IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CRIMINAL DIVISION

CASE NO. 81-17247

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff.

VB.

PART VI

ALFREDO ARIAS, et al,

Defendants.

State Attorney's Office
9th Floor
Metropolitan Justice Building
Miami, Florida
April 12, 1982
2:30 o'clock p.m.

# DEPOSITION OF RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE

Taken before Joycee Wax, Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, pursuant to Notice of Taking Deposition filed in the above cause.

BIOLOGIC

Joycee Wax
COURT REPORTING SERVICES

5525 LA GORCE DRIVE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA 33140 (305) 864-6693

1	APPEARANCES:
2	JANET RENO
3	State Attorney BY: RINA COHAN
4	Assistant State Attorney and KIERAN FALLON
5	Certified Legal Intern 1351 Northwest 12th Street
	Miami, Florida
6	on behalf of the Plaintiff.
7	DOUGLAS L. WILLIAMS, ESQ.
8	NATHAN, WILLIAMS & REICHENTHAL 444 Brickell Avenue
9	Miami, Florida
10	on behalf of Alfredo Arias, Defendant.
11	ALSO PRESENT:
12	OFFICER D.C. DIAZ
	OFFICER RAUL PUIG
13	
14	INDEX
15	WITNESS DIRECT CROSS
16	RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE 3
17	
18	
19	CERTIFIED QUESTIONS
20	PAGE LINE
- <sub>21</sub> -	<b>1444</b>
22	
23	
}	
24	

Q

MS. COHAN: On the record, previously, I Mr. Williams has had made available to him the edited 2 version of the transcript of the Atlanta meeting 3 today prior to the meeting of Mr. Morales' deposition as ordered by the Court. MR. WILLIAMS: That is correct. I picked 6 them up from the court ten minutes before I came 7 upstairs. 8 Thereupon: 9 RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE 10 called as a witness on behalf of the Defendants 11 having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified 12 on his oath as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 15 16 Mr. Morales, identify yourself again, for 17 the record, please? 18 My name is Ricardo Morales Navarette. 19 I'm a human being. A living person with a 20 lot of feelings. 21 Are you the same person who has been-22 testifying here on deposition for the past week with 23 Ms. Cohan and me? Yes, I am.

We last took testimony from you on

Thursday, which would have been April 8th; is that 1 correct, sir? 2 When was the last time that we were A 3 together? That was on a Thursday, right. To me, it was a Thursday. 5 So, it would have been you who gave Q 6 testimony? 7 I don't have a calendar. A 8 Do you have a calendar around there? 9 MS. COHAN: It was Thursday. 10 THE WITNESS: Thursday the 8th? 11

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

MS. COHAN: Yes.

## BY MR. WILLIAMS:

\* 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- O Between the time we recessed your deposition on Thursday the 8th and the present, tell me the people with whom you have discussed either the fact of the deposition, itself, or any substantive parts pertaining to questions or answers?
  - A God and myself.
- Q Is that to say, sir, that you have not discussed either the fact of the deposition or your testimony with anybody at all between Thursday and this morning?
  - A I already answered to that question.

1	Q	No, you didn't.
2	A	Yes, I did.
3	Q	Give me a yes or no, Mr. Morales.
4		Have you discussed the deposition with
5	anybody or	not?
6	A	No.
7	Q	Have you had any contact with Raul Diaz
8	between Thi	irsday when we recessed and the present?
9	A	No.
10		Am I forbidden to make any contacts with
11	Raul Diaz	at all?
12	Q	Ask the prosecutor.
13	A	I'm asking the prosecutor.
14	Q	Well, now is not the time to do it.
15	You are on	deposition now. Right now, you are on
16	deposition	. Talk to her afterwards.
17	A	That means that I can't pick up whoever
18	I want to	talk to?
19	. Q	Mr. Morales, have you had any conversation
20	with a man	by the name of Feliz Rodriguez in the past
21	six months	?
22	A	Who?
23	Q	Felix Rodriguez?
24	<b>A</b>	In the past six months?
25	^	Ya m

1	A I don't know at this point in time
2	anybody by the name of Feliz Rodriguez.
3	Q Do you know anybody by the name of Feliz
4	Rodriguez?
5	A There could have been 150 Feliz Rodriguez'.
6	Q Tell me if you know anybody by that name?
7	A I can't recall right now if I know anybody
8	by the name of Feliz Rodriguez.
9	Feliz the cat?
10	MS. COHAN: No.
11	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
12	Q Do you know now, or have you, at any time,
13	between 1980 and the present known a man by the name
14	of Feliz Rodriguez Menduguita?
15	A What's the name again?
16	Q Menduguita, Feliz Rodriguez Menduguita?
17	A I believe I have heard that Menduguita
18	last name since my childhood days in Cuba.
19	There was some sort of a minister for, you
20	know, at the time, the dictatorship, that is right,
21	running the military dictatorship, that was running
22	my country. I believe that there was a man Menduguita
23	that was a minister in some capacity with the generals
24	who were, you know, tyrannizing my country.

Between 1980, the beginning of 1980 and the

1	present, have you known and/or had any communication
2	of any sort with one Feliz Rodriguez Menduguita?
3	A I don't believe that I know Feliz Rodriguez
4	Menduguita.
5	Q Have you known any such person in the past
6	if you don't know him now?
7	A I don't believe, as far as I can recall,
8	any Feliz Rodriguez Menduguita.
9	Q Do you know some other person with the last
10	names of Rodriguez Menduguita here in the United
11	States? -
12	A I already answered to that question. I
13	don't know any Rodriguez Menduguita.
14	Q Do you now know, or have you, at any time,
15	since January of 1979 known a man by the name of
16	Rafael Quintero?
17	A From when?
18	Q Between January, 1979 and the present?
19	A January in 1979 and the present?
- 20	QYes
21	A If I have seen Rafael Quintero?
22	Q Do you know such a man?
23	A Yes, I know who Rafael Quintero is.
24	Q Who do you know him to be?
25	A Chi Chi Quintero.

1	Q What do you know of him in terms of his
2	function or business or position?
3	A At one point, he was a P.A. for the Central
4	Intelligence Agency.
5	Q A what?
6	A P.A. That means Principal Agent.
7	Q What else do you know about him?
8	A Nothing else. That was his status many
9	years ago.
10	Q Have you had contact either in person
11	or through any other electronic means with the one
12	whom you known as Chi Chi Quintero between January,
13	1979 and the present?
14	A No, sir.
15	Q Mr. Morales, do you know a man by the name
16	of Ricardo Chavez?
17	A I never met him personally.
18	I know if you are referring to the same
19	Ricardo Chavez, also known as the Mexican?
20	Q That is the one.
21	A Time out.
22	Rina, I have to talk to you in private.
23	MS. COHAN: Okay.
24	MR. WILLIAMS: Wait a minute, Mr. Morales.
25	THE WITNESS: No, no way, no. No, no way

no, because you are not going to make me break the 1 law; okay? No way, no. 2 MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Morales, you are the 3 witness here. Remember? THE WITNESS: Wait, no. No, I am getting 5 upset. Do you know why? 6 MS. COHAN: Just a minute. Stop fighting 7 until I get off the phone. 8 THE WITNESS: No, no, no, because there is going to be a law into effect because if you disclose 10 the identity of any Intelligence Agent, and I don't 11 know if they still are or will be, you are subject to 12 prosecution by the Federal government. No, Mr. 13 Whatever is your name. No, no, no, and no. 14 I would like to confer with you, Ms. Cohan. 15 MS. COHAN: Please, I would be --16 MR. WILLIAMS: Let's have you remember, 17 sir, that you are not running this deposition, and 18 your concern for the violation of the law --19 THE WITNESS: You are not running my life, 20 either. 21 MS. COHAN: At this point, we will take 22 a five minute recess. All parties calm down. 23 THE WITNESS: You are not running my life. 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Don't point your finger at

23

24

25

up beside the head.

```
me.
1
                THE WITNESS:
                              I don't care what you said.
2
     I don't care.
                    Same way that you don't care about me,
3
     I don't care about you, so let's talk niceties.
                I took the gloves off the last time that
5
     I talked to you.
6
                MR. WILLIAMS: Now, look.
7
                THE WITNESS:
                               No, I don't want to look.
8
                MR. WILLIAMS: When there is a question
9
     pending, you answer the question. If you want to
10
     get on a God-damn soapbox, then do it with Officer
11
     Diaz or Mr. Puig, and not with me on my deposition.
12
                               You are referring to Mr. Puig
                THE WITNESS:
13
     and Mr. Diaz --
14
                MR. WILLIAMS: Keep your mouth shut.
15
                THE WITNESS:
                               Are you telling me to keep
16
     my mouth shut?
17
                MR. WILLIAMS: You're God-damn right.
18
                THE WITNESS: God-damn right what?
19
                MR. WILLIAMS: You're a little old for me
20
     to pick on, pal. You could push me, and I'll whack you
21
```

THE WITNESS: You're going to whack me? Come on. Come on.

OFFICER PUIG: Take it easy. Let's conduct this in a professional manner.

1	THE WITNESS: That's what you said
2	that you are going to whack me?
3	MR. WILLIAMS: If you give me the opportunity.
4	THE WITNESS: Right now, I'm giving you the
5	opportunity.
6	OFFICER PUIG: Take it easy. Let's cool
7	it. Let's cool it off.
8	Ricky, let's take a recess.
9	(Off the record.)
10	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
11	Q Now, the question, Mr. Morales, is whather
12	you know Ricardo Chavez, who is also known as the
13	Mexican?
14	A I never met him in my life.
15	Q Where do you know his name?
16	A From former companions from the Congolist
17	wars.
18	Q Do you know a man by the name of Rolando
19	Martinez?
20	A Yes, I do.
21	Q Do you know his nickname or street name,
22	as it were?
23	A Muscolito.
24	Q Do you know any, people who are related to
25	him, either by blood or by marriage?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Of his relatives, either by blood or by
3	marriage, whom do you know?
4	A Raul Diaz, Lieutenant Raul Diaz.
5	Q How do you know Lieutenant Diaz to be
6	related to Muscolito?
7	A Lieutenant Diaz' former wife's mother got
8	married with Mr. Rolando Martinez.
9	Q When did Lieutenant Diaz' marriage to
0	Mr. Martinez' daughter-in-law terminate?
1	A I don't know.
12	Q Within the last year or two years or five
13	years?
14	A I don't know.
15	Q When is the last time that you have had
6	contact, either face to face or through some other
17	means, with that Rolando Martinez?
8	A Six years.
9	Q Do you know the occupation or profession
20	of that Rolando Martinez?
21	A I don't.
22	Q Do you know what it was six years ago?
23	A Neither.
24	Q You expressed some concern a while ago
,5	during the exchange between us shout mielating some

law that you thought to exist relative to the disclosure of names of people who might be working in sensitive positions for the government presently; is that correct?

- A That is correct.
- Q Is it also correct to say, Mr. Morales, that you do not generally concern yourself with whether an act or an incident is or might be against the laws of this State or of the United States?
  - A I do not understand your question.
  - Q Let me put it to you another way.

There have been plenty of occasions upon which you engaged in acts which you knew to be violations of the laws either of this State or this country; isn't that correct?

- A That is correct. As part of the semantics.
- Q Then, it sounds as though, correct me if
  I am wrong, that when you think it to your advantage
  or convenience to do so, you concern yourself with
  whether acts are or not violations of the law, but
  when it isn't to your advantage to be thus concerned,
  then, you disregard that fact; is that accurate?
  - A You are putting words in my mouth.
  - Q I am asking you if it's accurate or not?
  - A You are putting words in my mouth.

1	Q Answer me yes or no, and then, explain.
2	A You are putting words in my mouth.
3	Q Answer yes or no; if you can.
k 4	A You are putting words in my mouth.
5	MR. WILLIAMS: Certify the witness'
6	answer, please.
7	Would you like to attempt to answer that
8	question, or would you prefer not to?
9	A The way that you are putting up the
10	question to me, it's like you are putting words in
- 11	my mouth.
12	If you rephrase it in a different, easy
13	way for me to answer it to you without putting words
14	in my mouth, I will be glad to answer it to you.
15	Q Are there times when you concern yourself
16	with whether a particular act or incident is or might
17	be a violation of the laws when it goes to your
18	advantage to have that in mind?
19	A I am not saying about advantage. You know
20	you are putting words in my mouth again. You know,
- 21-	I-don!t-take-advantage_of_anything
22	I am just concerned about violation of the
23	law right now.
24	Q Can you answer that question for me yes or
25	no?

- A Which one?
- Q The one that I just asked you?
- A Repeat it again.
- Q Is it the case that when it is to your advantage to be concerned with whether or not a particular act is a violation of the law, that you then concern yourself with that kind of legality?
  - A No.
- Q What is different presently than in the past fourteen years at which time the pattern of bombings that you described to us commenced that causes you to be concerned with whether a particular act in which you are asked to engage is a violation of the law?
- A Because whatever I do, I did it -- it was done by my person, so the people you are asking me questions now, I don't know where they are now, what they might have been engaged into, and I am not privy to disclose anything about them since I don't know anything about what they might be doing, and since they were old hands, I don't know if there are new hands or not, so just -- I believe that you understand what I am saying now?
- Q Then, if I understand it, your concern is for the fact that you might compromise people in the

1	Intelligence community; is that correct?
2	A That is correct, Mr. Williams.
3	Q And those are people about whom you feel
4	a certain kind of affinity because of the work that
5	you have done in the past; is that right?
6	A That is right, Mr. Williams.
7	Q Then, it is because of the affinity that
8	you feel for them?
9	A It is not an affinity.
10	Q Mr. Morales
11	MS. COHAN: Objection. He is not through
12	answering.
13	THE WITNESS: It is not the affinity.
14	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
15	Q Now, wait, Mr. Morales.
16	A It is not an affinity. Whatever I might
17	know could be used, could be used by the enemy,
18	counterintelligence, to surrogates since these pro-
19	ceedings are a matter of record, public record.
20	I'm sorry let me correct myself it
<b>21</b>	can be used by the enemy counterintelligence, and
22	might jeopardize the lives of the so-called
23	individuals with whom I truly have a deep affinity.
24	Q You are, therefore
25	A I am more concerned about them than with

1 myself. 2 You are, therefore, relying upon what you 0 3 think to be a provision of the law that allows you 4 to give strength or effect to your affinity with 5 these people; is that correct? 6 What was that? You talking legal matters. A 7 I'm not an attorney. 8 Are you relying upon --0 9 Relying upon a what? A 10 Q Mr. Morales, if you have a problem with . 11 my language --12 With your legal language, definitely, 13 because I am not an attorney. 14 O You ask the prosecutor or one of your 15 companions -- Mr. Diaz and Mr. Puig --16 I don't have companions with me. I have 17 police officers with me. 18 0 (Continuing) -- to straight it out. 19 In the meantime, if you don't have a problem 20 with the lanugage, then don't interrupt me anymore, 21 because I'm getting tired of it. I really am. 22 A So am I. 23 MS.COHAN: Objection. Argumentative. 24 Harassing. 25

MR. WILLIAMS: I am hardly arguing with

the witness.

MS. COHAN: Mr. Williams, if you and Mr. Morales would stop bickering with each other -MR. WILLIAMS: I am tired of the outbursts by Mr. Morales.

THE WITNESS: You are the one with the outbursts.

I am not an attorney. I am a very simple man who is used to a different kind of language, so what you might be referring to sugar, I might refer to as, you know, coconuts.

MR. WILLIAMS: I will certainly agree with part of your last statement, but in any event, the way a deposition is conducted, and you are familiar with proceedings in the courts of this State and Country, is that after a question has been completed, if there is a part of it you don't understand, tell me, and if you choose not to tell me, tell it to the prosecutor. Don't interrupt me anymore in the middle of a question.

THE WITNESS: Don't interrupt me anymore in the middle of an answer.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q I have refrained from doing that, sir, and let me remind you, this deposition is not a

scapbox or stage for you to perform to whatever you 1 conceive your audience to be. 2 You are the performance so far. 3 If I ask you a question that you can answer answer it. Save me the speeches. 5 And the money. A 6 7 Q Yes, that, too. A Sure. 8 Now, here's the question: Do I understand Q 10 your testimony to be that because you think it will be helpful to people with whom you have an affinity 11 or feel you have an affinity, that you are relying 12 13 upon what you think the law to be in order to allow 14 you to give effect to your desire to avoid causing 15 them a problem? Is that what you are doing --16 relying on the law for that? 17 A I am not lying. 18 I said, relying on the law for that -- is 19 that what you are doing -- relying on what you think 20 the law to be? 21 MS. COHAN: Objection. At this point, 22 Mr. Morales has not refused to answer any questions. 23 I am not refusing to answer THE WITNESS: 24 any questions.

Why don't you start again, you know, making

# questions?

#### BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Here's my question, Mr. Morales --
- A Oh, Jesus Christ.
- Q Are you now relying upon what you think the law to be towards the end of allowing you to help people with whom you feel you have an affinity? Is that what you are doing?

A No, Mr. Williams. Let's keep on going with whatever it is.

- Q The answer to my last question is no?
- A I already answered it.
- Q When was the first time you can think of, Mr. Morales, that you made some statement or gave some information to somebody connected with law enforcement in any capacity when the statement that you made or the information that you gave turned out not to be true? When was the first such incident that that occurred?
- A If it ever occurred, I have no recollection of it.
- Q Is it your testimony, sir, that you have never, in the course of any of your encounters with anybody from law enforcement, whether a prosecutor or an agent, ever told any such person anything that

you knew at the time not to be true?

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do not recall ever that having been the case to the best of my recollection.

Let's talk for a moment about the matter of

Α Mr. Williams, in the course of my giving up information, you know, on so many occasions, you have to rely upon sources. That information will have to be double checked for veracity, reliability, or whatever, you know, so I cannot recall, you know, the times when information that has been received to me by a source and relayed to my case officers, you know, would turn out to be, you know, untruthful, deceptive, or whatever.

- That wasn't my question, Mr. Morales.
- That is the way I understood it.
- Well, let me rephrase it for you, then. 0

Has there ever been a time when you have given information, or for want of a better term, advices, suggestions, to any law enforcement agent or anybody else in any way connected with law enforcement such, for example, as a prosecutor when, at the time you knew that either what you were saying was not true, or you knew that if the person relied upon your advices, it would work in some way to their disadvantage? Has that ever been the case?

25

one Rolando Otero. Do you know the fellow? 1 Of course I know the fellow. 2 Whom do you know him to be? 3 2506 Brigade member, personal friend of A 4 mine, undercover agent for me, mull in a foreign 5 intelligence service. Which one? Q 7 D.I.N.A., Chilean D.I.N.A., as a Chilean 8 Intelligence officer. 9 He infiltrated that organization under 10 the orders of my superiors in DISIP. 11 You also know him to be somebody who was 12 accused and formally charged, at one point, here in 13 the United States for several offenses involving the 14 use, possession, or placing of explosives or explosive 15 devices; isn't that correct? 16 A That is correct. 17 Q And there came a time at which you were 18 19 asked to provide State prosecutors with information 20 that could be used in order to be able successfully 21 to prosecute Mr. Otero; isn't that correct? 22 A It was not the State. 23 Was there a time when you were asked by

any prosecutorial agency or any prosecuting attorney

to furnish information against Mr. Otero which could

23

24

25

be used for the purpose of prosecuting him 1 2 successfully? It was not any State Attorneys or anything 3 A It was a law enforcement agency, governlike that. 5 ment law enforcement agency. Didn't there come a time, Mr. Morales, 6 when formal, criminal charges were filed against 7 Mr. Otero by this same State Attorney's Office here 8 9 in Dade County? 10 Oh, yes. 11 And haven't you had communication with 12 law enforcement agents and/or prosecutors specifically for the purpose of assisting in Mr. Otero's prosecution? 13 14 A I was never served with a subpoena. 15 I didn't ask you that. 16 I'm answering you just to make it short. 17 was never served a subpoena to testify against 18 Mr. Otero during Mr. Otero's trial by the State of 19 Florida. 20 I haven't asked you about being served 21 with subpoenas, Mr. Morales.

I asked you whether there was ever a time when you had any communication with any law enforcement agent and/or prosecutor for the purpose of assisting or giving the appearance of assisting in Mr. Otero's

prosecution? Yes or no? 1 Basically, yes. 2 And then, there came a time when Mr. Otero, Q 3 himself, became a fugitive from the charges that had 4 been filed against him; isn't that correct? 5 He became -- he was out of the country 6 before the charges were filed for your own information 7 in case that you don't have a track record of it. 8 Mr. Morales, did there come a time when 9 mr. Otero became a fugitive from the charges that 10 have been filed against him? 11 A Yes. 12 Pardon me? 0 13 A Yes. 14 Now, what part did you have, Mr. Morales, 15 Q in Mr. Otero's departure from the South Florida area 16 17 at a time when formal charges were pending against 18 him? 19 There were no formal charges pending A 20 against Mr. Otero when he departed the United States 21 of America. 22 What part did you play in the departure Q 23 from the United States at or around the time when

25 A There were no pending charges against

formal charges were pending against him?

1	Mr. Otero when he departed the United States of
2	America.
3	Q Here's my question, Mr. Morales, what part
4	did you play in Mr. Otero's departure from the United
5	States at or in the vicinity of the time when there
6	were formal charges pending against him?
7	A There were no charges pending at or in
8	the vicinity of Mr. Rolando Otero at the time of his
9	departure.
10	MS. COHAN: Subsequently, there were.
11	After he was convicted, he became a fugitive.
12	THE WITNESS: No, Rina.
13	MS. COHAN: That was my understanding.
14	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
15	Q Do you understand the question?
16	A I understand the question perfectly.
17	The one who has the wrong information is
18	you.
19	Q One more time.
20	A One more time. Ten more times.
21	Q Did you play any part in Mr. Otero's
22	departure from the United States at a time when he
23	subsequently became a fugitive?
24	A At the time that Mr. Otero departed from
25	the United States of America T was already in

1	Caracus, Venezuela.
2	Q Here's my question, Mr. Morales: Did you
3	play a part in Mr. Otero's departure from the United
4	States? Yes or no?
5	A How can I dominaté a part? I wasn't here.
6	Q Is that a no?
7	A That's a no.
8	Q All right.
9	A I gave him no transportation to the
10	airport. Nothing. I don't know what happened. I
11	wasn't here.
12	Q Did you have any conversation with Mr.
13	Otero or anybody else concerning Mr. Otero's
14	departure from the United States?
15	A Yes.
16	Q With whom?
17	A With the F.B.I.
18	Q That was after Mr. Otero departed?
19	A That was before Mr. Otero departed.
20	Q What was your conversation with the F.B.I.
21	prior to his departure?
22	A I advised the F.B.I. that the Condor
23	was about to fly.
24	Q The Condor, meaning Mr. Otero?
25	A That is correct.

A

That is correct.

What point in time was that, Mr. Morales -after the trial had already taken place?

24

No, sir. You are completely incorrect. in day and time.

1	O Suppose you straighten us out. Tell us
2	the sequence of events.
3	A You asked the questions, and I give you the
4	answers.
5	Q Describe to me the sequence of events
6	concerning
7	A Concerning what?
8	Q Concerning your association with Rolando
9	Otero and your giving information about or concerning
10	him to any law enforcement agencies?
11	A I advised the F.B.I. that the Condor was
12	about to fly out because he request money to buy an
13	airline ticket for destination for Dominican
14	REpublic.
15	Q When was that relative to the point in
16	time that you had furnished information to some
17	governmental agency concerning his activities with
18	regard to explosive devices?
19	A Very few.
20	Q When, in point in time, was it that you
21	advised the F.B.I. that he was going to leave?
22	A That he was going to leave?
23	Q Yes, relative to the time that you had
24	given information to some governmental agency about
25	1+2

A You've got it wrong completely. You are way out in left field about the whole issue. Way out in left field.

You'd better get better sources.

- Q Tell me what I have wrong, Mr. Morales.
- A Nothing.

He asked me for money for an airline ticket. I advised the F.B.I. that the Condor was about to fly out, that he had asked me for money, and that I was going to give him the money period.

Then I took a plane down to Caracus, and I don't know what happened afterwards down here in Miami.

- Q Had you given Mr. Otero money?
- A Yes, I did give him the money for the airline ticket.
- Q Was that before or after charges had been filed against him?
  - A Oh, long before.
- Q Was it before or after you had given other information to law enforcement concerning his ---- activities with explosive devices?
  - A Just about the same time, we, myself.
- Q So, at about the same time you informed against Mr. Otero and --

1	A I was not informing against Mr. Otero.
2	Q You gave information about him; didn't you?
3	A I was giving information.
4	Q Was the information that was consistent
5	with his innocence or was it information that tended
6	to indicate his guilt of some criminal activity?
7	A At the time, it was an information that
8	was being developed. It was not a positive informatio
9	It was not hardcore information.
10	It was an information that was being
11	developed. It was an information that was being
12	worked upon.
13	Q Information that tended to implicate him in
14	the commission of a crime; is that correct?
15	A Information that was intended to
16	corroborate whatever information was in the hands of
17	the Federal Bureau of Investigations at thet ime.
18	Q Information indicating his involvement in
19	the commission of a crime, Mr. Morales?
20	A Indication indicating that he might be a
21	suspect in the commission of those alleged crimes
22	that you are mentioning.
23	Q So, clearly, then, the information that you
24	were giving to law enforcement was for the purpose of
25	assisting law enforcement in its investigation

of Mr. Otero; correct?

- A It was requested from me.
- Q Is that correct?
- A It was requested from me.
- Q Give me a yes or no, please, sir?

A Not in the way that you are putting the words in my mouth. That is not the way it developed. You don't have the slightest sense of what information is to start with.

The question is, Mr. Morales, whether the information that you had furnished to whatever law enforcement agency it was, was for the purpose of aiding that law enforcement agency in developing a prosecution against Mr. Otero? If it's yes, say yes. If it's no, say no.

A Wait, wait, wait, wait, with that yes and no crap, Mr. Williams.

Okay. Let me explain it to you.

I was on vacation down here in Miami from Caracas, Venezuela, and as usual, you know, I call up everybody that I knew down here in Miami just to advise them that I was in town for vacations; right?

Now, the F.B.I. asked me, "What about, Ricardo, if you send the word out to all your sources, because we want to verify information that

2

3

5

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we have received that Mr. Rolando Otero might be the suspect, or whatever they want to call it; okay" and whatever happened here at the time that I was not even here, and I sent out word to my sources out there, that they pointed out to me that Otero was likely the suspect in those bombings, which I relayed back to the F.B.I. It was after that that Mr. Otero came to 0 you and asked for help getting out of the country; is that correct? 10 What? 11 I said, it was after that Mr. Otero came 12

I can't hear you.

country; is that correct?

MR. WILLIAMS: Read the question back to him, Ms. Wax.

to you and asked you for help getting out of the

(Whereupon, the question refered to was read into the record by the court reporter.)

THE WITNESS: Mr. Otero was asking for that kind of a help from half the town, and nobody was helping him.

## BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Does that include you, Mr. Morales?
- A That includes me.

You, at the time, were in the employ of Q 1 DISIP; weren't you, sir? 2 At the time, I was in the employ of the 3 Foreign Ministry and of DISIP. Did you, or did you not have anything to do with Otero's going to Chile? My government, the Venezuelan government, 7 at the time, was the one who had to do with him. 8 Did you have anything to do with it, yourself, Mr. Morales? 10 I was his handler. 11 That's a yes; isn't it, sir? Q 12 A Yes. 13 And after you had sent him off to Chile, 14 didn't you then have some participation in his 15 eventually being taken into custody down there? 16 No, sir. 17 Did you have any part in his eventually 18 being returned to the United States? 19 No, sir. Α 20 None, whatsoever? 0 21 None, whatsoever. A 22 Where is he today; do you know? Q 23 A Beats me. 24 You have no idea at all where he is? Q 25

- A No, sir.
- Q Do you know whether he is in custody in the United States?
  - A Huh?
- Q Do you know whether he is in custody in the United States?
  - A I don't know that.
- Q Do you have any knowledge, whatsoever, concerning his whereabouts?
  - A I read in the papers that he is a fugitive.
- Detween the time you first had involvement with Mr. Otero and the time when he last became a fugitive? How many times were you contacted by anybody connected with law enforcement or with government in an attempt to either take Mr. Otero into custody or determine his whereabouts?
- A You mean, now, that either a fugitive or the other time?
- Q The last time when he was on the verge of being charged and he was asking your help in getting out of the country? How many times were you in touch with law enforcement in their efforts to find out where he is, and possibly, to get him back?
  - A I was never contacted with the purpose

clear in here, so there is no question about that.

Is it your testimony that, at no time between Mr. Otero's last known departure from Miami in which you assisted in his having become a fugitive following his arrival in Santiago, Chile, that anybody connected with law enforcement ever asked you for assistance in determining his whereabouts; is that what you are saying?

- A To start with, don't put words in my mouth.
- Q Is that what you are saying?
- A No, no. Don't put words in my mouth.
- Q If the answer is no --
- A Don't put words in my mouth.

The only assistance I gave him was money to buy an airline ticket, which I never knew it was intended to be used by them.

Later on, about his whereabouts, I had the government on top of me, and whatever inquiries were made about Mr. Otero's whereabouts were put up to my immediate superiors in the Foreign Ministry or in the Interior Ministry of Venezuela.

They are the ones that have to answer for questions. I was just receiving orders from them.

MR. WILLIAMS: All right. I'm going to recess the deposition long enough to go down before Judge Kogan, and see if we can't get the matter of

1 these either deceptive or inappropriate answers on 2 Mr.Morales' part straightened out. It was cute the first couple of days. 3 4 It has long since ceased to be cute. 5 We'll just sit there and have the reporter read several of Mr. Morales' answers back to the 6 7 Court, because I'm sure the Judge would like Mr. Morales to be told that the time for his 8 9 version of the Gong Show is over with, and he can now 10 answer questions as they are put to him. 11 I am not going to waste my time with this 12 nonsense. 13 MS. COHAN: It is my understanding that is 14 what Mr. Morales is doing. 15 MR. WILLIAMS: Well, then, we will just 16 have to rely upon the state of the record. 17 MS. COHAN: That is accurate. 18 OFFICER DIAZ: Are we going downstairs? 19 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 20 MS. COHAN: Apparently. 21 (Off the record.) 22 (Whereupon, the following proceedings 23 were had before the Honorable Gerald Kogan in 24 chambers:)

MS. COHAN: Obviously, we have run into a

personality problem between Mr. Morales and Mr. Williams, where Mr. Williams asks leading questions, which Mr. Morales does not feel he can answer yes or no.

Mr. Williams and Mr. Morales don't get along. Mr. Williams has been calling Mr. Morales "Monkey; and inviting him to leave if he does not like it.

It has become a three-ring circus.

MR. WILLIAMS: Starring the Monkey.

We are down here on my Motion, I think, Judge, and I really don't know what technically to call it.

THE COURT: Well, do you have any questions that Mr. Morales was asked that he didn't answer?

MS. COHAN: No.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Judge, we do. We have a series of questions, and what finally caused me to spare being able to conduct an orderly deposition, and instead, electing to come down here and get your help, is that the fellow who obviously thinks that he is the star of some show and here to posture and entertain and perform, generally declines to answer a question that is put to him, but rather, wanders off on what frequently are fairly extensive and always

rambling semi-coherent dialogues to the extent that, on a couple of occasions, when I have tried to cut him off and bring him back to the question, things have gotten a little bit explosive.

THE COURT: Well, for example?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, I will ask the court reporter to read back a couple of things, but it is really a pattern that has been taking place over the past couple of days now; meaning, today and last Thursday, and to a lesser extent, on Wednesday, and what I really want, Judge, is -- I don't know if the prosecutor can control the fellow or not.

so far, she seems to be able to at her will, but I'd like the man told, as I have told him constantly throughout the course of the deposition, that if I ask a question that can be answered with a yes or no, that he do it that way, and then, if his answer needs an explanation, to proceed to explain it. I have told him that.

MS. COHAN: That is the difficulty.

MR. WILLIAMS: I have told him that incessantly, and I've put to him questions that are susceptible of, and as a matter of fact, require yes or no answers with, you know, the --

THE COURT: I appreciate what the problem

is, but obviously, I cannot be present during the deposition, and unfortunately, during the deposition, you are going to have to put up with some of it or put up with a great deal of it.

MR. WILLIAMS: I've been putting up with a lot of it.

THE COURT: You may have to.

I'm not going to have you come down on every single question.

MR. WILLIAMS: We haven't done that, but it's gotten to the spot now where the man really, either as an exercise in whimsy or self-protection or a combination of those things, thinks that it is cute to play word games, and when one undertakes to remind that that isn't his function, then, drawing whatever occurred --

THE COURT: Your example of a word game?

MR. WILLIAMS: I asked him at one point -well, let me just ask the reporter read some passages
back.

THE COURT: Rather than that, why don't you just tell me?

MS. COHAN: Rather than that, let me indicate to the Court, Mr. Morales has been instructed that he may answer any question yes, no,

or I don't know, and if his answer requires an explanation, then he will be permitted to give it.

However, Mr. Williams, being the way he is, Mr. Morales, being the way he is, neither of them, it seems, can allow the other one to finish their respective question or answer.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, that is not quite the case, your Honor.

I have never interrupted the witness in the course of an answer.

I am going to bring the witness in, and tell the witness he is first to answer that question yes or no, or I don't know if he doesn't know, but first, yes or no, and then, if he has to give an explanation, he can give an explanation.

MR. WILLIAMS: That is all I am asking.

THE COURT: Now, in the event it should develop somewhere along the line that he should get to be rambling, and sometimes, we have rambling witnesses, and it just becomes impossible to control the situation, then, bring it down, but I want to hear what the ramblings are.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, Judge, I am happy to have the reporter read several examples now.

THE COURT: I don't want it. If it's going to happen in the future 2 after I instruct him, we will go from there, and then, 3 we will decide what I am going to do. Secondly, are you calling him Monkey? MR. WILLIAMS: Not on deposition. 6 When we walked in the door on deposition, 7 I was calling him Mr. Morales or Monkey or sir at his 8 9 invitation. When he walked in the door, I made some 10 comment about the fact that the monkeys came. MS. COHAN: And his keepers. 11 12 THE COURT: Here's what I recommend. 13 Don't call him Monkey, because apparently, it is 14 antagonizing the individual. 15 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, sometimes the truth 16 does hurt. 17 THE COURT: Call him Mr. Witness or 18 Mr. Morales. 19 MS. COHAN: Or Ricardo or Ricky. 20 THE COURT: Whatever it is he wants to 21 be called. 22 Don't call him Monkey. Don't make any 23 comments about the Monkey, because it's not going to 24 help any of us get this deposition finished. 25 MS. COHAN: I will also indicate that

Detective Diaz and Puig are not his companions, body-1 guards, or any other thing of that nature. 2 MR. WILLIAMS: In that case, what the hell 3 are they doing here? MS. COHAN: Mr. Morales is their source. 5 THE COURT: It's unnecessary. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: If they're not his body-7 guards, then, can we get them out of the deposition? 8 THE COURT: Obviously, they are there to protect Mr. Morales. 10 In any event, let's do this. Don't even 11 refer to Mr. Diaz or whoever else is with him. 12 13 MS. COHAN: Puig. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Puig. Don't refer to them. Call him Mr. Morales, 15 Mr. Witness. Don't call him Monkey. Don't refer to 16 his bodyguards, or whatever else, and let's just 17 proceed with the deposition. 18 19 Let's bring in Mr. Morales. 20 (Whereupon, Officer Puig, Officer Diaz, 21 and the witness entered chambers.) 22 THE COURT: All right, Mr. Morales. 23 Whenever the questions are asked of you, Listen. 24 they call for an answer first, yes or no or if you 25 don't know, you say I don't know.

explain your answer, you can explain the answer, but first, answer it yes or no; all right, or I don't know, if you don't know.

Now, only answer the question that is

If you have to explain, or you want to

Now, only answer the question that is being asked of you, and keep your answers limited to the question that is being asked. In other words, if you are asked now, "What happened when you met with Sam Smith on such and such a day," you just answer, "This is what happened when I met with Sam Smith on such and such a day."

Don't tell them what happened with Sam Smith on some other day; okay? Do I make myself clear?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

I want to point it out to you that I had a chain of command throughout my whole life, and I was receiving orders and I carried those orders, so Mr. Williams is trying to put me in a position where I was the one making the decision, which I didn't.

THE COURT: Well, obviously, you are referring to some line of questioning that I am unaware of.

MS. COHAN: A specific line of questioning

1

3

6

7 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

before we came down.

THE COURT: Whatever it is, the important thing is that you answer the question that is being asked, and that is it.

If you feel that it needs an explanation, explain it, but don't, you know, make long, long explanations about matters that really don't relate to that particular question at that time.

When you are finished with Mr. Williams' questions, then, Ms. Cohan will have a chance to ask you questions to clear up anything that she feels may need further explanation, so it's not like nobody is going to ask you anything.

If Ms. Cohan feels it is appropriate, then, she will ask you questions later on; all right? THE WITNESS: I will do my best.

THE COURT: Okay, because I want to move this along. I want to finish this as soon as we can. THE WITNESS: So do I, sir.

THE COURT: All right.

Let me say this: - This is difficult for \_ everybody. It is difficult for you, it is difficult for Mr. Williams, Ms. Cohan -- everybody, and all you do is just answer a question, and let's stay away from personalities, everybody, and we'll all get along

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

fine that way.

Okay. Go upstairs and finish.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Judge.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken after which the following proceedings were had in the deposition:)

MR. WILLIAMS: Before we get back to the line of questioning that we have been treating,

Ms. Cohan, in our little dialogue with the Court before the witness was brought into the room, you made it a point in telling the Court that Mr. Diaz and Mr. Puig were not Mr. Morales' bodyguards.

MS. COHAN: That is correct.

MR. WILLIAMS: What is the purpose of their presence?

MS. COHAN: Sir, I am not being deposed.

If you would like to get on with the questioning of

Mr. Morales, you may do so.

MR. WILLIAMS: All right.

If you feel that Mr. Puig and Mr. Diaz have to be here for any police purpose that pertains to Mr. Morales' well-being, then let them sit outside the doors.

I don't want them present for any more of the deposition. There is no reason for their being

, - – – – –

1 here. MS. COHAN: The Court has already indicated 2 they may be present. 3 If you want to go down on another certified 4 question, we may do so. 5 They are also here as much for my well-being 6 as Mr. Morales'. 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Do you harbor any fear of me at this time? 9 MS. COHAN: Mr. Williams, Mr. Diaz and 10 Mr. Puig are permitted to be here by the Court, and 11 they will continue to be here. 12 MR. WILLIAMS: Well, Rina, they have no more 13 14 function to serve sitting inside these doorways than 15 they do immediately outside the doorways to your office. 16 17 MS. COHAN: Does their presence bother 18 you for some reason? 19 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 20 MS. COHAN: Do they intimidate you? 21 MR. WILLIAMS: Not a bit. 22 MS. COHAN: Then, why does it bother you? 23 MR. WILLIAMS: Because they are both 24 witnesses in the case, and I am about to get into

some sensitive issues with which one is about to be

25

interrogated, and in the same way I want all the other witnesses sequestered one from the other, I want these witnesses sequestered one from the others.

MS. COHAN: In terms of Mr. Puig, I can understand your fears. However, Mr. Morales' state-ment is going to be available to him, in any event.

MR. WILLIAMS: Fine.

I am now specifically requesting that you have Mr. Diaz sit on the other side of that door (indicating), and Mr. Puig sit on the other side of that door (indicating), or vice-versa.

MS. COHAN: No.

MR. WILLIAMS: Back down.

OFFICER DIAZ: I will stay here. I have got to write a report.

MR. WILLIAMS: D.C., I think you might want to come along because the Judge might want to ask you some questions, and I might want to ask you some questions in front of him.

OFFICER DIAZ: Sure. No problem.

Do you want Ricardo down there, too?

MR. WILLIAMS: No, we don't need him.

OFFICER DIAZ: Do you want Puig down there?

MR. WILLIAMS: No, you can talk for the

two of you.

OFFICER DIAZ: No, I don't talk for 1 anybody. 2 3 MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, come on. OFFICER DIAZ: You are getting obnoxious 5 now. (Whereupon, the following proceedings 6 7 were had before the Honorable Gerald Kogan in chambers:) 8 THE COURT: What's the problem? 9 10 I am trying to disinvite MR. WILLIAMS: 11 Mr. Puig and Mr. Diaz from further attendance inside 12 the deposition. 13 I am about to get into some areas that are 14 very material and very critical. 15 We are back in Ms. Cohan's office. I 16 don't know if you've ever had the pleasure of being 17 in there. It's back in the corner of the State 18 Attorney's Office. It has two entrance doors. Ιt 19 is virtually inaccessible to anybody because of the 20 job her secretaries do at the front, but in terms of 21 security for Mr. Morales, there is no reason why 22 Mr. Puig can't be outside one door, and Mr. Diaz 23 outside another door. 24 They don't need him inside.

THE COURT: Who is inside the room now?

fear Mr. Morales.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Morales, Mr. Puig, 1 Mr. Diaz, Ms. Cohan, the court reporter, and myself. 2 THE COURT: That's all? We don't have any 3 of the defendants at this time? MR. WILLIAMS: If any of the defendants 5 are there, I have no problem with their being there 6 because then, I can see a legitimate concern, at 7 least for their presence. 8 MS. COHAN: Judge, I really and truly don't 9 feel comfortable with Mr. Morales without a police 10 officer present. 11 THE COURT: Here's what we can do. 12 MR. WILLIAMS: I am not the least bit 13 afraid. 14 THE COURT: You are now getting into 15 testimony which Mr. Diaz and Mr. Puig might have 16 been involved in? 17 18 MS. COHAN: He has been deposed. 19 THE COURT: I know that, but the issue is severed from that. 20 I can understand Mr. Morales being in 21 there with a police officer. Can you get another 22 police officer in there? 23 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, she has no reason to

22

23

24

25

MS. COHAN: It's not that I fear Mr. Morales. Your Honor, there is an alleged contract

MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, shit.

Well, Judge, Mr. Diaz can be right outside one door, and Mr. Puig can be right outside the other door, and there is no way on earth that somebody can get into that office unless they are Spiderman.

THE COURT: She also wants somebody in there

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, there is no need for There really isn't, Judge.

If D.C. Diaz can't stop somebody from coming through the door, then, he ain't going to be able to stop them once he gets inside.

THE COURT: I can understand Officer Diaz and Officer Puig not being in there, because you may be touching upon material that may be necessary to call them concerning it. I can understand that part, but if she wants somebody in there with her, she is entitled to have some police officer in there.

MR. WILLIAMS: If Mr. Cohan is legitimately concerned for her well-being, and this is the first I have ever heard about any "contract on her life," then, I have no quarrel on that.

Let her get somebody from Public Safety

Liaison or City of Miami or one of the State Attorney's investigators to sit there with a gun. I don't care about that, but there certainly is no need for either of these men to be inside, and from the point of view of security, if I were concerned for my well-being, I would rather have people posted at the entry points outside rather than inside, because there is no other way in.

MS. COHAN: In terms of Detective Puig being in the room next door, I have no trouble with that, because e has not been deposed by anyone in this case.

In terms of Detective Diaz, on the other hand, we had a nine day deposition. He is of record already concerning all matters on Ricardo Morales, and I would suggest, at this point, he is unlikely to change his testimony because of anything he hears Mr. Morales say, which is public anyway.

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor well knows why there are reasons for Mr. Diaz being outside.

THE COURT: All right. If you are going into those areas that Officer Diaz may, in fact, have knowledge about or may have to testify about, despite the fact you have already taken his deposition,

then, during that portion, he may remain outside the door.

However, Ms. Cohan is entitled to have an armed deputy or two armed duties inside that particular room with her, so those are the arrangements we will make.

MR. WILLIAMS: That certainly isn't my complaint.

If she thinks it's necessary, I am quite content to sit with as many armed police officers as would make her feel comfortable, and I am sure that the State Attorney's chief investigator can furnish them just like that (indicating a snap of the fingers).

MS. COHAN: That is inaccurate.

THE COURT: It's up to whoever she wants.

She can choose whatever officers she wants in there

with her at the time, and Mr. Diaz and Mr. Puig

can stay outside the doors during that period of time.

MR. WILLIAMS: I would just want the people of Ms. Cohan's choice not to be folks who have any significant connection with the case from here on out, so, you know, if it's Raul Martinez, that is just about the same degree of evil.

MS. COHAN: Your Honor, we probably have a good two weeks left of Ricardo Morales' deposition.

ŧ

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILLIAMS: No. I am taking the deposition, and I know we don't have two weeks left. THE COURT: He says he doesn't have two

> MR. WILLIAMS: No. sir.

weeks left. A week and a half?

There is a limit as to how many MS. COHAN: people I can tie up in court or in my office on his deposition, and we are about reaching that limit.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, then, let Mr. Diaz and Mr. Puig go about their business, and let's have two other equally competent, if there are, and maybe there aren't, but let's have two other law enforcement officers who will attempt to be the same degree of competence for security.

THE COURT: That will be my ruling. can have two other officers in there if you want to that are armed, so you all work it out with yourselves.

MS. COHAN: Your Honor, Mr. Morales is Mr. Diaz' source. He is a control for Mr. Morales, and as such --

THE COURT: - I-realize that, and what will happen is when Mr. Morales is finished testifying, the quards will stay with him there until Mr. Diaz comes to get him, so Mr. Morales doesn't have to leave your office without Mr. Diaz being with him.

MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.

THE COURT: So, let's work it that way.

MS. COHAN: Thank you.

(Thereupon, a short recess was taken after which the following proceedings were had in the deposition:)

MS. COHAN: In terms of today, there are no defendants here, and I have no problem proceeding.

(Officer Diaz and Officer Puig left the room.)

## BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q Mr. Morales, did there come a time when you met and spoke with a man by the name of John Rothchild, a writer, or at least, a person who wrote an article for Harper's Magazine?

A Yes.

Q Did you, as a matter of fact, give Mr.

Rothchild information of any sort to assist him in the writing of an article that appeared in Harper's Magazine in the January, 1982 issue?

A That is incorrect. I never spoke with Mr. Rothchild with regard to that article, and the time that I have a contact, personal contact, with Mr. Rothchild was in Caracas, Venezuela, and I was doing the talking way back in 1976.

1	Q Did you, Mr. Morales, speak with anybody,
2	having in mind the fact that your information or your
3	information or your conversations were to play any
4	part at all, any part at all, in the writing of the
5	article attributed to Mr. Rothchild in the January,
6	1982 issue of Harper's?
7	A No, sir.
8	Q Have you had any communication with Mr.
9	Rothchild or Harper's Magazine, itself, their
10	editorial staff, since the article was published in
[1	middle or late December of 1981?
12	A Yes, with Mr. Rothchild before the article
13	came out.
14	Q What was the purpose of that conversation?
15	A Just to say hello to him.
16	Q Did you see any of the galleys or proofs
17	of the article before it was published?
18	A No, sir.
19	Q Did anybody read any portion of it to you?
20	A No, sir.
21	Q Did you have any advance knowledge, from
22	any source, whatsoever, concerning any of the contents
23	of the article written by Mr. Rothchild?
24	A Nope.

Have you read the article?

Q

Yes. A 1 MS. COHAN: An it is rather inaccurate. 2 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 3 I'd like to read you a couple of lines Q from the article, line by line, or phrase by phrase. 5 Let me know what you are reading. Yes, and then, after I read it to you, Q 7 I'd like to have you tell me whether --8 A What page? After I read it, I would like to have you 10 tell me whether the article --11 What page? 12 13 After I read it to you, I would like to have you tell me whether the portions that I read to 14 you are true or untrue, and if untrue, in what 15 particular, and now, I am starting on Page 32. 16 32. 17 In the right hand column, the second full 18 paragraph, the paragraph starts with the language, 19 "Otero was another anti-Castro zealot," and I am not 20 21 concerned with the truth or not of that. 22 Tell me whether this content of the article 23 is true? 24 When?

"In 1979, he -- referring to Otero -- and

25

Q

Morales were such good friends, that Morales had 1 his own key to Otero's apartment." 2 Is that true or not? 3 4 A That is incorrect. What about it is incorrect, sir? 5 incorrect that you were good friends, or is it 6 incorrect that you had a key to his apartment or both? 7 8 Α It is incorrect that I had a key to his 9 apartment. 10 Did he have a key to your apartment? 11 Of course not. A 12 At the end of that same paragraph are 13 these sentences: "Once again, the Monkey came to the 14 aid of law enforcement and declared that Otero was 15 their bomber. This was in December, 1975." 16 Is that correct or incorrect? 17 I already answered to that question. 18 Answer the question, as I put it to you, 19 please, sir? 20 MS. COHAN: Are you adopting your previous 21 answer? 22 THE WITNESS: I am adopting the previous 23 answer. MS. COHAN: And that answer was no.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, no. If he is going

to rely on his answer, then, we will stay with the record, but that is not the same question that I am asking.

THE WITNESS: I never declared that

Otero was the bomber. How can we go to something that
is completely incorrect, Rina?

MS. COHAN: At this time, I would request that Mr. Williams mark a copy of the Harper's Magazine article for the record and attach it thereto as an exhibit since we are referring to it as a matter of record.

MR. WILLIAMS: I have no problem with that.

The only copy I have with me has my notations and highlights with it.

The copy that Mr. Morales has that he got from you or your desk, is apparently a clean copy, so if you want to mark that one, I have no problem with that.

MS. COHAN: I'll make another copy of that because I intend to mark it.

(Whereupon, the document referred to was marked Defendant's Exhibit No. 1 for Identification.)

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q The next paragraph begins: "Having informed on his friend, Morales went back to Otero

Did you hear it from anywhere?

25

Q

In here.

K

7	MS. COHAN: Indicating the article.
ю	MR. WILLIAMS: Indicating, for the record,
4	the Harper's article.
8	Is that the only place you heard it?
•	THE WITNESS: Yes, I already answered
7	to you that question.
œ	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
0	Q Is that the only source from which you
	heard it, sir, or did you hear it from any other
=	source?
2	A This article (indicating).
<u></u>	Q The article says further on down in the
4	same sequence, "By February, 1976, Morales had hired
S	him in Venezuela and sent him to Chile on some
9	DISIP mission."
- 2	Is that true or not?
<u>∞</u>	A It says, "By February of 1976, two months
6	after informing on him, Morales had hired him in
8	- Venezuela, and sent him to Chile on some DISIP
===	mission."
22	Q All right. Now, you have already said
<u></u>	that you didn't inform on him, so we are just
4	excising that phrase.
رة 	The question is, whether it is true that,

1	by February of 1976, you had hired Otero in Venezuela,
2	and had sent him to Chile on some DISIP mission?
3	A I didn't recruit Rolando Otero for that
4	mission.
5	Q One of your superiors did?
6	A My immediate superior.
7	Q Did you have anything at all to do with
8	Otero's being given that mission? Anything at all?
9	A It was my superiors, the ones who outlined
10	the whole procedure.
11	Q Here's my question to you: Did you have
12	anything at all to do with Otero's being sent on the
13	mission to Chile?
14	A I was carrying orders from my superior
15	to expedite his mission to Chile.
16	Q Did you discuss the mission with your
17	superiors?
18	A Yes, of course.
19	Q Did you discuss the mission with Otero?
20	A We discussed it all along.
21	Q Is that a yes, sir, that you discussed
22	it with Otero?
23	A Yes, Otero, my superior, and myself.
24	Q Were you then actively involved in the
25	chain of circumstances that gave rise to Otero's

25

1 It was part of the chain of command. 2 I'll take that as a yes. 3 Did you ever promise any American authorities that you would return to Miami to testify against 5 Otero? 6 MS. COHAN: Are you referring to a line 7 in the article? 8 MR. WILLIAMS: Well, there is a line to 9 that effect in the article, but it doesn't make 10 any difference. 11 BY MR. WILLIAMS: Did there ever come a time, whether you 13 want to read it from the article or not, when you told 14 anybody connected with law enforcement in the South 15 Florida area that you would come to the South Florida 16 area to appear in court to testify against Rolando 17 Otero? 18 That's not the way it happened. 19 If you don't mind, can I tell you the way 20 it happened? 21 0 Okay. 22 Came up the time when the American 23

authorities put up a request to the Venezuelan

government that, in the event that Mr. Otero would

going to Chile?

•

be apprehended and returned to the United States, that they will make a request for me to be present to testify in his trial.

Take it that way. That's the way it happened.

- Q Rere's my question to you --
- And that's my answer.

I am telling you the way it happened.

Q Listen to my question, Mr. Morales.

Did you, yourself, ever engage in conversation with anybody connected with law enforcement in the United States, either at the State or Federal level, in the course of which you said you would be available to testify against Otero? Now, that calls for a yes or no. Either you talked to some such person, or you didn't talk to some such person.

A After, after, after -- the F.B.I., I let my superior officer in the Foreign Ministry and DISIP, there came a time when I did have conversations, you know, with regard to my future appearance in the Otero trial as a witness.

- Q With whom did you have such conversations on behalf of?
  - A The F.B.I.
  - Q With whom, please, sir?

•	A Agent Joe Ball.
2	Q In the course of that conversation or
3	those conversations, did you tell Agent Joe Ball that
4	you would be available and would be willing to
5	testify against Otero?
6	A That was preposterous at the time that
7	we were discussing that because
8	MS. COHAN: Yes, no, you don't know?
9	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
10	Q Did you tell Agent Joe Ball from the
11	F.B.I. that you would be available and willing to
12	testify against Otero in proceedings in any court
13	in the United States?
14	A With the approval of the Venezuelan
15	government.
16	Q Is that a yes, sir?
17	A Is that a yes with the approval of the
18	Venezuelan government.
19	Q Did you, thereafter, for any reason at
20	all, not appear at the time when there was some
21	proceeding against Otero in any court in the United
22	States at which your testimony had been requested?
23	A When Otero was in trial in Jacksonville?
24	Q At any time, at any place.
25	Was there a time when there was a proceeding

your testimony was regarded as being necessary in 1 order to obtain a conviction? Didn't he let you 2 know that? 3 Not necessarily because they have four more witnesses against Mr. Otero. 5 Didn't Mr. Ball tell you that he and the 6 prosecutors thought that your appearance and testimony 7 was indispensible to a conviction? 8 No, sir, that is not the word he used. 9 What was the word that he used to 10 describe the need that the government had for your 11 testimony? 12 They got four witnesses, and I was going 13 to be the fifth. 14 Didn't Mr. Ball make it apparent to you 15 that he thought it important that you be there? 16 Of course. All prosecutors are, you know, 17 whatever, think that having a witness is important. 18 19 When you told Mr. Ball that you were going to appear --20 21 That I was going to what? 22 Appear at Otero's trial, were you trying 23 to deceive him? 24 Who? 25 Ball? Q

<b>.</b>	i india was not even a calca accessor
2	Otero was still at large.
3	Q My question was
4	A No is the answer.
5	Q You weren't trying to deceive him? Thank
6	you.
7	Was it your intention when you told Ball
8	that you would appear and testify, that he believe.
9	you and rely upon what you said?
10	A He has to make a request, a formal request
11	to the Venezuelan government. I don't know what
- 12	Mr. Ball thought.
13	Q Here's my question: Was it your intention
14	when you told him that you would be there
15	A To comply with my orders.
16	Q Now, listen to my questions, Mr. Morales.
17	A Listen to my answers, for the fun of it.
18	Q Who else did it with you? Who else
19	was with you?
20	A Oh, Francisco Rodriguez Tomayo, also known
21	as Panchita Jabon Candado.
22	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
23	Q You can answer it.
24	A Vague.
25	MS. COHAN: Did you mean it when you told

him? 1 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 2 3 When you told Mr. Ball that you would appear and testify for the government, did you say it to him intending that he believe you, or did you say it to him intending that he not believe you? I said it to him that I will follow the 8 orders of my superiors, and he knew that, that I have a government on top of him -- top of me, and it was 10 extensively discussed. 11 When you told him that you were going to 12 be there to testify, were you trying to mislead him? 13 No, sir. 14 Were you trying to deceive him? 15 No. 16 Were you trying to get him to rely upon 0 17 your word for the purpose of being disadvantaged? 18 It was not my word. It was orders from my 19 superiors, and I was not trying to deceive Mr. Ball 20 or to play a game or do a number on Mr. Ball. 21 So, you said it to him intending that he 22 believe you; is that correct? 23 Objection. Asked and answered. MS. COHAN: 24 MR. WILLIAMS: It hasn't been answered. 25 THE WITNESS: I didn't intend anything.

The word intend might have a meaning for you in your 1 business, but the word intend in my business at the 2 time has a different meaning. 3 The word intend -- you know, counts 5 nothing. I have a chain of command. I have to follow orders. 6 They have a long procedure a head of 7 Otero was not in custody. There was not even a day 8 for trial or whatever. 9 10 MS. COHAN: No more? THE WITNESS: Are we through with the 11 garbage? 12 13 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 14 After you had shot and killed Eladio 15 Luis, were you ever interviewed by any police 16 officers to determine whether you had any part in 17 that episode? 18 MS. COHAN: I'm sorry. I didn't hear 19 the question. Can you hold on one second, and let 20 me get a phone call? 21 (Off the record.) 22 THE WITNESS: There is a question pending. 23 MS. COHAN: Yes, there is. 24 Can you read it back, because I was on

the phone? There is a pending question.

(Whereupon, the question referred to was 1 read into the record by the court reporter.) 2 MS. COHAN: Come on. 3 THE WITNESS: The same -- no, I can answer to that. It's no problem with that. 5 MS. COHAN: I know. 6 THE WITNESS: The same day that Mr. Eladio 7 Luis pass away, I surrendered myself in the company 8 of my two attorneys, and we went down to the City of Miami Police Department. 10 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 11 Who interviewed you? 12 13 Huh? 14 Q Who interviewed you? Who were the police 15 officers? Α I don't recall. There was a bunch of people 16 17 there. Q Was a police officer Walter Martinez one 18 19 of them? I don't recall. 21 Do you remember a police officer Joe 22 Diaz? 23 I don't recall. 24 Did the one whom we now know as Lieutenant 25 Raul Diaz, whatever his rank was at the time, subse-

1	quently appear at the police station?
2	A He wasn't there.
3	Q Did any of the police officers interrogate
4	you about your involvement in the episode?
5	A As far as I can recall, under the advise
6	of my attorneys, and they took the deposition or
7	whatever they want to call it, whatever it was.
8	Q Statement?
9	A You can call it whatever you want.
10	Q They asked you questions about whether
11	you had anything to do with the killing; correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Did you tell them that you had?
14	A I was under advise of my attorneys.
15	Q My question to you, sir, is whether you
16	told them that you had been involved in the killing,
17	or did you deny involvement in the killing?
18	A I was under the advise of my attorneys.
19	Q Yes, sir, and what is your answer to my
20	question?
21	MS. COHAN: Did you assert the 5th
22	Amendment?
23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	MR. WILLIAMS: Sorry.
25	MS. COHAN: It didn't work.

1	Q Now, let me finish my question, Mr. Morales
2	That would be one occasion upon which you
3	made some factual statement to the police that was
4	false when you knew it to be false, and you intended
5	that the police rely upon the falseness; correct?
6	A I had two attorneys present at the time.
7	Q You can tell me yes or no first, and then,
8	explain it.
9	A I have two attorneys yes. I have
10	two attorneys present, and I was, you know, whatever
11	I said there was under the advice of my attorneys,
12	and you now are the defense attorney, and you should
13	know better.
14	Q Did your attorneys tell you to lie,
15	Mr. Morales?
16	MS. COHAN: Objection to any
17	MR. WILLIAMS: You can't raise that.
18	Now, you just leave him alone.
19	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
20	Q Did your attorneys tell you to lie?
21	MS. COHAN: I will not raise it. However,
22	I will inform the witness.
23	MR. WILLIAMS: You do not have to give him
24	any advices.
25	Come on, Rina. Be a little bit fair today.

1 MS. COHAN: There is a privilege against 2 disclosing any conversations that you and your attorneys 3 had in the course of their representation of you. THE WITNESS: Of course. I know that. 5 You know, at least, you know, I know that 6 those are my rights now that you are trying to violate 7 now. 8 It is confidential between my attorneys 9 and myself. 10 You should show a little more respect, you 11 know, from members of your own Bar, for Christ's 12 sake. I mean, you are too much. 13 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 14 Are you now asserting your attorney-client 15 privilege, Mr. Morales? 16 In that case, of course, and you know that. 17 My God, you know -- I mean, you are going 18 to burn in hell badly. 19 I won't be there. I won't be there to 20 listen to your bickering. 21 MR. WILLIAMS: Like Kenny Loggins says, 22 Ricky, "Keep the fires burning." 23 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 24 Q During 1978, Mr. Morales, were you then 25 providing police with or any law enforcement agency

th intelligence or with information concerninal activities of anybody in the South MS. COHAN: I'm sorry 1968 or MR. WILLIAMS: 1978.  THE WITNESS: 1978.  A I can't recall. I spent part of ing to get out of there.  Q Did you have contact with any lacer of the contact with any lacer of ing to get out of there.  Q Did you have contact with any lacer of ing to get out of there.  Q Ves, in 1978.  A In advance of my arrest?  A I don't recall. There were a contact with any the sarly part at any time since your return from Venezuntil Abril of 1978. from time to time
uncil April of 1978, from time to time,
up until April of 1978, from time to time, providing
at any time since your return from Venezuel
Were you, during the early part of
Mexicans.
I don't recall. There were a couple
TILO WAS LIKE
Who was the
F.B.I. paid me a visit
Yes,
In advance of my
officers for any purpose in advance
Did you have contact with any
get out of
just don't recall. I was too
nok
I can't recall. I spent part of 1978
MR.
WITNESS:
WILLIAMS: 1978
COHAN: I'm sorry 1968 or
area?
activities of anybody in the South
or with information concerning

level or any intelligence officer with regard to any information of the sort they normally got from you?

A No, no, no.

Q As I understand it, then, between your return to South Florida from Venezuela and the time you were arrested in 1978, you weren't giving information to anybody?

A No. I was interviewed by the F.B.I. with regard to what happened in Venezuela that I took off from there, and that was it.

Q When you were providing information to the police during the late sixties and early seventies before your departure for Venezuela, was that statement that you were engaged in the various bombing incidents or episodes that you described to us before?

A If you go to the record, you will see, you know, the times that I was involved in bombings, that, you know, I have stop all kind of activities with a couple of exceptions.

\_\_\_\_\_One was that 1973 -- you know, hand grenade thrower, and I was not engaged in any kind of criminal activities, as you want to put it out, at the time that I was in the employ of the Federal Bureau of Investigations, the Central Intelligence

1 Agency, or the Drug Enforcement Administration. 2 If you are going to include any bar fights, 3 you know, or things like that, happens very commonly in life, in daily life. You know, I can't help it. 5 Barroom fights, you know, brawls, and things like that like, you know, we almost got today -- you and me. 7 MS. COHAN: Referring to Mr. Williams; 8 not the court reporter. 9 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 10 Mr. Morales, is there some time within 11 the past three and a half years, let's say, between 12 the present and January of 1978 --13 So, let's put it backwards. It would be, 14 you know, much better for me to answer you that 15 question. 16 0 Let's say, January, 1979. 17 That will be better. 18 A January, 1979? 19 Between January, 1979 and the present. Q 20 The present day today? Okay. A 21 When you were arrested or otherwise taken into 22 custody in the country of Brazil and charged with 23 any violations of the laws of that country? 24 I have never been in Brazil in my life,

so I have never been arrested in Brazil, my friend.

25

You should buy the right papers.

Q Have you been arrested in any, or otherwise taken into custody in any South or Central American country within the past three and a half years?

A No, sir. Never in my life have I ever been arrested in any country in the world except the United States of America.

Q Have you, at any point in the past three years, been interrogated, or to your knowledge, investigated for anything having to do with the possession or distribution or sale or receipt of any contraband drug?

- A Do you want to describe it?
- Q Aside from the United States, of course?
- A No.

Dases covered, have you, at any time, been either detained or interrogated, or to your knowledge, investigated in any South or Central American country with regard to any matters pertaining to any disposition of or contact with any contraband drug other than in the United States?

A No, sir.

(Off the record.)

MR. WILLIAMS: Rina, there are just a

couple more isolated little dillies, and then, we will start off tomorrow morning with Carlos Quesada.

MS. COHAN: All right. However, tomorrow morning, as you may or may not be aware, at 9:00 o'clock, I have to finish up the Rule to Show Cause.

This doesn't have to be on.

(Off the record.)

## BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Mr. Morales, was it your understanding when you were accepted into the United States Marshall Witness Protection Program that the formal request for inclusion in that program had to be made by some Department of Justice attorney either out of Main Justice or United States Attorney's Office or the

Do you know who the prosecutor was, if, in fact, there was one?

(Continuing) -- who requested or sponsored your participation in the Marshall's

I believe I already answered to that question with regard to an agent by the name of Raul DeArmas from I.R.S.

1	papers to you for your signature?
2	A No, sir.
3	Q (Continuing) in connection with
4	getting into the program?
5	A No, sir.
6	Q Then, your testimony is that, as you sit
7	here now, you don't have any knowledge, based upon
8	communications with any government agent or law
9	lawyer as to whether any particular government
10	lawyer was responsible for your inclusion in the
11	Program, and if so, who it was; is that correct?
12	A Someone would have been responsible.
13	The thing is that I only know about Raul DeArmas.
14	Q Here's my question: As you sit here
15	A Here's my answer, William.
16	We will go back again, you know, to
17	square one.
18	MS. COHAN: All right, gentlemen.
19	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
20	Q As you sit here now, Mr. Morales
21_	A-Such incompetence in asking questions.
22	God.
23	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
24	Q Is it your testimony that you have no
25	knowledge whether any particular government lawyer

1 was instrumental in obtaining your inclusion in the 2 program? 3 MS. COHAN: Objection. Asked and answered. You may tell him again. 5 THE WITNESS: I don't know. I don't know. 6 There must have been one, at least has to be. 7 It's part of the procedure. I don't know 8 which one. 9 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 10 You don't know who? 0 11 I don't know which one. . 12 Just one of the two so far as you know? 13 Just one of the two, or the two together, 14 or maybe they delegate a third one. Maybe there were 15 three. Maybe there were a hundred. Maybe all of 16 them all the way up to Washington. 17 Somebody up there loves me. 18 MS. COHAN: That's why they sent you 19 there. 20 THE WITNESS: For sure. 21 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 22 Will you please recount to me, if you 23 are able, Mr. Morales, the various occasions during 24 your experience as either a police informant or an 25 intelligence operative to which you have --

A I would like to be referred as my last position in the counterintelligence service, which I hold the rank there, so I don't feel downgraded since you are an attorney, you know, and I refer to you as an attorney, counselor, whatever, you know, I would like to be referred as a former commissar or commissar or the equivalent, which is major.

Q (Continuing) -- in which you have been asked or attempted to infiltrate in an undercover capacity any group or organization? How many times has that happened?

You wouldn't have as much trouble with the question if the witness hadn't interrupted it.

Here's the question, Mr. Morales: Tell me, please, sir, to the best of your recollection, how many different times, either while functioning as a police informant or an intelligence operative, you have infiltrated or attempted to infiltrate, but not succeeded, some organization or group or combination or gathering of people consistently with the purposes of your work?

MS. COHAN: I'm going to object in terms of specific instances, but not in terms of the number of times he has infiltrated, which is I believe what the question calls for.

MR. WILLIAMS: I don't understand your objection. I don't know that he does either, but you can go ahead and answer that question.

MS. COHAN: He understands.

THE WITNESS: Okay. The answer is yes.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q How many different times?

A Successfully, let's say, the Orlando

Bosch Organization, two cases that I was a witness

for the Drug Enforcement Administration.

Q For what?

A I was a witness, I was an informant, I was the one to infiltrate two different narcotics organizations in 1972, which, you know, that those three cases resulted in me, you know, testifying in open court, in Federal Court, which were, you know, extremely successful.

Q Those two organizations that you just referred to, were they here in the United States?

- A There were three. I said three.
- O Orlando Bosch we have.

A The Cuban power. Then, you have another narcotics organization in 1972.

- Q Here in the United States?
- A Here in the United States, and another

1	Q Is the answer to my last question no,
2	Mr. Morales?
3	A No.
4	Q Had there ever been occasions upon which
5	you have failed in attempting to infiltrate?
6	A I never fail in my life of job, Mr. William
7	Never. There is no room for failures there.
8	Q Do I understand it, then, that during the
9	time that you were doing intelligence gathering work
10	A I'm not being modest about it.
11	Q Do I understand, then, that at the time
12	that you were doing intelligence gathering work,
13	apart from the three episodes that you have described
14	to us involving Mr. Bosch's Cuban Power, and the two
15	narcotics organizations here in the United States,
16	you've never had to infiltrate yourself into
17	A Myself?
18	MS. COHAN: Let him finish the question.
19	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
20	Q (Continuing) into an organization of
21	people for the purpose of getting information about
22	them without their knowing about it?
23	A No.
24	Q Never had to do that?
25	A (Nodding in the negative.)

•	Mo, conan. Answel out loud. She can t
2	take that down.
3	THE WITNESS: Nope.
4	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
5	Q What are the two agencies of or two law
6	enforcement agencies in the United States for whom you
7	did this undercover infiltration work involving the
8	narcotics ventures in 1972 and 1973?
9	MS. COHAN: Objection. Asked and answered
10	He said D.E.A.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: I didn't hear him.
12	Is that what you said D.E.A.?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
15	Q Who was or were the case agent or agents?
16	A What?
17	Q Who was or were the case agent or agents
18	in those D.E.A. undercover investigations?
19	A I don't recall the name of the case agents.
20	I don't remember.
21	I can tell you the name of the attorneys
22	for the drug defendants.
23	MR. WILLIAMS: All right. We will stop
24	for the day.
25	VG - COTTAN - W

(Whereupon, at 5:20 o'clock p.m., the deposition was recessed until Tuesday, April 13th, at 1:00 o'clock p.m.)

a

## CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA:

SS.

COUNTY OF DADE:

I, JOYCEE WAX, Shorthand Reporter and

Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large,
do hereby certify that the foregoing deposition of

RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE, by me duly sworn, was taken
at the time and place herein set forth; that the
deposition was recorded stenographically by me and
reduced to typewritten form under my personal
supervision; that the foregoing is a true and correct
record of the deposition, and that I am in no way
interested in the event of the cause.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal in the City of Miami, County of Dade, State of Florida, this \_\_\_\_\_ day of April, 1982.

JOYCEE WAX
Notary Public in and for the
State of Florida at Large.

My Commission expires: March 2, 1985.