for all proceedings, I would ask for today, either until the normal translator is able to arrive or until tomorrow.

THE COURT: Does your client need a translator?

MR. BERGEN: Yes, that's correct.
THE COURT: Has he had one before?

MR. BERGEN: He's had one
throughout. Normally the translator has been
here on all occasions when Carlos has been here.
He can pick up some English, and he does not need
a translator necessarily for every word. But
there are numerous occasions throughout the day
where he has need of a translator to discuss

things. And he has been using the earphones throughout the hearings.

THE COURT: I have no objection to it.

necessary.

MR. BERGEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. DABROWSKI: Your Honor, the

Government is relying on what we believe to be an accurate projection of the schedule here. And we are preparing to go forward with the search, the litigation of the search in connection with the residence of Carlos Ayes-Suarez, commencing no earlier than Thursday. We could make an adjustment in that regard. We don't believe it's

I would like to inquire of Mr. Avery through the Court as to whether or not that's a fair assessment of the length of the time he anticipates it will take to litigate both the suppression issue with regard to the premises of Jorge Farinacci as well as the suppression issue with regard to the alleged statements made by Jorge Farinacci; that is, that we'll be hearing those matters until Thursday.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, this is the thing that I'm worse at of anything, trying to

1 estimate how much time things take. THE COURT: Your best judgement. 2 MR. AVERY: My best judgement. Ιt 3 will be at least two days with this, given that 5 we have two motions. I have told Ms. Van Kirk that the agents, who were testifying only about 6 7 the statements allegedly made by Mr. Farinacci, 8 in my view, need not arrive until tomorrow because I'm quite certain that we'll be at least 10 all day today on the search. THE COURT: All right. Let's 11 proceed on the two days' theory. 12 MR. AVERY: It may be longer. 13 I never say it's going to take longer than it does. 14 15 It always takes longer than I say. 16 THE COURT: We'll encourage you to be brief, Counsellor. 17 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, the 18 Government calls John Williamson for the hearing 19 on the Motion to Suppress by defendant Jorge 20 Farinacci-Garcia. 21 22 23 24 JOHN WILLIAMSON (sworn) 25

1	THE CLERK: Please state your name
2	and spell your last name for the record.
3	MR. WILLIAMSON: John Williamson,
4	WILLIAMSON.
5	THE CLERK: Your address, please?
6	THE WITNESS: San Juan, Puerto
7	Rico.
8	THE CLERK: Thank you.
9	
10	
11	
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. VAN KIRK
13	
14	Q. Mr. Williamson, are you an F B I agent?
15	A. Yes, I am.
16	Q. How long have you been employed by the
17	FBI?
18	A. Since November 1977.
19	THE COURT: Excuse me just a
20	moment. Are there any new are interpreters this
21	morning?
22	THE INTERPRETER: No, Your Honor.
23	This is the initial group that was here the first
24	week.
25	THE COURT: Very good. I didn't

1 recognize the one on the right. Maybe she has a new hairdo. 2 THE INTERPRETER: We've switched 3 seats, Your Honor, and we've all gained weight. 5 THE COURT: All right. Proceed. 6 (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Now, how long have 0. 7 you been assigned to San Juan, Puerto Rico? 8 I've been assigned there since July 1984. 9 What is your assignment in San Juan? 10 Q. I'm assigned as a special agent. 11 Α. 12 Do you work terrorism matters? Q÷ 13 No, I do not. Α. Were you in San Juan then in August of 14 0. 19857 15 A. Yes, I was. 16 Would you tell us, please, what your 17 educational background is? 18 Yes. I'm an attorney. I graduated 19 from law school in 1976 and was admitted to the 20 21 New York State Bar in 1977. 22 Where did you go to law school? Q. 23 Albany Law School, Albany, New York. Α. 24 Now, what was your first involvement in Q.

this case regarding the Wells Fargo robbery?

3

5

6

8

10⁻

11

12

13

14

15

16

. 17

18

19

. 21

22

23

25

A. I was given an assignment as a team leader, a search team leader, for the arrests and searches that took place on August 30th, 1985. I was given an assignment approximately one month prior to the searches.

- Q. Now, you've already testified in the hearing of the defendant Elias Castro-Ramos, is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. You were the team leader for that search as well?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What was your assignment with respect to this particular defendant, Jorge Farinacci-Garcia?
- A. My assignment was essentially the same.

 I was the search team leader, the team that
 searched pursuant to a search warrant the
 residence of Jorge Farinacci.
- Q. Now, you stated that you received your assignment to become the team leader for this particular search approximately one month before the actual search occurred, is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. How -- did you prepare yourself for

this assignment?

2

A. Yes, I did.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. How did you do that?

By discussions with the members of the Α. terrorism squad, and when it was available, I read the draft of the affidavit that would be used in support of the search warrant. And I also read the statutes that would be cited in the search warrant.

- Did you learn anything specifically Q. about the group?
 - Yes, I did.
 - Q. What group was it?
- The name of the group was Los Α. Macheteros.
- What did you learn then from your -- in Q. your preparation for the execution of the search regarding Los Macheteros?
- I learned that the Macheteros are a very violent, well organized group of terrorists in Puerto Rico. They first came to light in the We -- there is an extensive number of members, some of which had been identified by the F B I; others that have not been identified.

I knew that they used code names, met

in safe houses, used telephones extensively, traveled under false identification, that they were responsible for armed robberies, bank robberies, robberies of Wells Fargo trucks, ambushes, assassinations, attacks on military personnel, military bases.

- Q. Did you learn anything about their international contacts?
- A. Yes. I knew that they had received training and assistance from organizations overseas including the countries of Cuba and Nicaragua, El Salvador. And they were also --- had conducted joint operations with other terrorist groups in the United States.
- Q. Did you learn anything specific about the particular defendant, Jorge Farinacci-Garcia?
- A. Yes. I knew that he used the code name

THE COURT: What was the first one?

THE WITNESS: Fari, Your Honor.

It's -- I believe it's F A R Y or F A R I.

That he was a member of the Directive

Committee, which is one of the leaders of the

terrorist group; that he had been involved in

various of their operations; that he was involved

in a bank robbery in 1977; that he was involved in the killing of a labor attorney, Alan Randall in 1977; that he was involved in secreting a former Machetero, Carlos Rodriguez-Rodriguez, in a safe house, among other things. And that he was a continuing member of the Macheteros and one of their top leaders.

- Q. Did you learn any specifics about the clandestine nature of the group?
- A. I knew that they -- the group was a clandestine organization; that they used the code names; that they were broken down into what they called cells that consisted of a small number of individuals and the identities of these individuals were not known to other cells; that there was an hierarchy within the organization; that you had to start out at a lower level in the organization, and over a period of time you would gradually become a full fledged member of the terrorist organization with more benefits and privileges and be allowed to know more information about the group.
- Q. Did you learn anything about the specific operating procedures of the group?
 - A. I know that it was a very structured

.

•

10.

group, that they had -- they were broken down
into -- they have rules and regulations. They
have security regulations as to where to secrete
weapons in their houses or in other secure
locations, how they would protect their
identities by using false identities and the fact
that the -- they had rules on vehicles and that
they --

- Q. What rules on vehicles?
- A. Well, that they had to be careful about being surveilled by law enforcement personnel.

 That continued with their safe houses, that they would sweep their houses for eavesdropping devices and also their vehicles.
- Q. Now, what were your duties and responsibilities as a team leader of this particular search?
- A. I was in charge of the search team which was composed of myself and three other individuals. These other agents were not assigned to Puerto Rico so I was their only contact with this case. I essentially took care of them when they came to Puerto Rico, gave them the briefings as to what their responsibilities were and gave them certain specific assignments

1 as to the actual mechanics of the search itself. All right. Were you briefed before you 2 3 briefed your members? Α. Yes, I was. 5 When were you briefed? 0. 6 Α. The briefings were -- they began 7 shortly after I was given my assignment which was 8 approximately one month prior to the August 30th 9 arrest and searches. And the briefings continued 10 throughout that time period up until the day of August 30, 1985. 11 Who briefed you? 12 0. Well, there were various individuals. 13 We had a search team coordinator that would call 14 meetings of the search team leaders. 15 16 addition, there were --THE COURT: Who was he? 17 THE WITNESS: His name was Dwight 18 Dennett. 19 THE COURT: Dwight --20 21 THE WITNESS: Dwight Dennett. 22 THE COURT: How do you spell the 23 last name? 24 THE WITNESS: I believe it's 25 spelled D E N N E T T.

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) All right. So what was his responsibility?
- A. He was the search team -- well, the search coordinator. He was in charge of all of the search teams. And he drew up the search plans that we were given prior to the searches.
 - Q. All right. Who else briefed you?
- A. Also our principal legal advisor was present for certain of the briefings.
- Q. What was his role, other than being present; did he actually --
- A: He would discuss certain legal issues that would -- that could arise during the searches.
- Q. All right. Did the special agent in charge, Richard Held, give any briefings?
- A. He was present for at least one of the meetings of the search team leaders, although he did not lead the group discussions. In addition, he was present and he spoke at the briefing that we had on the morning of August 30, 1985.
 - Q. What was his instruction?
- A. It was essentially that the operation was going to continue that day -- it was going to take place that day. The arrests were going to

take place. And we anticipated the search warrants being signed. They were to follow the instructions previously given, and that we were to be extremely careful.

- Q. Now, were you given any instructions by anybody else as to how to operate?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. All right. So did you take it upon yourself to decide or organize your particular search instructions?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. And what was that based on?
- A. Well, based upon my knowledge as an agent that, you know, how to conduct a search and how to organize the team. And in addition, the search packet that we had gave certain specific instructions about how to brief our team.
- Q. How many searches had you participated in before the search of the defendant Jorge Farinacci-Garcia's residence?
- A. I don't know exactly. I'd say approximately a dozen.
 - Q. That's in your entire F B I career?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Had you received any training at the

F B I Academy as to how to conduct searches?

- A. Yes. That's part of our training at the Academy.
- Q. Now, what specifically did you instruct your agents on the team to do with respect to the search of the defendant's residence, Jorge Farinacci-Garcia?
- A. Well, there were two parts to it.

 There were general instructions on what we were looking for and exactly how we would go about the search. And there were also specific assignments given to each member. Agent Reilly was a photographer. He was given specific instructions as to what his responsibilities were as a photographer.

Agent Jim Lyons, he was our bomb and explosives expert. He had certain responsibilities to make sure the location was safe from booby traps or other explosives prior to the search being conducted and also to be consulted about any items that might contain explosives during the search.

Agent John Caldwell, he was given the assignment being the sketch artist to sketch the premises prior to the search being conducted and

to assist Agent Reilly in preparing a photographic log while the photographs were being taken.

- Q. Were those the members of the search team?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. So you briefed the members on your team?
- A. Yes. The briefings were from the time they arrived in Puerto Rico, approximately two weeks before the search commenced. The briefings began then. And I was in contact with the individuals on a daily basis giving them briefings.
- Q. Did you advise them of what you had learned in preparation for these searches?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Now, did you have a copy of the search warrant affidavit when you briefed the members of your team?
- A. Not at the very beginning. During the week previous to the search, I obtained a copy of the affidavit and the warrant. And I gave that to each of the members of the team. And they were required to read it, and they did read it.

- Q. Did they do that in your presence?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, this affidavit and warrant, was it executed and authorized by the magistrate when you gave it to your members?
 - A. No, it was not.
- Q. When was the affidavit and the search warrant -- when was the search warrant approved, signed by the magistrate?
 - A. On the morning of August 30th, 1985.
- Q. When did you receive notification that, in fact, the warrant had been signed?
- A. We received the notification by radio.

 And I heard the transmission while in the bureau vehicle on the way to Mr. Castro-Ramos' residence on that morning.
- Q. Could you describe for us the procedures which you were going to use for the search?
- A. Well, the procedure that we set up was that on each residence the first individual to enter the residence would be the explosives expert. He would do whatever was necessary to assure the safety of the other agents, looking specifically for booby traps or other explosives.

-

After he finished, then the premises would be photographed by Agent Reilly prior to the search commencing. And at the same time Agent Caldwell would be sketching the premises and assisting in drawing up the photographic log.

After that procedure was finished, then we would be ready to start the actual search. As the team leader, I did not actually participate in searching for evidence. I stationed myself at a location within the residence where the evidence would be brought to me by the searching agents. They would -- if we decided to seize it, they would initial the evidence, I would initial it, and then I would enter the evidence into my inventory log.

- Q. Were there any special procedures to safeguard for possible fingerprints?
- A. Yes. We all wore fingerprint gloves, plastic gloves, so that our fingerprints did not appear on the evidence.
- Q. Now, were the search agents the only agents to appear at the house on the morning on August 30th?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Who else was there?

A. Prior to --

MR. AVERY: If Your Honor please, I don't think there has been any testimony that the search agents themselves appeared at Mr. Farinacci's house on the morning of August 30, so perhaps we could sort that out before we get into who he feels was there. I don't think the question was accurate.

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Generally, when you were establishing procedures for the searches, were there any other procedures as to the participation of other agents?

- A. Yes.
- Q. What was that?
- A. Because there were security teams made up of agents, their job was to secure the premises. And essentially they were in charge of keeping people that didn't belong in the house out of the house and assuring the safety of the agents that were searching the residence.

when the search team was present, the search team leader would be in charge of all the people at the location. And the search team leader determined that the -- that all the security team personnel were not needed for

 security. Those people could also be used as searching agents and look for evidence.

- Q. All right. Now, I direct your attention to the morning of August 30th, 1985. Would you describe to us when you first received notice that the home of the defendant, Jorge Farinacci-Garcia, would be searched?
- A. I guess that that was in the meeting early in the morning prior to going to Mr. Castro-Ramos' house. We were told that we anticipated that the other search warrants would be signed.
 - Q. Approximately what time was that?
- A. As I recall, that was 4:30 a.m. or 5:00 a.m. in the morning.
- Q. Who notified you that there was to be a briefing at that hour of the morning?
- A. Special Agent George Clow telephoned me at my residence earlier in the morning.
- Q. All right. So describe for us then what you did after you received notification by Agent Clow that the operation was going to happen?
- A. I received that notification at approximately 2:30 in the morning. I telephoned

my search team members, told them that I would be picking them up in my bureau vehicle shortly thereafter. I proceeded then to the office where I picked up certain equipment that we'd need for the search. I then picked up the search team agents and then proceeded to a predesignated location where we had one more meeting.

- Q. All right. Was that before you were to start searching the Farinacci house?
- A. Yes. This was very early in the morning. This would have been at the meeting that took place sometime between 4:30 or 5:00 a.m.
 - Q. All right. What did you do next?
- A. Then we proceeded to the residence of Mr. Castro-Ramos where we subsequently participated in the arrest of the defendant Castro-Ramos and subsequently searched his residence.
- Q. All right. Now, approximately what time did you finish searching the home of the defendant, Elias Castro-Ramos?
- A. It was between noon and 1 p.m., as I recall.
 - Q. What did you do after you left the

residence of the defendant, Castro-Ramos?

- A. We took the evidence to a predesignated central location where the evidence was turned over to other personnel of the F B I. They inventoried and logged the evidence. And we picked up additional search supplies that we'd need in conducting the next search at Mr. Farinacci's residence. And we proceeded to Mr. Farinacci's residence.
- Q. All right. Approximately what time did you arrive at Mr. Farinacci's residence?
 - A. It was about 5:20 p.m.
- Q. Now, who were the members, the search team members with you at that residence?
- A. Well, at that point I had my search team consisting of myself, Agents Reilly,
 Caldwell and Lyons. And then I also used, as part of the search team for Mr. Farinacci's house, a security team that was present at the house. That consisted of Agents David Miller,
 John Morrison and Roy Delagarza.

THE COURT: Roy who?

THE WITNESS: Delagarza I believe
is D E L A G A R Z A.

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) All right. Now,

would you explain to us how you conducted the search; first of all -- withdrawn.

How did you set up to conduct the search?

this question, Counsellor: Who decided to go to Castro-Ramos first and then go to the second one at 5:20 or whenever it was; who decided that and when was that decision made, which one would come first, which would come second in the hour?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, that decision was made at least -- during the week previous to the searches --

THE COURT: Who made that decision?

THE WITNESS: I don't know.

THE COURT: If you know?

THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly,

Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Would you describe for us the procedures that you utilized to conduct the search at the Farinacci residence?
- A. Well, I positioned myself at the dining room table as the search team leader and the -- I made specific assignments, specific room

assignments, to the searching agents so that the entire residence would eventually be searched.

Q. How was that done; any particular order or reason for assigning a particular agent to a particular room?

A. Not that I recall. Generally -- by this time the majority of the search team -- we had been working together most of the day. And the agents were working quite well.

THE COURT: A point of inquiry,

Counsellor. It isn't clear to me who first went

to the door; and if there is any question about

the entrance. Maybe there is but --

MS. VAN KIRK: I'm going to get to that, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

basically the guys on my search team. It would be the type of thing one agent would say, "I'll take the back bedroom." The other one would say, "I'll start with the library." Another agent would say, "I'll start here." And if there were gaps, I'd assign the other agents to the rooms that needed to be searched.

Q. Where did you set up?

- A. It was at a dining room table close to the front entrance of the residence.
- Q. All right. What was the mechanism then for retrieving the items?
- A. Well, the searching agents would look for items that were specifically enumerated in the warrant. When they located an item they thought fell within the warrant, they would bring it to me. If it was an item that fell within the warrant, they would initial the evidence, I would initial the evidence. Then I would describe the item found in my inventory log, entered it in and leave the piece of evidence in a pile that we later transported from the residence.
- Q. If it were something you decided you would not seize, what would happen?
- A. After a discussion as to why the item was picked up, if I decided not to seize it, the agent would return it to its location.
- Q. Now, who was present at the house when you arrived?
- A. Well, there were agents -- the security team agents consisting of Agents David Miller, Roy Delagarza and John Morrison.
 - O. What was their function?

.

- A. They had been present there during the day. Mr. Farinacci had been arrested earlier that morning. And their job was to secure the residence and assure that no evidence was removed until the search team arrived at the residence to conduct the search.
 - Q. All right. So who else was there?
- A. In addition there were some other individuals. There is Maria Fernos who is identified as Mr. Farinacci's wife. Then there were several other individuals who -- I did not know their identities. There is at least one female and two males.
- Q. Did you subsequently learn the identities of any of these unknown individuals?
- A. I was told that the one female -- her name was Judith Berkan. And the males -- when I arrived at the house, I inquired of the security team leader, David Miller, as to the identities of these people. And he described them as various friends and attorneys of Miss Fernos.
 - Q. Where were these people located?
- A. As I arrived there, as I entered the house, off to the left there was an outdoor patio. Two of the individuals were seated in

-

that area. And the female, who was later

identified to me as Judith Berkan, she was with

Ms. Fernos.

- Q. What did you do after you saw these people in the area or in the residence?
- A. I asked the security team leader why they were in the residence. And he told me that they were basically Ms. Ferno's support group and that he had told them to restrict their movements until the search team leader arrived. And then it would be up to me whether they could stay or whether they should leave the residence. So I requested that he tell them to leave the residence now that we were going to begin the search of the premises.
 - Q. Did they all leave?
- A. Not initially. They left with the exception of Ms. Berkan who told me that that must not apply to her because she was actually Ms. Fernos' attorney. That led to a discussion. I told her that she would have to leave the premises and she asked me under what authority she was being made to leave.
 - Q. All right. Did anybody stay?
 - A. Yes. The defendant's wife, Maria

Fernos.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did she make any comment about requiring the other people to leave?
- A. Yes. After we told her attorney, the woman identifying herself as her attorney, Ms. Berkan, to leave, Ms. Fernos was extremely upset. And she yelled that the reason we were making her leave -- her attorney leave the residence, was that we wanted to rape her.
- Q. Were there any other confrontations with the defendant's wife, Maria Fernos?
- There were numerous Α. Yes. confrontations throughout the search. It started -- one of my first assignments that I gave --Agent Reilly was assigned to look on a counter for evidence that was near a telephone. counter was, from where I entered the house, was to the right-hand side. It was between the living room and the kitchen. Ms. Fernos started into a loud discussion with Agent Reilly as to why he was looking at certain documents. And he requested that I ask Ms. Fernos to leave him alone to allow him to conduct his search. admonished her at that point to keep her distance from the searching agents.

And within a short time she was in another loud discussion with Agent Reilly, and I again admonished her. This continued with various other agents in the house that we were searching. I would continue to admonish her.

And finally I asked her to please restrict her movements and stay in the living room area of the house, and that if she wanted to go to a specific room, you know, she could do that. But not to go wandering around the house.

Eventually, when she refused to do that and would again get into more loud confrontations, I assigned one of the security agents, John Morrison, to specifically -- John Morrison to specifically stay with Ms. Fernos, to watch her.

Subsequent to that she was in another confrontation with Agent Reilly in the master bedroom. I again proceeded to the bedroom and told Ms. Fernos that she should not bother the agents while they were searching. And she was welcome to stay in the room but she could only watch them. At that point she said fine and she wouldn't talk to the agents, she would just watch.

Q. Anything else?

A. Within a few minutes of that confrontation, I heard more loud voices, and I walked down towards the bedroom. And as I approached the bedroom, she -- I observed Ms. Fernos spitting on Agent Reilly. She called him an animal and walked towards me in the hallway.

At that point I tried to talk to her and she responded, "Fuck all of you" and exited the premises. She attempted to enter the premises about one half hour later. And I had instructed the security personnel at that point that she would not be able to reenter the residence, that she had been obstructing the search warrant.

- Q. Did she call the agents any other names?
 - A. She called us "capitalist pigs".
- Q. Now, during all this time the search did go on, is that correct?
- A. Yes. It went much smoother after she left the residence.
- Q. And what was the basis of your seizing a particular item?
 - My basis was my knowledge of the case,

my knowledge of the affidavit and the warrant itself. And the actual basis was whether this item was particularly described in the warrant.

- Q. All right. Did you have the Addendum 2 that we've talked about?
- A. Yes, I did. That was with me. And I referred to it during the search when I had a question as to whether a particular item of evidence could be seized.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, for the record, I believe that the search warrant, the affidavit and this Addendum are part of the record of this case. I'd just like to make sure that that's the case.

THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

MS. VAN KIRK: Very well, Your Honor. The Clerk advises that it is.

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 43 for identification, and I ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, could I just request that -- perhaps Ms. Van Kirk wouldn't mind -- at the time we originally show

١ these, if we could use the number that's been given to these exhibits on the sequential list. 2 And then I'll know what I'm looking at here. 3 MS. VAN KIRK: I believe I can do that, Your Honor. That's Government Exhibit 5 6 1030. 7 MR. AVERY: Thank you, Your Honor. This is 43 -- we just --8 9 THE CLERK: Yes. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Agent, first of all, 10 0. do you recognize the exhibit? 11 Yes. This exhibit bears our 12 A: subinventory number L 18 A 2-22, which indicates 13 this was located in the living/dining room of the 14 defendant Farinacci's residence. This was 15 located in a telephone book that was seized off 16 of the counter that I referred to previously in 17 the living/dining room. 18 All right. Q. 19 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer 20 it as a full exhibit. 21 22 THE COURT: Without objection, full 23 exhibit. 24

(Government's Exhibit 43 offered and marked into

evidence)

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Why did you seize this particular item?

A. Well, this item -- it's an Eastern Air Lines boarding pass. And this would be a document -- well, the document bears the name of Farinacci on it. This would be a document showing travel of a terrorist.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the word "Terrorist" being used during the proceedings. I think it's vague, imprecise, no apparent meaning. It's not used in the addendum, it's not used in the warrant. And I think it's inappropriate.

THE COURT: I don't know what he means myself. What do you mean by -THE WITNESS: Terrorist?

THE COURT: Oh, I misunderstood

you, I thought -- all right. Well, the word

"terrorist" at this point may go out because it's

not an integral part of the exhibit. The rest of

the statement may remain.

Proceed.

I may say, Counsellor, and for the

benefit of other counsel, since no jury is here, nobody is going to be infected, shall I say, or persuaded by the use of casual terminology. The Judge, the Court won't be persuaded by words of that kind.

MR. AVERY: I know that, Your
Honor. I just, anticipating the trial, thought
we might start training the witnesses early to be
careful in this regard because once we do get the
jury in here, that particular word will cause big
problems.

MS. VAN KIRK: Well, Your Honor, I believe that that, given these agents' experience, is more of a term of art than anything. And they have a specific basis for that term, which comes from the affidavit and all of their information about this case. So there is a basis for it. I don't really care about that right now. But by my silence, I'm not conceding anything in that respect.

THE COURT: I understand.

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Now, you stated that you used Addendum 2, is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. That's a part of the record; and do you

1 recall every single item that's on Addendum 2? No, I did not. 2 If you saw Addendum 2, would that 3 refresh your recollection? Α. Yes, it would. 5 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, since 6 it's already part of the record, I'm just going 7 to give the witness a copy of Addendum 2. 8 MR. AVERY: I think probably the 9 best thing is to put Exhibit 23 in front of him 10 which I think is the Addendum 2, if I'm not 11 mistaken. 12 MS. VAN KIRK: That's fine. 13 THE COURT: That's already an 14 exhibit? 15 MS. VAN KIRK: That was an exhibit, 16 Your Honor, with respect to the search of Elias 17 Castro-Ramos. So that's -- for the record then, 18 the numbering of the exhibits is running 19 consecutively from one hearing to the other. 20 Just so it's clear that we do have to refer to 21 prior exhibits from another hearing. 22 THE COURT: That was the 23 understanding. 24

25

MS. VAN KIRK: Very well.

25

Farinacci's house.

1 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer it as a full exhibit. 2 THE COURT: Without objection, full 3 exhibit. 5 (Government's Exhibit 42 6 offered and marked into evidence) 7 8 (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Why did you seize Q. that particular item? 10 Well, there are two reasons. This is 11 -- a passport is an identification document. 12 in addition, it's a document that would be 13 evidence of travel of a Machetero member. 14 I show you what has been marked Ω . 15 Government Exhibit 44 for identification. 16 you if you can identify that? 17 (Handing) 18 Yes. These bear our inventory number λ. 19 L 18 A 13. 20 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, for the 21 record that's Government Exhibit 1032. 22 THE WITNESS: I describe these as 23 airline tickets on my inventory. And these were 24 located in the briefcase on the sofa in the 25

1 living room/dining room of Mr. Farinacci's house. MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer 2 it as a full exhibit. 3 THE COURT: Without objection, full 5 exhibit. 6 7 (Government's Exhibit 44 offered and marked into evidence) 9 (BY MS. VAN KIRK: Why did you seize 10 Q. Exhibit 447 11 A: These would also be documents showing 12 travel of a Machetero member. 13 I show you Government Exhibit 45 for 14 identification. I ask if you can identify that? 15 16 (Handing) Α. This is also part of L 18 A 13 17 Yes. which I also described as an airline ticket which 18 was located in the briefcase found on the sofa in 19 the living room/dining room. 20 21 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer 22 it as a full exhibit. MR. AVERY: I'd like to see it 23 first, Your Honor, because I'm a little confused. 24 Are these the exhibits with the stickers on them? 25

MS. VAN KIRK: Yes.

(Off record discussion)

Your Honor, we're going to withdraw

Government Exhibit 45 because it has already been reproduced on Government Exhibit 44.

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Agent Williamson, on Government Exhibit 44, is there anything else other than the boarding ticket or the ticket?
- A. There are two items, an airline ticket and a boarding pass.
 - Q. And your explanation applies to both?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 45 for identification, and I ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

- A. Yes. This piece of paper bears our inventory number L 18 A 23. This is a paper with telephone numbers which was located in a wallet contained in a red bag in the living room/dining room of Mr. Farinacci's house.
 - Q. What kind of a bag was it?
 - A. As I recall, it was a woman's

pocketbook.

it as a full exhibit. This is Government Exhibit 1033.

THE COURT: Without objection, full exhibit.

(Government's Exhibit 45 offered and marked into evidence)

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK: Why did you seize this document?
- A. Well, there are two reasons. First of all, it contains telephone numbers which we were specifically looking for and are specifically listed on the addendum. In addition, this piece of paper with these handwritten numbers there were two other pieces of paper that we had seized that appeared to me to be exactly like this piece of paper in the residence of the defendant Castro-Ramos earlier that day.
- Q. Did you seize those from the residence of Castro-Ramos?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. I show you what has been marked

•

Government Exhibit 46 A and 46 B. I ask you if you can identify each of those?

(Handing)

A. Yes. They're our subinventory number L 18 H 2 E 2, and I have described this as Machetero literature on my inventory. This was located on the dresser in the master bedroom of the defendant Farinacci's residence.

- Q. Go ahead. What's the B?
- A. The B is an English translation of the document.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer both as Government exhibits, and these correspond to Government Exhibit 1034.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, I'm not going to object to the introduction. But I do want to reserve our rights to challenge the translation if, after we have a chance to have our translators look at it, we have any problem with the Government translation. With that reservation, I have no objection.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. VAN KIRK: We have no objection to that, Your Honor.

(Government's Exhibit 46A offered and marked into evidence)

(Government's Exhibit 46B offered and marked into evidence)

THE COURT: Who is this guy

Alejandro Martinez-Vargas; do you know who he is?

THE WITNESS: Yes. He's a

Machetero, Your Honor.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, that was my next question, why he seized that particular document.

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Why did you seize that particular document, Agent Williamson?
- A. Well, this is a letter, handwritten letter, from Alejandro Martinez-Vargas, a known Machetero member. And it was dated July 13, 1985. It was -- and found in the possession of other known Machetero members.
- Q. I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 47 for identification. I ask if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. All right. Yes. This bears our

subinventory number L 18 H 25 B. And this was located on the dresser in the master bedroom of the defendant Farinacci's residence. It's a photocopy of a certificate of deposit in a Banco Cooperativo, C O O P E R A T I V O of Puerto Rico, and it's in the amount of \$16,318. And it's in the names of Pedro Varela, V A R E L A, and/or Maria Fernos. And then the letters I T F and Tanya Varela and Roxana Varela. This is dated December 14, 1983.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer it as a full exhibit. This is Government Exhibit 1035.

THE COURT: Without objection, full exhibit.

(Government's Exhibit 47 offered and marked into evidence)

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK: Why did you seize that?
- A. Two things. This is a financial record. In addition, I was aware that this is shortly after the Wells Fargo robbery in Hartford, Connecticut in which a large sum of

money, over seven million dollars, was missing and unaccounted for.

Q. So on the day of the search, the F B I

had not found the seven million dollars?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, did you know who Pedro Varela was on August 30, 1985?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Did you know who Maria D. Fernos was?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Was there -- who was that?
- A: I knew that Maria Fernos was the defendant, Jorge Farinacci's wife and also a member of the Machetero group.
 - Q. How about Tanya Varela?
 - A. No. I did not know who she was.
 - Q. Roxana Varela?
 - A. No. I did not know who she was.
- Q. I show you what has been marked as

 Government Exhibit 48 for identification; and I

 ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. Yes. This is a photocopy of a passport that we seized on August 30, 1985 bearing the subinventory number L 18 H 28. And this was

١

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

located on the dresser in the master bedroom of Mr. Farinacci's house. This passport is in the name of Maria Dolores Fernos Lopez Cepero, C E -- THE COURT: What's that last name again?

THE WITNESS: It looks like Cepero,

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer it as a full exhibit.

THE COURT: Without objection, full exhibit.

MR. AVERY: I would just like to see it, the sequential number on your list. I would just like to look at the original for a minute before we have this coming in, Your Honor.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, if the witness might be excused to come down and search for that. He knows better than we where it is.

THE COURT: Will you step down and find that, please, the original?

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, I can examine that at the break and save a little time now as long as I reserve an opportunity to come back to this if looking at the --

THE COURT: Certainly.

1 MR. AVERY: Thank you. THE COURT: With that reservation, 2 it may be marked as a full exhibit. 3 MS. VAN KIRK: This is Government Exhibit 1036. 5 6 (Government's Exhibit 48 7 offered and marked into evidence) 8 9 0. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Agent Williamson, 10 why did you seize this particular passport? 11 The passport is an identification 12 document. And it's also evidence of travel of a 13 Machetero member. 14 Q. Was this pursuant to the addendum? 15 Both of those reasons are cited Yes. 16 in the addendum to the search warrant. 17 So you stated it's also an Q. 18 identification document? 19 Yes, that's correct. 20 21 I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 49 A and 49 B for 22 23 identification, and I ask you if you can identify that? 24

(Handing)

What is 49 A?

- A. Forty-nine A is an English translation of a -- it's entitled "Battle Program". And this is -- I would describe this as Machetero literature.
 - Q. What about 49 B?
- A. That's the Spanish document that we actually seized on August 30, 1985.
 - Q. So 49 A is the English translation?
 - A. That's correct.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer both as exhibits.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, I have no objection but again reserving our right to object to the translation. I think Your Honor knows from a previous colloquy on the subject that we do not agree with "Battle Program" as an appropriate translation for "Programa de Lucha."

THE COURT: Struggle?

MR. AVERY: I think struggle is considered more accurate.

MS. VAN KIRK: That's a matter of opinion.

THE COURT: That can be corrected if necessary after you've reviewed the

translation.

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

(Government's Exhibit 49A offered and marked into evidence)

'n

(Government's Exhibit 49B offered and marked into evidence)

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Agent Williamson, why did you seize 49 B?

12 A. Well, this -- it's a terrorist document
13 that would show the plans of the Macheteros for
14 past and future crimes.

Q. Specifically what?

THE COURT: If I looked over the English translation, it would save a lot of questions, it would seem to me.

MR. AVERY: I'd be curious as to an answer to the question. Specifically what, not modified by just generally, but specifically what.

MS. VAN KIRK: I'll withdraw the question. And in summary is a better way to put it.

the different sectors within Puerto Rico that their battle or struggle must be targeted against, including the armed -- there is one section, section 6 on page 12 which is the -- my translation would be the "Armed action and the struggle of the classes" or "battle of the classes."

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Now, for clarity, which are you looking at, the Spanish, 49 B?
- A. Yes. I'm looking at the Spanish on page 12. They're discussing armed action which to me would indicate future terrorist actions
- Q. You have before you, Agent Williamson, Government Exhibit 50 for identification?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What is Government Exhibit 50?
- A. These are instructions to operate equipment and titled in Spanish. These typewritten instructions were located in the residence of the defendant, Jorge Farinacci, inside a briefcase containing some electronic equipment.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer it as a full exhibit.

ł

exhibit.

THE COURT: Without objection, full

MR. AVERY: Is this 1038 on the -- MS. VAN KIRK: Yes, it is.

(Government's Exhibit 50 offered and marked into evidence)

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK: Agent Williamson, I ask you to look at Government Exhibit 51 for identification, and would you tell us if you recognize that?

(Handing)

A. Yes, I do. This is our subinventory number L 18 H 26, which I described as an "Audio sensor in briefcase (Bug detector)." And this was located on the dresser in the master bedroom of Mr. Jorge Farinacci's residence.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer it as a full exhibit.

Look inside it and make sure that's what's there.

MR. AVERY: I would just inquire whether this has a number on the sequential list or not.

1 MS. VAN KIRK: No, it does not. THE COURT: Why is it this doesn't 2 have a number? I thought everything had a 3 number. MS. VAN KIRK: It's not on the 5 exhibit list, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: In other words, you're 7 not going to use it in your principal case then. 8 MS. VAN KIRK: That's correct, Your 9 Honor, as of today. 10 THE COURT: Can I see it? I've 11 never seen one of those. 12 13 (Government's Exhibit 51 14 offered and marked into evidence) 15 16 Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Agent Williamson, is 17 there any document in there? 18 Yes. There is the translation of the Α. 19 Spanish instructions. 20 Q. Is that Government Exhibit 50? 21 Yes. Α. 22 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we're 23 24 going to offer this as a separate exhibit for

clarity's sake, perhaps marking it 51 A. And

we'll have to get a copy.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, could I

just inquire for sake of clarification while

we're at this point, on the record, whether this

is a translation that's been prepared subsequent

to the seizure or whether it's claimed that this

was found in there on August 30th.

MS. VAN KIRK: The agent can answer that.

THE WITNESS: May I look at the document?

(Handing)

This is -- appears to be -- by the notation at the top of this, this was prepared subsequent to the search. It was a translation prepared subsequently.

THE COURT: What is it a copy of?

THE WITNESS: Government's Exhibit

50 which are the instructions in Spanish for this device.

THE COURT: Without objection, full exhibit.

MS. VAN KIRK: That's marked Government Exhibit 50 A.

(Government's Exhibit 50A offered and marked into evidence)

THE COURT: All right. It's now 11:30. We'll take our usual recess.

(Recess)

MS. BACKIEL: Your Honor, if I could take a moment to address a problem.

Earlier in Agent Williamson's testimony, he described Government Exhibit 43, which is a boarding pass, as a terrorist document or evidence of terrorism. And there was some colloquy about the lack of usefulness of that term and its various possibilities for different media interpretation.

My objection, at this point, Your

Honor, is not from the perspective of simply the

overbreadth of the search, my objection is with

regard to Agent Williamson's persistent use of

the term. Twice after that colloquy, he referred

to something as a terrorist document, terrorist

this or terrorist that. Although there is not a

jury present in the courtroom today with twelve people, there is a jury in the community.

R

There are representatives of the press present in the courtroom. There are representatives of the public present in the courtroom. And Agent Williamson's persistent gratuitous use of the term "terrorist" is simply part of a strategy that began at or before the defendants' arrest to characterize them in the eyes of the public, to stigmatize them and to prejudice any further proceedings in this case.

Your Honor, we cannot have a fair trial after the defendants have been convicted in the minds of the F B I, in the mind of the Attorney General and, by their deliberate actions, in the press and in the minds of public as terrorists.

And Your Honor must exert this control over this gratuitous use of the word because it's deliberately designed to make it impossible for the people who are on trial here to have any kind of fair trial in this jurisdiction. And control over that situation is Your Honor's responsibility.

And I ask that you instruct Agent Williamson not to persist in this. I don't think

that any instruction can cure the damage that's already been done. But these gratuitous references are deliberate. They're prejudicial and they have no part in any kind of legal proceedings here.

THE COURT: Thank you.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, the issue here is what Agent Williamson was thinking at the time that he seized a particular document.

THE COURT: Why don't we use the word Los Macheteros instead terrorist for the moment and serve everybody's purpose. And it won't make one bit of difference one way or the other.

MS. VAN KIRK: We have no objection to that.

THE COURT: Proceed.

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 52 for identification, and ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. Yes. This is a copy of a handwritten letter that bears our inventory number L 18

(Government's Exhibit 52 offered and marked into evidence)

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Now, why did you seize this particular document?

A. This letter is signed by Alejandro

Martinez-Vargas who is a known Machetero. And

below his name, it says (Joe), J O E which is one

of his Machetero code names. And this is

addressed to Fari, F A R I, which is a code name,

Machetero code name for the defendant. Based

upon that, I seized this document.

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 53 for identification, and I ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. Yes. This is a photocopy of a document that we seized, the inventory numbers L 18 H 2 D, which is also -- I described as Macheteros literature. This is a Macheteros communique.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer it as a full exhibit.

MR. AVERY: I'd like to see a copy

of it, Your Honor. I don't have that.

(Handing)

Could I just take a moment, Your Honor, and I can find our copy of it.

THE COURT: So the Court may know where we're going on this particular issue, do I understand the Government has in this big box the list of exhibits which are listed which they propose to use in their affirmative case?

MS. VAN KIRK: Some of them, yes,
Your Honor. However, some of them are exhibits
that we will not introduce in our case in chief
but which are relevant to the inquiry before the
Court, overbreadth, reasonableness of the search.

THE COURT: All right. Just so I know what the distinction was.

MS. VAN KIRK: I might add, Your Honor, some of these are the defendants exhibits. But we want to keep them all together.

MR. AVERY: It's going to turn out, if the Court has no objection, that there are going to be two copies of some of these introduced because over the weekend I had prepared all of the ones we intend to offer. And it turns out Ms. Van Kirk is going to offer some

1 of them, to which I have no objection. But I don't want to renumber ours. 2 THE COURT: How many are yours, 3 Counsellor? MR. AVERY: How many exhibits do I 5 have? 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 MR. AVERY: I think I have over a 8 hundred. 9 THE COURT: How many does the 10 Government have? 11 MS. VAN KIRK: We only have a few 12 more, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Just so I get a picture 14 of it. 15 MR. AVERY: There will only be a 16 few that it's duplicative. 17 MS. VAN KIRK: So we're at the 18 point, Your Honor, you were -- where we're going 19 to offer this as a full exhibit, Government 20 Exhibit 53. 21 THE COURT: Without objection, full 22 exhibit. 23 24 25

(Government's Exhibit 53 offered and marked into evidence)

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) You stated you received this because it was a Machetero communique?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
 - Q. Generally what is the subject?
- A. It's a -- like an open invitation to the youth of Puerto Rico and to the people in general to participate in a -- it looks like some sort of a march called -- I guess my translation would be "Walkers for Peace." And it talks about the importance of demonstrating militancy. It's dated June 5 of 1985.
- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 54 for identification. And I ask if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. This roll of film bears our inventory number L 18 F 1, which I described on my inventory as a movie tape. This was found in a box in the closet in room F, which is the study in Mr. Farinacci's residence.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor we offer it as a full exhibit.

THE COURT: Without objection, full exhibit.

(Government's Exhibit 54 offered and marked into evidence)

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Why did you seize that item?
- A. There were two reasons. We were looking for film and photographs, and this movie has the label on the front of it. It says, "Cuba, Number 1" as the subject. And the defendant's wife at the time we were reviewing this evidence, she made statements that this film was of their trip to Cuba. And I was aware that the Macheteros had a connection with training and support in Cuba and information that some of the Wells Fargo money was in Cuba.
- Q. Now, I direct your attention back to Government Exhibit 51, the bug detector?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Why did you seize that; that was at the break, and I forgot to ask you that?

.

now?

frequencies. It's a type of receiver and transmitter which we were looking for. That's one of the items referred to in the addendum.

In addition, it's the type of security

Well, there were several reasons for

In addition, it's the type of security device which was also referred to in the addendum. And I was aware that the Macheteros would sweep their safe houses and rooms where they met and vehicles for electronic eavesdropping devices.

In addition, when I first arrived at the residence, Special Agent Miller advised me that there had been a discussion concerning this item of evidence, that Mrs. Fernos had stated that the agents had planted this item in the defendant's house, and that, in fact, when I made the determination to seize it, she also made comments that she had never seen this item in the house before and that she didn't know where it came from. So she seemed to think it was extremely important.

THE COURT: Which item was this

THE WITNESS: That's the bug

detector, Your Honor.

t

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, I might add, certain of these exhibits are bulky, like for instance that briefcase with the bug detector in it. I would request that the F B I maintain custody of those particular exhibits because of their bulk. It's something we couldn't reproduce by photocopy so -- and I have a weapon also. And we would request that all of these bulky exhibits remain in the custody of the F B I.

THE COURT: Any objection to that, Counsellor?

MR. AVERY: As long as they're maintained here in this building, I have no objection to it. I don't want them going down to Puerto Rico or the F B I lab or out of the building.

THE COURT: Maintained in this -
MS. VAN KIRK: They will be
maintained and marked for future reference just
as they are marked here.

 \mathbf{C}

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you

Government Exhibit 55 for identification, and I ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. Yes. This is L 18 H 1. This is a Walther P P K. It's a type of automatic pistol,

7.65 millimeter pistol that we seized from the defendant Farinacci's residence. This was located in the master bedroom on the top shelf of the closet.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer it as a full exhibit.

THE COURT: Without objection, full exhibit.

(Government's Exhibit 55 offered and marked into evidence)

THE COURT: Let me see that.

(Handing)

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 56 for identification, and I ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. Yes, this is our subinventory number

L 18 H 9. This is a cassette tape that we seized

on the dresser and in the master bedroom of the

residence of Mr. Farinacci. And the --

1 Q. Excuse me. MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer 2 this as a full exhibit. 3 THE COURT: Without objection, full 4 exhibit. 5 6 (Government's Exhibit 56 7 offered and marked into evidence) 8 9 (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Why did you seize Q. 10 that, Agent Williamson? 11 Α. The tape bears the handwritten writing 12 on it "Programa Noti-uno Macheteros." 13 Do you know what Noti-uno is? Q. 14 Α. That's a radio station in Puerto Rico. 15 THE COURT: Without objection, full 16 exhibit. 17 , C. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you what has 18 been marked Government Exhibit 57 for 19 identification, and I ask you if you can identify 20 that? 21 22 (Handing) Yes. This is our subinventory number 23 Α. L 18 H 3. This is a clip for an automatic 24 pistol. This was on my inventory notes, this was

a box for the Walther P P K automatic pistol containing one clip. This was found in the closet in the master bedroom.

Q. I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 58 for identification, and I ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. Yes. That's L 18 H 3 which is -that's a box for a Walther P P listed -- and on
the end of the box it's listed Walther P P K S.
This is a box for the same type of weapon that we
seized:

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer both as full exhibits, 57 and 58.

THE COURT: Without objection, full exhibits.

(C

(Government's Exhibit 57 offered and marked into evidence)

(Government's Exhibit 58 offered and marked into evidence)

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Now, with respect to 57 and 58, why did you seize those?

specifically looking for weapons. And this box gives identifying serial numbers of a weapon.

This would be used as evidence to identify a weapon.

- Q. Did you seize any Smith and Wesson firearms in the house?
 - A. No, we did not.
- Q. Agent Williamson, I show you what is marked Government Exhibit 60 for identification, and ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. This is L 18 L 1-A. This was the clip that was located with the Walther P P K S automatic pistol in the closet of the defendant Farinacci's master bedroom.

THE COURT: Was it two clips? You mentioned one before.

THE WITNESS: This was the clip
that was in the pistol. The other clip was -THE COURT: This is actually in the
pistol, is that it?

THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I see. How many

bullets does it hold; ten?

THE WITNESS: I don't know exactly,

1 Your Honor. THE COURT: You didn't count them. 2 All right. 3 MR. AVERY: That's number 60, Your Honor in --5 MS. VAN KIRK: Yes, 60. 6 7 (Government's Exhibit 60 R offered and marked into evidence) 9 10 (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you what has Q. 11 been marked Government Exhibit 61, and I ask you 12 if you can identify that? 13 (Handing) 14 This is L 18 H 5 A and B. Α. These are 15 twenty rounds of 32 caliber automatic ammunition 16 that were located in this box. And the B are the 17 actual rounds that were subsequently removed from 18 the box. 19 What caliber are those? Ω . 20 Thirty-two, .32 automatic. Α. 21 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer 22 this as a full exhibit. 23 24 MR. AVERY: Could I just see that for a moment, Your Honor? 25

ŀ	
1	(Handing)
2	MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, full
3	exhibit?
4	THE COURT: Full Exhibit.
5	
6	(Government's Exhibit 61 offered and marked into
7	evidence)
8	
9	Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Did you find a .32
10	automatic weapon in the house?
11	A. No.
12	Q: I show you what has been marked
13	Government Exhibit 62 for identification, and I
14	ask you if you can identify that?
15	A. Yes. This is our inventory number L 18
16	H 13. These were thirty-five rounds of thirty
17	caliber carbine ammunition. These were located
18	in the closet of the master bedroom.
19	MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer
20	this as a full exhibit.
21	MR. AVERY: May I have a look at
22	that, Your Honor?
23	(Handing)
24	
25	

1 (Government's Exhibit 62 offered and marked into evidence) 2 3 THE COURT: What kind of ammunition? 5 6 THE WITNESS: It's .30 caliber 7 carbine ammunition. 8 Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Is that a rifle? 9 Α. Yes. Q. Did you find a thirty caliber rifle in 10 the house? Α. 12 No, we didn't. Why did you seize these particular 13 Q. items? 14 Once again, there were two reasons. 15 These also could be used to identify a firearm 16 used by the Macheteros. And I was aware that in 17 the search of one of their safe houses, a .30 18 caliber carbine was located. 19 Is there anything special about the 20 actual bullets? 21 Well, the majority of the rounds are 22 jacketed. 23

What does that mean, jacketed?

Well, over the lead projectile there is

24

25

Q.

Α.

a metal jacket; however, some of the rounds are what we call semi-jacketed -- only a part of the round is jacketed.

- Q. What's the difference between the two?
- A. Well, a jacketed round will penetrate into an object farther; whereas, a round that has less of a jacket on it, will mushroom out upon impact.

THE COURT: Is it designed, if you know, to penetrate bulletproof vests?

THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor, it would be depend on the vest. These would penetrate some bulletproof vests. But there are other vests that would be able to withstand being shot by them.

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 63 for identification, and I ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. Yes. This is marked with our inventory Number L 18 H 16. These are one through fifty.

MR. AVERY: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

Could I have that inventory number again?

THE WITNESS: Yes, it's L 18 H 16.

MR. AVERY: H 16?

2

THE WITNESS: H 16, one through

3

fifty.

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

5

4

THE WITNESS: These are fifty

6

rounds of 380 caliber automatic ammunition.

7

These were also located in the closet of the

8

master bedroom.

9

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer

10

these as full exhibits.

11

THE COURT: How can you tell if

12

they're designed for an automatic weapon?

13

THE WITNESS: Well, on the base of each round, Your Honor, it will identify in small

14 15

writing what kind of ammunition it is.

16

THE COURT: Does it take a special

17

kind of ammunition for a certain rifle or gun for

18

automatic purposes?

19

THE WITNESS: Well, yes, Your

20

Honor. This would fit specifically -- a gun

21

designed for this type of ammunition could be a

22

23

semi-automatic pistol.

THE COURT: All right.

24

1 (Government's Exhibit 63 offered and marked into 2 evidence) 3 4 Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Why did you seize 5 those? 6 For the same reason. This would 7 identify a firearm used by Macheteros. I show you what has been marked 8 Q. 9 Government Exhibit 64 for identification, and I 10 ask you if you can identify that? 11 (Handing) A : 12 Yes. This is L 18 H 7. This is an 13 empty box for .32 caliber automatic ammunition. This was located in the closet of the master 14 bedroom. 15 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer 16 it as a full exhibit. 17 THE COURT: Without objection, full 18 exhibit. 19 20 21 (Government's Exhibit 64 offered and marked into 22 evidence) 23 24 Ω. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Did you find a .32 25 automatic weapon in the house?

.

A. No, we did not.

Q. I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 65 for identification, and I ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. Yes. This is L 18 H 8, which is -it's a holster for a gun. And we found this on
the dresser in the master bedroom.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer it as a full exhibit.

THE COURT: Full exhibit.

(Government's Exhibit 65 offered and marked into evidence)

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Does that type of holster have a name or type?
- A. It's a concealment holster. This would fit inside your pants with just the clip going over your belt.

MR. AVERY: I'm going to object to the characterization, Your Honor. I think it's -- I'm going to object to the characterization. First of all, I don't think it is a fair characterization. But secondly, I think it's

it.

exhibit.

expertise. How that holster is worn depends on the wearer to this extent.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, this witness is a F B I agent trained in firearms.

And he knows what a concealment holster is.

THE COURT: The Court will allow

THE WITNESS: By the nature of the holster, the rough leather. It's kept rough so that when it's placed inside your pants, it won't slip out, and the clip goes over the belt

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show what you has been marked Government Exhibit 66 for identification, and I ask you if you can identify that?

(Handing)

A. This is an empty box for a Beretta

pistol. This is H 14. This was located in the

closet of the master bedroom.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer it as a full exhibit.

THE COURT: Without objection, full

1 (Government's Exhibit 66 offered and marked into evidence) 2 3 (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Did you find a Q. Beretta firearm in the residence? 5 No, we did not. Α. 6 I show you what has been marked 7 Government Exhibit 67 for identification, and I 8 ask you if you can identify that? 9 (Handing) 10 11 Α. Yes. This is L 18 H 20. This is a gun 12 cleaning kit that we found in the closet. This kit is for a .32 caliber pistol. 13 THE COURT: Without objection full 14 exhibit. 15 16 (Government's Exhibit 67 17 offered and marked into evidence) 18 19 Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Why did you seize 20 that? 21 Well, it was my opinion this could be 22 used to identify a weapon. 23 What is inside there? 24 Q. 25 Α. There are gun cleaning patches, gun

cleaning fluid, grease that could be used in a weapon. This is a brush used to clean a gun.

(Indicating)

THE COURT: These are all designed for a hand weapon, right?

THE WITNESS: Well, this kit is specifically designed for a .32 caliber weapon.

THE COURT: But they're all hand weapons. They're not carbines or rifles, right; you'd have to have a longer cleaning tube for that, wouldn't you?

THE WITNESS: That's correct, Your Honor. Only the cleaner and the patches could be used in a different weapon. But this is designed for a handgun.

Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) I show you what has been marked Government Exhibit 68 for identification, and I ask you if I can identify that?

(Handing)

A. Yes. This is L 18 H 23, which I described on my inventory as a "General Services Administration San Juan red visitor tag." This was located on the dresser in the master bedroom.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we offer

it as a full exhibit.

THE COURT: What's a red visitor

tag; what's the meaning of that? Is there a blue

one and yellow one; is there something unusual

about a red one?

THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor, since this was seized -- I know they use different colors since the time that this was seized.

THE COURT: What would that be used for, so I can see the significance of it?

for visitors entering the courthouse in old San

Juan. It is the same courthouse that was

attacked by a bazooka by the Macheteros and

normally these -- the rule is these visitors

tags must be returned at the end of each day when

the person leaves the courthouse.

THE COURT: All right.

THE WITNESS: So you're not allowed to keep those.

- Q. (BY MS. VAN KIRK) Is there any requirement to get one?
- A. As each person enters the courthouse, they must leave a form of identification with the

1 quard. And then they're given a visitor's badge. Then when they return it, they receive 2 their identification? 3 That's correct. Α. 4 5 THE COURT: Without objection, full 6 exhibit. 7 8 (Government's Exhibit 68 offered and marked into 9 evidence) 10 MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, I have 11 nothing further. Perhaps if the agent could be 12 excused momentarily, so he can bring down the 13 exhibits -- well --14 THE COURT: You may replace them. 15 16 All right. The witness will resume the stand, and we will proceed. 17 MR. AVERY: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 MS. VAN KIRK: Excuse me, 19 Counsellor. 20 21 MR. AVERY: Your Honor, let me pass 22 up to the Court -- Ms. Onorato has one. But I 23 have an extra one for the Court which is a list 24 of exhibits that we'll be offering. And I might

also suggest -- I don't know if Your Honor has

that exhibit list is prepared in the same order

as the exhibits described in Exhibit C which is

Mr. Farinacci's affidavit in support of the

Motion to Suppress. So if the Court were to have
that Exhibit C in front of you as we proceed, it

might be a useful reference from time to time.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. AVERY: I would begin, Your Honor, by moving into evidence Exhibits 168 through 257, which have been shown to the prosecutor previously and examined by the Government.

THE COURT: Without objection.

MS. VAN KIRK: No objection, Your Honor. I would just like to reserve the right, if it's not in order or doesn't correspond with mine, to object. But I believe they're in order at this time.

THE COURT: Very well. They may be made full exhibits, each of them.

Thank you.

(Defendant's Exhibit 168 - 257 offered and marked for identification)

MR. AVERY: Thank you, Your Honor.

I would then start the hearing by showing the

Court 168 through 185 which constitute photos of

Mr. Farinacci's residence so that the Court might

be oriented to the physical area that we'll be

referring to in the examination.

THE COURT: Very good.

MR. AVERY: I think those are self-explanatory, Your Honor. They're in an order which takes you from the outside of the premises through the various rooms and then ultimately into the backyard area of the home.

THE COURT: Were those photographs taken, Counsellor, as part of the search either before or after; or were they separate photographs taken at your direction?

MR. AVERY: No. Those were taken by Mr. Reilly, Special Agent Reilly, during the search. And I think he went over them with Ms. Van Kirk the other day.

THE COURT: Very well. All right.

 MR. AVERY: May I just have a word with your clerk, Your Honor.

MS. VAN KIRK: What were those numbers again? That's 168 through 185?

MR. AVERY: 168 through 257 and

photos run through 185.

Now, may I proceed, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. AVERY

Q. Agent Williamson, after the search was completed on August 30th, 1985 and up to the present time, did you make or adopt any writings concerning the activity of that day in connection with the search of Mr. Farinacci's house?

A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell us, sir, what writings you, yourself, made in connection with that search?

A. I did an N D 302 which are our investigative report forms. I did one on the

search. I did -- as I recall, I did one on the

-- specifically on the interference with the

search by Miss Fernos. And I did one other on an
incident that occurred with Ms. Fernos and myself
subsequently.

- Q. But on August 30th of 1985 or on another occasion?
 - A. On another occasion.
- Q. You had an incident with Miss Fernos on another day other than August 30th of 1985?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q: On what day was that incident, sir?
- A. I don't recall the exact date without reviewing my 302. But it was in -- I believe it was in October of 1985.
- Q. Can you just tell us without going into any detail at this time, where did that incident occur?
- A. It occurred outside of the Federal Building in Hato Rey, Puerto Rico.
- Q. All right. Now, other than the 302 describing the search and the 302 describing what you characterize as Ms. Fernos' interference with the search, did you make any other writings yourself describing the search or the activities

1 of the agents on August 30th? 2 Α. No. Did you adopt or sign any writings 3 prepared by anybody else concerning the August 4 30th search? 5 6 Α. No. 7 Who prepared the search log for the Q. search of Mr. Farinacci's house? 8 Special Agent David Miller. 9 Α. Did you have to approve that search 10 Ω. log? 11 Α: No. 12 Did you have to review it? 13 Q. Α. No. 14 Did you review it? Q. 15 Α. I have subsequently. 16 Q. When did you review it? 17 I don't recall when the first time that Α. 18 I reviewed it was. 19 Q. When was the last time? 20 Yesterday. Α. 21 Q. Was that in order to refresh your 22 23 recollection to prepare you to testify here

That's correct.

24

25

today?

Α.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

1.4

15

16-

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

- Q. And had you -- on how many occasions had you reviewed it prior to that?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. That was a search log that was prepared initially at your direction on August 30th, isn't that correct?
 - A. No, that's not correct.
- Q. As the search team leader, didn't you have the responsibility to designate someone to prepare a log of the search?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q: Didn't you designate Mr. Miller to prepare such a log?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you fail to complete your responsibility to designate someone to do a search log?
- A. No. Mr. Miller told me that he had been keeping a log from the time that he arrived at Mr. Farinacci's house throughout the day. And that he offered to continue that log.
- Q. And so you agreed then, did you, to designate him to keep the search log since he had already started it?
 - A. I agreed that he would continue the

log, yes.

Q. And to that extent, Mr. Miller kept the search log which you were supposed to designate someone to keep, right; I mean, without fencing over words, he did the search log?

A. He did the search log.

of a log. It can mean a lot of things to a lot of people. What do you mean by a search log?

THE WITNESS: Well, Your Honor, the log was -- it was handwritten notations with times and important events that happened. It can be either for an arrest or a search or some other event. In this case it was for Mr. -- Mr. Miller had started a log noting certain events that were significant throughout the day of August 30th, 1985 at Mr. Farinacci's residence.

"significant" -- in your training, what does that mean for this purpose?

if I were keeping the log, I would note the time that it -- we knocked and announced on the front door of the residence, the time that we began searching the residence, the time that the

- '

inventory is left with the person at the residence.

THE COURT: All right. That's sufficient. Without defining it, I can draw my own conclusions.

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) How many times had you reviewed that search log before you reviewed it yesterday?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Well, do you recall whether you looked at it to refresh your recollection before you testified in the hearings regarding the search of Mr. Castro's house last week?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. So after those hearings were conducted, then in preparation for today you reviewed it to refresh your recollection to testify today?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Had you looked at it shortly after the search on August 30th to see if it was consistent with your own recollection of the events of that day?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Well, did you interpret your responsibility to -- as search team leader, not

only to make sure someone kept a log but to review it afterwards to see that the log was accurate?

- A. The normal procedure would have been for me to have reviewed it and signed the log.
 - Q. But you didn't sign this one?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. But normal procedure would be for you to have reviewed it, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you don't recall whether you reviewed it in this instance or not?
 - A. No, I don't.
- Q. All right. Now, in addition to that item, did you make a special inventory of any items seized under the so-called "plain view doctrine" at Mr. Farinacci's house?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Your instructions for the searches on August 30th required you to keep a separate inventory list of any items seized under the plain view doctrine, isn't that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. May we take it then that your failure to generate any such a list means that you didn't

seize any items under the plain view doctrine?

- A. It means that at the time I did not think that the items, any of the items I seized, were seized for that reason.
- Q. Yes. On August 30th, 1985 you thought all the items you seized were being seized because they were described in the warrant, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Not because they were outside the warrant but evidence to you of crime, correct; in other words, items in plain view?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you keep a list of any items which you seized which were of immediate lead value in your investigation?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you note any items of obvious importance or lead value in any memorandum of any kind?
- A. There were certain notations I made on the evidence inventory form under the remarks column by certain items of evidence. But those are the only specific notations about items of evidence that I made.

Q. Were any of those notations you made in the remarks column made for the purpose of indicating that those particular items were of immediate lead value in the investigation?

A. Yes.

Q. All right.

MR. AVERY: May I have a moment,
Your Honor?

(Pause)

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) You have an inventory in front of you on the witness stand, do you, sir?

A. Yes.

MR. AVERY: May I inquire of the Clerk or perhaps of opposing counsel. I don't know whether that's introduced in evidence.

THE COURT: Has it been marked?

MS. VAN KIRK: The first thing -we inquired to see if it was. And we were
assured it was.

THE CLERK: It's from Mr. Castro-Ramos' exhibits.

MR. AVERY: Do you know the number?

THE COURT: In other words, there
isn't a separate one for each location searched,

is that right?

THE CLERK: It's the subinventory,

Defendant's Exhibit 42.

MR. AVERY: Well, I think that probably relates to the Castro-Ramos search. I doubt if one from Mr. Farinacci's search has been marked in evidence.

THE COURT: Maybe counsel for the Government could advise us.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, it was my understanding that the Government had filed a copy of the search warrant, the affidavit and the inventory and that was a part of the record earlier. That was my understanding.

THE COURT: I think I have one here attached to counsel's papers. Whether it's an original or a copy, I don't know. I'll let you advise me.

MR. AVERY: If it's part of our papers, it's undoubtedly a copy.

THE COURT: They appear to be part of your papers.

MR. AVERY: May I approach the witness, Your Honor, just to see what he's referring to?

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, by

agreement, we would offer this as the next

defense exhibit. And then I'll put it back in

front of the witness so that he can refer to it.

I might say, Your Honor, that there are some

little notations the agent has made on there

subsequent to the search which counsel agree are

inconsequential.

MS. VAN KIRK: What was the number? THE CLERK: 258.

(Defendant's Exhibit 258 offered and marked into evidence)

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) All right, Agent Williamson, I'd like you to tell me which items in the remarks column you say relate to items of immediate lead value.

A. Any of the remarks. I would have written something in the remarks column that I thought was significant to recall at a later time, that the first ones were marked on A 2, that wife was allowed to copy certain phone numbers. That would not have been for any type

of lead value.

- Q. Would not have been?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. All right.
- A. The -- on A 19 where we seized a maroon leather briefcase, I made the notation that the items seized above, that were marked "Briefcase and a receipt for cash", that's where those items were contained therein.
- Ω . What does that "Receipt for cash" refer to?
- A: There was -- there were some -- as I recall there was some cash located in the leather briefcase. And we left the cash at the residence but made a receipt to put in the briefcase to show how much cash had been located therein.
 - Q. Do you recall how much that was?
 - A. No, I don't.
 - Q. All right. Go ahead.
 - A. So the --
- Q. That was not then for lead value, this notation; that's just explaining your inventory?
- A. Right. And that could be used for lead value, depending on what the agents working on the terrorism squad thought was relevant about

those items that I seized being contained altogether in that briefcase.

And A 24 -- A 24 is an I D card, yellow V I P is the way I described it. And the remarks -- I noted Dorado Condo. That was placed there for lead value to highlight that identification card related to a condominium in Dorado, Puerto Rico.

And on page 4 on B 1, the remarks -there were checks and financial records. And
under the remarks I put "Noted payments to
Macheteros."

- Q. Now, when you say, "Noted payments to Macheteros", what do you mean by that, sir?
- A. It means that the agent that reviewed those documents noted from his own knowledge that there were payments within those financial records made to Macheteros, Machetero members.
- Q. In other words, what you're telling us is that there were some checks that were drawn in favor of people that you believed were members of the Macheteros, is that right?
- A. As I recall, the agent that found these records was Agent Hiller. And when he looked through the records, he told me that they showed

payments made to Macheteros members.

2

Q. Did you hear my question?

3

4

A. Can you repeat it, please.

5

you're saying is that you found checks or he

My question was, in other words, what

_

wrote checks, that checks had been drawn to

7

people that you believed were members of the

8

Macheteros, is that right; now, you can answer

9

that with a simple yes, can't you?

10

A. I did not find them. That's why I

11

explained my answer.

Q.

12

Q: Because you've stated several times in the last several days here that Agent Miller

13

didn't make the determination of what to seize,

15

did he; or did he in this instance?

16

A. I made the final determination.

17

Q. All right. And so what you're telling us -- for example, you didn't find checks drawn

19

18

to the Macheteros as such, did you?

20

A. I don't know. I don't recall. I don't

21

recall.

Q. Wouldn't that stand out in your mind if

23

22

you saw a check actually drafted in favor of the Macheteros; wouldn't that stand out in your mind?

24

A. Yes.

Well, you didn't have anything like that standing out in your mind, do you, sir? All right. So all you're telling us here is that you saw checks drawn to people that you or Williams believed were members of the I'm trying to tell you exactly what I I'm trying to get you to answer my question. Can you answer that question? All you saw were -- was evidence that checks had been made -- drawn to a particular named individual, isn't that correct? What is it that you don't recall; whether you saw checks drawn -- paid to So you don't know who the checks were drawn to, as you sit here today; is that right? All right. So in other words, you

3 4

6

7

5

8

9 10

11

12

13

15

14

16

18

17

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

you sit here today, is that right?

- Yes. I do know what it means.
- 0. What does it mean then?
- Α. I recall specific conversation with He had taken a considerable amount Agent Miller. of time reviewing these documents. And he told me within those documents there was evidence of payment to Machetero members.
- 0. Did you ask him to whom those checks were drawn?
 - No. I did not. Α.
- So in other words, as far as the Q: determination of whether or not those checks were payment to so-called Machetero members, you left that to Agent -- who did you say?
 - Α. Miller.
- Q. Miller. Instead of making that determination yourself, correct?
 - That's correct. A.
- Q. All right. By the way, did he seize just those checks or did he seize all the checks?
 - Α. I don't know.
- Q. We'll show you the checks in a minute. Now go through the inventory and see if you can find any other remarks that you say led to

so-called "lead value" of evidence?

2

3

5

4

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

The -- on page 8 of the inventory F 1. There are also remarks there that -- that's the movie tape that I testified to previously. And under the remarks I put "Wife says trip to Cuba."

- You thought that was of lead value? Q.
- Α. Yes.
- Didn't Ms. Fernos, in fact, tell you that that was a movie that she and her former husband, Mr. Varela -- a movie of a trip she and her husband, Mr. Varela, had made to Cuba several years before?
 - Α. No.
- She just told you "That's our trip to Q. Cuba"; she didn't tell you when or with whom?
 - Α. That's correct.
 - Q. That's your testimony?
 - Α. Yes.
- Q. This is the only notation you made of that conversation?
 - Α. That's correct.
- I think the next remarks you have in the remarks section is on page 12, isn't that right?
 - A. Yes. That's in the remarks section of

inventory number H 26, which I described as the "Audio sensor and briefcase (Bug detector)." And the remarks were "Separately photographed and checked for explosives."

- Q. Then there were no other remarks in the remarks section of this inventory, isn't that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So those are the only notations -- is it correct to say those are the only notations you made with regard to evidence of any lead value, immediate lead value?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. You were required by your instructions, were you not, to determine items of importance and those of immediate lead value and transport those items in a separate container to the evidence control center, is that right?
 - A. Yes, as I recall.
- Q. Did you transport any items from Mr. Farinacci's house in a marked separate container to the evidence control center because they were of lead value?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you designate someone to have the

1 responsibility of maintaining a photograph log? 2 Α. Yes. Q. Who would that be; Mr. Reilly? 3 It would have been Mr. Reilly's log; 4 5 however, Mr. Caldwell assisted Mr. Reilly in doing the log. 6 Did you review that photograph log and Q. 7 sign it? 8 Not that I recall. 9 λ. Q. Have you reviewed it prior to 10 testifying here today? 11 Α. No. 12 Did you designate someone to make a 13 Q. sketch of the area to be searched? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Who did you designate to make a sketch? Q. 16 Α. Agent Caldwell. 17 Did he make a sketch? Q. 18 Yes. 19 Α. Did he indicate on his sketch where Q. 20 significant items of evidence were located? 21 I don't recall. Α. 22 Have you reviewed that sketch? Q. 23 Yes; not recently. 24 Α.

Did you sign it?

25

Q.

1 I don't recall. I'd have to look at Α. it. 2 Do you recall any discussion with Mr. 3 Q. Caldwell about any items, items of evidence which 5 you found significant in the sense that they should be included on this sketch? 6 7 Α. No. Do you know whether a 302 was prepared 8 Q. 9 indicating that a sketch was made? 10 I believe my 302 would have indicated that. 11 MR. AVERY: If I could have just 12 one second. 13 THE COURT: This is probably a good 14 time to suspend. We can recess until two 15 16 o'clock. 17 MR. AVERY: Your Honor, before we suspend, could I ask that the search log be 18 produced for me? 19 THE COURT: Can you produce that, 20 21 Counsellor? 22 MS. VAN KIRK: Yes. However, there 23 is -- I just want to correct something here. 24 There are only about three entries in the "log", 25 that was prepared by Agent Hiller that pertained

--

a search log. The agent explained that it was a log reflecting events that Mr. Miller observed during the day.

So I didn't intend to call Agent Miller to testify. But I will now since this has been opened up so I will turn it all over. But with the understanding that I'm going to call Agent Miller to testify about all those events during the day.

MR. AVERY: Well, I'm not conceding the relevance of those. We'll take that up when we get to it.

MS. VAN KIRK: If he's not conceding the relevance and my ability to examine the witness, I'll take them out until they become relevant and give him what pertains to this agent's testimony.

THE COURT: We'll wait until it happens. We'll rule on it then.

(Luncheon recess)

1 MR. AVERY: Your Honor, the Clerk has brought into the courtroom the copy of the 2 so-called search plan that was filed by the 3 Government. And I would like it marked as an 4 5 exhibit at this hearing. MS. VAN KIRK: No objection. 6 THE COURT: Do you have that, Hadam 7 Clerk? 8 9 THE CLERK: Is that what you just handed me? 10 Yes, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: I haven't looked at it 12 yet. But I understand it to be advantageous and 13 14 explanatory. MR. AVERY: I think it will be 15 useful to our proceedings. 16 17 THE COURT: It's marked by her as Defendant's Exhibit 259. 18 19 (Defendant's Exhibit 259 20 offered and marked into evidence) 21 22 THE COURT: Do you want the witness 23 24 to use this, Counsellor, or are you asking the Court to use it? 25

MR. AVERY: I do want to ask the witness a question about page 10, Your Honor.

And it maybe would be fairer to him if we put that page in front of him.

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Do you have page 10 in front of you, Agent Williamson?

A. Yes.

Q. This search plan did you, I think as we discussed this morning, as the team leader, designate someone to do a search log; and page 10 describes what that search log should contain, isn't that correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, sir, I want to show you a document.

MR. AVERY: Could you mark this for identification as the next one?

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) I want to show you, sir, what's been marked as defense Exhibit 260 for identification which is the document that Ms. Van Kirk just furnished to me after the luncheon recess, and ask you if that is the search log for this particular search?

(Handing)

A. Yes.

1 Q. All right. MR. AVERY: Could that be marked as 2 a full exhibit, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Without objection a 5 full exhibit. MS. VAN KIRK: Exhibit number on 6 7 that, please? MR. AVERY: 260. 8 9 10 (Defendant's Exhibit 260 offered and marked into evidence) 11 12 13 (BY MR. AVERY) Now, Agent Williamson, 0. that document, 260, that we've just marked as an 14 exhibit which you've identified as the search 15 log, does not contain all the information listed 16 on page 10 of Exhibit 258, does it, sir? 17 No, it does not. Α. 18 Is there another document which 19 20 contains the rest of the information suggested on page 10? 21 I believe the first part of this log 22 Λ . 23 would contain some of that information.

A. I'm not sure.

 Ω •

You're not sure?

24

25

- A. It would have been for this hearing.
- Q. All right.

24

25

MR. AVERY: Could I ask that that

be produced, Your Honor, inasmuch as the witness used it to refresh his recollection.

THE COURT: Do you have that? Is that going to be a Government exhibit or a defense exhibit? I presume Agent Reilly will be testifying.

MR. AVERY: I'm not sure we should offer it as an exhibit.

THE COURT: It should be marked for identification at least, shouldn't it?

MS. VAN KIRK: The Government will introduce it when Agent Reilly testifies.

THE COURT: What number do you suggest?

THE CLERK: Excuse me, Your Honor.

MS. VAN KIRK: It could have the

last Government exhibit or last defense.

THE CLERK: Government Exhibit 69.

THE COURT: Sixty-nine. It may be marked for identification. Government Exhibit 69, Reilly, Agent Reilly.

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Now, are there any other documents that contain the information suggested on page 10; you've identified your own 302, this search log that we've marked here in

, ,

evidence as Exhibit 260 and Agent Reilly's; are there any others?

- A. The search inventory would contain some of the information.
 - Q. All right. Any others?
- A. And the original warrant, when I returned that to the Court in Puerto Rico.
 - Q. All right. Any other documents?
 - A. I believe that's all.
- Q. All right. Now, according to page 10, you are to indicate on the search log the time any highly significant evidence is located on the premises; is any such indication made on Exhibit 260?
 - A. No.
- Q. May we take it then that you didn't locate any evidence which was "Highly significant" within the meaning of your instructions on page 10?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. Now, you stated that you went to a variety of briefings in San Juan before these searches were conducted; and that one of the these briefings was conducted by a Mr. Dwight Dennett, is that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Who was Dwight Dennett; I know he was the search team coordinator, other than that who was he?
 - A. He was an agent on the terrorist squad.
- Q. How many briefings did you go to at which Agent Dennett led the briefing?
- A. I don't recall an exact number. There were a few briefings.
 - Q. More than one?
 - A. Yes.
- Q: Can you tell us what you do recall about the instructions given by Agent Dennett at those briefings?
- A. I recall that we discussed various items that were in this search package as well as other questions that would arise about the nature of the investigation, the timing of the searches and the arrests. Also questions would come up, logistical questions, about the agents that were not from the San Juan division, legal questions.
- Q. Let me interrupt you just to point you directly to what I'm interested in. Do you recall anything that Agent Dennett told you in these briefings about what you could seize and

1 what you couldn't seize? Not specific comments. 2 You don't have any recollection of Q. 3 that? 4 No. 5 Α. And you indicated that you went to at Q. 6 least one briefing where the principal legal 7 advisor discussed the legal issues in connection 8 with these searches, is that correct? 9 10 Α. Yes. Q. Who was the principal legal advisor? 11 Α. His name is Larry Likar, L I K A R. 12 13 Q. Would you spell that last name again? LIKAR. Α. Ω . He's an attorney, is he? 15 THE COURT: First name? 16 THE WITNESS: I believe it's 17 He goes by Larry. Lawrence. 18 (BY MR. AVERY) Is he an attorney, sir? Q. 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. With the Department of Justice, I take 21 it? 22 23 Α. Yes. Do you know what his assignment was at 24 $Q \bullet$ that time? 25

A. He's also a special agent of the F B I.

2

Q. I see. Do you recall anything that Mr.

3

present at where he spoke about what could be

Likar said to you in any briefing you were

5

seized and what couldn't be seized during the

6

7

searches?

recall?

A. I don't recall the specifics.

8

Q. Did he address that issue; or don't you

9

A. I don't recall.

11

10

Q. All right.

12

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, we can take

13

this up later if you wish. But I do want to indicate as soon as possible so that we won't

14

waste time later in logistics that I would move

. 16

to have Mr. Dennett and Mr. Likar brought in for

17

the same reasons we were seeking Nr. Held. The

18

Government says Mr. Held didn't address these

19

issues but these two gentlemen apparently did.

20

I'd appreciate it if the Government would begin

21

making arrangements to have them brought here.

THE COURT: Are they available,

22

Counsellor?

24

MS. VAN KIRK: Well, they're in

25

Puerto Rico, I believe, Your Honor. But I'm not

really certain about what the significance of the relevance is of that to the issues in this case.

Regardless of what anybody told the agent, the issue is what, in fact, he did.

But you see you can't deprive your adversary of such facts as may, and I repeat, may permit him to argue. But to send agents out, even intelligent well-trained agents, into a new area for a specific search without more specific directions, is illegal. I presume that's going to be approached. And I don't think the Court should deprive him of that opportunity.

MS. VAN KIRK: That's why we introduced the plan. It's not going to get any more specific than that. This is what was --

THE COURT: You may be right. But until, at least, particularly -- maybe not Dennett so much but this Lawrence Likar, the legal advisor, I would think he would be the real crucial one. You'd have to hear what he told them.

MR. AVERY: I'd want to hear both.

MS. VAN KIRK: Then the defense

will prepare a subpoena, and we'll accept

service.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. AVERY: Thank you, Your Honor.

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Now, do you still have in front of you what was marked as Exhibit 259, the search plan?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Would you bring your attention to pages 16 and 17, please, which are labeled "Attachment", Roman numeral one?
 - A. Yes.
- Q: Let me at the same time call your attention to page 1 of the document, Agent Williamson -- no, not the front page, the one that's numbered one. You have to turn the -- there is a little one at the bottom.

Do you see there on the top of that page where it says, "The team leader and L A should go over the pertinent points of bureau policy on searches, et cetera, the policy can be located in the Special Agent's Legal Handbook, see Attachment one"; do you see that there, sir?

- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And then looking at pages
 16 and 17 -- what I want you to tell me are, to

exhibits.

the best of your knowledge, are pages 16 and 17
the only pages of the Special Agent's Legal
Handbook which were called to your attention in
preparing to execute these particular searches?

- A. As I recall, yes.
- Q. All right. Now, let me show you some exhibits.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, for the record, I'm putting in front of the witness, defense exhibits 191, 193, 195, 198 and 202.

(Handing)

Q: (BY MR. AVERY) And I want to ask you, Agent Williamson, whether you seized any of these exhibits for the reason that you felt they were identification documents, as you've been using that term in Mr. Castro's hearing and so far today.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, I'm going to have to object for this reason. This Exhibit list -- excuse me -- oh, no, I take it back. I thought you handed him the list.

MR. AVERY: No, the actual

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, could we have each one addressed separately so we can keep

tabs on them.

THE WITNESS: Would you like me to go through each --

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) I'd like you to tell me, first of all, whether you seized all of those because they were identification documents or whether there were some there that you didn't seize because they were identification documents?
- A. There are some here that I did not seize because they were identification documents.
- Q. All right. Take the ones that you seized because they were identification documents.
 - A. There is a birth certificate here.
 - Q. What's that exhibit?
- A. That's Defendant's Exhibit 202. It bears our subinventory number L 18 H 28 A.
- Q. We can just refer to them by exhibit numbers at this point.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, I would request that we not do that since counsel gave me copies of all the exhibits. And they have our numbers on them, and they're not marked per this sequence. So if it's possible, I would request that we do get both numbers so that I can keep

track of them.

MR. AVERY: I'm just trying to expedite things. We have a whole list of all the exhibits. If it's not workable we can do it the other way. It seems to me we can operate in the ordinary course, refer to them by Exhibit Number.

THE COURT: Why can't we start with the first one you named, Defendant's Exhibit 191, 193, 195. Then they will, why you took each one of those. Simplify it.

MR. AVERY: All right. I was trying to telescope but --

THE COURT: I'm afraid we might get them in a web and not come up with the right answer on each one.

MR. AVERY: Well, then let's go one by one.

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, we had always agreed that the witness would refer to the original exhibits which we have arranged in the order that counsel told us he would consider these things because some of the exhibits that are photocopied are not the entire item.

THE COURT: The first one here is

.

object if there are exhibits of that sort, that I don't have the entire exhibit of, because the Government was supposed to furnish us with photocopies of all these exhibits. And we have prepared for this hearing on the strength of the Government's representation that we have copies of all the exhibits.

There is one letter I know that we didn't get the first page that was called to my attention. If we're going to have exhibit after exhibit on which I don't have the full exhibit, I'm going to have to request a continuance at this point until the Government furnishes me with --

THE COURT: Let's see how many there are first. There may be only one. I don't know.

MS. VAN KIRK: That's correct, Your Honor. Another thing is I didn't look through everything that was sent to Mr. Avery when it was sent out. And it's my understanding that they checked everything because we got several letters from defense counsel saying, "We're missing this and this and this." They checked it with the original exhibits that have been in Hartford for

months.

moment of truth. Let's find out what they do show. I don't know what they show.

MR. AVERY: All right. That's see the original.

THE COURT: Let's be careful. Try not to get them mixed up, now, taking them out of the bag.

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) All right. Agent
 Williamson, can we agree that what has previously
 been marked as defense Exhibit 193 consists of
 the front page of a health insurance form from
 Crown Life Insurance, which is blank, and that
 the back side of that blank health insurance
 form, which is on the original, has not been
 photocopied onto the back of the first page of
 Exhibit 193?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And that the second page of what has been marked as Exhibit 193 consists of a photocopy of a card which you have labeled L 13 A-2-2, which has nothing on the back of it other than that writing?
 - A. That's correct.

- Q. All right. The back of the Crown Life form, which has not been photocopied, has no evidentiary significance, does it?
- A. Yes, it has a signature or -- this document appears to be a photocopy of another document containing an individual's signature.
 - Q. What signature do you see there, sir?
- A. It's signed above the title, signature and title of official representative. And it's Pedro J -- I can't make out the middle name, the last name looks like Flores, but I'm -- I'm having a hard time reading that.
- Q. You are now holding in your hand, are you not, the original that was seized from the home of Mr. Farinacci?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. That does not appear to be a signature that was written on that piece of paper, does it?
 - A. No.
- Q. This appears to be a photocopy of a form with that signature on it, isn't that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In other words, there is -- this is a bank insurance form that hasn't been filled out, isn't that correct?

1 Yes. Α. Q. 2 3 Yes. Α. 5 Q_{\bullet} 6 7 8 reading it. 9 0. 10 Α. 11 Q÷ 12 you, Agent Williamson? 13 No, I don't. 14 Α. 15 16 17 is that right? 18 A. 19 that? 20 21 Q.

22

23

24

25

- All right. Do you believe it has any evidentiary significance in this investigation?
 - What significance do you think it has? The identification of this individual
- who would have signed a blank form without
- You don't think that's the claims representative from the company?
 - It could be, yes.
- Don't do a lot of insurance work, do
- But in any event, you as a Government agent would want to go and investigate whoever put their signature on the bottom of this form,
- You're asking me if I would want to do
- That's the evidentiary significance you think this has?
 - That's correct. Α.
- Was insurance fraud one of the crimes Ω. described in the affidavit that gave rise to this

search?

A. No.

Q. Was it one of the offenses listed at the end of Addendum 2 where the various sections of Title 18 are listed?

A. No.

Q. Now, the second part of this document, page 2 of Exhibit 193 -- well, before we leave this, Your Honor, I don't see any need to redo this exhibit to include the second page. I don't know if the Government is insisting on it.

MS. VAN KIRK: No.

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) The second page of your Exhibit 193 consists of a photocopy of a card, is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Why did you seize that card?
- A. As an identification document. It gives some identifying data regarding the defendant Jorge Farinacci.
- Q. It identifies him as a dependent of Maria Fernos on her insurance policy, isn't that correct?
- A. I don't know the translation of the Spanish word -- it's C O N Y U G E. If that's

Capitol Court Reporters

And the third is Jorge Farinacci; then

That's correct.

24

25

Α.

Q •

they put Fernos, correct?

A. That's correct.

- Q. Now, do you remember telling Ms. Van

 Kirk this morning that you didn't know who Tanya

 Varela was on August 30th of 1985?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you remember telling her that you didn't know who Roxana Varela was on August 30th
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. You knew after you seized this card, didn't you?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Well, didn't you tell us that you seized this card because it was an identification document identifying Jorge Farinacci?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Isn't it also true that it's a document identifying Tanya Varela and Roxana Varela as dependants of Maria Fernos?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You looked at it before you approved its being seized, did you not?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So after you looked at it, you at least

had some evidence that those were the names of Maria Fernos' dependents, correct?

- A. Yes. It appears to be from this card, yes.
- Q. All right. Is there any reason other than that is an identification document that you seized it?
 - A. I can't think of any other reason.
 - Q. Fine. You have 195 in front of you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. 195 has some school grades on it, doesn't it?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who's school grades?
- A. The name on the left-hand corner says Roxana.
 - Q. Why did you seize that document?
- A. The only reason that I can think why I would have seized this document is that all of these papers in this bag were folded and placed in what I described as a telephone book. And if -- I assume that I did not locate this document when I reviewed the others.
- Q. Well, this was a telephone book; you don't mean like a telephone book published by the

1 telephone company, do you? Α. No. 2 This was someone's personal address and 0. 3 telephone book, correct? 4 That's correct. 5 6 Q. And what happened was they had 7 apparently stuck a bunch of pieces of paper in there as people commonly do, isn't that right? 8 That's correct. 9 And are you telling us you seized that 10 whole telephone book just because all these 11 documents were in there? 12 The book itself contained numerous 13 Α. No. telephone numbers, so the book itself I was going 14 to seize. And then I would have reviewed the 15 documents inside the book. But I don't know why 16 I would have seized this. 17 Q. Well, are you prepared to acknowledge 18 today that you had no legitimate reason to seize 19 Exhibit 195? 20 Yes. Α. 21 Q. You have 198 in front of you? 22 MS. VAN KIRK: Could we have the 23 document number, please? 24

25

THE WITNESS: It's L 18 A-2-16. I

I don't have the second page or the bottom of the first page.

MR. AVERY: Could I have a moment to look at this, Your Honor?

Your Honor, I'd ask that the original of this be marked as Exhibit 198, perhaps 198 A or B. I don't know. We have a 198. If this can be 198 A.

THE COURT: Is that agreed?

MS. VAN KIRK: Yes, Your Honor,
with the understanding that perhaps we can
substitute a good copy rather than have the
original.

(Defendant's Exhibit 198A offered and marked into evidence)

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Now, Agent Williamson, looking at that whole exhibit and take -- with reference to 198 A, why did you seize it?

A. The only reason that I would seize it, there is a telephone number, one telephone number, written on the bottom of the document.

O. Well, in general, this is a document

.

O - A

Α.

Yes.

which appears to be a draft of a letter to
someone's classmates inviting them to a class
reunion, is it not?

MS. VAN KIRK: Your Honor, I
object. I don't see that it's a draft of a
letter. How do we know it's a draft of a letter?

MR. AVERY: I don't think that's an
objection. If he can't answer the question, she

can redirect examine later.

MS. VAN KIRK: We don't want a

mischaracterization of the evidence either.

THE COURT: Why don't you ask him

what is it?

MR. AVERY: Because this is cross examination. I prefer to ask leading questions insofar as possible. There is nothing wrong with my question.

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Isn't that a draft of a letter that is going to be sent to someone's classmates inviting them to a class reunion?
 - A. It appears to be.
- Q. It's got blanks in it where information is going to be filled in later, does it not?
 - Q. And it tells them in essence, "Please

come to the class reunion. We hope to have a good time. Relive some old times. Bring your spouse, bring some food, bring a guitar", things of that sort, isn't that correct?

A. Yes.

- Q. There is a reference in there to a telephone number; can you tell us what the reference to the telephone number is?
 - A. I don't see the exact reference to it.
- Q. Well, do you see a telephone number in there?
- A: Yes. It's written at the bottom of the page.
 - Q. May I see that for a moment?
 (Handing)

Are you referring to the fact that at the bottom of this page is 833-1210?

A. Yes.

- Q. And that does not appear to be in the text of the letter itself, does it?
 - A. No.
- Ω_{\bullet} Would you just pass that up to the Judge for a moment so he can look it over.

Did you know who's telephone number it was when you is seized this?

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Had you ever seen that telephone number before?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Did you identify that number in any way as a suspicious telephone number; let me put it this way, did you suspect that this telephone number was related to any particular premises that you were interested in in this investigation?
 - A. I suspected all telephone numbers.
- Q: Apart from your suspicious of all telephone numbers, I'm asking you, did you know anything in particular about this telephone number?
 - A. No.
- Q. So you're telling us that you seized this document, letter to classmates, because at the bottom of the second page or the first page, whatever it is, there was a little telephone number written at the bottom?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Is that the only reason you seized it?
- A. That's the only reason that I can think of at this time.

Q. Do you have Exhibit 202 in front of you?

THE COURT: Just for my information, is that a high school reunion or a college reunion; can you tell offhand without having to read it all through?

THE WITNESS: It looks like law school, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Law school?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Can you tell from looking at it that that's written by Ms. Fernos to her classmates from law school?
- A. I don't see her name appearing on it.

 Her initials appear among a list of initials at
 the bottom of the letter.
- Q. All right. Now, do you have Exhibit
 202 in front of you? Don't put that back in your
 evidence bag because we've marked that as an
 exhibit. Thank you.

Now, Exhibit 202 is Ms. Fernos' birth certificate, isn't that correct?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell us why you seized Exhibit

A. Yes. As an identification document.

- Q. All right. Now, that's an identification document which appears to be, as far as you can see, an actual copy of Maria Fernos' own birth certificate, isn't that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. As far as you can see, that's not a doctored or false birth certificate, is it?
 - A. I'm not qualified to examine for that.
- Q. Well, but you don't, yourself, see any evidence there that indicates anything other than that's a real birth certificate for this woman, isn't that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. It appears to give a date of birth, for example, consistent with her apparent age as you viewed her in the apartment that day, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, did you understand the Addendum 2, Government Exhibit Number 23, authorized you to seize that item?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You also understood it to authorize you to seize Exhibit 193, the Crown Life Insurance form which you said was an identification

document to some extent?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, you read the affidavit in support of the search warrant in this case, isn't that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have that in front of you, the affidavit in support of the search warrant?
 - A. No.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, could I

just take a moment to inquire of Ms. Polan.

Maybe she knows what -- she doesn't think it's an

exhibit. I don't know if we -- I only have a

partial one. I don't know if we have one. Do

you have one we can introduce, Ms. Van Kirk?

MS. VAN KIRK: Let me just see.

MR. AVERY: I'm sorry for the delay, Your Honor. I thought this was previously marked. But could we have it marked as the next defense Exhibit, the affidavit of George B. Clow, III, to search Mr. Farinacci's residence. And this is a copy we just got from Ms. Van Kirk. They removed the front pages consisting of the warrant itself.

MS. VAN KIRK: What was the number,

please?

THE CLERK: 261.

(Defendant's Exhibit 261 offered and marked into evidence)

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) All right. Agent Williamson, that's a copy of the affidavit that you reviewed to prepare you to make this search, is it not?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, you didn't restrict your search for identification documents to documents which were part of apparent efforts to create false identification, did you?
- A. I don't know if I can answer it just that way.
- Q. Well, reminding you that you've told us that you seized Exhibit 193 and Exhibit 202 because they were identification documents, I'm simply asking you whether you limited your seizure of identification documents to those documents which were part of apparent efforts to create false identification?

A. No.

Q. Calling your attention to page 46 and the following pages in that warrant application or in that affidavit in support of the warrant, that is a discussion of efforts allegedly used by the Macheteros to create false identification, is that right?

A. Yes.

- Q. And you -- but you didn't limit your search to the kind of materials described in at least those pages of the affidavit, did you?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did anyone tell you that you should?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did Special Agent -- what was it -Dennett, ever tell you that you should read
 Addendum 2 in light of those pages of the
 affidavit?
- A. I don't recall any specific discussions to that effect.
- Q. And Mr. Likar; you did not recall him telling you that either, do you?
 - A. I don't recall that either, no.
- Q. No. And there is nothing in the search plan that tells you that either, is there?
 - A. Other than that we can only seize items

.

in the addendum.

- Q. But the search plan itself, sir, doesn't compare the addendum with the affidavit for you, does it?
 - A. No.
- Q. Now, when you seized Exhibit 202, did you have any -- that is Maria Fernos' birth certificate -- did you have any reason to believe that that birth certificate constituted evidence of violations of any of the sections of Title 18 described on the second page of the addendum?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Oh, what; what section, sir?
- A. Well, this would be -- you mean the specific section?
 - Q. Yes?
 - A. I don't know the specific section.
- Q. You say that that birth certificate might constitute evidence of some violation of section 18, listed on page 2 of the affidavit, is that correct; I mean of the addendum?
- A. This could be a part of the evidence of a violation.
- Q. What violation could that go to prove, sir?

- A. I don't mean by --
- Q. Just the crime; what crime do -- could that birth certificate be evidence of?
- A. I think almost any of the violations, including bank robbery. As I recall, there was -- interstate transportation of stolen property, I recall is one of the violations.
- Q. And how, in your thinking, sir, is that birth certificate evidence of bank robbery?
- A. Well, if this were used to obtain false identification for one of the Macheteros, and that person using that false identification were one of the bank robbers, then that would be important in the bank robbery case.
- Q. But this is the birth certificate of the person who lives in this house, isn't that right?
 - A. It appears to be.
- Q. Well, you didn't have any question about that on August 30th, did you?
- A. I didn't question any of the birth certificates that I seized.
- Q. No. So you're saying you could have seized any birth certificate in the world because it might potentially be evidence of a bank

 robbery crime; is that what it boils down to?

- A. In this house. I was aware that the Macheteros used these documents to obtain other forms of identification.
- Q. Well, when you look at pages 46 and the following of that affidavit, doesn't it suggest that one is supposed to obtain a birth certificate of someone other than oneself in order to go about getting false identification?
- A. As to what I can see on page 47, it says, "The best identification is a real (Legitimate) one obtained through official channels."
- Q. Of someone else, correct; you don't use your own birth certificate; I mean, come on, you don't use your own birth certificate to get false I D, do you?
 - A. That's where you start.
 - Q. With your own birth certificate?
- A. That's certainly one way that people obtain false identification. They begin with their own birth certificate. They put a different name on it.
- Q. So you're saying that you can seize someone's own birth certificate because they

•

might put a different name on it; and therefore,
the fact that they have their own birth
certificate in their own house, without putting a
different name on it, might be evidence in a bank
robbery case?

- A. It was -- that was my opinion on the day that I seized this birth certificate, yes.
- Q. And so that's your justification for seizing this birth certificate?
 - A. It was an identification document, yes.
- Q. All right. Let let me ask you about Exhibit 186. You don't have that in front of you. I'm sorry.

Let me just ask you this: Is there any other way that you were thinking about on August 30, 1985 that Exhibit 202 was evidence of any of the crimes identified on the second page of the addendum other than the theory that you just described for us; or was that it?

- A. I believe at this stage in the search, if I found a birth certificate, I would not have inquired further. I would have seized it because it was a birth certificate and --
- Q. Fairly speaking, you didn't limit your seizure of birth certificates in relation to the

crimes listed on the second page of the addendum, did you; you just seized all birth certificates?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And you seized all identification documents without developing a theory for each one about how it might have violated one of the crimes on the second page of the addendum, correct?
- A. Well, I knew the general theory that the Macheteros used false identification so they -- based on that, I seized any identification documents.
- Q. Because you thought the Macheteros used false identification you thought you could seize any document that related to any identification of a person, correct?
- A. Well, those that I've testified were identification documents.
- Q. Yes. And no one ever instructed you to the contrary, is that right?
 - A. Not that I recall.
 - Q. All right.

THE COURT: Excuse me. Five minute recess.

.

.

(Recess)

MR. AVERY: In case anybody is

still interested in my own estimate of how much time this is going to take, I'd have to say that perhaps I underestimated it in my own mind. But I had thought we could take a category of items, but that doesn't seem to be workable so --

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Agent, let me show you Exhibit 186.

(Handing)

Do I have less than the full exhibit there, Agent?

A. This was a note pad, and there is some writing on it. That was on the last page there is some writing.

MS. VAN KIRK: Could we have the identification number, please?

THE WITNESS: This is L 18 A 3.

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Agent Williamson, I'm going to show you Exhibit 245 and ask you to look at that in connection with Exhibit 186 and tell us whether Exhibit 186 doesn't contain the first four pages of that note pad and Exhibit 245 the

last page?

A. Yes.

- Q. All right. Now, calling your attention to the first -- well, calling your attention to the whole thing, that is 186 and 245 together, can you tell us why you seized this particular note pad?
- A. Yes. On my inventory I described this as a white note pad with telephone numbers. My basic reason for seizing this would be the fact that the note pad has telephone numbers written on it.
- Q. Now, were these telephone numbers numbers that you recognized, sir?
 - A. No.
- Q. They weren't numbers then that you had any reason to believe were connected with any specific illegal activity, were they?
- A. Well, that's -- that's hard to answer with a yes or no answer. I was aware that the Macheteros used the telephones extensively. So, you know, we were looking for telephone numbers.
- O. But these particular telephone numbers were not known by you to have any connection with any specific individuals or premises involved in

your investigation, were they?

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. And the telephone number on the first page of those notes is the telephone number of Harry Anduze one of the telephone numbers, isn't that correct?
 - A. ANDUZE, yes.
- Q. Did you know on August 30th of 1985 that he was Mr. Farinacci's attorney?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Isn't one of the telephone numbers
 that's part of Exhibit 186 the telephone number
 of the attorney, Peter Berkowitz?
- A. There is the name Peter with two telephone numbers below it.
 - Q. 758-8525 and 8440?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And isn't there a reference on that exhibit to the date that the indictment came out in this particular case?
- A. Yes. There is a notation, "Seventeen indictments, twenty-three A G O", which would be the abbreviation for the Spanish for August.
- Q. These were, in fact, notes that Ms. Fernos made that day while you were in the house

while she was attempting to make phone calls
concerning the search you were conducting, isn't
that right?

A. Not while I was in the house.

You didn't see her make those

- Q. You didn't see her make those
- notations?

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. No.
- Q. Did anyone tell you that she made those notations while they were in the house?
 - A. No.
- Q. You had -- you still had the security people there while you were conducting the search, didn't you?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. The people had been there all day?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And when you -- who seized that particular item; let me ask you this, whose initials are D A R?
 - A. That's Agent Reilly.
- Q. So Agent Reilly seized that particular item?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. When Agent Reilly brought that item to you, where were you sitting?

- A. At the dining room table.
- Q. So he brought it to you from a counter, not six feet from where you were sitting, isn't that right?
- A. I don't recall the exact distance but it was fairly close.
- Q. Well, it's the counter -- showing you defense Exhibit 175, it was -- this exhibit was found in the counter on the right-hand side of that photo, isn't that right?

(Handing)

- A. Yes. And I believe part of the table, you can see in the bottom right-hand corner of the photo where I was located.
- Q. So you were located about at the same vantage point as the photographer who took this picture, give or take a few feet?
- A. Well, this -- the photo shows -- it appears to show the left corner, I would have been --
 - Q. On the right corner?
 - A. Well, in the center of the table.
- Q. That's why I said give or take a few feet?
 - A. Well, it would be quite a different

Ī

1/

vantage point.

Q. All right. But anyway you were over here in the right foreground. And this was seized here from this counter?

(Indicating)

- A. That's correct.
- Q. When it was seized was there any discussion about the fact that these were notes which the defendant's wife was making in an attempt to get him some legal assistance that very day?
- A: I don't recall any discussion like that.
- Q. You understood the warrant Addendum 2 to authorize you to seize any and all telephone numbers, did you?
 - A. As I recall, yes.
 - Q. Without limitation?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So that nothing in the affidavit in support of this warrant limited the telephone numbers you could seize in any way, is that correct?
 - A. Not that I recall.
 - Q. No one ever told you that the affidavit

should be read to limited telephone numbers that you could seize?

- A. Other than my own understanding of what I could seize, which was that the affidavit laid out the facts of the --
 - Q. Yes?
- A. And the fact of how the evidence listed in the addendum was evidence of the crime but the --
- Q. But with specific reference to telephone numbers, no one ever told you in a briefing that there was any limitation on the telephone numbers you could seize, is that correct?
- A. I don't recall any discussion concerning the telephone numbers.
- Q. Now, do you have Exhibit 23 in front of you there, the addendum, Government's Exhibit 23?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Calling your attention to paragraph 4 of that addendum, do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. You see the fourth paragraph?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. You see where it says telephone numbers

in that paragraph; telephone is on the far
right-hand side of the column and numbers is on
the left?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And then do you see that that paragraph concludes with the expression, "Which are evidence of the crimes which facts recited in the accompanying affidavit make out"?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see that language; you didn't read that language, "Which are evidence of the crimes which facts recited in the accompanying affidavit make out", to limit in any way the telephone numbers you could seize, did they?
 - A. No.
- Q. No one ever told you that it did, did they?
- A. I don't recall any discussion or a briefing that would have limited it, no.
- Q. The same with the crimes listed on page 2 of the addendum; you didn't read that list of crimes to limit in any way the telephone numbers you could seize, did you?
 - A. No.
 - Q. And no one ever told you that it should

be read to limit the telephone numbers you could seize, did they?

- A. I don't recall any such discussion.
- Q. Did you have in your mind an understanding of what the last phrase of the fourth paragraph modified in the paragraph; in other words, the phrase, "Which are evidence of the crimes", et cetera; did you think that that modified that paragraph in any way?
- A. I read that to mean that the affidavit that spells out how these items listed are evidence of the crimes.
- Q. So you don't read it -- in other words, you read it, you read that paragraph to suggest to you that you can take any examples you find of any of the items listed in that paragraph without limitation?
- A. Specifically the telephone numbers.

 I'll have to look at the --
- Q. All right. Well, let's just stick with telephone numbers and we'll come back to the rest. But with regard to telephone numbers, it was without limitation, correct?
- A. Based on my reading of the affidavit and warrant, yes.

.

Q. All right. Now, let me show you -- is there any other reason why you seized 186 or just because of the telephone numbers?

A. It does have that indication of the indictments which was my understanding were sealed on August 23rd.

- Q. Well, this was something you seized late in the day, isn't that correct?
- A. Definitely after I arrived there, because it would have been after 5:30.
- Q. By that time the accused had already been taken to the courthouse, isn't that correct?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Isn't there a telephone number on that document that you seized for one of the magistrates at the Federal Courthouse in San Juan?
- A. There is the name that appears to be a Magistrate Huzko, "A" period, with two telephone numbers below that.
- Q. Yes. And this was seized by you after the time during which someone could have called the Federal Courthouse to find out what her husband had been indicted for, isn't that right?

A. Yes.

- Q. Now, is there any other reason why you seized that particular document?
 - A. Not that I recall.

MR. AVERY: I just wonder if I can put a chair here so that I can --

THE COURT: Certainly.

MR. AVERY: Thank you.

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Let me show you document 187 and ask you why you seized that?
- A. This is our inventory number L 18 A 20-23.
- Q: Can you just pass it to the Judge for a minute so he can see what we're talking about here?

(Handing)

- A. This was a small white piece of paper that was located inside a checkbook. And this was located in the red bag that was located on the dining table in room A, the living room/dining room.
- Q. That appeared to you to be the pocketbook of Maria Fernos, isn't that right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. So you took her checkbook out of her pocketbook; then you took this piece of paper out

U

of her checkbook or someone did on your team; why did you seize it?

- A. That is correct. This is a -- among other things, it would be a financial record. It indicates a number of what appear to be dollar amounts with notations after them, and then some addition over on the right-hand side.
- Q. And this shows, doesn't it, how much -- and there are some abbreviations after the numbers, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And this shows an amount. And then it indicates things like gas, Christmas, meals, school, Visa, things of that sort, isn't that right?
- A. Well, they're abbreviations. I didn't --
 - Q. You didn't know what they were for?
- A. I didn't attempt to make any determination of that, no.
- Q. So you seized it without making any attempt to determine what the abbreviations meant, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And you seized it simply because it's a

Plus I

financial record, correct?

A.

.

a bag that appeared to be Miss Fernos' bag.

Q. What did you find particularly

That's -- and it was that.

found it particularly significant that it was in

- significant about the idea that someone might keep a little monthly budget in their checkbook?
- A. Well, I knew from my preparation for the search that she was a Macheteros member and one of the leaders of the group, a member of the Directive Committee.
- Q. You knew from your preparation for the search that Maria Fernos was a member of the Directive Committee; who told you that?
- A. The -- I knew that from my discussions with the terrorist squad agents and by the -- seeing her photograph and name on a chart in our office of the Macheteros.
- Q. You had a chart in your office with photographs and names which depicted Maria Fernos as a member of the Directive Committee?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. As of what date?
 - A. Prior to the August 30th search.
 - Q. And for what period of time prior to

the August 30th search; or did it say that?

A. I don't recall.

Q. How many members did this chart indicate were on the Directive Committee at that time?

A. I don't recall that either. To me it was particularly significant because I would be searching the residence where she was.

Q. Did that chart indicate to you that Mr. Farinacci was on the Directive Committee as of that date?

A. Yes.

Q. But you don't recall how many Directive Committee members there were?

A. No, I don't.

Q. Now, there is nothing in the affidavit in support of this search warrant that indicates to you that Maria Fernos was a member of the Directive Committee of the Macheteros, is there?

A. No.

Q. And I think you indicated this morning that before you went out to make the search, you were prepared by having a good deal of information about Hr. Farinacci, is that right?

A. That's correct.

had, did you?

- A. I had that information. When I made the decisions, I can't tell you whether I differentiated between the knowledge that I had just from that affidavit or what I had from other sources.
- Q. By the time you made the search it would be fair to say, wouldn't it, that these things were not compartmentalized in your own mind; you knew what you knew, you couldn't have told us exactly where you knew it from?
 - A: That's correct.
- Q. You didn't have a copy of the affidavit with you, did you?
- A. I don't recall whether I had the affidavit with me or not.
- Q. Well, you don't have any image in your mind of sitting there, making this search, referring to the affidavit as you made decisions about whether to seize things, do you?
 - A. No. I did not refer to the affidavit.
- Q. So if you had it with you, you didn't use it as you sat there?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. All right. And you don't know whether

the magistrate who issued the warrant was ever presented with any of the other information you had in your mind that you got off the chart off the wall or from talking to the other agents, do you?

- A. I have no knowledge of that.
- Q. Did anyone ever tell you in any of your briefings that in deciding what to seize you should try to read this Addendum 2 in light of the information you had from the affidavit?
- A. I don't recall a specific discussion of that.
- Q. All right. So you found these financial figures significant because you believed that Maria Fernos was a member of the Macheteros, right, and a member of the Directive Committee?
- A. Among other things, I would seize them anyway because they're financial records.
- Q. So even setting that aside, you would have taken them because that's what you thought the addendum authorized, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And no one ever told you that there were any limits on the kind of financial records

you could seize, correct?

2

3

0.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- I don't recall any specific discussions concerning financial records.
- So there didn't have to be anything immediately apparent to you that was incriminating about Exhibit 187 before you decided to take it, did there?
- You mean other than that they were Α. financial records?
 - Q. Right.
 - Α. That's correct.
- Q: All right. Let me show you Exhibit 188.

(Handing)

MR. AVERY: Let me show it to the Court while youre doing that and --

(BY MR. AVERY) Agent Williamson, I Q. don't want to stop you from saying anything you want to say, so you tell me if this is an unfair suggestion. But would it be fair to say that you took 188 for the same reason you took 187; can we just say that and go on to the next one or is there something about 188 that is an additional reason for taking it?

- A. I would like to look at the original
 - Q. Help yourself.

MS. VAN KIRK: Could we have the identification number?

THE WITNESS: This is L 18 A 25.

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Do I have less than the entire document there?
 - A. It appears to be the entire document.
- Q. All right. Is there any reason that you took that other than the reasons you've already told us about 187?
- A. I believe the reasons I gave you before would be the same reasons, to the best of my knowledge, for this paper also.
 - Q. All right.

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, the next one I have is a rather lengthy document. And I want to make a couple general requests of the Court before finishing today. So I don't know if I should turn to that at this point or if I should start in with this other document.

THE COURT: Why don't we go until 4:00 at least and do what you can.

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) All right. Let me show

1.4 1.5

.

you Exhibit 189 and ask you to have a look at this. You might want to compare this with the original.

(Handing)

MR. AVERY: Your Honor, I'm just going to take these other documents away from the witness and give them back to the Clerk because I think we're done with them for the time being.

- Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Do I have the entire document there?
- A. I'll have to check it. It looks like you're missing part of it.

MR. AVERY: Let me make this suggestion, Your Honor. If we're missing part of it, why don't we pass 189 for now. If I could request the agent overnight, though, to look at the original. And maybe we can get this started --

THE COURT: Make up two complete copies so you'll have it.

Q. (BY MR. AVERY) Would you mind that,
Agent Williamson, overnight making a complete
copy from the original? Make two, if you don't
mind. Then I'll have one for my record.

And for now, I'll show you Exhibit 190.

(Handing)

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

Well --Q.

Can you tell us what Exhibit 190 is?

- This is L 18 A 21 A, which is a A. small white piece of paper that I described as a paper with notes on it. It was found in the red bag on the tabletop in the living room/dining room.
- By the way, when you say it's found in Q. the red bag, you're relying on your system of codes there made out by the other agents, correct?
 - Α. Well, this --
 - Q. Or you're relying on your inventory?
- Yes, on my inventory. That was the --Α. that's my own handwriting.
- You didn't find it in the red bag; Q. someone told you that?
 - Α. That's correct.
- Q. All right. Do I have the entire document there?
 - . A . Yes.
- Can you tell us what that is; isn't it Q. a list of maps?
 - Α. Yes, it appears to be.

, **9**

- A. It's --
- Q. Do you have any question about that?
- A. The first four items use the word mapa, M A P A, in Spanish.
 - Q. What do you understand that to mean?
- A. Map. And then the number 5 is -- it looks like F I C H E R, it looks like an A O and O.
 - Q. Why did you take that, sir?
- A. As I remember, at the time I thought this document could be evidence of a future terrorist -- target of future terrorist violations, that this would be a document --
 - Q. What's the first map of?
- A. It appears to read in English, "The Continent --" then there is a word that I can't make out because of the handwriting. Then the next words would translate to, "Which include the Antilles."
- Q. Can I see that for a moment, sir?

 Isn't number l a map of both American

 continents including the Antilles; rather a large

 scale attack that they had planned, wouldn't you

 say, Agent Williamson?
 - A. That's your interpretation of what this

says.

Q. If I told you that this was a list of maps that the girls in the house needed for their school homework, would you say you weren't aware of that at the time you seized it, I suppose?

A. That's correct.

MR. AVERY: I suggest we end at this point, if that's all right with Your Honor. Because I do want to make a couple specific requests of the Court before 4:00 if I might.

And --

THE COURT: All right.

there has been some reference to a sketch and photo log and a photo index. These are all listed in the search plan. And the agent has testified regarding some of these that others made them. I'd like to have those produced before -- by tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: Specifically what are you referring to? I don't quite understand.

MR. AVERY: There was a sketch that was supposed to be made by one of the searching agents which was supposed to put on it where certain things were found. And I'd like to see

1 that before I conclude the examination of this witness. And also --2 THE COURT: Is there such a sketch, 3 Counsellor? 5 MS. VAN KIRK: I believe there is, 6 Your Honor. However I don't think -- it's a 7 sketch that's made when they entered the house to identify the rooms, A B C and D, not where a 8 particular item was found. 9 THE COURT: If you have it, make a 10 Xerox copy for counsel. 11 12 MR. AVERY: And the photo log and 13 the photo index as well, Your Honor. MS. VAN KIRK: That I'm not sure 14 15 about. 16 THE COURT: What's the photo log, 17 Counsellor; do you know? MS. VAN KIRK: No, I don't know 18 what that is. I imagine it's -- they write down 19 when they take a photograph what it is. 20 21 THE COURT: Of the interior. 22 MS. VAN KIRK: Yes. But I'll inquire of the agents. 23 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 MR. AVERY: That was all. If we

could have those by tomorrow morning. I don't want to, you know, waste time tomorrow while we wait for them or have this witness recalled.

THE COURT: Are there any counsel that would volunteer to participate in the suppression motions being heard by individual magistrates, either Magistrate Eagan or Magistrate Smith?

How about yourself, Mr. Weinglass?

You're one of the leaders of the outstanding

counsel here. Would you be willing -- you have

someone who doesn't need a translator. Would you

be willing to go before either Magistrate Eagan

or Magistrate Smith and have a suppression

motion? I don't know what you've got to offer,

or what they've got to offer. But whatever it --

MR. WEINGLASS: Well, I don't think the Government has much to offer. However, I've discussed this with Mr. Segarra and, of course, the Court has received a memo from Mr. Williams this morning. Our position is that we have a right to be here present at this hearing because the testimony being given and evidence being examined at this hearing is relevant to our defense in this case. And I would not want to

pass up my presence at this hearing, neither would Mr. Segarra.

And it's my understanding that that's the feeling of all counsel in this case, that we all feel, as the Court has indicated, that there is a necessity for our presence.

And Your Honor has gone through the trouble of getting waivers of persons who were absent. We've been sure that the record is protected if an attorney is absent. So I think we all recognize the imperative need for there to be representation at each of these hearings. I would not want to absent myself in any way. And I've been instructed by my client not to. It's my understanding that all counsel in this case are in the same position.

THE COURT: I'll take the matter under advisement. I thought you might be willing to participate.

MR. WEINGLASS: Sorry.

THE COURT: Very well. Anything else from any other counsel?

MR. WEINGLASS: Your Honor, the other thing that was pointed out, I think, by Mr. Williams, is that in an attempt to save some

time, the way we calculate it, we will actually
be incurring a whole other level of argument
before this Court. If the magistrate were to
make findings, those findings would be subject to
contest. The matters before the magistrate would
be relitigated in this Court.

And we would find ourselves not decreasing an expenditure of time but increasing the time that we'd each be spending. So we feel that from an actual point of view of judicial economy, it would be best if we all stayed together in one room.

THE COURT: It's a matter of argument. Thank you.

Anything else? Do I understand you wish a meeting now at 4:00?

MR. AVERY: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I might mention, and there are other cases in the district where courts have ordered suppression motions before a magistrate and not before the Court. None of them have ever been upset because of it, even where there is a conspiracy of the nature alleged here.

MR. WILLIAMS: But no case, to my knowledge, Your Honor, in which the Court conducted --

THE COURT: Just a moment. You're not speaking from there. If you want to address this Court, come down and stand in front of the microphone.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You know the rule. You know that's required so let's not breach it.

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry, Your

Honor. I hadn't intended to breach a rule. I

noticed that both Attorney Avery and Attorney

Weinglass and also Government counsel were

permitted to address Your Honor moments ago from

their chairs. And I thought perhaps my treatment

would be the same. But I am more than happy to

speak in the microphone.

And I, in response to what Your Honor said, would like to state for the record that I tried to research all of that over the weekend, as I promised your Honor on Friday I would do. And to my knowledge, there has never been a case in this district or anywhere else in which the Court has, over objection of a defendant in a

.

 simultaneous suppression hearings in separate courtrooms, thereby excluding both the defendant and the defendant's attorney from one or more of such suppression hearings, which we feel would be clearly a violation of two separate Sixth Amendment rights.

Honor, I think it's fair to say that we all share the Court's concern about expediting these proceedings. I know we've often said to Your Honor privately and on the record that the trial of this case is taking a terrible toll on the private practices of many of us who have associates and partners who they're trying to support. But we feel we have a sworn obligation to our clients and to the Court which we cannot properly discharge in any way other than by proceeding as we are doing at this time.

THE COURT: Very well. Anything

further?

Bailiff.

Adjourned until tomorrow morning,

(Proceedings suspended at 4:00 p.m.)

February 24, 1987

CERTIFICATION

I, CHERYL A. BLATCHFORD, hereby certify that the foregoing pages were reported by me in stenotype and thereafter reduced to typewritten form by computer-assisted transcription under my supervision and represent a true, comlete and accurate transcript of the above proceedings, to the best of my ability.

I further certify that I am in no way related to any of the parties hereto or their counsel and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of said cause.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 2nd day of March 1987.

CHERYL A. BLATCHFORD