IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 11TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR DADE COUNTY, FLORIDA

CRIMINAL DIVISION

CASE NO.: 81-17247

THE STATE OF FLORIDA,

Plaintiff,

v.

Part III

ALFREDO ARIAS, et al.,

Defendants.

1351 Northwest 12th Street, 9th Floor, Miami, Florida, Tuesday, April 6, 1992, 11:05 a.m.

DEPOSITION OF RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE

Taken before JOYCEE WAX, Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large, pursuant to a Notice of Taking Deposition, filed in the above-styled cause.

By White

Joycee Wax

COURT REPORTING SERVICES

5525 LA GORCE DRIVE MIAMI BEACH, FLORIDA 33140 (305) 864-6693

APPEARANCES: 1 RINA COHAN, Assistant State Attorney, 2 LAWRENCE DevECCHIO, Assistant State Attorney, and PETER OUTERBRIDGE, Assistant State Attorney, 3 Attorneys for Plaintiff. 4 DOUBLAS L. WILLIAMS, ESQ., of the firm of NATHAN, WILLIAMS & REICHENTHAL, 5 444 Brickell Avenue, Miami, Florida, 6 Attorneys for Alfredo Arias, Defendant. 7 EDWARD CARHART, ESQ., 717 Ponce de Leon Boulevard, 8 Coral Gables, Florida, Attorney for Rafael Villaverde, Defendant. 9 BENEDICT KUEHNE, ESQ., 10 200 Southeast 1st Street, Miami, Florida, .. 11 Attorney for Carlos Luis, Defendant. 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 FRANK CASTRO, Defendant CARLOS QUESADA, Defendant 14 MIGUEL A. FERNANDEZ, Defendant JOSE MARCOS, Defendant 15 LILLY MESTRE RAUL VILLAVERDE, Defendant 16 ANA CASTRO OFFICER D. C. DIAZ 17 18 INDEX 19 Witness Direct 20 Ricardo Morales Navarette 3 21 22 CERTIFIED QUESTION 23 Page 92 Line 19 24

Thereupon --

2

1

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE,

was called as a witness on behalf of the Defendants and, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified on his oath as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q. Would you identify yourself for the record, please?
 - A Ricardo Morales Navarette.
- a Are you the same person who has been in deposition with Ms. Cohan, Mr. Carhart, and me, among others, since this past Friday?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Have you had the opportunity since we Q finished yesterday afternoon to reflect upon anything that you said in the course of testimony yesterday to the extent that you feel it necessary to correct or modify anything that you said yesterday in the course of testimony?
- Yes, on two questions that were put up to me by at least two questions, I believe, that were put up to me by Mr. Carhart with regard if I, during my stay in Caracas, Venezuela, if I recruit or attempt to recruit members of the Cuban Intelligence Service, which I do

recall that I answered yes.

I want to point out that there is a very sensitive matter, that that kind of intelligence can be gathered very easily by the Counter Intelligence Service, the Communist Government of the Republic of Cuba, and that I do believe that, according to my old profession, that those two questions might be taken in the context of my philosophy as being put up by Mr. Carhart performing the job of a surrogate.

- For whom?
- That, I would like to know.

MR. CARHART: In other words, you are accusing me of being a surrogate for somebody?

THE WITNESS: I'm not accusing you of anything, Mr. Carhart.

MR. CARHART: Let me tell you something, Mr. Morales: When it comes time for you to accuse me, that will be the day.

MS. COHAN: Objection.

Mr. Carhart and Mr. Morales, please remain civil.

> MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

MR. CARHART: That was civil.

THE WITNESS: That was civil.

MS. COHAN: Let's hope it doesn't get any

22

21

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

worse.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, they seem to have reached an agreement.

MS. COHAN: Okay.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Ricky, let me ask you this: Because while
 I certainly want and need and intend to examine you
 as thoroughly as I am able to on behalf of my client,
 I still want to be fair to you in your posture or
 capacity as a witness--
 - A What?
 - Q In your capacity as a witness--
 - A All right.
- of several of the defendants and/or members of their immediate families for this session, and especially because we are in what, although by the State Attorney's standards is a lavish, is nevertheless a relatively small space, I want to know for the record, whether the presence of these parties to this action causes you any kind of a problem that might bear upon your ability to testify comfortably and openly?
- A Not as far as not being interrupted by any one of them.
 - Q Nobody is going to interrupt you. Nobody

is going to say a word, and you don't have to be concerned about that, because between Mr. Carhart and me and Ms. Cohan, we will run this deposition the way the law says it should be run, but I want to know whether the mere fact of the presence of any of these people or the numbers of people who are present in a relatively small room like this, if either of those things is distracting to you to the extent that it would affect your ability to reflect and give accurate testimony?

- A Not so far.
- Q Okay.

If it becomes a problem, you let me know, and we will try to work it out, because I want to make sure that the record clearly says that nothing is taking place that, you know, would impede or otherwise affect you ability to recall and give testimony; okay?

- A That is correct.
- Q Why don't you and I just work as though there was nobody else present except for the other lawyers and go on that way?

MS. COHAN: Objection. Self-serving.

There are other people present. Mr. Morales will answer questions put to him.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q You told me yesterday, Ricky, that you have recalled participating in some way or another in the bombing of the home of John Clarence Cook, and it was on that note that we recessed.

- A That we were recessed.
- Q What was the nature of your participation in those episodes, and can you put a date to them to begin with?
 - A Okay. I am going to answer to your question.

Sometime around 1967, a friend of mine by the name of Luis Posada, who was in the process of moving to Caracas, Venezuela, to assume the position of inspector of DISIP at the time over there, and subsecondary, he wound up by being a commissar in charge of the same division that later on I took over, made a phone call to my home, and he asked me for some help. Actually, was not help.

He told me that he has some technical problems, problems with a couple of bombings that he has performed.

One was over at Treasure Island, which is in the North Bay Village area, and the second one--it was a case of sort of mistaken identity when he bombed the Epicure Restaurant.

Q Go ahead.

A He asked me if I could do the first job.

It was the intended target--a car, and I told him,

"Listen, this is going to be the second time, so it's

going to be a little risky," and he say, "Yes, I know,

but I can't go into the place no more," so he provided me

with the location of the building.

He provided me with the information about what kind of a car it was and the license plate of the car, and he said that if I would need—he asked me if I would need any equipment to perform the bombing of that car and I said, "No, I have enough resources to do it on my own," so I went over there, you know, and blew the car apart.

- Q Whose car was it?
- A Huh?
- Q Whose car did you know it to be?
- A According to the newspapers, it belonged to a bookie.
 - Q By the name of?
 - A I don't remember the name of the person.
- Q Was it the car that Mr. Posada has targeted for you?
 - A. Yes.
- Q Did he let you know afterwards that you had gotten the right car?

A. Yes. You weren't paid anything for that; were Q. you? He split -- he told me I would be compensated, and he split 800 with me. Did he tell you the purpose of the bombing? No. Well, the purpose was to destroy a car.

Yes, well past that. It was obviously to have some kind of an effect on the owner of the car. Did he tell you what the effect was?

A. Not at that moment.

Then, a few days afterwards --

Let me ask you this about that, Ricky, before Q. you go on: As I understand, then, the situation was one in which--was Posada a good friend of yours?

A. Yes.

Q. So, the situation was one in which a good friend of yours came to you and said, "Do this. a bombing"?

"I already failed." There was misfire of some kind.

And because he was a friend of yours and you wanted to help him out, you went ahead and did it; is that essentially it?

That is essential.

5

8

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

So, a couple of days after, a few days a after that --

A few days after that, whenever he came again to me, and he referred to the Epicure affair, and he told me the main target was Alfie's Newsstand, that it would require some expertise since the purpose was to place a device inside the newsstand that was being run by some bookie, but at the same time, that this place was supposed to get a lot of damage, a full mirror was also to be broken apart, so the bookie operation would be uncovered, which was being performed, which it was going to be performed there, so by this time, I asked him, you know, "Well, this--you know, that will require, you know, some inside recognizance of the place."

He also mentioned that I would be compensated for that job, and then, I asked him, "Listen, Luis, you know, who's after all this bombings and things," and he said this fellow by the name of Frank Rosenfeld.

Is that the fellow also known as Lefty?

Later on, I found out that his nickname is Lefty, so I went into Alfie's, which was located at Alton Road, and did a recognizance from the inside, and saw, that mirror partition that was there, that was supposedly, you know, hiding the booking operation

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

of whoever was the owner of the place or whoever were the people involved in that kind of operation, and I went back to Luis and I said, "Luis, the job can be I can do it the way that, you know, this fellow, you know, is requiring you to do it. There's a lot of cops there. For all I can tell you, it's because it's obvious by this time that there was a case of mistaken identity in the Epicure, which was located either next to it or some other places in between, and I would like to meet this guy and know who he is--you know, who he works for--you know, what the intentions are, you know, and things like that, because it's a very risky proposition now, " and he set up a meeting at the Fun Fair on the Causeway and 79th Street, which I knew very well, because I used to park cars at the Luau Restaurant on 79th Street.

- This is going back a long way?
- A Yes. Sidney Mass was the owner, and I met Lefty, and I talked to him.

He told me that he was from Jewish extraction, that he was an affiliate member of the organized crime family in Chicago, that currently he was the owner or co-owner of a place known by Multiply Sports or something like that, that they were putting out a sheet, s-h-e-e-t, where the orders for gambling, or

whatever, you know, race horses or whatever, you know, that he was not exactly a convictor, basketball fixer, but he pled no contest to a charge of fixing a basketball game sometime in the past, that he was a former sergeant in the United States Army, that he fought in Korea, that he was an usher in Chicago theater, that Chicago was his kind of a town, and that—

MS. COHAN: That's a song--My Kind of Town, Chicago Is.

THE WITNESS: That's what he said, and at the time, there was sort of a bookie war goign on, and I have been recommended to him very highly by Luis as the right man to do that kind of a, you know, tricky job, you know, and he explained to me that the fellow running Alfie's has refused to buy the sheet that they were putting out.

He also mentioned his partner, Solomon Green, or Sam Green--whatever was the name.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Lefty's partner?
- A Lefty's partner in that Multiplys Sports

 System or whatever was the name, and that he didn't

 want anybody to get hurt, but the main purpose was to

 drop that partition, mirror partition, or whatever it

 was, so by the time when, you know, he told me that they

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

had, a very good understanding with law enforcement officers and things like that, and that some people were, you know, ready to go into the place, you know, and make arrests, you know, after the bomb destroyed that partition and uncovered the operation; that also, I mentioned to Lefty, "Listen, the whole place is crowded with cops, you know. It is obvious, according to the newspapers, that they are expecting Alfie to get hit, so it's a very risky proposition," and Luis said, "Well, Ricky, you can do it. You know, you have the expertise," and I said, "Well, okay, I am going to give it a try," so I did it, and everything went according to my plans.

The partition was destroyed by the blast.

I placed the device in a phone booth that was inside the place.

The partition came down, the bookie operation was uncovered.

- Q What kind of explosive device did you use?
- A Oh, I used C4 and time delay pencil.
- Q Were you paid for that, Ricky?
- I was compensated with a thousand.
 I don't know how much Luis got out of it.
- Q Now, you put this back around in 1967 or so?

A. 1967, yes.

It was--if you go to the papers, you will know when the whole affair started.

- Q I think I have an independent recollection of it.
- A. I believe that Mr. Carhart would have a very good recollection about it.

MR. CARHART: (Nodding in the affirmative.)
BY MR WILLIAMS:

- Q How does the time with the John Clarence Cook episode--
 - A Oh, that came afterwards.

At that time, Luis, who was in the process of leaving the country to go to Venezuela to work for the DISIP, and he was building homemade time delay pencils, and he had sold about eight of those to Lefty and he never told me that, so when Lefty showed me the time delay pencils, right away, I told him, "Listen, these are not the ones, you know, that I know. I don't know where this came from or whatever," so he got very pissed off with Luis, but by the time that he tried to reach Luis, Luis was already in Venezuela.

- Q There was something wrong with the pencils; they weren't properly made?
 - A. They were homemade. I mean, that means that

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

they could go off, you know, at the same time that 1 you crush the capsule, or maybe five days after, later, 2 or ten days later, or whatever, and it was sort of a 3 scam that Luis put up to him. When you want to get time delay fuses around 5 that period of time--Not fuses -- time delay pencils. Pencils. When you wanted to get them, what was your source for them? Oh, there was a market for that all over town. Were they, at the time, commercially manufactured? The first time that I saw them was during training with the company. What I am asking is whether they were commercially manufactured by some munitions company or something like that? I am not aware of that. Who made them if not the people? I don't know. I believe that somebody have to make it, but I don't know the name of the company or where

But, in any event, the stuff that you saw

they are built or whatever.

in Lefty's possession, which he apparently had gotten from Posada, was in your judgment, poorly made and not adequate?

- A Not the real McCoys.
- Q When you mentioned that to Lefty, did you know at the time that it would get him irritated with Posada?
- A. Well, I didn't know that Posada was the one who gave him those pencils, and he didn't say nothing.

He got really pissed off, and he said, "I would get back to you later on," that he was going to do some of research on his own, whatever, so finally, he came back to me, and he said that, you know, "Your friend, Luis, you know, took me. I have been had," and I just laughed to that.

- Q Did Posada ever confront you afterwards and say, "Hey, creep, you planted me up to Lefty," or something like that?
 - M. Well, in Venezuela, we talk about it.
- Q Did he ever complain that you got him in trouble to Lefty?
- A No, because he was in the process to leaving the country, so he couldn't care less.
 - Q How does that tie into John Clarence Cook?
 - A. Wait.

I develope sort of a friendship with Lefty,

and I used to go down with him to places where he was meeting with, you know, his so-called organized crime friends, you know.

I used to go almost every night with him, you know, for dinner in Capra's Restaurant.

- Q When he told you that he was associated with organized crime out of Chicago, did you believe him?
- A Well, it was interesting anyway. It was sort of fascinating. I never been exposed to that kind of a situation.

I had--he was a Jew, and he told me that he was affiliated--that actually, he was not a Spaghetti, but he was--

MS. COHAN: Is this the way you phrased it?

THE WITNESS: But, he was meeting--Douglas,

for Christ's sake, I mean, don't crack me up.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q I am not saying a word. I am just listening.
- A He was, you know, meeting with him, and they hold their little meetings, you know.

To me, it was fascinating to see all those things happening.

Q Did you ever get to the spot where you were satisfied that he was really organized crime connected and not just giving you a line?

A No, definitely.

I was truly satisfied that he was really connected with Italians.

Q Okay.

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

John Clarence Cook?

They were friends. They were friends A. Okay. apparently, and he introduced me to John in one of those dinners that we used to have at The Place for Steak at 79th Street, and just happened that John was living close by the place--you know, not across the Bay, but if you are going down on Biscayne Boulevard and 83rd or 81st--whatever was his address, everybody knows where his address was, and John's wife was a former bunny in a Playboy Club, that John was the best jewelry thief in the whole nation, and master of disguise and things like that, so there came a time when Lefty called me up one night, and he was living around that San Souci neighborhood in North Miami -it was a line of townhouses there, and there was, at the end of the line on the back part of that line of townhouses, there was a 7-Eleven, and we used the public phone there as means and ways of communications, and I went down there, and he said, "Listen, you know, I want to bomb John Clarence Cook's house, and so, go down and case, you know, and he told me, "There is

going to be a Cadillac parked in front of the house. There is a Donzi Boat underneath sort of a carport," and that he wants the car, the front of the house, and the Donzi Boat demolished, and that if I was able to do it--you know, all those things at the same time, and I said, "Yes, I will use a standard charge to do that," and that was the first time, and--And did you? Yes, I did. Did Rosenfeld tell you why he wanted Cook's car and boat blown up? A No. He had developed some sort of animosity with the guy. the wife or whatever.

Later on, I found out that it was becaue of

Why don't you do this: Why don't we wait until the prosecutor gets back?

All right. Go ahead, Mr. Morales.

You were telling us that, so far as you could tell, there was some kind of animosity?

Animosity, bickering--you know, some money that was not split between the two of them -- somebody that, you know, has robbed somebody else; that, at one point, John was kidnapped by somebody, and that

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

some money was taken out of the place, that, you know, many war stories, you know that you know, cannot relate it to you in the proper manner because of the years have passed, so I did the first job there. Let me ask you this: Lefty made it plain to you that for some reason or another, whatever the reasons were, he was angry at Cook or wanted to do something to upset Cook in some way? Well, if you call to be upset, about you know, being bombed, you know. a That would do it, I think. That will do it. A. Works every time? Ø A Yes. Q Did you and Lefty talk about your getting paid for it? Of course. I asked him because since he was not providing for equipment, I was to have to use a lot of equipment to get it over with. I have to use a standard charge. I would employ the use of prime cord on different charges. So, what conversations did you have?

was finally worked out with Rosenfeld about getting

2425

paid?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A A thousand. 1 Was that to include your equipment? a 2 Yes, include everything. A. 3 Did Lefty tell you anything about, or did 4 you know anything about Cook's family situation aside 5 from his wife? 6 Did he have kids, did he have dogs, were 7 there other people living in the house--that sort of 8 thing? 9 No, I found out later. I know that he had 10 got a wife. 11 When Lefty made it apparent to you--did he 12 tell you this time around that he didn't want anybody 13 to be hurt again? 14 Yes. Specifically, he pointed to me the A. 15 Cadillac, the front of the house, and the Donzi Boat 16 and the carport or the partition that was there. 17 Did that pose any kind of a technical problem Q. 18 for you to fashion and locate an explosive device that 19 would do those things, but not go further, or was 20 that something within the area of your expertise? 21 A. That is in the area of my expertise. I know 22 how to do that without causing bodily injury to some-23 body. 24 So that you were satisfied that you weren't 25

running a risk of doing physical harm to folks if that 1 wasnit your intent; correct? 2 Definitely not. 3 That was something that you could handle, because you knew how to--5 Oh, yes. A. (Continuing) -- make that kind of a bomb? 7 A Oh, yes. 8 All right. So, the first job was done, and --A 10 Do you remember when that was, Ricky? 11 No. 12 A. 13 1967, Douglas. I'm sorry. I don't have a 14 specific date for that. 1967. What happened after that? 15 Well, that was done sometime around three 16 o'clock in the morning, and the next day, at three 17 18 o'clock in the afternoon, Lefty was again on the horn 19 asking for me, and he said that he wants the boat, 20 also, that was marooned in a canal on the back of the 21 house. 22

The boat went by the name of MARIANNE or something because related to John Clarence Cook--

Q Wife?

23

24

25

A Wife.

I believe it was Marianne, and so, I went over to the place.

I make a reci of the area.

MR. CARHART: A what?of the area?

THE WITNESS: Pecognizance.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q What is the term that you used?
- A reci, recognizance of the area.

I went back to him, and I said, "I will have to swim to get over there," and he said, "But I want the boat," and I said, "There is a lot of cops over there," and he said, "Don't worry. You are going to have support from police officers, you know, that are friendly with us, who are going to secure the area for you," and I said, "Well, you know, but the other not-so-friendly police forces, you know, might be around them, or whatever," and he said, "Don't worry. Go ahead and do it"--that you have support from friendly forces.

- Q Did Lefty tell you; I mean, in terms that were clear to you, that you could count upon support from police officers in the vicinity?
- A I already knew who was going to be my support in police officers.
 - Q How did you know that?

how his power. He said that some New York families

were complaining about what the Chicago people were

24

doing, that he was going to teach them a lesson, you know, and things like that, and he was riding on Cloud Nine, and so, that same night, I went over to an open space that is no longer there anymore because there is construction have been built up there, and I jumped into the Bay, and I swam with five pounds of C4, a time delay pencil inside a prophylactic, and a cord that I hold, you know, with my teeth, and you know, I swam very slowly through the canal.

There was a row of houses on that one side, and then, I finally, reached the MARIANNE, which by this time, has been out of the water. It was--you know--

Q On davits?

A Right, those things there, and I used to remember there was a house across the canal, and there was a lady there, you know, washing her china, and I have to wait about 45 minutes until she finish off, because, you know, I didn't want any flying glass to hurt the woman, so I remain there for about 45 minutes, you know, until, you know, this lady, you know, finished in the kitchen, and I dumped it, the device, and I swam away from the place.

- Q WAs the lady cleaning the china at another house; not at Cook's house?
 - A. No, not at Cook's house. It was across from

the canal.

- Q Go ahead, sir.
- A. That's about it.
- Q So, you blew up the boat?
- A. That's right.
- Q Did Rosenfeld ever tell you that he was going to let Cook know that the bombs had come from him, Rosenfeld?
- A That happened later, because there is other bombing involved that it was John's idea, which I cannot place in time and space of, you know, the bombings that I have been mentioning to you.
- Q But, doesn't it seem--I mean, it seems to me that if somebody is going to do that kind of thing in that context, like people involved in different criminal enterprises, or business, or whatever, where one wants somebody else to be bombed, that there is a purpose in doing it to let the recipient of the bomb know where it comes from?
- A. I will get to that, if you let me answer the question.
- Q Is my assumption correct in that regard-it wouldn't have any effect, wouldn't have the desired effect?
 - A Lefty was the first one at the scene everytime

after the bombings giving a helping hand to his friend.

- Q That was Lefty's way of letting Cook know that--
- A No, no. Let me keep going with, you know, my association with Lefty and what happened there.

In between or after, you know, all that mess that I am talking about, one day, Lefty got on the horn again with me--I mean, telephone, and he asked me to meet him at the front there.

I don't know if this happened before John was bombed or afterwards; okay, but it's part of what happened there, and he says that one of John's kids has been threatened by somebody who has, you know, threatened the kid with death or something like that or the wife, or you know, somebody that was really pissed off, that he was, you know, being harassed by somebody and then you know, John wants to send a message back, you know, in the form of a bomb to somebody, but that they have to wait for a police officer who will come up with the address of the intended target, so we were waiting in different cars there.

- Q Is that police officer somebody that you knew?
 - A When the so-called police officer arrived,

I identified him immediately. Who was it? talking about?

1

2

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Guillermo Zamora.
- Did you know Zamora before that?
- In fact, yes, because he arrested Alberto Perez, who was one of my friends in the Congo after we returned, and on sort of a traffic charges, and I was the interpreter for Alberto Perez in court.

He was represented by an attorney by the name of Richard Barrett who was--do you know who I am

- I have heard the name.
- Okay. That was the attorney that was recommended to Alberto by the CIA.

MR. CARHART: By whom?

THE WITNESS: By the Central Intelligence Agency, and Mr. Barrett, or whatever was his name, mentioned that he was Officer Zamora's company commander while Zamora was serving in the Marine Corp, that he was his company commander, that he will have no problems dealing with Mr. Alberto Perez' traffic charges.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q. So, your Fun Fair with Lefty Rosenfeld and Guillermo Zamora's traffic charges come up, and

gave Lefty an address; correct? 1 Not to me. To--2 No, to Lefty? 3 To John Clarence Cook. 4 I thought--I'm sorry. Q. 5 We were sitting in different cars, and A. 6 you haven't asked me who was who with whom. 7 Q. All right. 8 It was Lefty who had called you to meet at 9 Fun Fair; correct? 10 A Right. 11 By what you say, obviously Cook was also a 12 there? 13 Yes, Cook was there. A. 14 Q. In his own car? 15 A Yes. 16 (Continuing) -- or in a different car from-a 17 A. Yes, everybody has a car. 18 Who else was there besides the three of you, 19 and when he arrived, Guillermo Zamora? 20 A. And nobody else. 21 Well, there was the customers at the Fun 22 Fair, you know. 23 Q. Obviously. 24 So, when Zamora arrived, it was for the 25

1	purpose of grying an address to herty and cook!
2	A. Not to Cook.
3	Q Okay.
4	A. He never came over to our car. I mean,
5	by this time, Lefty and myselfwe were in one car,
6	and John was in his car.
7	MR. CARHART: And Zamora is in still a
8	third car?
9	THE WITNESS: Oh, yes.
10	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
11	Q And went over to John Clarence Cook?
12	A That is right.
13	Q And obviously, had some conversations?
14	A. The two of them were inside the same car.
15	I dodged, you know.
16	0. You ducked?
17	A. Yes, definitely.
18	Q You didn't want Zamora to see you?
19	A No, just in case that he might recognize
20	me, because he has seen me, you know, during that
21	traffic proceeding in court, or whatever.
22	Q How long did that conversation lasta
23	brief period of time?
24	A A brief period of time.
25.	Q. When Zamora left, did you, Cook, and

Rosenfeld get back together again?

A No, Cook walked over to the car that we were in, and Lefty stepped out of the car, talked to him.

- Q When Lefty got back in the car, did you then-
- A No, both of them came back into the car, and John said, "I got: the address," and he gave it to me.
- Q Do you remember who the target was, and what the address was?
- A Wait. I got the address, and he says,
 "I want to be this thing done tonight," and I said,
 "Well, but what you want?" He said, "Just throw something there--a hand grenade, a piece of explosive. I
 want an explosion over this fellow's house," so you
 know, I ran down to my--me, you know, through this
 Little Havana, whatever, and picked up the explosive
 device.

I went over.

- Q Did you pick up something that was readymade, or did you fashion your own?
- A I just grabbed a piece of C4, a safety fuse, and a blasting cap, and I went over to that address, you know, and threw it in the front yard, the front lawn of the house.

Next day, I found out from the papers that

it was the house belonged to a Miami police officer. 1 Q. Who? 2 (No response.) 3 You don't recall? 4 I don't recall. A 5 There was even a reward put out for the 6 apprehension and conviction of the bomber. 7 Was anybody hurt? Q. 8 Nobody. A 9 Was it, in any event, the right house for 10 Cook's purposes? 11 A. Yes. 12 a Was it, in fact, the house? 13 Well, according to the papers, yes. A. 14 Were you paid for that? a 15 I was damn upset. A. 16 Of course, I charged the guy 500 right on the 17 spot, because, you know, I took off and said, "Well, 18 let me have 500, you know, because since you want, you 19 know, is really no cheap, you know, the materials are 20 really going to be used and the time." 21 MR. CARHART: Ask him what he was upset 22 about. 23 THE WITNESS: Okay. Just--24 MS. COHAN: Finish the answer, and then you 25

can get some coffee.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Why were you upset?
- A About the police officer.

(Off the record.)

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q What upset you about the fact that it was a policeman?
- A. A police officer and a little girl that was inside the house.
- Q. Well, did your upset because the guy who was in the house was a police officer arise from the fact that since it was a policeman, you assumed that there would be more pressure put out to find the person who placed the bomb; wasn't it?
- A It was the fact that I had seen a police officer giving the address of another policeman, and the fact that there was a little girl inside the house.
- Q I can understand the little girl part, obviously.

What I am trying to find out is what bothered you about the fact that it was a policeman's house? Was it that you just tried to make your business not to bomb police, or because you assumed that it was a policeman's house, that there would be

more pressure brought to bear to find the person who planted the device?

A Not because it was going to be more pressure, because since I have known Lefty's association, with, you know, law enforcement individuals and things like that, when I went back to Lefty and I made, you know, known to him, how upset I was, he told me not to worry,

that it was an authorized hit, that he had checked it

previously.

I complained to him that this is the first time that you actually have never tell me, you know, who the intended target was, you know, and why, and things like that, and I also made clearly to him that if I had known that it was a police officer involved, you know, I should never have done it.

Q At that time, did you have some code or some personal value or commitment that made you avoid doing harm to the persons or properties of law enforcement officers?

A Oh, definitely, and I still have.

Q That, I guess, is because of the close working relationship that you have always had with law enforcement people?

A. I didn't have a close relationship with law enforcement people in 1967.

PENGAD CO., BAYONNE, N.J. 07002 - FORM FL 10

Q

The only relationship that I had was with the company previously.

Q Then, what was it, Ricky?

A I mean, law enforcement, they do their job, you know. I mean, it's out of context--anything like that.

Now, you told us of that episode in connection with your describing to us your association with Lefty Rosenfeld, but I had asked you about the purpose of exploding a device like that if the recipient or the target didn't know where it came from. Do you recall I asked you a while ago in that context, of one person wanting to get revenge against or harm another or warn another or threaten or intimidate or something like that, I had asked you if it was correct that it didn't make any sense to do that unless the recipient or the target eventually knew where it came from, huh?

A Right, so eventually, Lefty, one day, told me, "Go by John's place, you know, and tell him that you were the one who bombed him."

- Q That was easy for him to say.
- A Yes, and you know, it was not so easy for me to do it, but I did it.
- Q Why? What did Lefty tell you his reason was for wanting you to do that?

A. So because Anthony Espilotro was flying down from Chicago. Also known as the Ant or the Thing, or whatever. He's a very short fellow--was flying in from Chicago.

Q Was he Italian?

A Yes, he is and was associated with--I just can't recall the name of it. It was Fifi--the nick-name of that Italian--Felix Alderezio (phonetic), or something like that.

He was flying in, and they were going to have John to agree to split the percentage of whatever, you know, jobs, scores he was pulling out, to split that he was going to make, that he was going to be able to work his profession as a jewel thief in Vegas, where he was barred from working.

- Q Cook was?
- A Yes, Cook was.
- Q Go ahead, sir?

A So, I was the messenger boy for Cook to tell him I am the bomber, and I knocked at the door of the house, I went into, he was in the company of Mr.

Manson Hill, and he was giving, or Cook was giving to him a saddle horse, because he was going back to Georgia or wherever he was going.

Let me see if I understand this, Ricky.

Were you told by Rosenfeld or by somebody else the purpose of the bomb? Were you told by the man from Chicago, himself, or were you told that?

A No, no, no.

I found out later. When Tony came down from Chicago, we had a meeting.

Q And it was Tony who told you this fellow from Chicago--

A. Yes.

A If I understand you correctly, the purpose of the bomb at Cook's place was to let Cook know that the people from Chicago who were going to, in effect, give him permission to work in Las Vegas meant business and should be taken seriously, and he should do what they expected of him; is that correct, and not get cute; is that about it?

A No, he had to split every score, that they will give him a percentage, and they will show him the targets and everything like that.

Q And your bomb was to let him know that those people were real folks and meant business, just to keep him in tow?

A No, he knew all of them from before, so since to me, what I gathered after--don't sidetrack me off my recollection.

l		
2		I
2 3 4		,
4		(
5		1
6		1
7		I
7 8 9 0		
9		1
0		
1		1
2		•
3		1
14		1
15		
16		1
17		
18		
19		1
20		
	li.	•

22

23

24

25

11

	Q	I'n	1 1	trying	, t	to	have	you	explain	something
now,	Ricky	7, 5	0	that	I	ur	nderst	tand	it.	

- A There was a meeting between Tony, Lefty,

 Cook, and myself present now; okay, first, it was

 Lefty, Tony and myself. Then, I went over to Cook's

 house. I bumped into this guy Hill, and I relayed the

 message to John that I was the bomber, and so forth.
- Q What else did you tell him besides the fact that you were the bomber?
- A That there was this guy Tony already in town with an assorted, you know, squad of Italians which, in fact, there were, and that they were requesting a meeting with him, and that he was supposed to go down with me to that meeting.
- Q. What did he say when you told him that you were the one who bombed his house, his boat?
 - A. Nothing.

He went back to Manson Hill, and told Manson Hill, "There's my bomber."

The guy picked up the saddle horse and left the house, and we drove back to--it was the row of townhouses at the end of townhouses was some kid that was Lefty's stockbroker that because they were investing heavily at the time in Lums, in the Lums food chain. They were investing heavily on, so

there was this kid was a broker for Lefty, and that's 1 the place where the meeting was held. 2 You said that Manson Hill left with a 3 saddle horse. Did you mean a horse saddle? 4 A horse saddle, saddle horse. 5 There wasn't a horse in the house; it was a 6 saddle for a horse? 7 Yes. 8 Okay. 9 MR. CARHART: What was the name of the 10 stockbroker? 11 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. 12 He was -- his office was in Bal Harbour. 13 It was a young fellow. 14 MR. CARHART: Cuban? 15 THE WITNESS: No, no, no. American. 16 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 17 Then, you attended a meeting with Tony and 18 Lefty and Cook? 19 Yes. 20 MR. WILLIAMS: All right. I think that 21 that's a good place to recess for lunch, and 22 well, unless there are other things that you 23 want to do now, we will get into the meeting 24 when we come back, because otherwise, we will

be another half hour, 45 minutes.

MS. COHAN: Fine.

MR. WILLIAMS: Two o'clock?

MS. COHAN: Two o'clock.

MR. CARHART: Okay with me.

(Whereupon, a short recess was taken, after which the following proceedings were had:)

(The witness was sworn.)

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- A Mr. Morales, when we recessed for lunch, you were in the process of telling us of a meeting that you attended at the home of a stockbroker, whose name you couldn't recall, that was attended by Lefty Rosenfeld, John Clarence Cook, the one from Chicago who you refer to as Tony, and yourself?
 - A Anthony Espilotro.
- Now, as I understand it, the purpose of the meeting was to discuss among Mr. Espilotro and Mr. Rosenfeld and Mr. Cook, Mr. Cook's participation in the Las Vegas burglary business?
 - A More or less.
- Q Your were present kind of what--in the role of enforcer or physical presence to remind Cook of the prior bombings and what could happen in the future--that kind of thing?

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. COHAN: Please note my continuing objection to relevancy and materiality.

You may answer.

THE WITNESS: I was there because I drove Mr. Cook to that place, you know, and I just sat there.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- You knew what the order of business was at the meeting; didn't you, or what it was to be?
- Not offhand. Not previously, but I could imagine by this time that --
 - Did you participate at all in the conversation? a
 - A NO.

I was -- they went into, you know, a room, and they pushed me out of the meeting.

- Q. Were there any more incidents or episodes of bombings either with regard to the placing of any bombs or the fabrication of any bombs by you that you can recall in addition to those which you have been describing to us over the last couple of days?
 - A. No, Douglas.
 - Q. That's it?
 - A. That's it.

MS. COHAN: You sound disappointed.

THE WITNESS: You sound disappointed.

MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, I should have thought that a person of your reknown, there would be more than that. That's only 15 or 20, Ricky.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- All right. Now that we have categorized or catalogued all of the bombing episodes that you can recall in which you participated, tell me, please, sir, how and when you first came to know Diosdado Diaz, the lead investigator in this case, the gentleman who is seated behind you to your left?
- A. Way back in 1973, I spotted a police officer driving a patrol car around a nightclub by the name of My Other Place, which was located on 27th Avenue south of Dixie Highway across the Lady Bug, and since he was patrolling the area and he was very well known among customers there, I just happened to find out that there was a Diosdado who was related to another police officer by the name of Orlando Martinez.
 - Q What did you learn the relationship to be?
 - A. Cousins.
- Q We'll talk some more late on about the development of your acquaintanceship with Officer Diaz, but for the time being, is it accurate to say that by the fall of 1980, in September, October, November of 1980, you had gotten to the spot where you had

established a good close working rapport with Officer Diaz?

- A You are putting words in my mouth.
- Q Describe it to me how you would? How would you describe your relationship with Officer Diaz?
 - A When?
- Q In the fall of 1980, fall going into winter of 1980, September, October, November, December of 1980? How would you describe your relationship?
- A Well, in November, actually, I was supplying him with the information.
- Q Here's what I need to know, Ricky: I want you to tell me whether it is accurate to say that, at that point in time, your relationship with Officer Diaz was a confidential one based upon mutual trust--you and Officer Diaz? Would you say that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q Had you gotten to the spot where, because of your contacts with him over the years regardless of how those contacts occurred, you had a good working relationship with him?
 - A. I never worked with him.
 - Q You know what I mean.
 - A I never worked with him.
 - MS. COHAN: Objection. He will answer your

25

questions and not impute meaning to words other 1 than that they have. 2 MR. WILLIAMS: All right. I am trying to 3 make the thing flow a little bit. Then, ask him what his relation-MS. COHAN: 5 ship was. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: It didn't work the first time. 7 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 8 Ricky, was your relationship with Officer Q 9 Diaz such that, on a day-to-day basis, you all were 10 able to get along with each other? 11 Everytime that I saw him, I got along well 12 with him. 13 When you were providing information to him 14 either on this case or in any other instance that you 15 had given him information in the past, did you feel 16 able to be forthright and honest with him? 17 Of course. 18 Did you feel the need to protect yourself 19 by withholding from him information that was pertinent 20 to the subject you were discussing, but which might 21 put you in a bad light? 22 I never withhold anything from him. 23 Did you have the feeling or the understanding

based upon what you could objectively perceive that

he was forthright with you?

A Yes.

Q Is it accurate to say that at the time that we are discussing now, fall into winter of 1980, that you trusted Officer Diaz?

A. Yes.

Q Based upon what you could perceive by the way in which he dealt with you, did he trust you?

A. That's for him to know, and for me to find out.

Q My question to you is based upon what you could perceive about the way in which he dealt with you, were his actions and his words such that they conveyed to you--

A Oh, yes.

Q (Continuing) -- that he trusted you?

A Oh, yes, now I got the meaning of your question, Douglas. Yes, the answer is yes.

Q Okay. Tell me if you could, please, Ricky, starting with those various bombing episodes that you have described for us over the last couple of days which of them you have previously described or disclosed to Officer Diaz?

A Say that again.

(Whereupon, the question referred to was read into the record by the court reporter.)

THE WITNESS: Will you set a time and date about previous disclosing because, you know, I have discussed with him so many things, you know, since November up to now. Are you saying before November or after November?

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Yes, prior to November?
- A I never discussed, you know, specific bombings with Diosdado Diaz.
- Q Is it your testimony, then, sir, that to your knowledge, the first time that he has heard you make reference to or describe any of these incidents that you have been telling us about over the last couple of days has been as he sat here in the course of this deposition?
 - A No, sir.

MS. COHAN: Objection. Misstatement of testimony.

He said prior to November of 1980.

MR. WILLIAMS: Ms. Cohan, Mr. Morales is quite able to handle himself.

MS. COHAN: Mr. Williams, I will continue to make objections as required.

MR. WILLIAMS: The witness doesn't need any help. He doesn't need any coaching.

THE WITNESS: She's not coaching me.

MR. WILLIAMS: Make a legal objection, and try not to help the witness out.

Go ahead, Mr. Morales.

THE WITNESS: Not prior.

I already answered your question that prior to November, 1980, or September, or whatever that date, that he learned about what I already testified so far.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

November of 1980 or any time before that when Officer Diaz said to you something to this effect; "Since you are going to be our informant in this case because it might become pertinent at some later point in time, we need to know about everything in your background"? Did he say anything like that to you?

- A Not that I recall.
- Officer Diaz or any other City of Miami Police Officer who is presently involved in any way in this investigation has asked you to describe to him or her all of the various acts or episodes in your background that a

third person might refer to or describe as being criminal? Has there ever been such a time?

A Of course. I went into debriefing by Rina Cohan here.

My question to you at the moment, however, was whether there has ever been a time when any police officer with the City of Miami Police Department or any other police department that has anything directly to do with this series of cases, Tick-Talks, has ever asked you to describe to him or to her-police officer--the various episodes or events in your background that a third person might regard as being criminal?

A Mr. Williams, not before I went into direct contact with the State Attorney's Office.

Q All right.

Now, insofar as Ms. Cohan is concerned, is she the only, or in any event, principal representative of the Dade County State Attorney's Office with whom you have had contact concerning this case, this Tick-Talks case?

- A Well, I have seen a lot of Assistants.
- O That's why I said principally.
- A Principal, oh, yes.
- Q It has been Ms. Cohan either exclusively or

principally from the time that your participation in the case first started?

A. Yes.

Q Did there ever come a time when Ms. Cohan said to you that, in order for the prosecution to determine the usability of your evidence or the ability to use you as a witness at all, it would be necessary for you to make a full disclosure to her or some other law enforcement officer of all of the acts or episodes in your past that a third person might regard as criminal?

MS. COHAN: Objection. Work product.
You may answer.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Do you have the question, Ricky?
- A Douglas, I made a purpose of myself when I went into--the first time that I went to see Ms.

 Cohan, to get the whole thing out of my chest.
- A Here's my question: Let's handle the question first, and then, we will take any explanation that it needs.

Was there a time when Ms. Cohan told you that it would be necessary for you to disclose to her all of the episodes inyour past or in your background that might be regarded by a third person as criminal?

1		30
	A. It was a time when I told her that I was	
1	going to tell everything in my past.	
2	Q But, she didn't ask you to?	
3	A. Nope.	
4	Q It was something that you volunteered on	
5	your own?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q When in point of time was that, Ricky?	
8	A. The first time that I met Rina Cohan at	
9	the HOliday Inn.	
10	Q Do you remember the date of the meeting,	
11 :	Ricky?	
12	A. It's a matter of public record.	
13	Q Well, I'm trying to find out, though, if	
14	you have an independent recollection of the date?	
15	A. Well, no.	
16	Q Well, who was present at the meeting,	
17	Ricky?	
18	A. There was a court reporter, Ms. Cohan,	
19	Sergeant Raul Martinez, and D.C. Diaz.	
20	λll right.	
21	Ricky, let me show you a copy of a transcr	ipt
22	or actually, just the first page of a copy of a	
23	transcript that the prosecutor has previously furni:	shed
24	to me in the course of deposition discovery (handing	, to
25		

Ms. Cohan).

MS. COHAN: I do respond to verbal cues (handing to the witness).

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q (Continuing) -- and see if, looking at that cover page, refreshes your recollection.

Was there more than one occasion when you met Ms. Cohan in the presence of Officer Diaz, Sergeant Martinez, and a court reporter in the Holiday Inn, or was this the only occasion?

- A It was the only occasion.
- Q The date of the transcript was reflected as being December 16, 1980. Does that refresh your recollection, tell you that that is an accurate date, as a matter of fact?
 - A I believe so.
- Q Then, was it in the course of this meeting that you made the disclosures to Ms. Cohan that you have been describing to us a moment ago?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Did you, sir, tell her of all of these various incidents or episodes of bombing or participation in bombing that you have described to us over the last couple of days?
 - MS. COHAN: Objection. The record speaks

A.

Of course.

for itself. 1 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 2 Did you, sir? 3 The record speaks for itself. 4 We don't have to worry about the record, No. 5 because that's a legal objection and in regards to--6 I believe that I already answered to that 7 question. 8 You know, if you start asking the same 9 questions again, and she starts objecting to questions 10 11 that you put up, then, you get me off balance, so you 12 know, I will appreciate for both of you not to get me 13 off balance and off track. 14 Are you off balance, now, Ricky? Q. 15 A. Right. 16 Q. Do you want to take about five minutes? 17 No, I want to hear the same question that 18 I was asked before; okay? 19 Q. Here it is. Ready? 20 MS. COHAN: Let Joyce read it back. 21 THE WITNESS: Previously read it back. 22 BY MR. WILLIAMS: 23 Q Do you want to hear the reporter read it 24 back? 25

the occasion

	Q You don't trust me?
1	A. No.
2	Q Have I given you some objective reason to
3	not trust me?
4	A. Right now, you are giving me one.
5	MR. WILLIAMS: Ms. Reporter, read the
7	pending question back to Mr. Morales, please.
8	(Whereupon, the question referred to was
9	read into the record by the court reporter.)
10	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
11	Q Now, Ricky, what I am trying to do here is
12	to get a question and answer that match for record
13	purposes, and as is always the case, you can explain
14	any answer that you are obliged by the question to
15	give, but I'd like to have a head-on question and
16	answer first, so the question that I will put to you
17	is whether you are telling me now that, on the occasion
18	that you met with Ms. Cohan and Sergeant Martinez
19	and Officer Diaz at the Holiday Inn on December 16,
20	1980, you did tell Ms. Cohan of all of these episodes
21	involving bombings that you have described to us over
22	the past couple of days?
23	A. No.
24	MS. COHAN: Ricardo, forgive me. I object.
25	The record speaks for itself.
	Vou may anguar

THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank God.

No, when I told them about all those things were afterwards when we moved to this building subsequently, you know, and I told Sergeant Martinez and D. C. Diaz and Raul Diaz and Rina Cohan and the polygraph guy--I believe that was somewhere along those lines, you know, and I went into this kind of--

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q. So as I understand it, are you telling me you didn't disclose these things to Rina?
 - A On the 16th.
 - Q On the 16th?
- A. Well, there is a translation there of everything.
 - Q Ricky, yes, but give me the answer.

 Did you or not?
- A. No, because otherwise, it would have been there.
- O Then, it was on the occasion of some other meeting with Ms. Cohan?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q When you disclosed these bombings to her?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q Was that on the first occasion of your

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

coming here to this State Attorney's Office for the purposes of being polygraphed? No, it was before, during, and after, and also--I don't know. There were so many meetings, you know. a How long after your first meeting with Ms. Cohan and the policeman at the Holiday Inn was it when in the course of another meeting with Ms. Cohan you did make these disclosures to her? How much time had gone by? A. I can't pinpoint it. Well, Ricky, are we talking about a month Q. or a year or a week? A. No, less. In the following two weeks. Within the following two weeks? Q. A. Uh-huh. Then, at some point before the end of December of 1980, you had told Ms. Cohan about all of these episodes of bombing that you have described to us over the last couple of days? A. That is right. Was there a court reporter present when you told her?

A I don't believe so.

Q Was Officer Diaz present?

or three of them. 1 Is that to say that there were on or two 2 or three different meetings? 3 There were a lot of meetings, Douglas. A. But, all of them within the two week period 5 following your first meeting with Prosecutor Cohan 6 at the Holiday Inn? 7 Before and after. A. 8 a I'm trying to find out when? 9 In December and part of January. A 10 Was it before you went with Agent DeArmas Ø. 11 for the purpose of going into the Witness Protection 12 Program? 13 A. Actually I never met with Raul DeArmas until 14 the day that he picked up to be spirited away. 15 That was the day when you gave the machine 16 gun to Officer Diaz and Sergeant Martinez; correct? 17 That I gave what? A. 18 The Mac 10 machine gun? Q. 19 A. And what else? 20 Well, the silencer and the bag and everything Q. 21 that was with it-- the ammunition? 22 But, it should reflect that in the record; A. 23 right? 24 Oh, the record reflects that. 25 I will tell you, for the purpose of helping

25

you get your dates straight, that the information that 1 the prosecutor has given us preparing for this trial 2 reflects that that occurred on February 5th of 1981; 3 okay? Now, do you have any reason to disbelieve that 5 date? 6 If it's there, that's the date. 7 Take a look at the report written by Q. 8 Sergeant Martinez dated February 5, 1981 (handing to 9 the witness). 10 That's the day that he wrote it or the date 11 that the information happened? 12 Q. Look here. The date of the report is 13 February 5th, 1981, and it starts out, "On Thursday, 14 February 5, 1981"; okay? 15 A Then, that's the date. 16 So, in any event, you are telling me that 17 the disclosures that you made to Sergeant Martinez, 18 Officer Diaz, Lieutenant Raul Diaz, and Ms. Cohan had 19 20 been completed by February 5th, 1981; is that correct? 21 Basically. A. Well, how would you depart from that, Ricky? 22 23 What about it isn't accurate?

A It's not accurate because months afterwards, you know, I have a meeting with Ms. Cohan.

39	1
Q That's the one in Atlanta?	
A That is correct.	
Q My question to you still is whether, by	
the time you met with Agent DeArmas to go into the	
Vitness Protection Program, had you, by that time,	
disclosed all of these incidents of participation	
and bombing episodes to Ms. Cohan?	
A Basically, yes.	
Q Which ones had you left out?	
A. None.	
Q Does the date January 26, 1981, have any	
significance for you?	
A. What date?	
Q January 26, 1981that would be approximately	1
ten days before you went into the Marshall's	
Protection Program?	
A. Not now.	
Q As you sit here and reflect upon it, does	
the date have any significance to you?	
A. Nope.	
Since there is a mass of dates and papers	
and reports, and you know, disclosures, and undis-	
closures, and things like that.	
Q Have you ever seen any police reports or	
transcripts of testimony like the one from December 16	h
	1

that refer to meetings had with you by either the prosecutor or officers Diaz and Martinez that pertained to the time when you made these disclosures of this information to them?

- A I can't recall.
- Officer Diaz, said that there was no meeting of substance with you in the course of which anything of significance was discussed after, say, January 10, 1981, would his statement in that regard be accurate?
 - A Could be.
 - Do you have any reason to disagree with it?
 - A Not basically.
- Q Do you recall how many different meetings there were?
- A Oh, no, Douglas. You know, there were so many of them that--I just cannot give you ten, twelve--exact amount.
- When did you start making this information about these bombings known to Ms. Cohan and/or Officer Diaz and/or Sergeant Martinez, Ricky?
- A I already answered to that question, Douglas.
- Q Your testimony, Ricky, was that you gave them information in bits and pieces over a period of

several days?

A I already answered to that question, and you already pinpoint a certain span of time, which I agree with you that it was during that span of time that I told them about my connections with the bombings that you are referring to.

Q But, we haven't really narrowed it, and that is what I'm trying to do, Ricky, so that we can have as clear a record of it as possible.

You have told us that it started on December 16th when you met Ms. Cohan; is that correct?

- A Basically.
- Q Tell me your best and most clear recollection of the latest point in time at which you had any such neeting in the course of which you discussed these things with Ms. Cohan, Officer Diaz or Sergeant Martinez--the latest point in time?
- A. I already answered to that, that it was covering up to the time that I was snatched by Special Agent Raul DeArmas--I'm sorry--snatched--spirited.
 - Q By Special Agent Raul DeArmas?
 - A That is right. That is the span of time.
- Q Did you describe the episodes to Ms. Cohan and anybody else who may have been present in the

course of these meetings with the same degree of detail that you have described them to us over the past day and a half or two days?

- A No, sir. That is for sure.
- Q Did you go through them individually with Ms. Cohan and/or Officer Diaz and/or Sergeant Martinez as you have gone through them individually with me now?
- A. Well, I never talk with Ms. Cohan in private or D.C. in private. There was always somebody else present.
- Q At any time that you were having these discussions with Ms. Cohan and the others between December 16th, 1980 and February 5th, 1981, did you--
 - A Wait, wait, wait.

Let me straight you about something.

Let me straight you to something.

After I went into the Witness Program, I gave Joe Rosenthal, who was an Assistant United States Attorney, a very accurate description of the events that I already disclosed to you today.

Q Here's my question to you, sir: At any time that you were having conversations about these prior bombing incidents with Ms. Cohan and anybody else who might have been present between December 16th

1	of 1980 and February 5th of 1981, did you describe
2	them in the same degree of detail?
3	A. No, no, no. I already answered to you that
4	question.
5	Q Did Ms. Cohan or anybody else between
6	December 16th and February 5th ask you any questions
7	about the information that you had given for the
8	purposes of obtaining more information?
9	A. There were discussions with Joe Rosenthal.
10	Q I am only asking you now about the conver-
11	sations that you had with Ms. Cohan?
12	A The answer is no.
13	Q Did you describe them individually; them,
14	meaning the bombing episodes?
15	A To whom?
16	Q To Ms. Cohan and anybody else who was presen
17	in the course of those meetings between 16 December and
18	5 February in the same way that you have separated
19	them individually for us over the past day and a half?
20	A. No, sir.
21	Q How did you do it, Ricky? Tell me how you
22	did it, how you made these disclosures?
23	A. In a general basis, Williams.
24	My involvement with the organized crime
25	situation, the bombing of John Clarence Cook, the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bombing of the police officer, the bombing--oh, the guy at Treasure Island, and Alfie. Did you tell them; meaning Ms. Cohan and anybody else who was present between December 16th and February 5th of the activity you had had involving the Cuban airplane? Did you tell them of the activity you have had involving the Cuban airplane? Not at the way that I talked to you. Did you make any reference to it at all? Q. I have been making reference about that, you know, throughout the past five or six years. My question to you, sir, is whether you told Ms. Cohan and/or Officers Diaz and/or Sergeant Martinez between December 16th and February 5th of your involvement in the bombing of the Cuban airplane? Yes. Did you also tell those same people during that same period of time of the involvement you had had in the various bombings that had taken place here in the City of Miami between the early to middle sixties and the early seventies? A. Yes, in general terms. have been going through you with it.

Not one by the one the way that, you know, I been going through you with it.

Are there yet other bombing instances or

episodes that have occurred at one point or another place in the western hemisphere in the past 15 years that you might have forgotten to mention in the course 3 of the catalogue that you have been giving us? In the western hemisphere? A. 5 Yes, in which you were involved? 6 That I was involved? 7 Yes, that you haven't told us about? Q. 8 (No response.) A. 9 10 Let me ask one for example. Q Were you ever involved, in any way, in a 11 12 bombing incident that occurred in Washington, D.C., 13 in approximately 1976 or 1977? 14 A No. 15 Do you know which one I am referring to? Q 16 A. No. 17 Does the name Letelier do anything to you? 18 A. To me, it gives me nothing. 19 pleasantness. 20 Do you know who the man was? 21 A social pinko, a communist agent. 22 Do you know him to have been somebody who 23 was killed in the bombing of an automobile in 24 Washington, D.C., in the middle or latter seventies?

I know that he became a good communist that

day which is that he died. 1 Did you have anything to do at all either 2 directly or indirectly with that bombing, Ricky? 3 A. None whatsoever, my friend. 4 Do you regret not having anything to do with a 5 it? 6 A. Yes. 7 Are there any other bombing incidents, for 8 example, that occurred in South American countries 9 during the early or middle seventies that you haven't 10 yet disclosed to us in the course of this catalogue 11 over the last couple of days in which you participated? 12 A. Nope. 13 In Chile? Q 14 I never been to Chile. A 15 Q. Did you ever provide materials to be used 16 in the detonation or placing of any explosive devices 17 in Chile, to your knowledge? 18 A. Nope. 19 Q Argentina? 20 A. Nope. 21 Q. Brazil? 22 A, Nope. 23 Q. Peru or Bolivia? 24 A. Nope. 25 Q No to both?

	A. What?
1	Q No to both?
2	A. Who?
3	Q Are you say no both to Bolivia and Peru?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. When you told Ms. Cohan that you wanted to
6	get all of these things off of your chest during a
7	period between December 16th of 1980 and February
8	5th of 1981, did you tell her the reason why you wante
9	to do that?
10	A. It was my decision.
11	Q What did Ms. Cohan say to you when you let :
12	her know that you wanted to tell her about all of thes
14	things?
15	A. She must have been elated.
16	Q Well, did she express elation to you?
17	A. That's the way that I took her expression on
18	her face.
19	Q While you were giving her this information,
20	did you observe her to be making notes as she is
21	making notes and as I have been making notes throughou
22	the course of this deposition?
23	A I believe so, that she made notes, and there
24	might have been microphones, tape recorders, going.
25	Q Did you perceive the presence of any

2

3

5

6

7

9

25

microphones? Down here in the State Attorney's Office? Wherever you had the meeting? Q. Oh, yes, I perceived, you know, tape recordings and notes being taken, and things like that. Were they concealed tape recorders, the presence of which you detected? No, not concealed. Everything was very open. 8 If there was any concealment, you know, I don't know. Who had the tape recorders? Q. 10 They were on top of the tables. 11 With whom did they come and go; if you could .12 tell? 13 What do you mean "come and go"? 14 Well, were the tape recorders over here 15 always in the room when you came in? 16 Yes. 17 Did you see any particular person operating 18 the tape recorders in the course of your conferences--19 changing the tapes or adjusting the volume or adjusting 20 the microphones or anything like that? 21 Ms. Cohan and Dudley Dixon. A. 22 Dudley Dixon, the polygraph examiner? 23 Yes.

So far as you could tell, then, it was

Ms. Cohan and Mr. Dixon who were making and controling

the tapes?

A That is correct.

Q Was there ever a time when you conferred with Ms. Cohan about your participation in these bombings when there was not a tape recorder present?

- A. Yes.
- Q On how many of the occasions?
- A. I can't say.
- Q Can you tell us the total number of times that you met with Ms. Cohan during the period that we have been referring to?
- A I believe that I already answered to that question that I cannot come down to a specific number of times.
 - Q Was it as many as a dozen, Ricky?
- A Could have been as many as a dozen, less than a dozen.
- Q On the occasions when Officer Diaz and Sergeant Martinez were present during these conferences pertaining to the bombings, did you observe either of them to be making notes?
 - A. Yes.
- A Have you ever told anybody prior to today, Ricky, that you did have participation in the Orlando Letelier bombing?

1	a lie wide.
1	Q Was the name Orlando
2	OFFICER DIAZ: Orlando what?
3	BY MR. WILLIAMS:
4	Q Had you ever told anybody prior to today
5	
6	that you had anything to do with the bombing of Orlando
7	Letelier?
	A. How come?
8	Q I'm asking you if you ever told anybody?
9	A You mean, bragging?
10	Q I don't know, Ricky. Maybe bragging or
11	maybe including it in the catalogue or maybe discussing
12	it technically with other explosivists? Have you ever
13	told it to anybody?
14	A. What?
15	Q That you had any participation in the
16	Letelier bombing?
17	A. In the killing?
18	Q. Yes.
19	A. In the demisewhatever it is?
20	
21	Q Yes, have you ever told anybody that you had
22	any participation in it?
23	A. No.
24	Q Now, when you made the disclosures that you
25	did to Ms. Cohan and Officer Diaz and Sergeant

Martinez about your participation in the bombings
during December and January of 1980 and 1981, did
any of the three of them tell you that it was going
to be necessary to disclose any of those things either
to law enforcement agencies or to defense attorneys in
any cases that resulted from the information you were
giving them?

A Of course.

- Q Who told you that?
- A. Joe Rosenthal and Rina Cohan.
- Q Was Mr. Rosenthal ever present while you were meeting with Ms. Cohan, Officer Diaz, and Sergeant Martinez in the State Attorney's Office?
 - A No.
- Q I'm only concerned with the meetings that you had with Officer Diaz, Sergeant Martinez, and Ms. Cohan here in the State Attorney's Office in December of 1980 and January of 1981.

Did any of those three persons or any other Assistant State Attorney who works with Ms. Cohan ever tell you that it is going to be necessary to disclose the information that you gave about the bombings and your participation in them either to law enforcement or to defense attorneys in any cases that arose out of the information?

Douglas, I just can't help it. What can I tell you?

25

Either we recess, you know, but-MS. COHAN: Why don't you let him finish,

and then, we will ask the questions?

THE WITNESS: This is very objective. I'venever been subjected to questioning while cha,

MR. WILLIAMS: The record should reflect--

THE WITNESS: You are shining your shoes.

MR. WILLIAMS: (Continuing) -- that a shoe shine is being administered in the course of this deposition.

(Off the record.)

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

cha, cha.

A Before we recessed -- not this time, but the last time, Ricky, you had told us that the conversations that you had had with Ms. Cohan about the bombings occurred on December 16th, and then, after the recess, you came back, and you apparently had your recollection enhanced or improved in some fashion?

MS. COHAN: Objection. Misstatement of the testimony.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Did something occur during not this recess, but the last one that we took?
 - A Which one, Douglas?
 - Q The one where it was kind of unilaterally

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

declared where you got up and walked out of the room? A. I went out to pee. Okay. Fine. Better there than here. Did anything occur during the course of that recess that affected your recollection, in any way, aside from the lessening of pressure on your bladder? Did anything else happen that affected your recollection? A Nothing whatsoever. Now, that we have finished having you tell us about bombings, there is one other matter that I want to explore with you before we talk about Mr. Quesada, himself, and that is the collective or combined 12 matter of shootings or killings, murders. 13 You have told us about bombings in which you 14 participated. Now, I should like for you to tell us --15 Let the record reflect that Mr. Williams is the one mentioning the words "murders," and "killings." 17 MR. WILLIAMS: The record will show that 18 quite clearly. MS. COHAN: We have already decided that

-Mr. Morales terms those inflicting casualties upon enemy troops.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

I should like you to tell me, sir, apart from circumstances that arose in the Belgian Congo,

apart from any time that you spent in the Belgian

Congo, in any order that you think appropriate,

logical, chronologically coming forward or chrono
logically going backward, or alphabetically, or in

any other fashion that you think appropriate of the

various incidents in which you have either participated

directly in, or assisted in the perpetration of the

shooting or attempt to shoot, or otherwise, inflict

death or bodily harm upon any other person? You can

start anyplace you want.

- A. Mr. Aton Costanzo.
- Q. What was the name, please, sir?
- A Aton Costanzo.
- a = A-t-o-n?
- A t o n.
- Q When was that, please, sir?
- A. That was November the 22nd, 1968.
- Q. Where was that, please, sir?
- A. Little Havana.
- A Here in Miami?
- A _ _ That is correct.
- Q What is the nature or the way in which Mr. Costanzo was harmed or killed, as the case may be?
 - A. No, he was not killed.
 - Q Shot?

	A. On, yes.
1	Q What was he doing at the moment that you
2	shot him?
3	A Trying to find out where I was living,
4	trying to find out what kind of car I was driving,
5	Contracts Contracts of the Contract of the Con
6	trying to find out actually where I was, you know,
7	was staying, or places that I frequent, and making
8	all kinds of threats over the community.
9	Q Here's my question, Mr. Morales: At the
10	moment that you shot him, was he, at that moment,
11	engaged, so far as you could perceive, in some actual
12	physical imminent effort to harm you or kill you at
13	that moment?
14	A At that moment?
15	Q Yes.
	A No, I was the one trying to kill him.
16	Q You weren't then acting to keep yourself
17	from being harmed at that particular second?
18	A Of course, I realize that he really was
19	posing a threat to my life.
20	Q Here's my question to you, sir: At the
21	- ,
22	moment that you shot him, was he physically threatening
23	or menacing you with some weapon or with other cir-
24	cumstances that made you think at that particular
	moment

Well, he had a .45 caliber pistol with 1 him, and I challenged him, you know, draw, and he 2 didn't. 3 He didn't have a gun in his hand at the time you shot him? 5 He has it with him. 6 Did he have it in his hand? 7 He never pulled it out. A. 8 Q. Did he have a knife in his hand? 9 A. No. 10 Was he behind the wheel of a car? Q. 11 No. 12 So, there weren't any circumstances which 13 would normally or realistically cause you to think 14 that, at that particular moment, if you hadn't shot 15 him, that you were going to be killed or harmed; is 16 that correct? 17 That is correct. 18 Was the matter investigated by the police? 19 Yes. A 20 City of MIami? 21 A. Yes. 22 Who was the lead investigator? 23 I don't recall.

On what part of his body was the man

25

Costanzo shot? 1 Well, I shot him 17 times all over. 2 And he lived? Q. 3 He's still walking the streets of Miami. A You ought to be ashamed of yourself. Q. 5 Why? A. 6 Was it bad marksmenship or bad ammunition or Q. 7 what? 8 Beats me. 9 Can you tell me with any degree of accuracy Q. 10 or particularity where you got him on the 17 different shots? 12 All over. 13 Leave any place untouched? 14 I mean, did you get him in both arms, both 15 legs? 16 I believe that 11 times in the chest, and 17 you know, the other six, you know--it was 17 times. 18 What kind of weapon were you using? 19 An M3, .45 caliber, sub-machine gun with 20 a silencer attached. 21 I don't have to hide nothing. 22 Do you remember who was the police officer 23 who investigated the shooting? 24 A No.

Were you charged criminally with it?

	A.	Wait, wait, wait.
. 1		I believe that I was picked up that night
2	bythere	were two brothers.
3	Q	Officer Diaz can't participate in the depo-
4	sition.	
5	A.	Weaver.
6	Ŏ.	W-e-a-v-e-r, Weaver?
7	A.	Right, Weaver.
8		I can't pinpoint which one of them .
9	Ō	Were you actually arrested by the police?
10	A.	No.
11	Q	No?
12	A.	No.
13	Q.	Were you ever prosecuted criminally for the
14	shooting?	
15	A.	No
16	Q.	Do you know why?
17	A.	Mr. Costanzo never pressed charges.
18		(Off the record.)
20	BY MR. WI	LLIAMS:
	Q	Were you arrested or prosecuted for any
21	firearms	violations arising out of the Costanzo
22	shooting	such, for example, as being in possession of
23	an illega	1 fully automatic weapon or silencer?
24	A.	No, I was never arrested or prosecuted for
25		•

the assumed charges that you are referring to. 1 Did you know at the time that you shot 2 Mr. Costanzo that you were committing acts which 3 appeared to be or were a violation of the laws of the 4 State of Florida and of the United States? 5 Without getting into more semantics, Douglas, 6 and make it easy for you and for the expense of the record, yes. 8 Q. In 1968 when the shooting occurred, Ricky, 9 had you already made the acquaintance of Raul Diaz? 10 No. I was not even aware that Raul Diaz 11 was alive. 12 Had you already made the acquaintance of 13 George Roberts? 14 Who is George Roberts? 15 I'm sorry--what was the fellow's name in 16 the Bureau--George--the old man? 17 Davis? 18 Davis. Have you ever made the acquaintance 19 of George Davis? 20 He was one of the case agents in the 21 Orlando Bosch trial, but he was not my CO at the time. 22 Q. Who was? 23 A. At the time, was Joe Ball. 24

Now, there have been other occasions,

Mr. Morales, upon which it has happened, for whatever the reasons were in motion, that when you have been observed or detected by people connected with law enforcement to have been at or near someplace where something that occurred that attracted law enforcement's attention that, all of a sudden, one or another of the policeman with whom you have had contact over the years --Mr. Davis, Mr. Ball, Raul Diaz--somebody like that--kind of mysteriously appears on the scene, and we will talk about that later on, but my question to you presently is, at or immediately after the time of the Costanzo shooting, were there any police officers, either state or federal, who came to the scene of the episode who were not directly involved in the actual investigation of it as part of their official duties?

> MS. COHAN: Objection to counsel testifying. You may answer.

THE WITNESS: As far as I know, Mr. Costanzo was picked up by an ambulance and transported to the Jackson Memorial Hospital.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Were you contacted at any point within the three days after the Costanzo shooting by any law enforcement people who wanted to discuss it with you?
- I was contacted by this Sergeant Weaver or

Officer Weaver or another police officer that I cannot 1 recall who he was on the same date, let's say, some 2 time around two o'clock in the morning. 3 Did Mr. Ball contact you? Q. A. Nope. 5 Did you contact him? Q. 6 A. Nope. 7 When you shot Costanzo, Ricky, were other 8 persons present? 9 A. The driver. 10 Were there pedestrians or passersbyers on the 11 street? 12 A. No. 13 Was it within the predictable sight or 14 vision of anybody else? 15 The -- how can I describe it? The clean car. 16 I missed it. 17 Well, there was a car that was used for the 18 purpose of blocking any pursuing cars. 19 Q What was the terms that you used -- the what 20 kind of car? 21 A. Clean car. 22 Clean, C-l-e-a-n? Q. 23 A. Yes, the clean car. 24 Who was in the clean car? Q. 25

A Aguedo Lugo.

For your information, he is dead, and for your information, the driver of the car, last name Gonzalez, and he was subsequently killed by Mr. Costanzo.

Q That's the way it goes.

Did you have any contact, yourself, with either Mr. Costanzo or members of the family during the seven days immediately following the shooting?

- A No.
- Q Did you ask or request or instruct anybody else to have any contact with Costanzo or any members of his family during the seven days following this shooting?
 - A Not me.
 - Q Did anybody?
 - A I don't know.
- Q Would you please tell me, Ricky, the next occasion or episode after November of 1968 in the course of which you either shot or shot at somebody, or did anything else in an effort to harm or kill a person?
 - A. What?
- Q Next episode after November of 1968 in which you shot somebody or shot at somebody or did anything in the course of an effort to harm or kill someone?

	A I wasas you know, firsthand, I was arrested
1	and charged for first degree murder offense, which you
2	were the prosecutor of that case.
3	Q Refresh my memory. Who was the person?
4	A. Do I have to refresh your memory?
5	
6	Q Yes, just for the record, Ricky.
7	Who was the person whose death caused your
8	arrest?
9	A Armando Ruiz.
	Q Was he also known as Eladio Ruiz?
10	A Also known as James Bond.
11	Q Was he also known as Eladio Ruiz?
12	A. Also known as Eladio Ruiz.
13	Q Did you shoot him?
15	A. Yes.
	Q That would have been in the middle or
16	latter part of 1973, as I recall; is that correct,
17	or 1972which?
18	A. I believe it was August.
19	Q Of 1973?
20	A. August the 2nd, 1973.
21	the transfer of the second angle that yet the second to
22	Q Why did you kill him?
23	A He put a bullet right here (indicating)
24	on May the 25th, 1973 and on that occasion he was
25	bent into

MS. COHAN: Indicating the top of his head.

THE WITNESS: (Continuing) --into killing

me with a chain and a big lock that he has around

his waist, which you should remember about as

being taken out of his corpse.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Did Ruiz actually shoot or did he put a gun to your head?
 - A I was the one who shot.
- Q I know, but you said a minute ago, Ricky, that he put a bullet in the top of your head?
 - A. On May the 25th, 1973.
- Q My question to you is, did he actually shoot you in the head, or did he actually put a gun to your head?
- A No, he shot me from a car. I was parking my car somewhere on 6th Street and close to 5th Avenue, and by the time that I was parking the car, you know, I looked to the--you know, to this little mirror next to the door of the car, and I saw the headlights of a car, you know, turning on the other corner, the corner behind, and while motions to park my car, I took a look again. The lights were gone, so the next thing that I knew, it was that I was shot.

I touched underneath the dashboard of the car,

_

and I count until three expecting a barrage. When that didn't happen, you know, I managed somehow to crawl underneath a car and run into a building where I--you know, I faint due to the loss of blood, you know, and then, I managed to get to a phone in that building, and I called the FBI and the DEA and informed them what has happened to me, and that I believed that I was not going to die, that I was bleeding profusely.

Q I'm sorry--did you tell them that you thought you were going to die or that you were not going to die?

A That I was not going to die, that I was bleeding heavily, and that I request, you know, an ambulance and the police cars, you know, to be present at the scene, and that I was not coming out of that apartment until I see the blue lights, you know, and so on.

I mean, the red lights. They were red in those times.

- Q Did you receive medical treatment, Ricky?
- A I received medical treatment by the paramedics at the spot.

I was placed in an ambulance, and I was taken down to Jackson Memorial Hospital, and they took

X-rays of my head, and part of the bullet was still 1 lodged between my scalp and my skull. 2 Were you admitted to the hospital? Q. 3 I was admitted and released. A I'm sorry, sir. Q 5 I was admitted and released. A 6 Under what name were you treated? a 7 Ricardo Morales. A. 8 How did you know that it was Ruiz who had a shot you in May? Did you see him? 10 Because I saw the kind of a car, and I 11 did a long investigation about it, and it just happened 12 that he was riding with three more fellows, and since-13 this is the time without secrets, you know. 14 Amen. 15 Amen. A. 16 Go ahead. Q 17 So, your investigation on your own eventually 18 led you to the conclusion that it was Ruiz who had 19 shot you? 20 A Yes. 21 What kind of gun did you use to kill him, 22 Ricky? 23 A Huh? 24 What kind of a gun did you use to kill him? Q. 25

1	A I killed him with a Browning.
2	Q That fully automatic .9 millimeter that
3	you carry?
4	A. The one that I registered with the ATF durin
5	the register period.
6	Q It was a fully automatic handgun; wasn't it?
7	A And it was registered with ATF as a fully
8	automatic pistol in 1968.
9	Q It was silenced; wasn't it?
10	A No, that is incorrect.
11	Q Who was the fellow, Ricky, who, at the time
12	you were arrested and charged with Ruiz' killing, was
13	the one who supposedly was the State's only eyewitness
14	who could identify you? Do you know the man's name?
15	A. Beats me.
16	Q Ruiz, Eladio Ruiz, had supposedly killed a
17	man named German Lamazares; isnit that correct?
18	A. He was charged with it.
19	Q Did you learn on your own or from any
20	other source the reason that Ruiz had killed
21	Lamazares?
22	A. He told everyone.
23	Q Was that a yes, please, sir?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q Did you know Mr. Lamazares?

	A.	Yes.
1	Q	Was he a friend of yours?
2	A.	Sort of.
3	Q	Did you know his wife?
4	A.	Yes.
5	Q.	Nancy?
6	A.	Yes.
7	Ò.	Was she a friend of yours?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q	How would you describe your friendship with
10	Nancy?	
11	A.	How gory do you want me describing myself,
13	my friend	ship with Nancy Lamazares.
14	Ø.	Just as expressly as I need you to answer the
15	question.	
16	A.	Very close friend.
17	Q	Were you intimate?
18	A.	We were very close friends.
19	Q	Were you intimate with her?
20		MS. COHAN: Objection to the relevance and
21	mate	riality.
22	BY MR. WI	LLIAMS:
23	Q.	Go ahead.
24	A.	It was close friends.
25	Ç.	Were you intimate with Nancy Lamazares,
	Ricky?	

awareness of the things going on in your day-to-day

1 your own eyes, or do you also give credence to things 2 that you have heard? 3 Referring to sexual relations, I only A. am aware of anybody else, you know, doing sex with 5 somebody else, you know, upon my eyes. 6 Well, regardless of what you have seen, Q. 7 Ricky? 8 No, it's not regardless what I seen. 9 Tell me whether you have heard from any 10 source--11 Oh, no, no, no. Third parties and things 12 like that, you know, for Christ's sake, you know, I 13 mean, that's hearsay, you know. 14 Let me make it easy for you. 15 A. Oh for God, you know. Why don't you ask her? 16 Q. I am going to help you out. 17 A. Don't help me nothing. Ask her. 18 Here's the question, Ricky: Have you ever Q. 19 heard or learned from any source, whatsoever, that 20 Ms. Lamazares had, at any point in time, maintained 21 an intimate relationship with any police officer? 22 MS. COHAN: Same objection to the materiality 23 and relevance of Ms. Lamazares' personal life. 24 THE WITNESS: Douglas, I am a gentleman, 25

life solely upon the things that you have seen with

and I don't--

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q I'm a defense lawyer, Ricky.
- A That's your problem.
- Ω That's my job.
- A. That's your problem, and you know, I am not in the defamation bureau side of spreading boyfriends, lover, or whatever, you know, to whom who presently might be married, have a family, or whatever.
- Q Now, we have given you the opportunity to express your philosophy into the record. Now, can you please answer my question, please, sir?
 - A I already answered the question.
- Q The question is, sir, whether you have learned, from any source, that the one called Nancy Lamazares has, at any time in the past, maintained an intimate relationship with any police officer whom you know?

MS. COHAN: I am going to object to the relevancy of the question and instruct the witness if you do not choose to answer, you may do so, and ask for a proffer of relevancy.

THE WITNESS: I will join her.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q Are you refusing to answer a question?

A. Yes.

_,

MR. WILLIAMS: All right. You and the witness and I had best confer off the record privately, and if you want Mr. Diaz to be there, I have no objection to that, but I should think that you would have enough confidence, aside from anything else, that you can keep the conversation to the witness to yourself, and me.

MS. COHAN: I don't see what selfconfidence has to do with it, Mr. Williams.

MR. WILLIAMS: As you wish, but I think it might be in everybody's better interest if we chatted first before we go downstairs.

MS. COHAN: Let's go next door.

Peter, can you stay here, please?
MR. OUTERBRIDGE: Sure.

(Whereupon, an off-the-record discussion was had between Mr. Williams, Ms. Cohan, the witness and Officer Diaz.)

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Q Ricky, do you have the pending question, or do you want me to restate it?
 - A. What?
 - Q The pending question?
 - A. What question? I believe that I already

20

22

21

24

25

answered it.

MS. COHAN: No, there is a pending question. BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- The question is, whether you have learned from any source whatsoever --
 - I already answered it, you know.

MS. COHAN: Wait a minute.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

(Continuing) -- that the one named Nancy Lamazares has, at any time in the past, maintained an intimate or close relationship with somebody in law enforcement known to you?

MS. COHAN: Ricardo, I am going to object to the relevancy and materiality.

You may or may not answer, as you choose. THE WITNESS: I am not going to answer.

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

- Are you relying upon--
- On what?
- Areyou relying upon any legally recognized privilege in declining to answer?
- I do not understand that kind of legality that you are putting up to me, so I will consider that if I answer to you, you know, it might be, you know, breaking something or what.

MR. WILLIAMS: Certify it. 1 (Off the record.) 2 (Whereupon, the following proceedings 3 were had before the Honorable Gerald Kogan in Chambers: THE COURT: Okay, everybody. 5 MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, you know Mr. Morales? 6 THE COURT: Yes. 7 Why doesn't everybody sit rather than stand 8 up. 9 Now, tell me what is happening. 10 MR. WILLIAMS: Mr. Puig is with us. 11 THE COURT: I recognize Mr. Puig. 12 MR. WILLIAMS: To protect me. 13 Your Honor, we've been making inquiries 14 in the course of deposition concerning one par-15 ticular homicide that Mr. Morales committed 16 about oh, nine years ago or so in which the 17 victim was a man named Eladio Ruiz. 18 Mr. Morales has testified that in addition 19 to having attempted to kill him, Mr. Morales 20 previously, the one called Ruiz is thought to 21 have shot and killed a man named German with a 22 "G" Lamazares. 23 At the time of his death, German Lamazares 24 was married to a woman named Nancy Lamazares.

;

I have asked Mr. Morales to describe the relationship that he had with both German Lamazares and Nancy Lamazares, and he has done that.

I then asked him whether he had knowledge or has knowledge presently whether the woman named Nancy Lamazares had, at any time, been involved in and/or maintained an intimate relationship with somebody known to Mr. Morales in law enforcement, and Mr. Morales, on his own, has declined to answer the question.

knowledge about such things, I believe I know the answer to the question, although I need it to be of record, because my understandings of the inner working of the relationships involved is such that my belief is that the one with whom Ms. Lamazares has in the past been intimately involved is the law enforcement police officer in this community who, for ten or twelve years has had ongoing, direct, very intimate, and very significant constant contact with Mr. Morales.

THE COURT: Before we do that, let's stop right here.

Read to me the particular question that was asked, and Mr. Morales, then, we will get

(Whereupon, the certified question was read into the record by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: And Mr. Morales said he wouldn't answer the question?

THE COURT REPORTER: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, this is not the State directing him not to answer; this is Mr. Morales stating he wouldn't answer?

MS. COHAN: No, I objected on the grounds of materiality and relevancy.

THE COURT: That was it, and Mr. Morales just refused to answer it?

Go on back, Mr. Williams, and tell me what you were telling--obviously, the first thing that comes to my mind what Ms. Cohan is raising the materiality and relevancy as to whether or not this individual, Nancy, has any intimate relationship with any law enforcement officer, why would that be material or relevant to this particular case?

MR. WILLIAMS: Franks versus Delaware, Judge, in several particulars.

The policeman in question is Raul Diaz, who is presently a lieutenant with the Public Safety Department's homicide section.

Raul Diaz, as I said before, has had what, from the part of the iceberg that has been visible above the surface from over the past several years, has had a very close, and in its own way, and I don't mean any sexual implication in that, intimate relationship with Mr. Morales.

Lieutenant Diaz has, to our knowledge and belief, figured prominently, in a behind-the-scanes way, in some aspects of preparation or development of this case.

Mr. Morales has also told us of certain conversations that he had with Lieutenant Diaz in the prosecutor's presence pertaining to this case and pertaining to preparation for this case.

Mr. Morales was never tried for the Ruiz shooting. He was arrested and charged, and at the last minute, the only witness who was able to make an identification of him changed his mind.

I even remember the fellow's name.

THE COURT: Did Mr. Raul Diaz work that case as a homicide investigator?

23

24

25

MR. WILLIAMS: No, it was worked by the City of Miami, but then, Officer Diaz was present on the scene shortly after the shooting and--

THE COURT: Now, when you say "Diaz," we have a couple of Diazes.

MR. WILLIAMS: I am talking about Rauf Diaz, who was then a police officer, as I recall was present shortly after the shooting, was involved, had contact with other law enforcement officers between the time of the shooting and the time of the preliminary hearing, has had substantial contact with Mr. Morales since then, and the Franks question, which I really don't want to have to articulate any more precisely than that, right now, because I don't think it necessary to give away specific areas of defense strategy, become very right from the point of view of the existence of any understanding or relationship which, perhaps, would cause Mr. Morales to have been accorded, in the past and presently, more lattitude and more prerogative than would otherwise be accorded to a person walking the streets of Dade County.

THE COURT: Be that as it may, how would

that come out of the fact that if, in fact, it is true that Raul Diaz does have an intimate relationship with Nancy--whatever her name is-MR. WILLIAMS: Lamazares.

THE COURT: What difference does that make?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, let me construct one
possible easy scenario.

Consider the likelihood that -- oh, could we have Mr. Morales and Mr. Puig step out for a second?

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Morales and Mr. Puig, step out for a moment.

(Whereupon, Officer Raul Puig and Ricardo Morales left the room.)

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. WILLIAMS: Having in mind, Judge, the very liberal construction that has been given to the discovery rules in both civil and criminal cases because, as I understand it, the rules of civil procedure govern the scoping of taking depositions in criminal cases.

THE COURT: That is right.

They do, but even on my stretching the imagination--all right--first of all, are you

·

saying that Mr. Morales was intimate with Nancy way back when, seven years ago?

MS. COHAN: He's admitted to an intimate relationship with her nine years ago.

THE COURT: And now, you are claiming, although he has not answered the question, that if he did answer that question, if he knows the answer, that he would be saying that Raul Diaz had an intimate relationship with her, and you are saying that Raul Diaz has helped Mr. Morales in the past?

MR. WILLIAMS: Of course he has.

He has been one of his two controls insofar as the use of Morales as an informant not only by Public Safety, but by virtually every other law enforcement agency in the Southeastern United States save the FBI is concerned.

MS. COHAN: Point of order.

I believe that what Mr. Williams is seeking from Mr. Morales is whether, nine years ago or eight years ago or seven years ago, Lieutenant Diaz had a relationship with Nancy Lamazares; not whether he currently does.

THE COURT: Is that it?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, I want responses in two areas--at the time of Ruiz' shooting, and within the past year.

Now, let me just finish the easy superficial thought, Judge, and Your Honor, I ask
you to keep in mind that this area of inquiry
introduces one into an extremely complicated,
very tightly interwoven nest of interrelationships
that has been in existence now for a dozen years,
at least, and maybe more; all of which have
directly borne upon the ability of Mr. Morales
to do certain things with and to law enforcement
that nobody else in this part of the world has
ever done before.

THE COURT: All right. Give me a for instance, so I've got something to see what you are trying to get at.

MR. WILLIAMS: Let's say, for instance, that the time that Eladio Ruiz was shot by Mr. Morales, and he now admits to doing it, that it was done with the knowledge and/or approval of Raul Diaz, then a policeman, now a homicide lieutenant, either before or after the fact, but that because of the inter-relationship among Diaz, the Lamazares woman,

_

and Morales, Diaz did something less than what would have been done or he should have done in the course of the normal exercise of his duties, as a result of which Morales was not prosecuted as fully as he might have been for that matter or as a result of which, in the future, up until now, Morales has been allowed through the use of, in effect, the cover of a policeman, who has been a buffer for him, one who intercedes between Morales and law enforcement, if otherwise law enforcement wants Morales to do things that regular citizens ought not do or couldn't do with the knowledge and the condonation of a high ranking County policeman.

THE COURT: Are you saying Morales may be holding over Raul Diaz' head this particular relationship if it does exist with Nancy?

MR. WILLIAMS: That certainly is one possibility, Judge, and although it is not the only one that comes to mind, it is enough of a distinct possibility given that Raul Diaz has participated directly, albeit, behind the schemes in the development of this case to the extent that Morales has had an involvement in it, and given that Morales has discussed this

case with Diaz during the periods of time
that the State Attorney's Office was preparing
the first application and affidavit for the
intercept order.

THE COURT: This you've already developed on deposition?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.

MS. COHAN: That question has been developed.

Judge, can I state a few things?

THE COURT: Yes, sure.

MS. COHAN: First of all, any relationship that Nancy Lamazares may have had intimately with another person nine years ago, I would suggest is immaterial and irrelevant unless--

THE COURT: Although, he says he wants to develop it right now.

MS. COHAN: (Continuing) -- unless Mr.

Morales will testify and has not yet been asked if, in fact, Raul Diaz does owe him any debts, any favors, anything at all, because of some act he may or may not have taken.

I would suggest that it is a total invasion of Nancy Lamazares' privacy to allow these kinds of questions to be asked of a third party.

He, being Mr. Williams, has indicated he intends to subpoena Raul Diaz.

If Raul Diaz was having an affair with
Nancy Lamazares nine years ago or today, that's
the appropriate party to ask, but instead
Nancy Lamazares, it is my understanding, is
currently happily remarried with a family, and
I just think this is a total invasion of her
privacy.

I would suggest that Mr. Williams can inquire of the witness as to whether Detective or Lieutenant Diaz was present or had any knowledge of the Eladio Ruiz shooting, and if so, how that knowledge was obtained, and whether Mr. Morales took any acts or refrained from taking any acts because of Mr. Diaz' involvement in the situation?

I would also indicate that everything right now is totally hypothetical. It is a defense construct. There is no evidence right now that Mr. Morales has any knowledge of Raul Diaz having or not having an affair with Nancy Lamazares, and that the only appropriate party to ask that question to is Raul Diaz or Nancy Lamazares, but not certainly as to whether Mr. Morales may have heard anything about any law

enforcement officer having an affair with a woman who has nothing to do with this case.

THE COURT: Well, of course, under ordinary circumstances, hearsay at a deposition is permissible since it is discovery.

MS. COHAN: Under normal circumstances, yes.

MR. WILLIAMS: Your Honor, what is the standing or the justification for the State's interposing itself in this kind of litigation where there is not some recognized privilege of which the State can avail itself?

MS. COHAN: To be perfectly candid, I am personally offended.

MR. WILLTAMS: Well, you know, unfortunately, that kind of thing can't guide us in the course of the performance of our professional duties or responsibilities.

I, myself, have, on several occasions, been outraged by things that police officers and prosectors have done in the courts of this county and on the streets of this county, but I don't get personally involved in it.

THE COURT: Here's what I am going to do.

I can understand Ms. Cohan's reservations about

the situation if someone is no longer in that relationship, if someone, in fact, is remarried, for example, and has no association with any of these particular parties, and the Court, if I did tell Mr. Morales to answer it, would, quite frankly, seal this portion of the deposition; at least seal the answer to that particular question.

I don't want to go ahead and put somebody in a position where a third party, although I don't know--he may have firsthand knowledge, he may have seen them together, she may have admitted to him that she did.

MS. COHAN: He has already testified he did not.

THE COURT: That he did not what?

MS. COHAN: See them together.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, what I cannot understand, I cannot understand the legal basis upon which some people, who are extremely significant participants in this situation, and in its development, in a manner that is substantially more far-reaching than normally comes into the courts of this county, are being given

MS. COHAN: That is incorrect.

MR. WILLIAMS: (Continuing) -- and is no

prerogatives and considerations by the prosecutor's office that under all those circumstances, wouldn't even be considered by the prosecutors or by the court.

THE COURT: For example?

MS. COHAN: Let's not "for example,"
Your Honor. I would ask for a specific.

I don't know Nancy Lamazares.

THE COURT: When I say "for example," I am asking for a specific.

MR. WILLIAMS: All right.

It is apparent that regardless of what the prosecutor says, the State's intention here is to shield Lieutenant Diaz, because nobody could give a hoot less about the life and times of Nancy Lamazares.

THE COURT: No, she says it's to shield Nancy Lamazares.

MR. WILLIAMS: Fine, Judge, and I am really touched and moved by that, but Nancy Lamazares is a woman whose name the prosecutor has never heard up until it came out at some point in the course of this case.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

different from any one of the million and a half other people who walk the streets of Dade County whose comings and goings are fair game when it comes to their being participants in one or another aspect of the situation that gets the attention of law enforcement in the courts, and for the prosecutor to say, all of a sudden, that the State is litigating this matter out of concern for the privacy of this person, who is a stranger, in effect, to these proceedings, when rape victims are allowed and required to testify to intimacies that occurred in their lives 15 years previously, simply because everybody thinks it's a fair thing to do, is, I think, a little bit of hypocracy.

MS. COHAN: May I respond, Your Honor, briefly?

THE COURT: Rather than do that, let me tell you what my inclination is, and this is the way I am going to rule.

I am going to require him to answer the question. However--

MS. COHAN: Can we get him in here for your ruling?

THE COURT: Yes, I will bring him in and

tell him what the ruling is.

I am going to require him to answer the question, but I am going to seal the answer.

If it becomes necessary or relevant during any hearing or further proceeding in court, upon showing that it is by the parties seeking to use that particular statement, then, the court, of course, will unseal it, but this accomplishes what you both want.

It accomplishes—it allows you, on your deposition, to discover material that you feel will show that the interrelationship of all these parties, and perhaps go to Mr. Morales' credibility, and at the same time, it affords the State the opportunity that, if this particular woman is, in fact, someone who is innocent, and in fact, may have had a relationship with any of these parties, that is not material at all to this case, and would also protect her at the same time.

MR. WILLIAMS: I assure you that I have no interest or intention in giving currency to any information or testimony that Morales might give that could bring embarrassment or discomfort to the woman, who I have never in my life met, and wouldn't know if she, by the way,

came up and kicked me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. COHAN: As I have previously indicated, Mr. Williams can subpoena Lieutenant Raul Diaz and ask him those questions, and then, at that time, we will see where we are.

I have no intention of shielding Lieutenant Diaz from a subpoena or from responding to any questions as I previously indicated during this conference.

THE COURT: Let's bring Mr. Morales in, and I will tell him what my ruling is going to be, and then, we'll go from there.

MS. COHAN: If this is going to be sealed, may I suggest that the answers be given down here, because in my office are Frank Castro, Raul Villaverde, Carlos Quesada, and Jose Marcos.

THE COURT: May I say, I can't help that. They are defendants and they are entitled to be present at any stage of the deposition.

> Including this portion? MS. COHAN:

THE COURT: Any portion of the deposition.

This is sealed from public review.

I cannot prevent defendants from being present at the taking of a deposition. They have the absolute right to be present.

I am sure they are not going to go outside and tell everybody in the street about it.

(Officer Puig and Ricardo Morales reentered the room.)

THE COURT: Okay.

Mr. Morales, the question that was read back, and Ms. Reporter, you will read it back at this time to Mr. Morales, so he will know what question I am talking about.

(Whereupon, the certified question was read into the record by the court reporter.)

THE COURT: You will answer that question.

The Court is ordering you, at this time, to

answer that question.

If you fail to answer that question, then, you will be in contempt of this particular court. Do you understand, sir?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MS. COHAN: Would you also tell him what provisions you have made for the answer?

THE COURT: Yes. Whatever the answers are to that particular question, the court is going to seal the answers. In other words, they will not be made available to the public, unless and if it is shown to the court later on in these

1 material and relevant to any public hearing; 2 by that, I mean, a courtroom hearing that may 3 take place. 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. Somewhere in--5 THE COURT: I don't want you to answer 6 the question in front of me. You will answer 7 it when you go back upstairs. 8 Is there anything that you want to tell me 9 at this time? 10 THE WITNESS: Well, I was going to answer 11 the question to you. 12 THE COURT: Don't answer in front of me. 13 They will take you upstairs, and you will 14 answer in front of them. 15 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, what you want me 16 to say that I was able to tell you? 17 THE COURT: No, I just want you to answer 18 that particular question. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. I will answer. 20 THE COURT: All right. Fine. 21 MR. WILLIAMS: May I be excused? 22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 (Off the record.) 25

proceedings, that these matters, in fact, are

2.

(The questions and answers which followed the above and which would otherwise appear here have been sealed by order of Court. The original transcript of these proceedings has been delivered to the Court under seal; and copies have been provided to the following counsel: Rina Cohan, Assistant State Attorney, Douglas L. Williams, Esquire, and Edward R. Carhart, Esquire.)

BY MR. WILLIAMS:

Q Let me just close out this segment here.

Ricky, you have answered the certain

questions that the court suggested that you answer.

Now, are the answers that you have given and which the court has ordered to be sealed, would those answers apply to the point in time at or in the vicinity of which you shot Eladio Ruiz?

Was that true back then?

- A It applies to my whole life.
- Q Here's the question: You just responded to certain questions that the court told you to answer by describing the existence of a situation; okay?

 You know what I am speaking of?
 - A. I'm going to make it shorter for you.
- Q Here's the question: The situation that you have just described exists at or about the time that

```
you shot and killed Eladio Ruiz?
1
               Yes.
2
               MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Let's get our
3
          schedule straight for the next couple of days.
4
                (Off the record.)
5
                (Whereupon, at five o'clock p.m., the
6
    deposition was recessed until Wednesday, April 7, 1982,
7
    at ten o'clock a.m.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF FLORIDA)
: SS.
COUNTY OF DADE)

I, JOYCEE WAX, Shorthand Reporter and Notary
Public in and for the State of Florida at Large,
do hereby certify that I reported the deposition of
RICARDO MORALES NAVARETTE, a witness herein; that said
witness was duly sworn by me; and that the foregoing
pages, numbered from 1 to 115, and 119, are a true
and correct record of the deposition given by said
witness.

I further certify that I am not an attorney or counsel of any of the parties; nor a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected with this action; nor financially interested in said cause.

WITNESS My hand and official seal at Miami,
Dade County, Florida, on this day of April 1982.

Myree Day