IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CRIMINAL DIVISION

CASE NO.; 81-17247

THE STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Part 5

ALFREDO ARIAS, et al.,

Defendants.

1351 Northwest 12th Street, Miami, Florida, Thursday, April 8, 1982, 10:35 a.m.

## DEPOSITION OF RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE

Taken before JOYCEE WAX, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, pursuant to a Notice of Taking Deposition, filed in the above-styled cause.

WHE KED RUID

Joycee Wax
court reporting services

5525 LA GORCE DRIVE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA 33140 (305) 864-6693

### APPEARANCES:

RINA COHAN,
Assistant Unit

Assistant United States Attorney, Attorney for Plaintiff.

DOUGLAS L. WILLIAMS, ESQ., of the firm of NATHAN, WILLIAMS & REICHENTHAL, 444 Brickell Avenue, Miami, Florida, Attorney on behalf of Defendant Arias.

### ALSO PRESENT:

RAUL VILLAVERDE, Defendant JOSE MARCOS, Defendant, OFFICER D. C. DIAZ

### INDEX

Witness	Direct
Ricardo Morales Navarette	3

Thereupon--

RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE,

was called as a witness on behalf of the Defendants and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Identify yourself for the record, please?
- A Ricardo Morales Navarette.
- Q. Are you the person whose deposition commenced on April 2nd, 1982?

MS. COHAN: On or about?

THE WITNESS: On or about.

### BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Before Ms. Cohan and me?
- A. Yes.
- And therefore, the same person who has been testifying on successive business days since then?
  - A Yes.
- Q Will you tell me, please, Mr. Morales, during the months of August, September, October, November and December of 1980 and January of 1981, what were your personal habits concerning the use of alcohol or any chemicals internally?
- A Before answering to your question, Mr. Williams, since previously, you always, you know,

advise me if I have recalled from a previous deposition, you know, something that I would like to straight up for the record.

- Q Exactly.
- A. And you have failed to do so today.

You know, I would like you to instruct me again about that, about those issues, so I can refer for you whatever recollection has been made in my mind concerning past--

Q How about if you answer the pending question, and then, we will go back and do that?

Let's get that question out of the way.

- A. What question?
- Q The question is--
- A No, I would like to--since it was your normal procedure every day to ask me if I have to make any corrections--
- Q Allude you into a false sense of security; didn't I?
- A No, I thought it was the proper procedure for you since it's been established.
  - Q No procedure.
  - A Well, today, I have to make a few.
  - Q A correction?
  - A correction.

Q All right.

Mr. Morales, what is it that you wish to correct?

A. I would like to correct the part that you asked me if I ever received any moneys from the Public Safety Department, and I have recollected that somewhere in 1973, I, myself, on or about the mid-1973, I was the guest lecturer in a class of bomb disposal and bomb techniques that was held at the north campus college, you know, Dade College in north campus.

- Miami-Dade Community College?
- A Dade Community College, north campus, which was attended by more than a dozen police officers from different municipalities including City of Miami, Miami Beach, and I just can't recall exactly how many of them, and then I was formally invited by the Public Safety Department, and then I was compensated for my lecturing there.

Also, that besides my testimony to the effect that I was instructed by the Federal Bureau of Investigations to assist in the cuing--

- Q To assist in the what?
- A In the cuing of the new police officers.
- Q Cuing?

	A. 3	Yes,	sincev	vell,	since,	, somet	imes	, I
unde	erstand	your	terms,	you	know.	Then,	you	understand
my t	erms.						•	

- Q What do you mean when you say "cuing"?
- A Cuing means assisting them, breaking them in you know, streetwise, especially of--you know, some things like that.
  - We already talked about that.
- A. Right, besides the Federal Bureau of

  Investigations: I was also told that by the Counterintelligence Section of the Central Intelligence Agency
  down here in Miami.
  - Q Who, please?
- A Joaquin Garcia, who was the head of that counterintelligence office.
- Q Was this at about the same time as those activities that you had described yesterday?
  - A. Yes.
- Q Are there any other particulars in which your recollection has changed?
- A No, I haven't changed recollections. --There have been no change in recollection. It has
  been additions to my recollections.
- Any other particulars in which your recollection presently makes you think it appropriate to make, modify, or to add to any testimony that you

### 1 No, sir. 2 Now, would you please tell me, sir, during 3 the months of August, September, October, November, and December of 1980 --5 A August--6 August to December of 1980. August through -- that's more easy -- August 8 through December or you're keeping adding months? A Or January of 1981? 10 Up to from August -- give me a definite span 11 From where to when? I am going to answer of time. 12 the question to you, Douglas. You know that. 13 MS. COHAN: What's the question? 14 MR. WILLIAMS: There is none pending, 15 because the witness has not allowed us to finish 16 th e question. 17 MS. COHAN: Is this royal "we"--us? 18 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. We would like to know 19 what, between August of 1980 and January of 1981, 20 inclusive--21 THE WITNESS: Inclusive, January, 1981, 22 right. 23 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 24

Was your --

gave yesterday?

1	A Including up to the 31stI mean, to the
2	end of January, 1981, right?
3	Q The fellow is frisky this morning.
4	MS. COHAN: So are you.
5	MR. WILLIAMS: He must have had a boost
6	from something.
7	Are you ready?
8	THE WITNESS: What do you mean by a boost
9	from something?
10	What are you implying by a boost from some-
11	thing, since I'm not a rocket, and rockets are
12	the ones that usually, you know, are boosted,
13	or blasted is a different expression.
14	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
15	Q Are you ready to go with the question, now?
16	A. Yes, I'm ready to go to the question, but
17	I am not ready to go through, you know, your things.
18	Q The question is, what, between August of
19	1980 and January of 1981, was your regular, personal
20	process concerning the use of alcohol or any chemical
21	internally including, but not limited to marijuana,
22	heroin, cocaine, barbituates, amphetamines, or any
23	other chemical substance that one might injest for
24	whatever one's purpose? What was your regular habit?

My regular habits?

1 My regular habits, practice, or enjoyments 2 or pleasures concerning your question, I would drink 3 Johnny Walker Black. I drink heavily. I was snorting cocaine. I was smoking 5 marijuana, and I was using Valium to get sleep, my 6 nerves down, and that's about it. 7 You described your consumption of Johnny 8 Walker Black as being heavy. On a regular basis, how would you describe 10 quantitatively your cocaine use during the time in 11 question? 12 A On a daily basis? 13 Q. Did you use it daily? 14 Not precisely, you know. A. 15 More or less? Q. 16 More or less, you know, I would say that I 17 will take a gram. 18 Q. Each day? 19 A Nope. 20 How frequently? Q. 21 Oh, every time that it was available or 22 somebody went by the table and said, "You want to take 23 you know, a snort," and I say, "Yes, you know, let 24 me have some, " and I will take a quick snort, you 25 know, and that will stabilize my alcohol level.

Q.

Or practice?

Are we talking August, 1980, to January of 2 1981? 3 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. MS. COHAN: Okay. 5 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 6 Can you describe for me some quantity for 7 some specific period of time on an average that would reflect or describe your cocaine usage during that period? Were you using a gram a day or a pound a day? 10 No, no, you cannot use a pound a day, 11 Douglas, and you know that. 12 Well, no, I don't. 13 Well, you should know that by now. 14 Well, educate me. 15 How much is a gram; if you could? 16 MS. COHAN: It's a Sweet 'n Low packet. 17 MR. WILLIAMS: Oh. 18 THE WITNESS: I agree a Sweet 'n Low, 19 Sweet 'n Low. 20 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 21 That's about a gram of cocaine? 22 That's about a gram of cocaine. 23 MS. COHAN: Sweet 'n Low comes in grams, too. 24 THE WITNESS: That was also a terminology 25

MS. COHAN: Maybe I missed something.

for bad quality, bad cocaine. 1 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 2 Q. What? 3 A Sweet 'n Low. In other words, if somebody told you or 5 gave you bad cocaine, it would be described as Sweet 6 'n Low? 7 That usually the ones that you get at bars, 8 you know, at night spots and so forth. 9 With what degree of frequency were you using 10 cocaine during the period in question? 11 Well, every time that I was drinking I 12 was by the Mutiny. Since cocaine was there, you know, 13 readily available, I would take a couple of shots 14 of cocaine through my nose, one nose. I only used 15 one, the right one. 16 I always use the right one, so instead of 17 getting the right one clogged if it got clogged, I 18 still got the other one to breathe. 19 You only use one nostril? 20 Only one nostril, right, so that way, 21 only half of your peripheral gets high, so the other 22 one still controls, you know, whatever you got high 23

Q Cute.

24

25

on, for your own edification.

	A Cute.
1	MS. COHAN: I don't think that's how it
2	works.
3	MR. WILLIAMS: I tend to doubt it, myself.
4	THE WITNESS: You have experience why you
5	are doubting what I am saying?
6	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
7	Q Who's asking the questions, and who is
8	answering?
9	A No, because you are saying that you doubt
10	it, you know.
11	I mean, how come you are going to doubt it
12	if you don't have experience?
14	Q We agreed that I was going to be the lawyer
15	and you were going to be the witness.
16	A Oh, but don'twhat I am saying without
17	proper qualifications.
18	The record will reflect, you know, that
19	you are doubting what I am saying.
20	MS. COHAN: Right.
21	MR. WILLIAMS: I don't like this anymore.
22	
23	I am giving a straight answer.
24	I drink alcohol, you know, heavily, you
J	

know, during that fine period, that time period,

that you are saying, which is going to be cut

by December.

### BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Here's the question--
- A. No, no, which is going to be cut by December of 1980.
- Q Here's the question: With what frequency, in terms of days or weeks or using any other factor that you would to describe it, were you using cocaine during the period in question?
- A. Well, it was not on a daily basis, you know. It may have been three, four days, without using any one. Maybe, I will take, you know, a couple of snorts, one day, and then, maybe I will bomb myself out, you know, three or four grams in a party.
- Q Is it accurate to say that whenever it was available, whenever it was close at hand, you would use it?
- A Well, not exactly, you know. Sometimes, it was there, and I didn't have, you know, the desire for it.
- Q Is it accurate to say that it was, in any event, a regular feature of your life during that period of time?
  - A Of course.
  - Q All right.

25

_	A. It was there like food and alcohol, and
1	women, you know.
2	Q. To what degree of frequency were you smoking
3	marijuana?
4	
5	A. Well, that's different. Marijuana, I was
6	using it on a daily basis.
7	Q What quantity of marijuana were you smoking
8	on a daily basis during the period in question?
9	A Three, four joints a day, because, you know,
10	potyou get a high from one joint, and you could
11	smoke a joint, and you won't go higher.
12	Q. What time of the day did you normally
13	start using any of the chemicals that you have des-
14	cribed? When, during the day, for example, would you
15	normally start drinking?
16	A Well, at that time, I was a night person,
17	so I was not a day person.
18	There is a difference between day person,
19	day people and night persons, night people.
20	Q You are describing to me a situation in
21	which your general practice was to be awake most of
22	the night, and you would sleep during the early or
23	middle part of the day?
24	A No. Usually, I, you know, I get out of

bed sometimes around, you know, let's say around five,

5:30.

-

0 In the afternoon?

A In the afternoon and then, I will, you know, go through the normal procedures of any person who is waking up at that time exactly as whoever, you know, wakes up at five o'clock in the morning.

- Q Oh, what for you is a regular day? When relative to whatever the time was you arose would you start using any of the chemicals in question?
  - No, I was using toothpaste.
- that you arose, whenever it was, if it was five in the afternoon or the noontime or five in the morning—whenever, relative to that time, when would you begin to use any of these various chemicals for whatever? When would you start to drink scotch relative to the time that you awakened?
- and my hygiene, my personal hygiene, which will be between -6:30 and 7 o'clock at the evening at the Mutiny, you
  know, I will get out of there, you know, and ride in

my car, you know, or just walk around the place; I

mean, the gardens, you know, and smoke a joint, you

Oh, I have to go to breakfast, you know,

know, which was very relaxing, you know, and very 1 enjoyful, will return to the place, you know, with 2 high spirits. 3 Then, I either will ask for a bottle of wine, or you know, a bottle of champagne or I will 5 start drinking, you know, my Johnny Walker straight up, or you know, in preparation for having a regular meal, 7 which will amount to your lunch. 8 What about the cocaine? When would you start to use cocaine? 10 Oh, that was later on. Later on, because, 11 you know--12 Later on in whatever that period of awakeness 13 would be, later on in the cycle? 14 15 A. That will be close to, you know, getting to the point of the other side of midnight. 16 17 In other words, about halfway through that 24-hour period's cycle of being awake; is that 18 19 right? 20 Right. A 21 What about now, Ricky? For the past month 22 or six weeks? 23 For the past month?

Month or six weeks?

A Month: of what year?

A.

a

24

25

25

the word head?

1	Q Right now. For the past month or six
2	weeks preceding today?
3	A Preceding today? We are in 1982 now.
4	April the 8th.
5	Q Are you asking me or telling me?
6	A No, I'm just, for the record, where we
7	are staying now.
8	Q The record is going to show all of the time
9	where we are and what we are doing.
10	MS. COHAN: And what you are saying.
11	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
12	Q When it is so, you tell me, within the
13	past four to six weeks, what has been your regular
14	routine or practice concerning the use of all these
15	various chemicals that I have mentioned before?
16	A. I have only used alcohol, Johnny Walker
17	Black.
18	Q During the period between August of 1980
19	and January of 1981, how often were you using Valium
20	or any other generic that had Diazepin as its base
21	whatever you want to call it.
22	A Say that againabout the head and what?
23	MS. COHAN: Diazepin.

THE WITNESS: But, you never mentioned

### BY MR. WILLIAMS:

2

No, I never did.

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Diazepin?

Yes, Valium, or any other pharmacological product that had Diazepin as its base.

- Valium. That's it. Α.
- With what degree of frequency?

That was not a frequency that was -- maybe, you know, one Valium to go to bed, because I suffer from nightmares, you know, and really depressed me.

- a What strength Valium, Ricky?
- A Huh?
- What strength Valium? They come in two, five and ten?

Yellows and blue. Yellows are fives, and blues are tens, so makes no difference. Either you can have a yellow one, or split a blue one. means a five.

So, you use on an average of five milligrams whenever you used it?

- Whenever I use it. A.
- Did you have a prescription for the Valium? Ø
- Of course. A
- From whom? Q
- Oh, I don't recall. Somebody--you know, A.

I will ask, you know, to give me Valiums.

Well, if you are going to come to that point, I never went to a pharmacy, you know, with a--

- Q Prescription from the doctor?
- A with a prescription for the Valiums, you know, but it's like you never go to a pharmacy with a prescription for alcohol, which is a drug, or with cocaine, which is a drug. The only difference, it's a controlled substance, and Valiums, you can buy them over the counter.
- Q So, you were getting your Valiums from anybody who might be a source of it for you, however they would come by; is that correct?
- A. It's no big deal about that. You can go around. You know, you have Valium, and that's it.
- Q I'm not making a value judgment, Ricky.

  I am just trying to get some information from you.

  I'm just trying to find out who you go about getting your Valium.

If not from prescription, were you getting it from somebody else whom you thought to have, however they got it, and make them available to you; is that what it was?

- A Yes.
- A Have you been using Valium in the past four

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

25

# to six weeks? Oh, let me see. Yes. With what degree of frequency? Every time that I could feel that I am going to be restless, you know, or hyper that night. Where these days are you getting your Valium from? The prescription. Do you have a physician's prescription for Valium? No, I don't. 11 Where are you getting them from? 12 MS. COHAN: You can leave the room for a 13 few minutes. 14 MR. WILLIAMS: Let the record reflect the - 15 witness has, for the present, declined to 16 answer the question, and has left the room. 17 (The witness left the room.) 18 MS. COHAN: Can you read back the last 19 couple of questions and answers, please? 20 MR. WILLIAMS: Rina, the question was 21 where he was getting his Valium. 22 MS. COHAN: Mr. Williams, I want to hear 23 it from Joyce; not from you. 24 MR. WILLIAMS: Well, I don't much care.

The question is, where is he getting his Valium from now? That's what it is.

MS. COHAN: May I please hear the question and answer from the witness?

MR. WILLIAMS: That's much better.

(Whereupon, the questions and answers referred to were read into the record by the court reporter.)

(The witness entered the room.)
THE WITNESS: Mr. Williams--

MR. WILLIAMS: Wait until the prosecutor comes back.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MS. COHAN: Let me, once again, advise you, Ricardo, that you have the right to assert the Fifth Amendment any time you so desire.

MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Williams, I went to the hospital to visit a close relative, and I bumped into some other relatives there, and I asked them do they have any Valiums, and somehow, my close relative, you know, produced, you know, four or five of them, and those are the ones that I have used in the time that you have mentioned it.

That happened in the past four months.

### BY MR. WILLIAMS:

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

· 25

A Have you been getting Valium or any other substance that you've been using from any person aside from the relative you have just described whom you encountered at the hospital?

- A Just alcohol.
- Q No. Here's my question to you--
- A. What do you mean no? Alcohol is a drug.
- Q You misunderstood my question.

You told me a minute ago that you bumped into a relative at a hospital at some point in the past and got some Valium from that relative, and that is what you have been using over the past?

- A That is what I had already used.
- Q Okay.
- A Used, past tense.
- Q How much Valium did you get from that relative?
- A. I already answered to that question.

  MS. COHAN: Objection. Repetitious.

  BY MR. WILLIAMS:
- Q Once you used those few pills, did you then acquire Valium from any other source?
  - A Nope.
  - Q Is it your testimony, then, that apart from

24

25

the few pills that you were given by your distant relative in the hospital --

- I never said distant relative.
- Your relative in the hospital, that you have not received or been given or acquired Valiums from
  - When, most recently, have you used Valium?
  - Three months ago, two months ago.
- Were you aware, during the time that you were regularly using cocaine and marijuana, that it was a violation of the laws of the state of Florida to possess those controlled substances?
- Are you aware that it is a violation of the laws of the state of Florida to be in possession of a pharmaceutical product that is dispensed by prescription only if one does not have a prescription
- Between the time your deposition started this morning and the time we recessed yesterday afternoon, have you discussed any of the testimony that you have given or any of the questions that have been asked of you?

25

	A. NO.
1	Q With anybody?
2	A. No.
3	Q Have you been in touch with Lieutenant
4	Diaz over the past 18 hours?
5	A. No.
7	Q Have you, at any time since this deposition
8	started, which is to say, on Friday, April 2nd,
9	had any conversation with any third persons about
10	any of the defendants in this case? When I say
11	"third persons," I mean, anybody with whom you have
12	had personal contact, whether it was contact that you
13	generated or somebody else generated?
14	Have you had any discussion about any of the
15	defendants in this case with any third person?
16	A. I'm not in the practice to discuss these
17	proceedings with any third parties because I am well
18	aware that those are one of the questions that will
19	be put up to me, so the answer is no.
20	Q Specifically, have you had any conversations
21	or discussions with anybody that pertained in one way
22	or another to Mr. Quesada, Mr. Arias, Mr. Castro,
23	Mr. Villaverde?
24	Have you discussed any of those people with

anybody since the beginning of your deposition?

A. The answer is no, Douglas.

Q Do you want any time to think about that, Ricky?

A. The answer is no, Douglas. For Christ sakes.

MS. COHAN: For the record, I take your third person's term to mean persons not involved in the instant litigation?

MR. WILLIAMS: That is correct.

THE WITNESS: Oh, I bumped into this guy from the Miami Herald the other day that we were at the 1800, Guillermo Martinez, and I said, "I cannot talk to you about anything, you know, because, you know"--

### BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- A You were telling us yesterday?
- somebody if, you know, since this is a free country and freedom of speech, you know, is protected by the Constitution, you know, it's like I have a gag in my mouth that I cannot even--you know, it's come to the point where I don't even talk to myself. I just want to know if somebody can refer to that because, otherwise, I am going to stop everybody in the streets, you know, and tell them, you know, "I am on depositions,

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and they are asking me questions which are a matter of public record for the future and now, anybody can get ahold of them," and things like that.

You know. I just wanted to be advised, you know, if I can talk to somebody or I have to be, you know, gagged or intimidated all the time, you know, and going to bed thinking who I talked to today, what was my conversations because, you know, it's getting to be ridiculous.

I want an answer from somebody to that, or if I need an attorney to be, you know, present with me, you know, to advise me of my rights about, you know, if I can open my mouth, or I have to get my mouth shut all the time; right?

- O Do you presently have private counsel, Mr. Morales?
- I can hire private counsel any time that A I want.
- Here's my question now, do you presently have private counsel?
  - A. No.
- Didn't you consult with Attorney John Komorowski shortly before the commencement of this deposition last Friday?
- I saw John Komorowski, and whatever conversa+ tion I had with John--

١	
	Q I am not asking you about the conversation.
	A Listen. Whatever conversation I had with
	John Komorowski is private to John Komorowski and
	myself, and you know that.
	Q It certainly is, and I wasn't about to ask
	you what you discussed with your lawyer.
	A What lawyer?
	MS. COHAN: Objection to the assumption
	that Mr. Komorowski is Mr. Morales' attorney.
	BY MR. WILLIPMS:
	Q When you had conversations with Mr. Komor-
	owski, Mr. Morales, before the commencement of this
	deposition, the conversations that you had with him
	are privileged if you were talking to him as your
	lawyer. If you were not talking to him as your
	lawyer, then, the conversation isn't privileged.
	So, were you talking to Mr. Komorowski regarding him
	as your lawyer?
	A. Nope.
	Q Well, then, why don't you tell us of the
	conversations you had?
- 1	II

How his kid was doing, you know, when he A. was leaving Florida, you look good, you know, you are in good health, how old Paul is going on, and things like that.

Q Then, what you are telling me is that you did not consult Mr. Komorowski for the purpose of obtaining his legal advices or assistance in preparation for either your deposition, testimony on deposition, or any other facet of this case; is that correct?

- A. No, Williams.
- Q Not correct?
- A. No, Williams. It is correct.
- Q It is correct? Okay.

Well, in response to your question,

Mr. Morales, I will tell you these things: No. 1,

it is not my function to give you legal advice, and

if otherwise your question to me was tantamount to

asking me for legal advice, with all due respect to

you I decline to give it to you.

No. 2, you cartainly have the right to consult counsel of your own choosing at any time you want, as you well know, by virtue of your familiarity with the legal system over the past 20 years.

If you think you need to consult with an attorney at any time during the course of this deposition, because some personal legal right that you possess is in some way jeopardized or threatened

by the procedure that is taking place, the questions that are being asked of you, you tell me that, and we will take a recess for as long as you think it necessary in order to let you have access to counsel of your choice.

sented here in the person of Ms. Rina Cohan, and since you are the one who, you know, is--I'm being, feel you know, like putting a gag over--you know somebody--I would like somebody to have an explanation to why, you know, is the matter that I feel that I cannot even talk to myself in the mirror?

- Q Before I was interrupted, I was going to continue by way of saying that though Ms. Cohan, as prosecuting attorney for the State of Florida--
- A Can I be provided with a book which might be around here, you know, to inform myself about the rules and regulations, the dos and don'ts of, you know, deposition, and things like that, because this is the first time that I have been in a deposition, and you know that.
- A Let me give you a do. The do is sit down and answer questions unless you think that your legal rights are being infringed upon, in which event, you can talk to a lawyer.

The don't is, don't make demands on me, or for that matter, the prosecutor or anybody else what you want to have done or not have done during the course of the deposition.

I am going to continue to ask questions unless you want to get up and walk out to go to talk to a lawyer.

A. Mr. Williams, as soon as I feel that you are violating my civil rights with that crap about, you know, not talking to anybody or that kind of thing, that if I feel that I am being intimidated by you, I'm going to make a complaint with the Civil Rights Division of the U.S. Attorney's Office. That is first; okay?

Now, you can continue with your session today.

- Q Does Ricardo Morales Navarette presently feel intimidated by me?
  - A. Yes, I do.
- Q Did you feel intimidated in the Belgian Congo, Ricky?

MS. COHAN: Objection. Argumentative.

MR. WILLIAMS: No, absolutely. I want to know your frame of mind when you were doing war maneuvers in the Belgian Congo.

Q.

When you were --

- 11	
1	A Never ask what?
2	Q Ricky, I said, I thought you would never ask
3	A. Don't whisper. Louder.
4	Q I thought you would never ask.
5	A. To what?
6	Q If we could begin.
7	A Then finish.
8	Q I was waiting for you.
9	A. Let's go on.
10	Q Now, when you were using controlled sub-
11	stances that you described between August and
12	January of 1980 to 1981, the cocaine and the marijuana
13	and the Valium, where were you getting them?
14	A I never said that I used cocaine in January
15	of 1981.
16	Q Whenever it was during that period of time
17	between August of 1980 and January of 1981, inclusive,
18	that you were using cocaine, marijuana, and Valium,
19	whenever that was, from where were you getting the
20	cocaine?
21	A Douglas, cocaine, in those times, was
22	available, you know, over the counter anyplace
23	the Mutiny, any people that I bump into.
24	You have to realize that I was moving in
	II

a drug circle, that I was involved in the dealing of

cocaine, and it was there. 1 I didn't have to ask to anybody, you know, 2 specifically, because it was there. 3 Did you get the cocaine from Mr. Quesada? Yes. A. 5 Did you get cocaine from Mr. Arias? Yes. 7 Did you get cocaine from Mr. Marcos? 8 I never met Mr. Marcos in my life. A. 9 Did you get cocaine from Mr. Ortega? 10 Yes. 11 Did you get cocaine from either of the 12 brothers Villaverde? 13 From Raul. 14 The gentleman seated to my left now 15 (indicating)? 16 Yes. 17 What about Rafael? 18 Rafael never used any cocaine in my pre-19 sence. 20 The question was, whether you ever got 21 any from him? 22 Rafael never ever provided me with any 23 cocaine. 24 Did you obtain cocaine from any of the other

persons who are or in the past have been defendants 1 in this case? 2 Let me go through the list. 3 MR. WILLIAMS: Do you have a cover sheet of the Information, currently, Rina? 5 MS. COHAN: Yes, it's not inclusive of all 6 the defendants, but at least it's partial 7 (handing to the witness). 8 THE WITNESS: (After examining document). 9 Lucy Garcia, Ramon Puentes, Jose A. Fernandez, 10 also known as Tony Mentirita -- I'm talking in the 11 sense of using it, the cocaine, that we have 12 used cocaine together. 13 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 14 Yes, that is what I want to know. 15 The ones that I have used cocaine together; 16 right? 17 From whom you got cocaine? 18 Oh, I gave cocaine to them, and they gave me 19 cocaine, too. 20 Are we always talking about amounts or 21 quantities for personal use? 22 No, I am talking--right now, I am talking 23 about amounts of quantities to be used. 24 Personal use?

1	A. Personal use, that is right.
2	Q. Yes.
3	A From the list here that has been provided
4	to me.
5	MR. WILLIAMS: The record should reflect
6	that the witness is referring to the cover sheet
7	of the information presently pending in this
8	case.
9	THE WITNESS: I have personal use of cocaine
10	sharing cocaine, we have snort cocaine together
11	with Carlos Quesada, Roberto Ortega, Alfredo
12	Arias, Lucy GarciaI neversnort any cocaine
13	with, but I snort cocaine in her presence, and
14	she offered me, you know, little presents like
15	beautiful rocksyou know, things like that.
16	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
17	Q Beautiful rocks?
18	A Beautiful rocks of cocaine. You know,
19	the shiny ones, the good shiny onesbeautiful rocks.
20	Q What do you mean "shiny" or "good ones"?
21	A A rock, a piece of the rock.
22	Q. A rock of cocaine?
23	A A rock of cocaine.
24	Q Okay.
25	A. A piece of a rock.

Q. What I want to know--1 You were whispering something to her. 2 Yes, I was. A. 3 Is it part of the record? No. 5 Whispering is not part of the record. 6 Go ahead. Go on. 7 Jose A. Fernandez, also known as 8 Tony Mentirita, I have used cocaine in the presence of Rafael Villaverde, but Rafael Villaverde never used 10 any cocaine in my presence. 11 (Off the record.) 12 THE WITNESS: I have used cocaine in the 13 presence of Frank Castro. I have used cocaine 14 in the presence of Porfirio Bonet, also known 15 as Mike, and I have used cocaine with Mr. 16 Raul Villaverde. 17 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 18 You told me a while ago that you obtained 19 cocaine from Raul Villaverde? 20 Yes--I'm talking about using cocaine 21 together. We agreed that now I am going into who I 22 have used cocaine socially like alcohol. 23 No, we really didn't agree, but I did ... 24 ask you a question about that. 25

Then, let's go again.

Okay.

From whom you got cocaine during the period 1 between August 1980 and January of 1981, inclusive, 2 for your personal use? That's the question -- from 3 whom you obtained it? MS. COHAN: Objection to the question as 5 phrased. 6 He's indicated during January of 1981, 7 he did not use cocaine. 8 BY MR. WILLIAMS: Go ahead and answer the question, Mr. Morales. 10 Okay. Carlos Quesada, Roberto Ortega, 11 Alfredo Arias, Lucy Garcia, Ramon Puentes. 12 With regard to Jose A. Fernandez, 13 Tony Mentirita, I got cocaine from him not during that 14 period of time. 15 Earlier, I assume? 16 Yes. 17 (Continuing) -- Raul Villaverde. 18 That's about it. 19 You were telling me yesterday about the 20 circumstances under which you met Raul Diaz, the one 21 who is now a police lieutenant with the Metro Dade 22 Police Department, and you had told me that you met 23

Lieutenant Diaz in 1972 in the presence of Vince Oller

in the cafeteria in the Public Safety Department?

25

1	A. In the presence of Sergeant Oller.
2	Q What is the first time after that, that
3	you had any actual direct contact with Lieutenant
4	Diaz of any sort?
5	A I already answered to that question.
6	Let the record reflected it.
7	Q Well, answer it again for me.
8	MS. COHAN: Just a minute.
9	No objection. Go ahead and answer it.
10	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
11	Q The answer is?
12	A The answer is that I already answered to
13	that question.
14	Q Well, try again, Mr. Morales, and tell me
15	when you first had contact with Lieutenant Diaz after
16	being introduced to him by Vince Oller?
17	A. Why don't you go to the record?
18	Q In the Public Safety Department Building
19	in the cafeteria.?
20	A. Why don't you go through the record? It's
21	in the record. I already answered to that question.
22	Q All right, Mr. Morales. Answer that
23	question now.
24	A It's repetitious.
25	Q You be the witness. We will be the lawyers.

# Okay? 1 I am the defendant, and you are the judge, 2 or you are the lawyer and I am the witness? 3 You're the witness. A. Okay. 5 MS. COHAN: Mr. Morales, I don't have it 6 in my notes. Would you be so kind as to answer 7 it, please? 8 THE WITNESS: Two weeks afterwards. 9 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 10 How did the contact come about? 11 When I was cuing him into--I already 12 answered extensively to that question. 13 Mr. Morales, answer the question, please, a 14 sir. 15 MS. COHAN: Was he part of the bomb 16 class? 17 THE WITNESS: No, that was before. 18 was when I took Mr. -- I mean, Officer Diaz, you 19 know, and showed him the streets of Miami, for 20 Christ sakes, extensively. 21 MS. COHAN: You did answer. 22 THE WITNESS: I did answer that extensively. 23 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 24 Did you, thereafter, have any additional Q.

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
~		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20	_	
21		
22		

24

25

contact with Raul Diaz prior to the time that he left	t
uniform and became a plainclothes investigator but	
specifically was connected with the performance of his	is
police duties?	

- A I already answered that I never met Raul Diaz before, before that day at the cafeteria at the PSD.
  - Q Yes, I know. You weren't listening.
  - A I already answered the question.
- Q You weren't listening to the question.

  Try and stay with us. If the question is too difficult for you, tell me.

MS. COHAN: Objection. Argumentative.
BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q The question, Mr. Morales, might help you out if you want to read my lips?
  - A I'm not a lipreader.

MS. COHAN: Argumentative.

### BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q When, after you took Raul Diaz on this orientation ride through the streets of downtown Miami, did you next have any contact with him, if at all, prior to the time that he went into plainclothes?
  - A. I do not understand your question.

    Rephrase it. Make it more easy for me to

answer truthfully.

Q Yes, of course. By all means.

The question is, did there come a time after you took Raul Diaz on the orientation ride you described to us--

- A He was a plainclothesman by that time.
- Q That is to say, then, that he had gone into a plainclothes assignment between the day you first met him in the Public Safety Department cafeteria and the time that you took him on the ride?
  - A That is correct.
- O Did there come a time when a relationship between you and Raul Diaz developed in which you were an informant for him, and he was, in effect, a law enforcement sponsor or informant's control for you?
  - A Say that again?

MR. WILLIAMS: Read the question back to the witness, would you, please?

(Whereupon, the question referred to was read into the record by the court reporter.)

THE WITNESS: He was never a control agent for me. I was a source for him.

If he categorized me as an informant that,

I don't know, but definitely, I was a source

for him.

## BY MR. WILLIAMS:

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q When did you begin providing Officer Raul Diaz with information, Mr. Morales?
- A. The first day that I took him out on that ride.
- Q Did there ever come a time when you and Raul Diaz began to spend time together socially?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q When was that?
  - A Starting in 1972.
- At that point in time, had you already had or become involved in the acquaintanceship that you described to us a couple of days ago with Nancy Lamazares?
  - A. I can't hear you.
- Q Had you already had or become involved in the acquaintanceship that you described to us a couple of days ago with Nancy Lamazares when you began to socialize with Raul Diaz in 1972?
  - A. Nope.
- Q Relative to the time that you began to keep company socially with Raul Diaz, when did you commence your involvement with Nancy Lamazares?
  - A What kind of involvement?
  - Any kind--as a friend, as a lover, as a

## co-worker--any kind? 1 How disrespectful he is in the way that he A 2 put up the question about women. 3 Douglas, seriously, you know, you don't 4 look up to me like--1973. 5 At the time that you first encountered Q 6 the then-Ms. Lamazares, how did you meet her? 7 Through the late husband. A. 8 German? 9 A. German. 10 How did you know German? Q 11 German was an informant. A. 12 Q. For whom? 13 Drug agencies. A 14 Can you tell me specifically the agencies Q. 15 for which he informed? 16 I can tell you specifically who he was 17 an informant for. 18 Tell me, please, sir? 19 A. Joe Martinez. 20 City of Miami? 21 He was a federal agent. I believe he 22 was in charge of sort of a strike force or whatever 23 it was. 24

You should know Joe Martinez.

1	Q Are you talking about the Joe Martinez
2	who is a practicing attorney?
3	A I don't know what he is doing now?
4	Q Was he an attorney at the time?
5	A. He was a government attorney.
6	MS. COHAN: Where did Joe Martinez come up?
7	When I walked out?
8	MR. WILLIAMS: No, the witness has said
9	just before you left the room that German
10	Lamazares was an informant.
11	MS. COHAN: For a drug agency.
12	MR. WILLIAMS: For Joe Martinez. The
13	witness mentioned by name.
14	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
15	Q Are you talking about the attorney Joe
16	Martinez who, at one point, was the regional director
17	for the bureau then called The Bureau of Narcotics and
18	Dangerous Drugs?
19	A Whatever.
20	Q That same Joe Martinez?
21	A That is correct.
22	Q To your knowledge, was German Lamazares
23	also providing information from time to time to
24	Raul Diaz?
25	A I am not aware of that.

What was the actual situation as a result of which you met German Lamazares? The what? A What was the actual situation that gave rise to your meeting of German Lamazares? How did you first come to meet him? Mid-sixties. I was aware of his existence as a living person in the mid-sixties. Is it your testimony, then, that you encountered him in the context of being an informant when your paths, in effect, crossed while you were providing information to Raul Diaz in approximately

No, no, no, no, no. No, no, Mr. Williams.

I was aware that German Lamazares was a living person in the mid-sixties since, you know, there were so few Cubans in Miami, and you could bump into different places that were gatherings for Cubans, you know, and Titi Lamazares, as he was called, was just another Cuban, you know, roaming the streets of Miami, and I was not an informant at the time, and I don't know if he was an informant, or whatever he was doing at the time, so I came up to be acquainted, and you do have a knowledge of him, that Titi Lamazares at the time that I mentioned to you before.

25

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q Here's my question, Ricky: You told us that you met Nancy at some point in 1973 through German. My question to you is what was the situation or circumstance that gave rise to your having an encounter with Nancy through her then-husband?

A Everytime that I bumped into Titi, Nancy was there.

- Q Then, your initial acquaintanceship with her was purely social through her husband?
  - A Right.
  - When was it that German was killed?

    MS. COHAN: Objection. Repetitious.

    You may answer.

of when Lamazares was killed, because his remains were-by the time they were found, you know, they found a skeleton. I cannot tell you when he was killed or what time he was killed.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- O Did you ever acquire any information from any source that indicated to you or tended to indicate to you when it was that he was killed without regard to when his remains were found? Did you hear from anybody?
  - A Yes. Some time in the months of February

1973.

. 

Did Raul Diaz express to you, in any
fashion, that he was taking an active or official
part in the investigation relating to the death of
German Lamazares?

A The actual investigation of the death of German Lamazares officially was conducted by the Metro Homicide Department, and Drug Enforcement Administration also sent out a word to all their sources and informants to gather, collect information, about his, at the time, disappearance and assumption that he was dead.

A The question to you, sir, is whether

Raul Diaz expressed to you, at any time, that he,

himself, was involved either by his own choice or

by assignment from a superior or for any other

reason in any part of the investigation into the

disappearance and eventual death of German Lamazares?

A Raul Diaz told me that organized crime bureau was also gathering information about the disappearance of German Lamazares, and the assumption that he might have been killed, or whatever.

Q And at that time, Raul Diaz, himself, was in OCB; huh?

A That is correct, sir.

Q Did you acquire information, or in your own mind, make the assumption, therefore, that Raul Diaz was, in some way or another, investigating the disappearance and/or death of German Lamazares?

MS. COHAN: Objection. Speculative.
You may answer it.

THE WITNESS: That is a speculation, because Raul Diaz, himself, told me that organized crime bureau was conducting an investigation into the disappearance and the assumption that German Lamazares might have been killed.

### BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Did he say it to you under circumstances which or in a fashion which indicated to you that he was a part of the organized bureau investigation into the circumstances?
  - A Yes.
- Q When was that information first imparted to you?
- A. The same that it was imparted to me by DEA and OCB, SIU, FBI and IRS, and the whole combination of the strike force, you know, agencies that were represented there, you know.

It was the -- the word was out, "Find the guy

or what happened to the guy."

- Q Is that some time during the early part of 1973?
- A. That was February, 1973, at the end of FEbruary 1973, more or less. On or about.

Oh, I forgot to include the CIA, too into that proposition.

- Q It was in May of 1973, you told us the other day, that you were shot by one whom you thought to be Eladio Ruiz; is that correct?
- A I am not in the lipreading service, Mr. Williams. You know, I listen with my ears.
- Q It was in May of 1973, as you told us the other day, that you were shot by one whom you believe to be Eladio Ruiz; is that correct?
  - A That is correct.
- And it is also correct; is it not, that within a very brief time after that shooting incident occurred, Raul Diaz arrived on the scene?
  - A At what scene?
  - Q Where you had been shot?
  - A That is false.

Raul Diaz was not at the scene of my shooting. I already answered to the question who were at the scene of my shooting and who were the

individuals who I did call to inform that I had been shot, and that I was requesting an ambulance and the presence of the City of Miami uniform patrols.

- A Was Raul Diaz one of the people you called from the apartment where you took refuge after shooting?
  - A I already answered that question.
- Q Well, answer it again for me, please, sir?
  Was he?
  - A I have to answer it again?
  - Q Go ahead.
  - A Do I?
  - Q Please sir.
  - A I called DEA and FBI.
- Q At the time that the shooting of Eladio
  Ruiz occurred, within a very short period of time
  thereafter, Raul Diaz was at the scene of that shooting;
  wasn't he?
  - A I don't know.
  - Q You have no recollection?
  - A I wasn't there.
- Q Did there come a time when you began to provide Raul Diaz with information concerning any one particular or specific case that he was investigating as opposed to general information relative to

particular person, with an eye towards making par-

ticular arrests of the people who were the focus?

When did that first happen?

2425

/

A As soon as he started working OCB.

Q Do you remember the name of the person or persons who was or were the first target or targets of such a specific investigation?

MS. COHAN: Yes or no?

THE WITNESS: I do not recall exactly because it was OCB was in a general gathering type of information.

### BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q Do you have any recollection going in chronological sequence of the time when you first began, with particularity to provide information about a particular case or person?

A. No.

- Q Have you, at any time during the course of your acquaintanceship or association with Raul Diaz, provided him information pertaining to or focusing upon one particular person or group of persons as opposed to generalized information?
  - A If I have a specific date?
  - Q No, sir.

Here is the question: Has there been an occasion that you can recall now when the information that you were providing to Raul Diaz focused or targeted on one specific person or group of persons

\_

with an eye towards investigating and detecting violations of laws on the part of that one particular person or group?

A Mr. Williams, in answering to your question, the only agencies that I was writing reports and being debriefed were—the only ones that I was getting back was the counterintelligence section of the CIA, the Federal Bureau of Investigations, and DEA, so those were the three agencies that were receiving either written reports or I was being debriefed by the case officers with regard to a specific individual and specific cases.

The information that I have provided, that I was providing Raul Diaz and other members of the organized crime bureau section of PSD was in their context, as you can categorize it, as a general gathering information proposition.

A Is it your testimony, then, sir, that there has never been a time when you have been an informant or source of information for Raul Diaz with regard to one particular person or group of persons with an eye towards developing criminal cases against that person or persons?

A I am not aware of what they did with the information that I provide to OCB or to SIU, which

they were not paying me, but they were, you know, in the category of, you know, asking me help into whatever they were doing or whoever they were investigating or whatever cases they were developing.

Q Then, as I understand your testimony, there has never been a time when Raul Diaz has told you that he has wanted information concerning one particular person or group of persons and asked you to obtain it; is that correct?

A That's incorrect, because there have been many and several occasions where Raul Diaz has asked me information about particular groups, individuals, or cases.

Q Tell me the first recollection of such an event?

A Right after--

MS. COHAN: Are you asking the time? Objection. Vague.

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm asking about the first one of those instances that the witness can recall be identified to me now with particularity.

THE WITNESS: I already answered to that question. Right after he start in OCB.

MS. COHAN: Objection, and I need to see you.

For the record, my discussion with

Mr. Morales is now going to concern any investigations to which he gave information, about which he gave information, to Raul Diaz, which may still be open and pending.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, before you leave,
Ms. Cohan, it is necessary for me to note, at
this point, a strenuous objection into the
record to your having conferences of this sort
with the witness out of my hearing presence.

I am going to ask, rather, that we appear before the court, and let the court make its own determination, whether in camera or otherwise, of No. 1, the propriety of this procedure that you are attempting to utilize, and No. 2, the extent to which, if at all, there exists any privilege attached to the information that I am seeking for the witness.

I am asking you to refrain from having these discussions with Mr. Morales until we can go before the judge.

MS. COHAN: Okay. I am due in court at 1:30 anyway, so why don't we break for lunch, and I will see you there at 1:30?

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Good enough.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2 18

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had in Courtroom 2-5 before the Honorable Gerald Kogan:)

MR. WILLIAMS: There is an issue that now becomes related to this that causes me to have imposed upon the Court's time this afternoon arising out of the deposition when we recessed at the noon hour.

> Take my hypotheses; if you will, Your Honor. THE COURT: Do we have a certified question? MR. WILLIAMS: Kind of.

THE COURT: Rither we do, or we don't. MS. COHAN: We don't.

MR. WILLIAMS: We have a certified area, Your Honor.

You can only have a certified THE COURT: area if you have endeavored to ask the witness questions concerning that area, and the witness has refused to answer them.

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I have a situation in which the prosecutor thinks it necessary and appropriate to confer with the witness before permitting him to answer the questions in a certain line, which line is extremely sensitive to which I have objected, and the prosecutor

has been cognizant enough of her responsibilities as a law enforcement officer of the state, and not just here to litigate an adversary proceeding, to have acquiesced in my request that before she do that, that we have the matter treated by Your Honor.

Now, I can't describe it to you in a vacuum, Judge.

THE COURT: Yes, because right now-MS. COHAN: Let me describe it to you
briefly.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. COHAN: Ricardo Morales has indicated that, for the past ten years, approximately, he has been giving information to various law enforcement agencies.

Mr. Williams has asked of him specific information which he has related to law enforcement agencies and to whom he has related that information.

I would suggest to Your Honor that some of those investigations are still open and pending, and to divulge that information that Mr. Morales relayed to law enforcement agencies while he has and is in a position of a confidential

: 

informant would not only jeopardize the investigation, but would jeopardize Mr. Morales as well.

MR. WILLIAMS: Excuse me.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Ms. Cohan.

MS. COHAN: What I am requesting is the ability to confer with Mr. Morales concerning what his information was, so I can then determine if those are open investigations.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams?

MR. WILLIAMS: Close, Your Honor, but not quite.

The area of inquiry presently is the nature and extent of the relationship and interaction between Morales and one Raul Diaz, who presently is esconsed as a Lieutenant in the Metro Dade Police Department's homicide section, the inquiry arising out of the fact that, No. 1, Lieutenant Diaz, although not named by the State as a witness in this case, played a substantial role in the initial development of some of the information that has become part of the body of probable cause ventured by the State in support of its first application, and by virtue of the fact that Diaz, more than any other

person who frequents the streets of Dade County, has, and for a lot of years, has had an extremely, what some would say, very curious relationship with Ricardo Morales.

It is necessary, therefore, for us to take a close look at Mr. Diaz through the eyes and mouth of Mr. Morales, and the area of questioning that has given rise to the situation presently is that area that developed at the time that Morales first began supplying information to Lieutenant Diaz--about 1972 or 1973, if the Court wants to know it, so then in asking Mr. Morales to tell us the specifics of the information.

I should tell the Court, also, that any preliminary questions addressed to this issue, Mr. Morales has told us that he was only reporting in writing to and being paid by three agencies at the time--the CIA, the Drug Enforcement Administration, and the FBI, but he was, at the same time creating and pursuing what turned out to be a close relationship with Lieutenant Diaz.

If I tried to acquaint you, Judge, with all of the cross currents --

THE COURT: Well, without interrupting you-I am interrupting you, but we did discuss this--

I lose track of the dates now--I think yesterday or the day before in chambers, when another question was put to Mr. Morales concerning his malationship with Raul Diaz.

Do you all recall what that was?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court, at that time, ordered the answer sealed, so I am aware of what you are telling me. We discussed it at that particular moment.

MR. WILLIAMS: This is not a facet of that, Judge.

I have asked Mr. Morales to tell me the specifics in terms of particular investigations and/or particulars to which he was providing information for Diaz at or around the time of the killing to which Morales now freely admits for which he was prosecuted ten years ago, but for which he was not susceptible to effective prosecution because of a witness problem.

THE COURT: We discussed that last time.

It was all part of the proceedings.

MR. WILLIAMS: The prosecutor says that she is concerned about an intrusion upon ongoing investigations.

There is a three-year statute limitations in the state, but for first degree murders, which really don't arise in the context of the question that I have been putting to Mr. Morales.

There is no investigation that could have been afoot in 1973 or 1974 or 1975 that could have, as its purpose now, for any substantive offenses supposedly committed back then.

THE COURT: Let me stop you right there.

Now, the statute of limitations—how many times have we all tried cases, especially in federal court, where the act involves a conspiracy that goes back, and in some cases, I can remember 12, 13 years prior to the actual indictment being returned, and that has been permitted as evidence to go before a jury, and then, following up to the current charge, so while it might not concern itself with something that can be prosecuted as a substantive offense, because that statute has run out, because it is certainly within the realm of reasonable probability that it could form the basis for a conspiracy that is long continuing, and is picked up within the statute of limitations, and the cases I am

referring to are Black Tuna, the Longshoremen's case, which I am intimately familiar with, I had Joe Teitlebaum testifying to conversations that he had with other persons, ten, twelve years ago.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that was all admissible into evidence as part of this continuing conspiracy, although he wasn't charged with conspiring back then, but it's permitted into evidence, to show the continuity of action over a period of time.

MR. CAGNEY: Wasn't there a Rico in that?

THE COURT: There was a Rico in there,
and also, a Rico in Black Tuna as well, but
still, at the same time, I don't know what
bearing any of these matters that may have taken
place five, ten years ago have on what may be
considered current prosecutions, so therefore,
what I am going to do is, I am going to permit
Ms. Cohan to talk to Mr. Morales, and in the
event that there are any pending investigations
at this time that can be compromised by
Mr. Morales being asked questions as to who he
is informing on and to whom he is giving certain

information, then, I am going to sustain the State's objection to that.

MR. WILLIAMS: What I would ask, then, is this: If the prosecutor comes away from these conversations with the witness with the judgment or the feeling that his answers would, of necessity, disclose some continuing or present continuing investigation, I am going to ask Your Honor—ask that Your Honor instruct or order the prosecutor to provide to the court for in camera inspection and sealing and retention from sworn statement from the prosecutor of the specific investigator, investigations, that the inquiry has revealed as well as a statement that, to her own knowledge, to her own objective knowledge, it is the subject of an ongoing investigation.

THE COURT: Let me do this.

I am not going to rule on that point at this time, because it may very well be that Ms. Cohan may announce to you that she has talked to Mr. Morales, and in fact, there is nothing at this time that he is doing in the way of informing that constitutes a pending investigation.

Let's see. In the event there is, then,

I will consider your request at that particular time.

MR. WILLIAMS: May I assume, Your Honor, that it will be the case, of course, that the prosecutor is not to discuss any substantive details of testimony already given or testimony that forseeably could come from Morales, but rather, just to listen and take what she has to--

THE COURT: She will ask him what it is that he is currently supplying if, in fact, he is currently supplying anyone, who he is supplying, what it relates to, and then, in turn, whether or not that is a pending investigation.

MS. COHAN: Judge, what I am also indicating to the court is that information may have been furnished in the past is still the subject of an ongoing investigation.

THE COURT: That is the reason I point out the case, and I am familiar with what happened way back then, and generally, the way--I have to rule this way is quite frankly, if you have an informant who is going to be a witness in one case, and then, I permitted inquiry as to other cases the informant is working on at this time,

it may very well be that the court is causing the exposure, and eventually, therefore, the compromise of numerous investigations that are ongoing.

The Court feels that when I ball one right against the other right, I think that defendants are personally damaged by not permitting this information as opposed to damaging ongoing investigations, because quite frankly, therefore, any case where you have an informant, you could bring an informant in, and compromise every single investigation that that informant is involved in, and I don't think the law contemplates that.

MR. WILLIAMS: On the other hand, Your Honor, it would be a very easy thing if a prosecuting attorney were inclined to do it, either to protect the case or protect the witness from embarrassment or possible criminal prosecution, himself, simply to attempt to interdict or thwart interrogation on deposition by claiming that virtually any question that could be asked might pertain to some ongoing investigation if the prosecutor is the one that has the unilateral discretion to make that

judgment.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, it's like everything else in mind, and that is, Mr. Morales, for example, can be lying up one side and down the other.

Our entire system relies upon the basic premise and the basic premise is that officers of the court will act as officers of the court and will not deliberately and knowingly mislead the court.

It also rests upon the premise that if people take an oath, they are expected to tell the truth.

Now, of course, we can go beyond that, but there are certain basic integrities that we have to rely upon.

If not, then, of course, everything is not only open to suspect which, under many circumstances it should, but at the same time, you also have various other considerations.

I cannot compromise ongoing investigations by law enforcement agencies just because

Mr. Morales may happen to be their informant.

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, I am not prepared to abandon the first premise that

: 

the court recognized a moment ago yet, in that insofar as the second premise, the presupposition that when people take an oath, they recognize the responsibility to tell the truth, I last saw it running down the steps of the Justice Building screaming about two weeks ago.

MS. COHAN: Your Honor, I don't really believe we are here to discuss Mr. Williams' pessimism and his view of human nature at this point.

THE COURT: In any event, let's go upstairs and continue with Mr. Morales' deposition.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken after which the following proceedings were had in the deposition room:)

MR. WILLIAMS: Inasmuch as it's already
a quarter to three, and we earlier agreed that
we are not going to work past three today,
but there is no sense in our hanging around
while you chat with the witness any longer or
even attempting to resume the taking of
testimony now, so we will just recess the
deposition until Monday morning at ten o'clock.

MR. DIAZ: What time, sir?

MR. WI

MR. DI

MS. CO

MR. WI

should like

witness abo

from having

or with Off

been or rea

testimony of

MS. CO

Diam and my

MR. WILLIAMS: Ten.

MR. DIAZ: I thought you said eight o'clock.
MS. COHAN: Okay. See you Monday.

MR. WILLIAMS: Rina, before we go, I should like to hear you say something to the witness about his responsibility to refrain from having any conversations with either you or with Officer Diaz about anything that has been or reasonably will be the subject of his testimony during this interim period.

MS. COHAN: Mr. Williams, both Detective Diaz and myself are well aware of our responsibilities, and that is all I will put on the record.

MR. WILLIAMS: I would like to know that the witness has an understanding of his responsibility, so that in the event there is any breach, it can be attributed to him rather than an effort to attribute it to anybody else.

MS. COHAN: Mr. Williams, Mr. Morales and myself have had a discussion as to his responsibilities during the pendency of this deposition.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Good enough.

THE WITNESS: What the word "breach" means?

MS. COHAN: Transgression.

THE WITNESS: Can I talk during the weekend to somebody? Can I go to a movie?

Can I express myself? Can I say whatever I want to say about Mr. Williams, personally?

MS. COHAN: Sure.

MR. WILLIAMS: I invite you to do that, and hope that you will, so that come Monday morning, all of the venom and spleen will have been purged from your system.

THE WITNESS: You don't have to wait until Monday if you want to hear.

MR. WILLIAMS: Won't be anything I haven't heard before.

THE WITNESS: Asshole.

(Whereupon, at 2:50 o'clock p.m., the deposition was recessed until Monday, April 12, 1982, at 10 o'clock a.m.)

# CERTIFICATE

: SS.

DADE )

2

STATE OF FLORIDA )

OF

COUNTY

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

I, JOYCEE WAX, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I reported the deposition of RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE, a witness called by the Defendant in the above-styled cause; that said witness was duly sworn by me; and that the foregoing pages, numbered from 1 to and including 69, are a true and correct record of the deposition given by said witness.

I further certify that I am not an attorney or counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected with this action; nor financially interested in said cause.

WITNESS my hand and official seal at Miami, Dade County, Florida, on this WH day of April 1982.

Mell Tay