25

Α

	1
1	MR. AGUILAR: Judge, we don't have a copy of
2	yesterday's transcript.
3	THE COURT: Neither do I.
4	MR. TABAK: I have an extra one.
5	THE COURT: Will you give it to defense counsel.
6	MR. TABAK: Line 9.
7	Q (Continuing) "I do not have the privilege
8	or the honor of being Omar, and neither do I know who is
9	Omar."
10	So is it fair to say that you consider that
11	whoever is Omar would be proud and honored about being
12	Omar, the leader of Omega 7?
13	A Absolutely.
14	Q So if you were Omar, you would be proud to
15	admit that, wouldn't you?
16	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am going to object
17	to that question.
18	THE COURT: It is argumentative. Sustained.
19	Q Now, do you agree with Omar that the murder of
20	Felix Garcia was a good thing?
21	A I don't know if Omega 7 is responsible for that
22	execution.
23	Q Do you think that execution was a good thing?

thinking, it is right that he was executed.

If he is a Communist, according to my way of

1	Q And that is true, even though ig was in the
2	United States, and we have laws against it in the United
3	States?
4	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am going to object
5	to that.
6	THE COURT: Sustained. It is argumentative.
7	Q Mr. Arocena, do you think that the murder of
8	Jose Negrin was a good thing?
9	A Mr. Negrin is under the same circumstances.
10	Up until now, noobody knows who assassinated him. The
11	Government has blamed the group of Omega 7, but according
12	to the case of Negrin, Negrin is nowin Cuba, and he is
13	honored by the Cuban Government of Fidel.
14	Q And of course, his instructions were that he
15	wanted to buried in the United States, and his instruc-
16	tions were overriden?
17	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I will object again.
18	THE COURT: Well, in view of the answer I will
19	ask if the witness knows that.
20	The reporter will read the question, and the
21	witness may answer it, if he knows that.
22	The objection is overruled.
23	(Question read.)
24	THE COURT: Do you know that?
25	THE WITNESS: No.

1	Q You are not aware that his body was exhumed
2	after it was buried?
<b>3</b> ,	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I object. He has
4	answered the question.
5	THE COURT: He is asking another one, about
6	the knowledge of the witness.
7	A I don't know if his body was exhumed. I do
8	know that he is honored in Cuba, that a street was named
9	after him and he is considered a martyr of Cuba.
10	Q Therefore you think it was a good thing that
11	he was murdered in front of a 13-year-old boy?
12	MR. AGUILAR: I will object again.
13	THE COURT: It is argumentative. However, I
14	think the question can be asked in a manner that is not
15	objectionable.
16	Q Do you approve of the way he was murdered?
17	A I don't think that it should be carried out
18	that way, but I do think that if he was a Communist he
19	should have been executed anyway.
20	Q Is it your testimony that anybody that you
21	think is a Communist should be murdered in the United
22	States?
23	MR. AGUILAR: I am going to object to that
24	question.
25	THE COURT: Sustained. It is argumentative.

1	O Novi hogover was asset to tall the truth home
	Q Now, because you swore to tell the truth here,
2	you would tell the jury if you were Omar, wouldn't you?
3	A Yes.
4 -	Q And you would be proud; you would want your
5	family to hear it if you were in fact Omar, wouldn't you?
6	A Absolutely.
7	Q But of course you are not Omar?
8	A As I stated before, unfortunately I am not Omar
9	Q You have never been Omar?
10	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I will object again.
11	The man answered the question about four times already.
12	THE COURT: No, it was asked in a different way
13	I will allow it.
14	A I have never been Omar.
15	Q When Agent Mawn testified under oath that
16	you said you were Omar, Agent Mawn is lying; is that
17	right?
18	A He and all the others, yes.
19	Q And Agent Menapace also lied under oath; is
<b>2</b> 0	that correct?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And Agent Lyons also lied under oath?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And Agent Wack also lied under oath; is that
25	correct?

Α

	mps	Alocella - Closs
1	A	He more than anyone else.
2	Q	And Detective Brandt also lied under oath;
3	is that con	rect?
4	A	Yes. He is a great buddy of his.
5	Q	Agent Cannon also lied under oath; is that
6	correct?	
7	A	Yes, sir.
8	Q	And Agent Kiszynski also lied under oath?
9	A	Yes, sir. They are all interested in closing
10	the case.	
11	Q	And Agent Walzer also lied under oath?
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	And when Mr. Necuze testified under oath that
14	you were t	he head of Omega 7, he was also lying; is that
15	correct?	
16	А	Yes. God knows what they have done to him.
17	Q	And when Justo Rodriguez testified that you
18	were the h	ead of Omega 7, he was also lying; is that
19	correct?	
20	A	I have never seen a person in my whole life
21	as scared	as Mr. Justo Rodriguez. Yes, he was lying.
22	Q	And when Manny Fernandez testified that you
23	were the h	ead of Omega 7, he was also lying; is that
24	correct?	•
	1	

Yes. He more than anyone, because he had a

.

lot to gain in this and very little to lose.

Q What did he have to gain out of testifying?

A He could get out free and not pay for all of the crimes that he has done involving drugs and all of the rotten things he has done, but seems like it is impossible to believe that a country which persecutes people for drugs would use such types of individuals and would make agreements with such types of individuals for them to come in here and put on such a farce.

I can see that as far as a Federal agent goes,

I can see that as far as Justo Rodriguez or Necuze goes,

but a confessed criminal, a man who has a record so long

it wouldn't even fit in here, a man who has millions

and millions of dollars stashed away, an individual you

yourself know the connections he has, and you don't hesitate

at all in order to close this case, not only against

Eduardo Arocena, but all of the people who are in jail,

and that should make you sick. It doesn't make me sick,

because the only thing you can do to me is take away my

freedom.

You are the ones in the long run who are going to lose. I am not going to lose. So you can go on with this farce.

Q In other words, this trial is a farce?

A Concerning these, yes. With all respect for

the Judge and the jury, what you have done for ten years in order to try to cover up what you have not been able to do -- that is the farce that exists here.

Q Now, who from the United States Government forced Mr. Fernandez becomeyour friend?

A Government agencies.

Q I see. And what Government agency caused you to let Mr. Fernandez into your house to make those phone calls that day?

A I had explained clearly how that happened, and the record shows clearly that it was only one single telephone call, and the man used the opportunity, apart from -- aside from my will. While I was conversing with my wife in the room, without my knowledge, he made the phone call, phone calls which later on you took a very convenient advantage of, with a series of situations that happened later.

Gentlemen, you have had a lot of time to prepare all this. You can surprise anybody else, but you can't surprise me. I know what your game is. I know what the whole purpose of all this.

Q Why don't you tell us what the purpose is?

A The purpose of all of this? To set Eduardo

Arocena up as a cornerstone and as a scapegoat, to cause

the destruction of the Cuban fighters in exile. Then

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

little by little knock the heads off of all of those who are in exile, the way they've been doing for the past ten years, where our best men are now in prison, and because you need that all of the Cuban fighters be behind bars so that you can guarantee the tyrant a free 6 entry to the United States.

> That is the pact that you signed with Cuba. That is what this game's all about, to put all of those Cubans who want freedom, to destroy us in one way or another, to arrest us, to destroy us, no matter how, so that you quarantee Fidel an entrance into this country and to prepare conditions for going back to a dialogue with him and open future relations with Fidel Castro.

But remember that you are digging your own grave.

And who is leading this operation? President Reagan?

Α You know very well that President Reagan has nothing to do with it, that this country is divided into two powerful forces, conservative and the left, and unfortunately the left is the one that's got the power. They are called liberals. They just hide behind that They are working for the destruction of this country, and they are not those liberals that work on different strata. They are confessed Communists.

are simply working for the destruction of the United States and for the destruction of the free world, so that communism will reign in the world, and you know that.

And that is the reason we have always been trying to find out who you are, whether you are proCommunist on the left or whether you are pro-conservative on the right, in other words, who is interested in preserving the democracy in the world and who are fighting against it.

So there is a line which is set there, and everyone who realizes will realize who is on which side.

I don't have to sign myself. Do you?

Q Why don't you tell the jury who the Communists are who are in charge of ordering this operation against you?

A Which operation are you referring to?

Q What you have just testified about.

A I am not authorized to give such an exact description, but I don't hold the reins of power in this country, and if you were to ask me to cite names, you would bring Fidel Castro here. You would bring the leaders of the Soviet Union. You would bring here the leaders of Nicaragua, and you would bring the Ayatolla here. You would bring Kadafi here. You would bring

Arafat here.

Those are your enemies, and they are the enemies of this country. Those are the ones who are plotting for the destruction of this country and of the free world.

Do you want any more names? I cannot go into naming internal things of the United States.

I don't have that ability. Nor do I have to mention that. If it were like that, I wouldn't have to be sitting here.

Remember that I am victim and that I do not speak English well. I don't have a cent, and I don't even have a place to drop dead.

I have been sacrificing my family for twentyfive years just for being simply and plainly allied to
the United States and allied to democracy and because I
swore that loyalty to the struggle against communism
and to the freedeom of my country, which precisely is
under the yoke of communism, and it has been invaded by
the Soviet Union, the same ones that have invaded
Afghanistan, the same terrorists who blew up the Korean
airliner, the part of the same group of terrorists who
killed your men in Beyrut, the same ones who killed the
men in the compound in Beyrut, and the same ones who
have been killing your ambassadors all around the world.

But you ddon't persecure these people, no, because you are afraid of them. You are not going to ask Fidel to render accounts. You are not going to ask the Soviet Union to render accounts. You are going to ask Omega 7, and you have the nerve to go out and fall on them, no matter where they are, and you have the force of hitting them, even when they have been taking care of you, without asking you for any help whatsoever. All they have been doing is doing their duty and being considered enemies of this country and enemies of our country.

And I want you to know something, and they will go on being enemies and go on fighting no matter where they are, and without my being Omar, without my being a member of Omega 7, I can guarantee you, they will still go on having communism where it really hurts them.

Neither you nor anyone can avoid that, because the struggle will continue. It doesn't matter who falls. The struggle will continue, and my country will be free, because we have an agreement — there are nine million brothers who are in prison in Cuba, so that, as you can see, I am nobody. In other words, if you think that for your justification I am worth something, finish with this, but I've got a clear conscience. I have fulfilled my duty up to this point. I have done nothing wich hurts me,

2	2	7	O
Z	Z	1	О

Arocena - cross

mps

ET5

and my conscience is clear. I owe only to my children. They are the only ones that I have sacrified and my good wife, and I have kept her through a very violent situation apart from all this, but she has had to understand that that is the way things are, and if I have to go down I will go down. That is the sacrifice I have to make, now and always.

(Continued on the next page.)

Q Since you have no connection with Omega 7, how are you able to guarantee us that Omega 7 is going to continue?

A Knowing as I do how the system of compatriots in exile functions, knowing what their ideas and their interestedand their ideals are, I am sure that they will go on with the struggle, at any rate, they and all of the other groups. I am just observing the situation that exists and you will be surprised at what is happening, because indeed they, like all of the others of us that are in Cuban exile, we are surprised that under Reagan's Administration, we thought that the line was going to be a little bit more violent against Communism. Unfortunately, it has been just the opposite, and I am referring to the anti-Communist Cubans. Maybe in other aspects it is different, but in this aspect we have quite a lot of examples.

Unfortunately, it is true. Nothing is further from my mind than to attack this administration, which I admire, because I do admire President Reagan. He is a great President. Unfortunately, he is alone.

Q Since you say you have no connectionwith

Omega 7, can you explain to the jury why there have been
no Omega 7 bombings since you have been arrested?

MR. AGUILAR: Objection. I move to strike it

and move for a mistrial, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled. Do you know whether or not there have been any incidents credited to Omega 7, such as bombings, since you were arrested?

A Well, let me explain something to you.

Since I have been in prison, in the hole, I do not have any access to the outside. One of the punishments to which I am submitted is that of not having access to the press.

I am very limited in that aspect. I have heard rumors that there have been things on the outside, but I am not sure about it.

As I said before -- but I am sure the groups are observing what is happening, and I don't blame them. I don't blame Omega 7 or any of the other clandestine groups, because with the tactics of division which the United States is developing, it has been terrible, the division which is created on the outside, and I am sure that each group is analyzing the security of its members and looking into the truth of what exists and looking to see what kind of a role this Administration is going to take.

Q You just testified that your access to the press has been limited.

A Yes, sir.

Q Isn't it a fact that at your request you have

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

been interviewed by numerous TV stations and newspapers while you have been in prison?

It has been limited in this aspect, that they have come to ask me, and one must remember that it was only on one occasion, and they came to ask questions, not to give me information. On the contrary, some of them were sent by the government and many of those statementswere distorted, according to what I heard, according to what was told to me later on.

Then there still exists the fact that I do not have any access to the outside, so much so that the Administration doesn't even allow me to receive newspapers and when my family has sent books to me, the Administration has held them back for more than two months from me, and I have copies of where there is an order at the MCC where all of my mail is being supervised, it is being checked and it is being analyzed.

Once that happens, after a rather long period of time has passed, if they consider that it is all right, they pass it in to me.

My wife is here and she has sent me a group of magazines, she sent me Time, Newsweek, a lot of magazines and newspapers from Miami. I never received any of them. My mother is here, she sent the same thing to me and I have never received them.

1	The only thing I have received have been
2	clips from newspapers and three-fourths of them are
3	unfavorable to me, but I have let my family know that
4	anything that comes out about me regarding my case, I want
5	them to send to me, because I need it in order to
6	analyze it, to know what the situation is on the outside
7	regarding me, since at the present time I am away from
8	everything.
9	The other day here they decided they were
10	going to fix the telephone and to this very day I am still
11	not able to talk on the phone. Why are we going to go
12	into that?
13	Q Mr. Arocena, in what language did you speak
14	to Agent Wack?
15	A At that time, in my poor English.
16	Q That of course is your voice on all the tapes
17	that were played to the jury, with Mr. Wack, is that
18	right?
19	A Those tapes have been tampered with by the
20	government.
21	Q In which part of those tapes are you
22	actually talking to Mr. Wack?
23	A Let me tell you that I have only heard those
24	tapes on two occasions, because when I was at the MCC,
25	I demanded that the government turn my papers over to me

rmjah 5 Arocena-cross

and for them to turn over the tapes of mine that
were mine, and I had to appeal to the judge so that they
would be handed over to me. After a lot of trouble, they
finally turned them over to me, but it had to be under
a consent, they would give me a certain amount of tapes
and then I had to return them immediately.

In a few words, I didn't have time to check them. When they turned over these famous interview tapes to me, I was able to hear them just one time and the lady that was in charge of that was immediately asking me to give them back to her. It is also good to remember that at that time, after having been in jail for ten months, the government brought me everything, or at least part of it, an enormous amount, about this size (indicating) --

MR. TABAK: May the record reflect that he indicated about four feet off the ground?

THE COURT: Yes.

A (Continuing) And two months before the trial, with the good intention of filling my head, so that I would spend 24 hours a day just soaking up all that material -- in other words, they wanted to drive me crazy, which is what they have been doing all this time.

Q Isn't it the fact that those conversations occurred exactly as they were played to this jury?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 No, sir, and I deny those conversations.

> Which parts of those conversations occurred as they were played to the jury?

I don't know, because many of the conversations I had with Mr. Wack are missing. I don't remember hearing on the tape when I asked him why he was so interested in persecuting anti-Communists and why he wasn't interested in the Communist terrorists in the United States, nor about people who were negotiating with drugs from Cuba, and they have quite a bit of knowledge about that.

None of that appears there, nor does there appear there when I told Mr. N ack about stopping bothering my family and for him to stop bothering me and to stop going to visit my friends to try to get me to give myself up, or telling them that I was cooperating with the government, which was not true. 3 ut that was part of their game, that and many other things which escape my mind at this moment.

THE COURT: Mr. Arocena, is the voice that you heard on the tapes of the man who was talking with Agent Wack your voice?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, at times it seems like my voice. I cannot assure you. It seems to be my voice, but I cannot be sure.

	rmjah 7 Arocena-cross
1	Q Isn't it the fact that you told Agent Wack
2	about Manny Fernandez?
3	A I think that Mr. Wack learned from Manny
4	Fernandez and not from Eduardo Arocena he knows that
5	he learned from Mr. Fernandez through other agents
6	from Miami
7	Q Isn't it the fact that
8	MR. AGUILAR: I object to this tone of voice
9	he is using right now.
10	THE COURT: Counsel, please be seated.
11	Let me suggest, Mr. Tabak, you start your
12	questions again in a normal tone of voice.
13	Q Isn't it the fact that you spoke with
14	Agent Wack about Manny Fernandez?
15	THE COURT: Yes or no.
16	A In part, yes.
17	Q And the tapes that were played to the jury
18	were an accurate reproduction of your discussion with
19	Mr. Wack, weren't they?
20	THE COURT: Yes or no.
21	A No.
22	Q What was inaccurate about them?
23	A I would have to hear them again.
24	Q What do you remember telling Agent Wack about
25	Manny Fernandez?

0

1	A Sir, I might have a very fresh memory if I
2	had not been submitted to the abuse which you have held
3	me under for the last 14 months. Don't forget, under
4	your direction they are still putting drugs in my food.
5	My mind is being destroyed. They are trying to erase from m
6	many things, because you don't want me to tell things here
7	the way they are. I have tried to go along with things
8	the way they are. Unfortunately, I do not remember exactly
9	certain things, and I think that you are even taping
0	all of the conversations that I have with my family at the
1	MCC, and you know when my daughter says to me, "What did
2	you eat, daddy?" and I had just eaten two moments ago,
3	I don't remember what I ate.
4	You know what you are doing with me. Don't
5	ask me, then, to try to remember things, because I don't
6	know exactly. I remember what I see, but you know
7	very well what you are doing with me.

Now, you remember --Q

Look for the tapes yourself. I don't have to lie to you. I don't care what you do to me. I am finished. You can't make me do anythingmore.

You remember very clearly events that happened 20 years ago in Cuba, correct?

I think that you have observed things clearly and you will notice many hesitations in my conversations.

İ	- · • • · · ·
1	Unfortunately, my memory is failing me a lot. And I
2	am quite young for my memory to be failing me. There are
3	very exact things that sometimes remain in the mind.
4	It is a simple state, without having to go into it very
5	deeply, but if you go into details of other situations,
6	sometimes it is more difficult.
7	Q Mr. Arocena, during the 14 months that you
8	have been up here in New York, approximately how many
9	times have you been brought before one or another federal
10	judge here?
11	A The same judge or different judges?
12	Q Altogether, all the judges.
13	A I think three or four judges.
14	Q How many times altogether have you appeared
15	before a federal judge in New York in the last year?
16	THE COURT: Up to the beginning of his trial?
17	MR. TABAK: Yes.
18	A Well, when they brought me from Miami up
19	here in order to take me to Otisville, when the bail,
20	when I had the other judge, who was before Judge Ward.
21	I don't remember exactly.
22	Q And you have appeared before Judge Ward on
23	numerous occasions before the trial started, correct?
24	A I think that was when the bail

THE COURT: No. He asked you if you have

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to finish, if he has not finished, your Honor.

THE COURT: He asked if Mr. Arocena had complained about the phone being out of order, and he did, right? MR. AGUILAR: But maybe that was not his only

complaints before the court.

THE COURT: But he didn't ask that. If you want to, or Mr. Fernandez, on redirect, you may.

MR. AGUILAR: Thank you, your Honor.

Q Would you explain why during all these
sessions you never complained before today that you were
being drugged?

A In the first place, the only judge that I have complained to about my condition and the only judge to whom I brought all my problems, is Judge Ward. He has been the only one that I have to thank, because he signed an order so that they would permit me to see my family and so that I could speak to my lawyer, because you well know that when I was in Otisville, you gave the order so that I would be able to speak to my family only once a month, and twice a month with my lawyer, designed by you.

I was deprived of all that and you know that up there I was submitted to this drug treatment and you know that I was stuck in a hole up there and you know that you had me incommunicado up there and you know that you were manipulating my situation on the outside and that you are seeing everybody, making everybody believe that I was cooperating, making them believe that I was one of yours so that you could get confessions out of other companions, and that is the reason you never allowed the press to go up there and visit me and you know that there were many times that I asked to see the press so that I would be able to speak to them, to tell them about all the abuse you were committing against me and all the

0

violations you were doing against me, and why I had to wait for five months before I was even charged and why you were submitting my family to the abuses you were submitting them to, that I was deprived of their visit and every time that they went to visit me, you kept them waiting two or three hours just because you wanted to, where you put them through about 20 different abuses.

A hen I came from the prison to the outside and it was cold and it was snowing, they would take me out with handcuffs on, without a coat and with tennis shoes, while the officials were wearing their boots and their heavy jackets and their good gloves.

I never complained. Why? A ecause one of them said, "You are tough, you really don't have to complain."

I said, "I am not complaining," and I just bit down and
I kept on going, because I know you wanted to break me and
I was really giving it to you and you know all of the
things you did to me in this institution, where all of
these rumors started going around that I was cooperating
with you, where they put the name "John Smith" on me,
when they put it on the door and where they looked for
guards to start pointing their finger at me, and whenever
they moved me they had to hide everybody, but always
someone saw things. You always made it so that somebody
was always there to see me so that they would say, "There

22

23

24

25

goes the snitch. He's the rat. He's the one that is testifying," so that I would be afraid. But you made a mistake, because I challenge you. I am a man and I am not afraid of any man and if I didn't show it then, Iam showing it now, yes, sir. I brought all those problems here, but no one ever listened to me. You were always trying to keep things hidden. You, Mr. District Attorney, have a great deal of power and you have used that great power of yours. You even went to see friends of mine -not you personally, but you sent your people and you sowed fear among my people and you sowed terror among my family because you wanted to finish this case, because that is your career, as it is the career of a lot of others, and it is the justification for your salary, and their salary as well, and indeed, remember the day they went there to present the bail, the first day that Lyons came in to testify, and when you told me either I testified in favor of you or you were going to publish openly that I had helped you, that I would appear as a government witness, that I had talked to the government, that I had \_squealed on everybody. Either I cooperated with you or you were going to publish it, all of it, and I told you through Walpin, who was my lawyer at that time, to go ahead, that I was ready to hear everything, that I was not afraid, and on the following occasion, Walpin

with the government, that you were going to take off this

halo of revolutionary that I had, and I told him to take

Q You made a gesture with your hand instead of finishing the answer, is that correct?

that halo and you could (indicating).

A For you to shove it wherever it might fit.

THE COURT: We will take our luncheon recess
at this time, ladies and gentlemen.

Please do not discuss the case among yourselves and continue to keep an open mind.

I would ask the audience please not to discuss the case in the corridor, since the jurors will be leaving for lunch. Enjoy your lunch. One hour, 2:15.

(Luncheon recess)

Т6

0

which resulted in an answer that the witness didn't have

23

24

THE COURT: Let me put it to you this way:

The question was replaced by another question,

access to the media, and he didn't know about the matter.

So there isn't anything in the record which is prejudicial,

since a question which is not thereafter answered does

not constitute evidence.

If you wish, I will tell that to the jury.

MR. AGUILAR: Yes, your Honor. We would appreciate it if he would do that.

THE COURT: Mr. Tabak, if you wish to respond to Mr. Aguilar's statement, that your question did not have any support in this case, you may do so.

MR. TABAK: Your Honor, I am not aware of any rule which says that if there is a good-faith basis for a question, the matter has to be already in evidence.

My recollection is that Mr. Necuze testified that Omega 7 was destroyed, and Mr. Rodriguez testified that the last Omega 7 action was in January of 1973, shortly before he called up Agent Wack and told him he had done it.

However, having heard the Court's views on the subject, I will not explore that area any further.

THE COURT: When the jury comes in, I will instruct them briefly that questions that are not answered do not constitute in themselves evidence.

(Jury present.)

THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

Ladies and gentlemen, just on matter that I want to mention to you in the for of an instruction.

From time to time during the trial questions are asked and no answer is given. It may be that the question is not pursued or there is no answer or there is an objection which is sustained.

I would instruct you that you are not to draw any inference from an unanswered question. Just put it out of your mind and concentrate ont he questions which are asked to which there is no objection or the objection is overruled and the question is responded to.

We will now resume with the cross-examination

of Mr. Arocena.

resumed.

EDUARDO VICTOR AROCENA PEREZ,

CROSS EXAMINATION (Continuing)

BY MR. TABAK:

0

Q Mr. Arocena, is it a fact that the reason you never before today mentioned to the Judge about being drugged or kidnaped is that you just made it up?

A I never had the opportunity before.

Q Well, you have had the opportunity to complain that your phone was not working; right?

A I also communicated the fact of all the problems

that I had in following the record.

Q But the fact that you were drugged was not sufficiently important to raise with the Court?

A It always was important for me, because it has affected my life. It affected everything around me, and especially it affected my case.

Q But the fact is that until today you never mentioned it to any Judge in New York; is that correct?

A No one has ever given me the opportunity to listen to me until today.

Q Judge Ward never asked you if you had any other complaints to make about your treatment?

A On the contrary. Judge Ward has always been very concerned about my conditions, and he is a witness to the fact that I have brought here a series of problems and complaints, and, as I said before, he took it into his own hands to resolve the fact of giving an order so that I could speak to my lawyers, and that finally my conditions improved.

Unfortunately, one thing is here and another thing is there, where you control things.

If you want to go on listening upon that matter, fine with me.

Q It is correct, however, that you have never mentioned to Judge Ward that you have been drugged in

1 prison?

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I object. The question has been asked three times.

THE COURT: It has been asked. I am not sure it has been answered.

Read the question.

(Question read.)

A If I remember correctly, when I was first arrested, when I was transferred to New York, my lawyer, Dr. Carnesolta, when she came here to New York to see me, she noticed the state or the condition in which I was, and she put out a statement regarding the condition I was in. She did it publicly, and she gave proof of it, and my lawyer has a tape regarding that. Do you want to hear it?

Q I would prefer that you answer the question I asked, which is:

Did you ever inform Judge Ward that you have been drugged at the MCC?

A It is probable that I told the Judge before about the conditions in which I found myself, conditions about which I was not exactly aware of what they were doing to me, conditions which it was difficult for me to realize what they were doing until I realized what the tactics were and I tried to avoid it the best I could.

That is the reason I am here, because I have

seen those that you have driven crazy, that you have destroyed, and I met them at Otisville, and I saw them here in the MCC a few weeks ago, where they were completely out of their minds, and they were even under treatment as though they were crazy.

You know that those are the tactics that you use in prison when you want to hurt a person, when you want to break a person. It is true that I complained on different occasions about my situation, but since so many violations were piling up one on the other I imagine that they were just not noticed.

Of course, you have tried to avoid or you have avoided that everything might be known here publicly. Remember, you are the one that had the control over me. You are the one that gave the orders, no one else, so what are you complaining about?

- Q Mr. Arocena, before today did you ever tell

  Judge Ward that you were being drugged by the Government?
  - A I don't remember.
- \_\_\_\_Q\_\_\_Isn't it a fact you remember very well that you never did tell him before today?
  - A I would have to look in the record to see.
- Q Isn't it a fact that the only reason you are giving this story is that you want to make it impossible for you to ever be a Government witness against anybody

1 else?

A In the first place, I don't have to make up any stories. You try to do it for me. I haven't invented anything. You know that is true.

You are the the ones that's trying to save yourself, not I.

Q Now, sir, you have testified you have been held in a hole. Will you tell the jury how deep that hole is?

A The hole is the name for the cell in which I was held. It is about eight or ten feet long, about three -- five feet wide, with bars, and the window which looks out into a dark area, and I am locked in there twenty-three hours a day. Many times I am in there twenty-four hours a day.

When I was in Otisville, they would take me out or try to take me out once a day when it was snowing, and they would ask me if I wanted to go outside. If it was raining, they would ask me if I wanted to go outside. When the temperature was too low, below zero, and I didn't have a coat or shoes and they told me that I could have my recreation outside, and I asked them if they wanted me to get sick. They said no. And if I refused, that I perhaps wouldn't get out of the hole.

The hole is a place from which you cannot get

ET7

out when you want to. You depend on the guards.

If you need anything from them you have to kick on the door for a long time so that they will come and attend to you. In my case, no one ever came, and when they did come they didn't pay any attention to me, and for that reason I was a forgotten prisoner.

Would you like to know something more about the internal conditions?

(Continued on the next page.)

Q Well, when you say nobody came, in other words you were starved, you were never given any meals, is that correct?

A Now that you are speaking about starving me, yes, they are killing me. At that timel with my medical papers in the clinic, the ones that you give out, you knew about my condition regarding diabetes, and my sugar.

It was a slight case, but you never took that into consideration and they kept on giving me the food from there, with a lot of sugar and a lot of fat, and they never took into consideration checking me out.

I was there about a year, almost 11 months -excuse me, 13 months, I mean -- so that they would remove
a tooth, that I got pulled recently. From the moment I
arrived at Otisville, the same thing happened with
my eye examination. I have been for an examination for
7 or 8 months.

Going back to the diet which we were supposed to get, they never did any kind of blood exams on me until I came here to the court and began to start complaining, and I made a complaint over there and one of the doctors became interested in my case and when I started to faint in their presence, then they got scared, you got scared, because you were afraid I was going to die and then you didn't have anybody to blame for all this

•

and all of your case was just going to fall down.

What happened then? They started giving me a diet, a diet that is not even worth talking about, because the fact is that rather than bringit down, it started to go up, and I have always been a healthy type person, never had any type of vices, I have never smoked or drank, I have never taken drugs. I have always had very strong health and my clinical record is at my job and I always had perfect health and never had any medical problem.

What happened? Thank to you, my health is not worth two cents. Not long ago I had problems with blood pressure and I don't know how it is. The problem with the sugar, I don't even know how that is. Have you ever bothered to do any kind of analysis on me?

Every day they ask me, "How are you?" And I say, "I am fine. When are you going to do the tests on me?" They say, "One of these days we will do them.""

You are very, very worried about my health. I am still waiting.

Q Your answer is yes, youhave gotten three meals a day and your medical situation has been looked after to some extent by one of the doctors in the jail?

MR. AGUILAR: Objection. That is not his answer.

1	
1	THE COURT: He can asy it is not his answer,
2	if it isn't his answer. I will allow the question.
3	A If you call that receiving medical attention
4	and receiving food, well then I received it.
5	Q You testified yesterday that you were subpoenaed
6	to the grand jury in September, 1982 and you testified
7	that you were thereby forced to go to the grand jury.
8	Now, of course, you remember that you were not
9	forced to answer any questions, correct?
10	A $N$ ell, I had to answer the questions that they
11	asked me.
12	Q Isn't it a fact, sir, that as the testimony
13	in the grand jury was read in this trial, that you were
14	fully advised of your Fifth Amendment rights to refuse
15	to answer questions and to stop answering at any time, if
16	you wished to?
17	A Yes, sir.
18	Q So, in other words, you were not forced to
19	answer any questions in the grand jury?
20	A No, I just answered the questions that you
21	asked me.
22	Q And you knew very well that Pedro Remon and the
23	other people were all taking the Fifth Amendment and
24	refusing to answer questions?
25	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I will object to that

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

**2**0

21

22

23

2425

A Yes, sir.

Q Sir, if telling a falsehood would help the anti-Castro cause, would a person be morally justified in telling a falsehood?

1	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am going to
2	object as to
3	THE COURT: Sustained, about a person, at
4	least. You may wish to rephrase it to make it more
5	direct.
6	Q Mr. Arocena, if you felt that by telling a
7	falsehood that you could help the anti-Cratro cause, would
8	you feel morally justified in telling the falsehood?
9	A I would always tell the truth.
10	Q Even if it would hurt the anti-Castro cause?
11	A Yes.
12	Q So therefore you told the whole truth in the
13	grand jury?
14	A What I considered at that time, yes.
15	Q Just as you are telling the whole truth here
16	today and yesterday?
17	A Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
18	Q You testified yesterday that when you were
19	asked in the grand jury with Alejandro Medina that you
<b>_20</b>	did_not_fully_understand_the_question, you also said
21	that you realized at lunchtime that you might have given
22	an incorrect answer but that you had no opportunity to
23	correct your testimony when you returned to the grand
24	jury after lunch. Is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

1	Q I am going to read to you lines 15 and 16 from	
2	the morning sessions of the grand jury, page 41 of	
3	Exhibit 10-A, Government's Exhibit 10-A:	
4	"Q Have you ever used the name 'A. Medina'?	
5	"A No."	
6	$\ell$ ould you tell the jury what was confusing	
7	about that question?	
8	A At that time?	
9	Q Yes.	
10	A I tried to protect the identity of the	
11	document.	
12	Q So, therefore, since you felt that telling	
13	the truth would hurt the anti-Castro cause, you didn't	
14	tell the truth?	
15	A On the contrary, what I did not want to do	
16	was to hurt the American Government. That was the reason	
17	why you did not allow me to rectify. Perhaps if you had	
18	listened to me, you wouldn't have gone on with the	
19	questions and you would have learned what Alejandro Medina	
20	wanted to say and the meaning of this particular	
21	document.	
22	Q So you did not tell the truth that day and you	
23	knew you were not telling the truth, correct?	
24	A You practically cornered me with that	
25	question, and when I tried to go back to it, you cut me off	

rmjah 7

right away and you kept on going, as if to say, "Now we got you," and I sincerely didn't think that I would have that kind of problem.

- Q And of course after lunch you didn't have a chance to correct your answer?
  - A No. We are speaking about after lunch.
  - Q I just read you the question before lunch.
- A No, but when the question was asked of me before lunch, I did not realize the depth of the words.
- Q But you have testified that after lunch, even though you realized you had a problem that you were not given an opportunity to correct your testimony?
  - A Afterwards, no.
- Q I am not going to read to you from

  Government's Exhibit 10-B, which is the afternoon transcript

  in the grand jury on September 2, 1982. I am going to

  start at page 17, the last line:
- "Q Now, you will recall this morning I asked you whether you had ever used a name 'A. Medina.'
  - "A Yes.
- "Q And do you recall at that time you said you had never used that name?
- A Yes." Then the transcript goes on,

  Mr. Tabak saying: "I am going to ask the court reporter
  to mark as Exhibit 7 a photocopy of an Eastern Airlines

"A I have no doubts."

"A

"Q

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And you have no doubt about that?

Yes, that's correct.

1	Now, Mr. Arocena, isn't it a fact that
2	you had plenty of opportunity to correct that testimony
3	in the grand jury?
4	A When one looks at it from your point of view,
5	yes.
6	Q Is there any other point of view?
7	A Trying to protect the identity of the
8	government, no.
9	Q So, in other words, you did not tell the truth
10	in the grand jury even though you were under oath?
11	A Honestly, in that case, no.
12	Q Was that the only case?
13	A Apparently, yes.
14	Q Of course your testimony before this jury at
15	this trial has been completely truthful?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Yesterday you testified that in the late
18	1960!s you went to Miami for two months for training.
19	Do you recall that testimony?
20	A Yes, I know you are referring to the fact
21	that I was also trained in demolition and et cetera.
22	Q And you testified yesterday that you were
23	instructed in explosives and in how to make bombs?
24	A That was part of the training.
25	Q And you also testified yesterday that you were

"A No."

23

24

25

Now, isn't it a fact that those were not truthful answers that you gave to the grand jury?

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

20

19

"Q Have you ever met with anybody from Argentina"

-- then the interpreter asked, "Anybody from Argentina?"

And I said, "Right."

21 22

A Yes, I did meet somebody from Argentina.

23

And who is that?

2425

A Guillermo Lemons.

You testified that in 1968 or 1969, you were

Q

	rmjah 14 Arocena-cross
1	2314 given the identity "Alejandro Medina" and you testified
2	that you were given a driver's license and other identifica-
3	tion papers in 1968 or 1969. But isn't it in fact as
4	Government's Exhibit l clearly showed you did not apply
5	for an Alejandro Medina driver's license until 1981?
6	A That is another one.
7	
8	(Continued on next page)
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
_ <b>2</b> 0	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Т8

mps

1	Q Now, you testified yesterday that you never
2	stopped being an anti-Castro fighter.
3	A Yes, sir.
4	Q And you also testified that when your current
5	wife, Miriam, was eight months pregnant you left her
6	because in your words when one's fatherland calls, one
7	has to leave everything.
8	A Yes, sir.
9	Q Now, during your eighteen years in the United
10	States, what have you done to fight Castro?
11	A Are you going to give this information to
12	Castro?
13	Q I am just asking you a question.
14	A I have helped within my possibilities.
15	Q And indeed you have made bombs; is that right?
16	A No, sir. They are all legal actions.
17	Q Now, Mr. Justo Rodriguez and Mr. Necuze were
18	lying?
19	A They know what they are doing.
20	Q Were they lying when they testified?
21	A They surely were.
22	Q Now, can you explain to thejury why if you
23	have never made a bomb in the United States, all those
24	bomb components were found in your apartment?
25	A Because you all put them there, because you

have the key to the place, because Necuze worked for you, 1 because Necuze knew where I was, because he told you where 2 I was, and you had more than enough time to get to that 3 place and put the things there, because the Government 4 says that they caught me the night before, but that was a 5 lie, because they had been surveilling for more than a 6 month, and you know that is the way it was, and you knew 7 8 that the mini warehouse belonged to Necuze and he gave 9 everything to you, and they blame me for everthing. 10 He is the one who is working for you. I don't blame Necuze. That crumb did the best he could 11 12 to try to save his own neck. 13 So in other words, he has ten years for saving 14 his own neck? 15 Do you forget that you offered the same thing Α to me on many occasions and I told you no at that time, 16 17 up until a few weeks ago, and my answer was always no. 18 Isn't it a fact that you were never offered 0 19 anything remotely approaching ten years' exposure? You did offer that. 20 No, sir. 21 offered me immunity. 22 THE INTERPRETER: I am sorry. I am going 23 to have to go back over that. 24 (Continuing) You have a paper where you were Α

sure that I was going to cooperate with you, and you were

ET9

1	going to offer me immunity so I would testify, where I
2	was not going to be accused of anything, the same thing
3	you offered me, and if my lawyer looks he will find it.
4 .	Q Isn't it a fact that the best deal you were
5	offered if you would cooperate was the whole indictment
6	except you wouldn't have to take the drug count and the
7	first degree murder count?
8	A No. It's not that way, and it's not true.
9	THE WITNESS: Why doesn't he allow me to talk
10	to my lawyer for a moment, and we will look for that docu-
11	ment?
12	MR. TABAK: I have no objection to that.
13	THE COURT: Certainly.
14	We will take a brief recess.
15	Ladies and gentlemen, please keep an open mind,
16	and do not discuss the case.
17	(Recess.)
18	
19	(Continued on the next page.)
<b>2</b> 0	
21	
22	
23	
24	·

Т10	rmjah l	Arocena-cross 2318
1	(	Jury present)
2	<u>-</u>	THE COURT: Mr. Arocena.
3		You may proceed, Mr. Tabak.
4	r	IR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor.
5	3 Y MP. TABAK	:
6	Q 1	Did you find the document you were looking for?
7	Α	Wes, Infound here a copy of the document.
8	Ω :	This is a document marked 3502-S.
9	A	res, sir.
10	Q	And this document was produced to you by the
11	government during the discovery in this case, is th	
12	correct?	
13	A	Yes, sir.
14	Q	I see signatures of Janet Reno, State Attorney
15	from Florida	, on one letter, and Frederick Mann,
16	Assistant Un	ited States Attorney in Florida, on the other
17	letter?	
18	A	Yes, sir.
19	Q	Do you see my signature anywhere?
20	A	No, sir.
<b>21</b> -	Q	Am I mentioned anywhere in there?
22	A	Your name? No. 3 ut the case, yes.
23	Q	Is either of those letters on the letterhead
24	of the Unite	d States Attorney's office for the Southern
25	District of	New York?

- A No, but it does mention the people who are tetifying against me.
- Q Now, of course, the agreements regarding
  Mr. Necuse, Mr. Gonzalez and Mr. Rodriguez all were on
  the letterhead of the United States Attorney's office
  for the Southern District of New York?
  - A Yes, sir.
- Q. You testified just before the break that Mr. Necuze had led the FBI to you?
- A Yes, but I have not finished with this document.

  I would like to go back to this document.
- Q I think when your attorney questions you on redirect, he can go into that.

Of course Mr. Necuze did not testify that he had been cooperating with the United States Government before you were arrested.

- A Could you repeat the question, please?
- Q Of course, Mr. Necuse did not testify that he cooperated with the United States Government before you were arrested?
- A I don't have any surety about that. I know that he was cooperating with them before that.
  - Q And how do you know that, sir?
- A Accause I have been told that they had relations with the officials in Miami, that he went out to lunch with

1	the agents of the FBI in Miami and that he was on quite
2	intimate terms with them, and at that time he started
3	turning over documents, my documents, to the FBI.
4	Q And where did you learn that, sir?
5	A In Miami.
6	Q Nho told you that?
7	A Gonzalez told it to me.
8	Q When did Mr. Gonzalez tell you that?
9	A Nhen I was fleeing.
10	Q And where is Mr. Gonzalez today?
11	A He is outside of here. I don't know where
12	he is.
13	Q Do you know what his legal status is?
14	A We call it underground status.
15	Q Isn't it a fact that the law calls it being a
16	fugitive?
17	A It seems so.
18	Q Isn't it a fact that Mr. Gonzalez publicly
19	pleaded guilty in Miami to 15 years' exposure to Omega 7
20	crimes before he took off?
21	THE COURT: Please read the question back in
22	phrases to the interpreter.
23	(Question read)

A He also sent letters to the newspaper explaining what the situation was at that time.

24

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. — 791-1020

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, may we have a side

here.

(Pause)

bar at this time?

22

23

24

1	THE COURT: Let's see what he is going to do.
2	I hand you a document filed on September 4,
3	1984, Mr. Tabak. If that is what you have in mind, you
4	may have it.
5	MR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: I am sure you will be mindful of
7	the limited use you can make of the document.
8	MR. TABAK: I am, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Very well. Is that what you had
10	in mind?
11	MR. TABAK: Yes, it is, your Honor.
12	Q Mr. Arocena, I am going to show you this
13	and ask you if you had a chance to read this before you
14	testified.
15	A No, I did not. I don't remember.
16	Q You might have read it?
17	A I don't remember.
18	Q Then I will move on to the next area.
19	THE COURT: I will take that, Mr. Palmer.
20	Q So your own knowledge or the only basis for
21	your saying that Mr. Necuze cooperated before you were
22	arrested is from Mr. Gonzalez, is that correct?
23	A And through other sources as well.
24	Q And what are the other sources?
25	A Just rumors in the street.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

0 And that's it?

That was enough for me. Α

That is enough for you to state publicly in 0 court at this trial that he is responsible for you being arrested?

If the person who knew where I was was he and Α Is am arrested and if he is testifying for the government and if he was meeting with government people before and he was giving them papers previously and if he was the one in charge of the famous Mini warehouse and they confiscated all of that, I have to think, I have to deduce that Necuze was the person who handed me over, and if all the things that appeared in the apartment where I was, I don't know how the apartment didn't sink with all the things in there. I don't know at what time they put them in there. I can guarantee it took them a long time.

Since Mr. Necuze's testimony is pretty much 0 the opposite of what you have just said, was he lying when he testified here?

> Yes, sir. Α

You testified that the reason you didn't go to Cuba with the Alpha 66 leaders in Miami in 1969, I believe, was because they would not make any financial provision for your wife, who was eight months pregnant?

that correct?

A It isn't that it was just in my case, but they did not do that with anyone and I thought that it was not normal that I would haveto go to Cuba and leave my family in that situation, although I did say that it pained me to have to go back. It pains me to recognize that, but that is the way it was.

Q So in other words, although your fatherland called, if you couldn't be paid as a mercenary, you wouldn't go?

A For the fatherland, one doesn't have to be paid; one goes free. That is one's duty.

Q But you did not go?

A On that occasion, I did not, but it wasn't only in that aspect; they are the ones who had the means to go. I couldn't get on a log and just swim over.

I had towait for somebody to take me and I just couldn't wait forever in Miami. So I had to go back and face the necessities that I had with my family and to prepare myself for some future date.

Q Now, you testified that when you left Cuba in 1965, you left behind your wife and four children. How old were your children at that time?

A One was three, one was four -- two, three and four, and she was pregnant.

2 3 2 5
Q And you didn't attempt to have them stow away
with you, did you?
A It is a little bit difficult putting a
family like that on a freighter, considering that the boat
is anchored 16 miles off the shore, and in order to get
out there, you have to take a tugboat, which was filled
with about 30 or 40 friends. I think it was going to be
a little bit difficult to hide them there.
Q How old was your first wife at that time?
A She was about 17 or 18 years of age
about 18 or 19.
Q Now, it was less than a year later that you
met your second wife and you forgot about your first wife
and family?
A No, sir. I got married in '69. If I
left in 1965 and got married in 1969, that was not one year.
Besides that, these are private problems of mine.
Q But you did testify that in 1966 is when you
met Miriam, your second wife?
A She was working in the factory where I began
to work also.
Q That was the Avery Fisher factory, is that
right?
A Yes, sir.
Q 3 ut you had nothing to do with blowing up a

1	bomb at Avery Fisher Hall a few years later?
2	A No, because Fisher is loudspeakers, and I
3	don't think it has anything to do with the other thing
4	you are mentioning. 3 esides, I don't even know what
5	happened there. I had nothing to do with that. So you
6	are associating names and that is your business.
7	Q $N$ ell, the fact is that the Avery Fisher
8	of Avery Fisher Hall is the Avery Fisher of the loudspeaker
9	company, isn't it?
10	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I don't know what
11	this line has to do with anything.
12	THE COURT: I don't know that either. I
13	didn't understand there was any unhappiness with his
14	employer.
15	If you know, tell us. Do you know if the
16	founder of the Fisher Company that you worked for was
17	the person after whom this music hall, Avery Fisher Hall,
18	has been named? Do you know that?
19	THE A ITNESS: No, I know nothing about that.
20	Q Can you explain to the jury why you consider
21	Huber Matos to be a coward and a traitor?
22	MR. AGUILAR: There is no testimony in the
23	record that this man ever said he was a coward or a
24	traitor.
25	MR. TABAK: I will be happy to show him some of

the documents in which he said that. 1

2327

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Let him --

MR. TABAK: I refer to 2033 and 1030-A,

Government's Exhibits.

THE COURT: We will take your representation and permit the witness to answer the question.

> THE INTERPRETER: May I heard the question? (Question read)

Α Let me tell you that that is a good question, because if I remember correctly, three months before the grand jury, that is, on the 2nd of November, the FBI agents who were after me -- if I remember correctly, I think it was A alzer, one of the so many that were after me, they were after Justo Rodriguez also, Ernesto Rodriguez and Nestor Gomez, people who went around with me. On that occasion, when the FBI began to question them -- precisely, the first question that the FBI asked them was that if they -- if they knew whether Huber Matos was a Communist, and the guys, and I refer to these people I mentioned beforehand, were surprised that the government should refer to Mr. Matos in that way. They said that Huber Matos was a Communist, that they had proof that he worked together with Castro, that he had never had problems in Cuba and that he had been sent here to the United States to create problems and to work with the

21 \_

International Socialist Movement. If I remember correctly, these people answered that they didn't think that that was true, that it was true where Matos was a commander of Castro, but he had nothing to do with that, that he was a controversial person but that among us Cubans they would never get that kind of an answer.

That is the same question that Mr. Wack asked me when he interviewed me in the Newark Jetport, that he was interested in Huber Matos. I think at that time he was going to give a lecture in New York and they said he was going to give a lecture about leftist agents and about the International Socialist Movement in which Mr. Huber Matos was a member; that as far as the government was concerned, especially the FBI, Huber Matos was a Communist and he said to me, "What do you think about that?" And I said, "I don't know anything about that."

Q I show you Government's Exhibit 1030-A in evidence, and direct your attention to the fourth page. That is the back of the second page. Vould you explain to the jury how your fingerprints were found on this document, which in translation says, "Huber Matos, a traitor, a Communist. He should die when the time is right"?

A I can tell you why.

T10 24

1	Q That is, of course, your handwriting on there?
2	A I don't think it is mine.
3	Q You heard Agent Martin from the FBI lab testify
4	your fingerprints were on there?
5	A I have also heard him say everything they
6	brought in here was mine.
7	Q So Agent Martin was also lying?
8	A They all form a part of the same team. It's
9	the same pattern.
10	Q Now, you testified yesterday that you admired
11	Justo Rodriguez because he had been a political prisoner
12	in Cuba for sixteen years; is that correct?
13	A Previously when I met him, yes.
14	Q Now, do you recall when Mr. Rodriguez testified
15	here he explained the reason he was finally able to leave
16	Cuba in 1969 was because of the efforts of the Committee
17	of 75, which is to say Mr. Negrin's committee?
18	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am going to object
19	to the characterization that that was Mr. Negrin's
20	committee.
21	THE COURT: All right. Let us take that
22	part out. You can argue that if you wish, but it is my
23	recollection that he got out in part at least because of
24	the activities of the Committee of 75.
25	A I don't believe that.

Arocena - cross

mps Arocena - cross 1 0 So Mr. Rodriguez was lying about that? What I would like to say is at that time there were other factors and there were other pressures that were causing people to get out of Cuba. THE COURT: As far as you know, was one of the 5 pressures brought about by the Committee of 75? 6 THE WITNESS: 8

They were one of the ones that were trying to capitalize on the situation. That was one of the strategies of Castro. That was one of the spearheads that he was putting into the United States. It was part of the treaty they were making at that particular time.

And of course you opposed any establishing of relations with Cuba?

Based on that treason, yes. We only have one way or going back to Cuba: With weapons in our hands. No one has a right to our destiny, just ourselves and our You or no one has a right to that, and the fact that we are here we don't hold that to anyone, just the circumstances, but we cannot admit that you should decide our destiny and to allow a group of opportunists to decide the destiny of our country nor the destiny of nine million people there.

It is a simple group of miserable scum, the 75, and no one else.

25

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q And of course you wanted the most political prisoners, otherwise there would be no need for what you are doing?

A We wanted all the Cuban prisons to be opened. We wanted there to be free elections, so that the people could choose their own government, that the people should now what liberty is, not that those miserable scum should go down there and get a group.

There were a lot of people who came here who were very select and very good people.

Q In other words, the good people should be allowed to rot in political prisons?

A It was a prejudiced dialogue. It was not a dialogue at all. It was just a monologue, because Castro was always putting the conditions. Those clowns of the 75 Committee did not go to Cuba. They were nothing more than servants of Castro, serving his interests. That is all they were. They never had anything to do with the interests of our people.

They never were worried about the poverty or the misery of our people. They were only interested in the interests of the Communist government of Cuba. They were only interested in their own pocketbook, and they were only interested in bringing a bunch of individuals into the United States to filter here and

Q

1	destroy the United States, and they and they are doing
2	that with your own help, and you know that very well.
3	Q What have I done to help them?
4	A You just persecuted us because you wanted to,
5	and you allowed yourself to fall into all these infamous
6	maneuvers and to prepare all of these lies against us and
7	a million other things.
8	Q Is it your understanding that I run the
9	United States Department of Justice?
10	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am going to object
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	Q Now, you, of course, were familiar with being
13	a political prisoner in Cuba, because you were one for a
14	few days?
15	A I don't consider myself a political prisoner
16	at that time.
17	Q You were just a common prisoner?
18	A I did not that was not my status, either.
19	Q But in any event, it was just a few days,
20	whatever it was?
21	A Fortunately, yes.
22	Q Now, you have testified that you never told
23	Agent Wack anything about the name Omega 7.
24	A No, sir.

You were, however, familiar with the Greek

24

25

	mps Arocena - cross 2333
1	alphabet; right?
2	A I am not a Greek. I am a Cuban.
3	Q How did you select the name Beta for your
4	company?
5	A It's a name. It's a name of a start. Haven't
6	you ever heard the name of a star? It's the name of a
7	start in a constellation.
8	Q So you had no idea it was also a letter of the
9	Greek alphabet?
10	A I found out from you right now.
11	Q And you never knew that Omega was a letter of
12	the Greek alphabet, the last letter?
13	A No; I didn't.
14	Q So the part of the Jne 14, 1983 tape on which
15	you discussed it with Mr. Wack, that is a fabrication?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Now, how was the United States Government
18	able to fabricate so much of your voice in English when
19	you speak so little Englilsh?
<b>2</b> 0	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am going to object
21	to that question. He is asking this man how the United
22	States Government functions.

THE COURT: He seems in a position to want to respond.

MR. AGUILAR: It is beyond his knowledge.

too much.

25

1 THE COURT: If you know, I will allow the 2 question in that modified form. 3 Read the question. 4 (Question read.) 5 THE COURT: If you know. 6 Α Based on the time that they have been 7 recording my conversation, based on the time that they 8 have been interrogating me, based on all of that and based 9 on their technology, the best in the world -- never doubt 10 that -- it's been all fabricated in that way. 11 Q Even the tapes that were so difficult to under-12 stand? 13 I can't imagine how Mr. Wack committed the 14 error of leaving so many blank spaces and so many confused 15 tapes, and especially the part about the coin, the 16 telephone where you put in the coin, because it is not 17 at all difficult. I could say that to anybody in any 18 place, and they could just drop a coin, and they start off 19 recording everything. 20 Yes, you are not fooling me. You might be 21 fooling someone else. I know your technology. I have 22 studied it all. I sold that equipment. I know how you 23 I know all about you, except that I trusted you 24

were decent in certain aspects, and I was trusting, and

I thought you were loyal. I thought you

that's what hurts, and a lot of people are goin to get
a bad impression, and for that, that is the reason I am
here, so my whole country will know how you work, what
your tactics are like and what you do with us so that no
one will be confused in the future and that they will
never be able to set this trap again, and especially what
you do with our families, how you terrorize them, how
you bug the telephones, how you even get into our mail,
how you interfere with everything, how our private life
has stopped existing for everything.

And many, many other things that I don't have the means to mention here, but you really have disappointed me, at least in a small amount.

I want to be fair. I don't want to involve everybody, and you asked me a while ago whether you were the head of the Department of Justice. I don't blame the Department of Justice for everything, but I do blame you, because you are an ambitious person, because you are trying to go up by stepping on me and at the expense of a group of friends and at the expense of a lot of people that are in exile, accompanied by a small group of people who are helping you.

I don't wish you luck, because it is at our expense.

O So in other words, the reason you are dis-

FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

appointed and you do not trust us is because we have revealed to the world what you actually told the FBI?

A No. Because I thought that you were a representative of the law, a representative of the Government of the United States, that you represented democracy and you protected anti-Communists and that you were helping the forces that were helping to protect this country and those who are trying to destroy communism.

The weapons that you use to confuse us, to penetrate into us, to divide us, to get all that information and to send it to our enemies, to Castro, to Russia, to God knows how many more enemies of this country, all looking for our destruction, and after it is all over it will be your own destruction.

Q So in other words you are upset that we have made public what you told Agent Wack and the other agents?

A In the first place, I deny all of that.

In the second place, if I were worried, if I had any doubts about that, I would have made a deal with you, and no one would have ever found out about it, and I would be one more of your rats.

I would sink half the world. I would be with my family, and I wouldn't be for a year -- I wouldn't have been running for a year and with \$20,000 lost and going hungry and having all sorts of other needs and going

through all of those things that you are making me go through, not only myself but so many companions and so many compatriots of mine.

I felt I never had adny doubts. There was no reason for me to be concerned about that. On the contrary. I wanted to bring that out, and here I am.

Q Well, you knew very well before you started making your admissions to Agent Wack that Agent Wack told you he was investigating bombings and murders in the United States?

A I also know that Mr. Wack has been trying to put a lot of people behind jail for a long time, and he has not been able to do so.

I also know that you have been trying to do
the same thing for a long time, and you have not been able
to, and I know that you cannot recover from that wound,
and basing yourself on that you came back at it, and then
you came against us, and you picked me out, and you selected
a whole bunch of crumbs that had nothing to do with anything, and they submitted to a series of pressures, of
blackmail, and these louses have had to submit to these
twenty different situations.

These people will never be people again, because you have destroyed them. They will never be decent people or looked at decently by our community.

The majority of them will have to disappear, because they
will never be able to look anyone in the eye again, and
they will have to disappear from public life with another
identity, which is exactly what you are accustomed to do,
to give a new identity to the person who testifies, so
that they will help you, and when you no longer need them,
you turn them over.

Q Is it your testimony that Agent Wack is not
responsible for anybody else being in jail but you?

A I don't think that Mr. Wack is responsible for my being in jail, because the fact that I am in jail, I owe that to you, because when my bail was put up you asked

Q Of course, the Judge made the decision.

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I object. He should be allowed to finish his answer, and he should not be interrupted by Mr. Tabak.

THE COURT: Yes.

You my finish your answer.

A You remember that when they set my bail, that my community, my family, my friends put up their property, the little bit of money that they got together, little by little. They managed to get together a certain amount of money, and the day that they were to present the bail, you appeared, and they said, no bail.

13

them to raise it.

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

**2**0

21

22

23

24

1	Q And the bail was revised by a United States
2	District Judge; right?
3	A Because you came in with a letter, you remember?
4	A letter which the Judge read; a letter which was a
5	translation in Spanish, from Spanish, a letter which my
6	wife wrote, a letter in Spanish, which I never saw, and
7	that letter was read in the court, and it said that I
8	waived everything, that I was a person who was going to
9	fight, and that my scene was to to the very end, that I
10	had a lot of enemies and a million other things.
11	I have never seen the copy in Spanish. Where
12	is it?
13	Q Are you referring to the letter where you
14	called your wife a coward, Exhibit 1019?
15	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, may we see what
16	letter Mr. Tabak is referring to?
17	MR. TABAK: 1019 in evidence.
18	THE COURT: He is referring to Exhibit 1019
19	in evidence.
20	THE WITNESS: May I take it out?
21	THE COURT: Surely. You may take it out, and
22	you may read it.
23	(Pause.)
24	Q Now that you have read the letter, you wrote
25	that letter, didn't you?

1 No, sir. How is it that you have it and Α my wife never received it? 2 3 It is a copy, a carbon copy. A carbon copy, and it seems to have my original Α 4 . 5 signature on it. You really did a good job. 6 That is just one more attempt by the Govern-7 ment --8 Let me tell you something, because I was Α 9 consulting with my lawyers. 10 I remember, when I was a fugitive that I went 11 by the place where I had my office, and I saw the Federal 12 officers of the FBI outside my office, and I saw them 13 going into my office, and in my office there was a whole 14 pile of papers, and you took those. I wouldn't be at all 15 surprised to see a lot of my papers end up there. 16 And they took my typewriter, and they took 17 my private papers and a million other things. 18 I never saw that, nor does my family know 19 what happened to that. 20 You have had a lot of time to prepare this crap. 21 One more, what does that matter? 22 But it does surprise me that my wife never 23 received this letter, and this is the first time that I 24 have seen it, because the only thing that was in my

hands was a copy in English, never this one.

?

1	It is true that the other day I received a
2	copy, where the FBI had sent something though the mail,
3	where my correspondence was being violated. It is
4	possible that something strange happend there, too.
5	Q After your bail was reset, isn't it a fact
6	thawt you appealed it to the United States Court of Appeals
7	A Yes, sir.
8	Q And the three-Judge panel unanimously left the
9	bail where it had been set by lhe United States District
10	Judge?
11	A Yes. I do believe it was that way.
12	Q So you did not personally set your bail?
13	A You were the one who made the recommendation,
14	so you are the one who makes all the strings go here, you
15	and your office.
16	Q We tell the Judges what to do, and the Judges
17	don't think on their own?
18	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, he is being argu-
19	mentative to the witness.
<b>2</b> 0	Q Will you explain what you meant by your
21	statement?
22	THE COURT: The witness has made a response,
23	and I will let it stand. I do consider the question
24	argumenative, but he has made the statement.
<b>2</b> 5	Q Will you explain what you meant by your state-

1 ment?

A I am sure without the Judges having to go along with what you say, and I was quite clear that the Judges have their own way of doing things, and I am sure that none of you can change the mentality of any Judge, because they are the ones who have the responsibility of doing things correctly, thank God, because if not, woe is me.

If it were up to you, you would have already destroyed me, but thanks to the Judge, who even brought my bail down, but what happened, you said that if I got out with that bail they would put \$10 million bail on me in Miami, and you understand that I don't even have a cent to pay my lawyers. Where the devil am I going to get the money to pay my bail?

Nor am I going to submit all of my people in exile to the tactics that you have been using up to now, forcing them to pillage their own pocketbooks and putting our men in prison, innocently, to force them to look for lawyers in order to drain our economy.

For that reason I decided not to appeal the bail any longer, and I told my family to forget about the bail, that I was going to remain in jail, and I would wait until the day of the trial, because I was no longer willing to go along with the Government's games for them

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to be exploiting the mentality of the people in exile,
their pockets, because it is quite difficult for them to
get the money that they do earn, and the Government does
not take that into consideration.

Considering that at that time there was a series of compatriots in the same circumstances, and apparently, with the investigation of the grand jury and the Government bringing in more people to the grand jury, a panic was created in the streets, and people got scared, and I refused to take any part of that situation, and I decided to step back so that the Defense League of Cubans would take charge of the other companions, and I decided to wait for my turn in my trial, which gave you the time that you wanted to prepare the case, to really put a gold clasp on the whole thing and to get hold of Necuze, Gonzalez, Justo Rodriguez and other people who later on backed out, and I have knowledge of that, but I do not intend to mention that here, and you well know that you prepared yourself very well.

You remember that I was five months and a it over five months and then the accusations were made against me. I don't know why that happened, but I understand that a person is supposed to be charged in the first ten days or thirty days and that you're saying that you didn't have any idea of my cooperating with you,

4 5

but you sent me to Otisville under false pretenses, and you sent the lawyer, Walpe --

THE COURT: Walpin.

A (Continuing) -- Walpin, to carry messages or to bring a message to have an interview with you, and interview which I refused to do.

You remember that I accepted aonly because I didn't have any intention of saying anything, and I took some notes, and I checked them, and I have some minutes that I took down, and I was analyzing more or less what happened, and I saw what you offered at that time.

You offer me and my family a new identity.

You offered me protection for me and my family. You offered me to get rid of several charges. You practically offered to put me out on the street again. All I had to do was testify. You told me about all the people who were cooperating against me. I was shown an enormous amount of papers and statements and tapes of different compatriots who were testifying and who were cooperating with you and that it was better for me to cooperate because those people were going to do it.

But many of them were waiting for me, so I would testify, and after seeing me do it they would all come.

For that reason I told you and told you I

didn't care what others were going to do but that I never would testify for you, and that situation took on three or four different occasions, and each time you kept tightening up more, tightening it up.

(Continued on the next page.)

On another occasion, you threatened my family. You said that if I didn't cooperate with you, that you would tell the people in exile that I had denounced the whole group and you remember that I never opened my mouth, that I was completely silent the whole time, and you remember that you threatened to tell the people who were dealing with drugs that I had given that information to the government and that those people were in jail because of that information, and that those people were really big wheels in the drug industry, that they were very powerful people, and that those people were trying to find a way to hurt my family and that if I didn't cooperate with you and with the government, you were going to let that information out, and I said nothing.

You said to me that I was a coward because I didn't say anything, because I didn't cooperate with you and because I let that situation go on, and I told you that I never would testify. That was at the end, when I was ready to leave.

And then there was a moment when all of that ended and I had another lawyer, and then I had an interview with Mr. Aguilar and you and the District Attorney for Miami, the same signature which appears here, and he offered me the same thing, and you offered to get rid of the charges in Miami if I cooperated and testified

against a whole big group of other people, and I said that I didn't have to testify against anybody, that I didn't know anything about anyone, and they said that they were really going to sink me.

You told him that between the two of you, that you would find a way to keep me from ever getting out free again, that I would rot in a jail, that I should think about it well, and I told you that I would run that risk, that I preferred to come here before a jury and take that risk, but that I never would testify for you, and here I am.

Q In other words, the government told you that if you would be a man and testify, the government would try to protect your family?

A I remember that you told me that it was easier to testify than to try to take this position, and I told you that it was easier for you but not for a decent man and a man with principles to sit in a seat like this and to testify against his own compatriots when it wasn't true, just in order to go along with the game, in order to save my own neck.

In favor of my family, I am sorry, because of my family, I am sorry because of all of my compatriots, if because of your conspiring, if because of the scene you have drawn here, because of this package which you have

	rmjah 3 Arocena-cross
1	prepared, I turn out to be convicted tough luck.
2	Q Isn't it a fact that when Mr. Walpin was
3	still representing you, that you said you wanted to think
4	about the government's offer and that to buy some time
5	with the Cuban community, which was giving you a lot of
6	pressure, that you wanted there to be a phony hearing
7	before a magistrate where the government would make believe
8	that somebody other than you had been the informant in the
9	complaint?
10	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I object to the
<b>1</b> 1	question.
12	THE COURT: Grounds?
13	MR. AGUILAR: Well, your Honor, I think there
14	is no good-faith foundation for this particular question,
15	and it is completely irrelevant as to what is going on in
16	this case.
17	MR. TABAK: I think there is an obvious
18	witness who can testify who the defense is free to
19	call if they want to know if there is a good-faith basis:
20	Mr. A alpin.
21	THE COURT: I will let you ask the question.
22	A A ould you please repeat the question?
23	(Question read)
24	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, before he answers

the question, may we have a side bar? I have a real concern

as to the witness Mr. Tabak said I should call to confirm or deny this, and I would like to make it clear on the record why I made the objection before?

THE COURT: Very well.

(At the side bar)

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, the reason I
objected was I believe the person Mr. Tabak is referring
to is Mr. Walpin. I have internal memorandums prepared
by Gerald Walpin concerning this particular case, and
I have a memorandum prepared by his office dated
August 30 which says that Arocena stated he decided he
was going to fight the government and contest any charges
filed against him.

We do not intend to call Mr. Walpin, and I feel there is no good faith for that question, when I have memorandums from Mr. Walpin's office saying that never happened.

MR. TABAK: If Mr. Walpin were called to testify by the defense, I have no doubt he would testify truthfully and I believe that his testimony would be the following: That before the preliminary hearing was held, Mr. Walpin contacted me and informed me that Mr. Arocena was getting a lot of pressure from the Cuban community to get a Cuban lawyer to replace him, and Arocena wanted to buy time to decide whether he would accept a deal with the

government.

3 4

6

7

5

8 9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Walpin further advised me that what Mr. Arocena wanted done was to go ahead with the preliminary hearing and at that hearing for the defense, to ask that the unnamed informant in the complaint, who we all knew was Mr. Arocena, be revealed, the government to object and that before the hearing would be held that Mr. Walpin and I go in to the magistrate and inform him of the situation, explain we both knew Mr. Arocena was the informant but that Mr. Arocena didn't want that information to come out and to give him more time to think about the situation, that we would have this hearing.

I am sure Mr. Walpin would further testify that after I consulted with my unit chief, Shirah Neiman, and with the chief of the Criminal Division and with the United States Attorney himself, Mr. Giuliani, that the decision was made by Mr. Giuliani personally that he would not permit any such sort of sham hearing to go forward or permit us to make believe it was a third party who was the confidential informant.

Mr. Walpin told me he thought we were making a big mistake, because he felt Mr. Arocena was seriously thinking about the situation. However, Mr. Walpin was informed Mr. Giuliani's position was immutable, that he

would not go along with any sham hearing, that we had protected Mr. Arocena long enough by naming him as an unnamed co-conspirator in a complaint on which he was being arrested, and that Mr. Arocena could either waive the hearing, which was his right, or go to the hearing and not ask that the informant be disclosed, and Mr. A alpin, after telling me that he thought we were making a mistake in the overall picture for this case, informed me a day later -- or a day later I got a phone call from Mr. Paul Goldberger that Mr. Arocena or his wife had contacted him and Mr. Goldberger was going to come into the case.

MR. AGUILAR: The government could have called Mr. Walpin before. Now we have what he told allegedly Mr. Tabak and what he wrote as an internal memorifor this case. I don't think this whole line of questioning --

think the point I have to determine is whether the government at this point has a good-faith basis for making the inquiry. In view of the statements just made by counsel with regard to matters as to which he was personally involved, I would suggest that there is a good-faith basis. Exactly where this takes us, in view of all other testimony that I have heard today, I am not sure,

but if the question that is put to the court is does the

court find that the government has a good-faith basis

for making the inquiry, I say on the basis of the

representations which have just been made by Mr. Tabak,

the government does have a good-faith basis for making the

inquiry.

I can see, Mr. Aguilar, the point that you made and the reason why you wished to come to the side bar, but in view of the statements just made by Mr. Tabak with respect to a number of matters as to which he was personally involved, I find the government has a good-faith basis for this inquiry.

Is there anything further?

MR. TABAK: I would note for the record that obviously if Mr. Walpin was communicating at his client's direct a position to the government, that was not violative of the attorney-client privilege or anything else.

THE COURT: An attorney who makes statements on his client's behalf in representation of his client to third parties doesn't have the right to claim a privilege, at least with regard to the matters revealed to the third parties.

Thank you.

(In open court)

2 3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Tabak.

MR. TABAK: At this point I forget whether the question was answered. I believe it was not. I would ask it be read back.

## (Question read)

Are you sure that I was the person of which you are talking about? Because I don't remember such a thing. B ecause in the first place, I have always been very proud of my community and I have always been very proud of our people in exile, and especially at the difficult time I am going through now, and that they have behaved so well toward me and in spite of all the poison you have spread and all of the division which you have spread, they never put any pressure on me.

On the contrary, they united around me more They encouraged me more than ever and how would I ever lend myself to something like that?

Finally, never would I have presented myself to any kind of crap like that, when I have always said quite clearly that I would never make any kind of deal with the government. I am tired of repeating it, from the very beginning until the end, so I am not surprised that you come out with this now. I never said such a thing. I never spoke of any fictitious hearing.

Maybe that is a usual practice for you, but I am

1 not used to that. That is the reason I say maybe you made 2 a mistake. I don't blame you for that. So if somebody would testify that that 3 in fact did happen, that person would also be lying? I know that that is a product of your imagination. 6 If 3nother person would lend himself to saying such a thing, 7 something which I doubt very much, I don't know what would 8 happen. 0 You testified yesterday that Pedro Remon 10 is a good person and a good revolutionary. 11 Yes, sir. I said it yesterday and I say it Α 12 today, he is a great revolutionary. He is a friend of 13 mine and he is a very worthy person. 14 What makes him a great revolutionary? 15 He is a man who is concerned with the Α 16 liberation of our country, a man who believes in what he is 17 doing, and a man who has never broken the laws in this 18 country, except the problem which he had with the car. 19 I don't have any knowledge of his having had any other 20 trouble. 21 THE COURT: You are speaking of the incident 22 in Belleville, New Jersey? 23 THE N ITNESS: Yes, sir. Up until now, that is

the only knowledge I have, and back fromthat time, I have

the best references regarding him. I was talking to him

24

2

3

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

personally the other day, a few hours before he was sentenced. I spoke to him about an hour and a half and may it be said --

Didn't you say that he and I wanted to kill Α ourselves, or that we wanted to kill each other. Didn't you say that we were deadly enemies? Didn't you use that as a reason to give him a longer sentence? Didn't you use that as arreason to put on a miserable sentence to the rest of our compatriots who are in prison? Didn't you use that same argument in order to put me into the hole and to separate me from the rest of the population? When I came here and asked you to put me with the rest of the population, as I deserved to be, the first thing that you said to me was that they wanted to kill me, or that I wanted to kill them, and I challenged you, I challenged the government that you put us together, that it was all a lie, that it was just made up by you, that these were just circumstances that you were looking for in order to divide us, your favorite weapon, the weapon which you have been destroying us with little by little, or trying to destroy us little by little, luckily we are learning your tactics, and we are learning. Ne are preparing ourselves for a future of not falling again into that same trap, and we have to have confidence in our brothers because only our unity, the unity of all of

us -- only by our unity, can we go back to our country.

We cannot allow you to go on dividing us in the miserable way that you have been doing. That is something very difficult that we learned from you, and we are taking note of that, because some day you will have to answer before history for the harm that you have done to our country because of protecting the tyrant who is treading on our country and who has had our country as a prisoner for almost more than 25 years, trying to destroy all of the fighting community.

You want to welcome Castro to the United States and you are preparing conditions for agreement. That is what this is all about, because I wasn't the first one, nor am I the last one. I know that after me there will be others, there will be more victims, there will be other people to come and sit right here who will be destroyed by you. I hope that tomorrow history will sit you here on this chair and you will have to answer to our people and to history, with a jury like this one as witnesses. You will not be able to escape from that judgment. You won't be abel to escape from that verdict either.

Q Since you had such a long chat with Mr. Remon, you are of course fully aware that the reason he just got sentenced was for a crime that he pleaded guilty to, totally separate and apart from the 3 elleville incident,

In other words, he save you time, isn't that true?

23

24

25

into jail.

your purposes, which is to put everyone, all of the heads

1	Q Would you agree that it is more honorable
2	MR. AGUILAR: I don't mean to interrupt,
3	but perhaps the interpreter may need a break at this time.
4	THEINTERPRETER: That would be very nice.
5	THE COURT: N here is the other interpreter?
6	MS. KOHN: The other interpreter had a death
7	in his family, and he had to leave.
8	THE COURT: Miss Kohn, who is our chief court
9	interpreter, who I know you find fluent in Spanish, will
10	cover for Mr. Palmer for, say, five minutes so we can move
11	matters along.
12	MR. AGUILAR: No problem.
13	Mr. Palmer, when you are ready, you can then
14	cover for Ms. Kohn.
15	MR. PALMER: I will do that.
16	BY MR. TABAK:
17	Q Nould you agree that it is more honorable
18	for someone to refuse to lie than for someone to go ahead
19	and lie?
20	MR. AGUILAR: I object.
21	THE COURT: Grounds?
22	MR. AGUILAR: Argumentative and it is a
23	hypothetical this man cannot answer at this time.
24	THE COURT: It really is argumentative. I
25	will sustain an objection on that ground. You may wish to

	2 3 5 9
1	restructure your inquiry, but the objection is sustained
2	on the grounds that the question is argumentative.
3	Q Do you think it is honorable for a person
4	to tell falsehoods to try to get off of certain charges?
5	A I do not think so.
6	Q And that is why you are testifying as truthfully
7	today as you did in the grand jury?
8	MR. AGUILAR: I am going to object.
9	THE COURT: Grounds?
10	MR. AGUILAR: First of all, this question
11	has been asked about 17 times already, whether he is
12	testifying differently today than the other day, and now
13	he is arguing with the witness and putting words into the
14	witness' mouth that he never testified to.
15	THE COURT: I will sustain the objection on
16	the second ground, argumentative.
17	Q A few minutes ago you referred to a Roman circus
18	Ŋ hat is a Roman circus?
19	A A roman circus, it was the place in which they
20	were sacrificed. Let me see if I can remember the name
21	in Spanish: The place where they sacrificed people who
22	believed in God. At that time they would bring them into
23	the arena or they would throw them into an arena with lions.
24	That refers to Christians.
25	Q Can you explain to this jury why you feel this

trial is a Roman circus?

0

A With great pleasure.

First, because you never gave me the opportunity to defend myself, because from the moment in which I was apprehended, you showed up in Miami, along with Agent Wack, who went to my home trying to find my wife to scare her and to threaten her, to leave a message with her that they had to speak with me, that she should remember that I had -- I had to see them, in any event, that I had to see the prosecutor because there were important things that had to be dealt with. That was dealt with on several occasions.

They tried to call me in prison on three or four occasions. Even on several occasions when I was in jail, officials from the prison came and I remember that I was with another prisoner inside the hole and they said, "There are some FBI agents that want to talk to you," and the individual who waswith me opened his eyes to this size (indicating) and he said to me, "Nho are you and why do youhave to talk to the FBT?" And the guy got frightened, and I said, "I don't have to talk to anyone."

When my family came, I said to Miriam, my wife, that if Mr. Wack got into contact with her again, that she should send him away, so as to not use another word.

rmjah 16 Arocena-cross N hen my lawyer came, who was Miss Carnesolta, 1 she came with the message that the prosecutor wanted 2 to see me, that he had a message to give me, that I 3 should look carefully at the paper, at the indictment papers, that there was a line there in which they were 5 going to state that I had cooperated with them, that 6 i was to speak with them to say it exactly. 7 She said to me, "What's happening?" 8 I said to her I didn't know what was 9 happening. I already imagined what it was. She told me 10 that Miriam had told her that there were strange cars that 11

were going around the house. A hen we went to court, we had an interview with Mr. Tabak -- that is Miss Carnesolta, who did not

16

15

12

13

14

17

18

19

T12

20

21

22

23

24

25

(Continued on next page)

permit Mr. Tabak to be present.

mps

THE COURT: I note that Mr. Palmer has returned, obviously refreshed, and has taken his place.

O Mr. Arocena --

A I haven't finished -- or do you want me to finish me?

THE COURT: You may finish. If you have not finished your prior answer, you may do so.

A (Continuing) I remember when we were at that interview, the District Attorney was trying to convince me that I should deny that they would deal with my case in Miami and it would be transferred here in New York, that that would be better for me, because otherwise they were going to bring out a whole bunch of things.

What happened at that time, I said to them, "What do you have against me?"

He said, "A million things. It's best that you go back there with us and for the trial to take place there."

At any rate, he said to me that the majority of the charges would be here in New York, and then I stopped him and I said to my lawyer, and I asked him whether I would have to respond to the charges in Miami there, and she said yes, and then the District Attorney said yes, it was true that I could face the charges in Miami when I came back to New York and that I was taken

there practically without any knowledge of my lawyer, and, as I explained before, I was taken on a charter plane and brought here to the MCC in New York and taken to Otisville, if I remember correctly.

I was brought back shortly after that to be presented before a Judge. At that time, my lawyer was not present. I went back to Otisville, and after that time they didn't allow me to have Miss Carnesolta any longer. They started litigations or arguments with her. The Government began to say that there were legal problems, conflicts of interest, and they disqualified her, and then they put Mr. Wolpin on, and I said that I wanted to find a lawyer of my own, and I kept on struggling in that sense.

The Government, thinking that, let me in Otisville and kept on manipulating with my situation. They left me, as I said before, in Otisville, completely out of circulation, without any communication with the outside world, so that I would have no contact with the defense.

At that time, one has to remember, as I said before here, that Mr. Tabak gave an order at the institution, I mean in Otisville, that I should be able to have access to the telephone only once a month.

When I had the opportunity, I told my wife to

0

look for another lawyer. Indeed, she got in touch with Mr. Goldberger, and when I said to do the same thing with Mr. Goldberger, they applied the same situation. They got rid of Goldberger, and I went on with Walpin.

But since I never did care for Mr. Walpin, and with all the respect to Mr. Walpin, because after all, he was a professional person, I felt that my interests were not being well represented, and I wanted a lawyer of my own choice.

And I kept working and trying in that direction, working in that direction, and during all this time I was admitted to having only one call a month, and so the day that I had to come to court was getting closer, and if I didn't get another lawyer I would have to remain with Mr. Walpin.

I had already told my wife to get another lawyer, and she got Mr. Orta for me. Mr. Orta went to see me, and he didn't last at all. That miserable man, I think about a month later, about a month and a half later, he found a machine-gun in his work, and he said to me, "Eduardo, I don't know what this is all about," and I said, "I know what this is all about. They gave you a setup, They set you up so that you would not represent me."

And I said to Miriam, "Immediately look for

L |

0

another lawyer for me."

Then I got Mr. Mr. Aguilar and Mr. Fernandez, and I have them now, thank God, up to now.

And when I said Roman circus, and I refer to that exactly, and the destiny of those Christians which they used to let loose among the lions, without any weapons, without any way of defending themselves, naked, and the head of state up above, the ones who caused that situation, all they were looking for was the blood and th extermination of these Christians, and all I can do is notice the connection between that and my case and blame you, Mr. Tabak, because you are the cause of this.

You are the person who has been maneuvering in my situation. This is your case. This is your case, and this is your opportunity to become a big person. Here you've got the opportunity to go up the ladder to become a great politician some day. Who knows up to what point you may get? But I hope it is not going to be at my expense nor at the cost of any our compatriots.

Becasuse of that, I cannot wish you good luck.

I think I explained to you what the meaning of
a Roman circus is.

Q Well, since I am not going to be deciding whether you are guilty or innocent, can you explain how

this trial is a Roman circus?

A When I talk about a Roman circus, I speak
looking at you. I don't mention the Judge; I don't
mention the jury. I am mentioning you all. I mention
them, the Government. You are the ones who are guilty.
You are the ones who have divided us.

The Judge has nothing to do with it. The jury has nothing to do with it. They put a red hot potato in the Judge's hand. It was worse with ;he jury. They are the ones that have to decide.

I have never implicated the Judge or the jury. It is just you, you all.

Q So you agree you are having a fair trial?

A I think that I have the opportunity, apart from what you have set up here, that for the jury and the Judge to make their own decision. They know what they have to do. They don't have to ask you nor me nor anyone. It is their conscience.

If I am guilty, I am guilty. If I am innocent,
I am innocent. Whatever happens, I will take it as it
comes, and I have no problem in that aspect.

Moreover, I will remain quietly, because at least I know that I have got you off my back, and I already know, it doesn't matter what you say. My destiny does not depend on you. It depends on them and on him, on his

COLUMN DISTRICT REPO

Honor and on the jury. That's all.

Q So in a word, you believe you are having a fair trial?

A Regarding the mentality of the jury, yes.

I trust that completely. I don't have the slightest doubt.

Q Now, in the meeting that you testified about with Miss Carnesolta in Miami, after you were arrested, you recall you did not want Agent Wack there, so another Assistant United States Attorney, named Schnapp sat in?

A I never asked ro Wack to be there or not to be there. I wasn't at all interested in that interview.

It was you. You are the one who orchestrated that.

You are the one who invented all those things. Everything came out afterwards.

You also had the opportunity in a hearing afterwards that you had put down that I had transmitted some signals, and I was discussing that with my lawyer, and I really laughed about that.

The same way as all the other things that have happened, because you said that you weren't satiated with everything that there is. You were not in agreement, or you were not sure, and you want to put on more and more and more.

So I don't know what you are referring to at

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

this moment, this tactic.

Q So if Assistant United States Attorney Schnapp
were to testify that at this meeting you were secretly
sending signals because you didn't want Miss Carnesolta
to know that you had been the informant in the complaint,
then he would be lying; correct?

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am going to object to that question.

THE COURT: Sustained.

MR. AGUILAR: Move to strike, your Honor.

THE COURT: I sustined the objection. I have already indicated to the jury what they are to do with a question that is asked and an objection to which has been sustained.

MR. AGUILAR: Thank you, your Honor.

Q Mr. Arocena, isn't it a fact that you chose not to have a removal hearing in Florida?

MR. AGUILAR: I will object to that question.

THE COURT: I will allow it.

In the first place, I don't have now nor did
I have at that time any idea about legal technicalities.
My lawyer at that time, Miss Carnesolta, told me that I
could come here, because at any rate I would have to go
through the other trial there, and I think that exactly
because of my ignorance that you have been using me,

because legally I know nothing.

Bur you know that I have been in this country for eighteen years, and except for the situation which I have right now, I have never had any problems with justice. I have never crossed the threshold of a court. I don't know anything about things referiring to justice.

In regard to what you are asking me, it is as if you were speaking to my enemies. My lawyer said to me -- my lawyer asked me whether I wanted to come to mew York, and I asked her if it was necessary to come to New York and she said, "Yes, you have to come to New York."

I had no other option, no other alternative.

Q So therefore, after the meeting with Miss Carnesolta and Mr. Schnapp and me, you voluntarily not to have a removal hearing in Florida and be transferred to New York?

A Let me tell you something. We have been talking about things, talking 4bout things about drugs. You remember when I was in jail in Miami they injected me in Miami, also. That was protested there. Do you have any knowledge about that?

Q I think the question called for a yes-or-no answer.

THE COURT: You did not restict the question to a yes or no answer. Therefore the witness has made

the response that he did.

2

3

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I suggest if you want a yes-or-no answer you should indicae that when you pose the question.

Would you please answer with a yes or no, 0 whether you voluntarily chose not to have a removal hearing in Florida?

THE COURT: Yes or no or you can't answer that question?

I don't really have any knowledge of that, exact knowledge of that.

0 Can you answer yes or no whether you showed up in court with your attorney and stated that you did not want a removal hearing?

Α Well, they were bringing me from the prison. They put me in front of the Court. My lawyer came in, and he read things to me, rapidly, all that rapidly there. Everything was practically already done, and I had no knowledge of what was happening.

Sincerely, my head was not all right.

Now, I suppose that my lawyer was there, and if she agreed to that, there was no type of problem. Are you trying to insinuate something?

Isn't it a fact that you chose voluntarily 0 not to have the removal hearing because you didn't want your status as the confidential informant in the complaint

25

1 to be revealed at that time? I think you can answer 2 that with a yes or no. 3 THE COURT: He wants a yes or no answer. 4 Α No. 5 MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, he should be allowed 6 to explain his answer. 7 THE COURT: No. It is not for you to say 8 at this time. It may be that on redirect examination 9 he may wish to explain the matter further, although he 10 has explained it already. 11 Mr. Tabak, if you are going to be an appreci-12 anle length of time, I note it is getting on to five-13 thirty. Do you expect to take very much time? 14 or no? 15 MR. TABAK: At this rate, yes. 16 THE COURT: Then we will recess until tomorrow 17 morning at ten o'clock. 18 Do you think we can start at ten o'clock? 19 I know there have been some reasons why we have not been 20 able to start on time the last two days, but let me hope 21 that we can tomorrow morning. 22 Ladies and gentlemen, please don't discuss the 23 case among yourselves or with anyone else. If you learn

anything concerning the case from any source outside the

courtroom you are directed to report that fact directly to

24

1	Mr. Guranich, not to any other juror.
2	Until you come back tomorrow morning, finally,
3	please keep an open mind and don't discuss the case.
4	(The jury left the courtroom.)
5	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, just one point.
6	We would like our client to give us a call
7	tonight, and I hear that all the telephones are broken
8	at MCC.
9	Is there any way that we can have the word
10	passed?
11	MR. TABAK: Your Honor, we can arrange for
12	Mr. Aguilar to go to the MCC.
13	THE COURT: If the telephones are down that
14	might be the easier way. I will ask the Marshals to
15	request that Mr. Arocena have access to a telephone if it
16	is at all possible. Of course, I can't guarantee that,
17	but I make that request.
18	(Adjourned to September 12, 1984, at 10:00 a.m.
19	
<b>2</b> 0	
21	
90	

tl

	23/4
1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
2	v. S 83 Crim. 821 (RJW)
3	EDUARDO AROCENA
4	
5	September 12, 1984 10:10 a.m.
6	(Trial resumed; jury present)
7	THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and
8	gentlemen.
9	Mr. Arocena
10	E D U A R D O A R O C E N A,
11	resumed.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Arocena, you will be continuing
13	your testimony under the oath which was administered when
14	you first took the stand.
15	Do you understand?
16	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Tabak.
18	MR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor.
19	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
- <b>20</b>	- BY MR TABAK:
21	Q Mr. Arocena, you testified yesterday that on
22	Sunday, September 26, 1982 you were drugged by the FBI,
23	and you mentioned sodium pentathol.
24	Now, the transcript at page 2234 reflects
25	that you were asked:

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. = 791-1020

1 "0 In the event, you didn't tell them anything 2 at headquarters that day, did you? 3 No, sir, absolutely not, not from my own free will. So that if they got anything out of me --" 5 And at that point another question was asked. 6 Is it possible that you admitted to the 7 FBI that day the truth about your Omega 7 involvement and 8 that you just don't remember it? Α No, sir, it is not true. 10 It is not possible? Q 11 No, it is not possible. Α 12 And that is even though you were drugged Q. 13 and you have no idea what happened while you were drugged? 14 A person who is drugged, I don't think 15 he has any knowledge about what he says. 16 So therefore it is possible that you 17 told the FBI about your Omega 7 involvement? 18 Α They never said anything to me about that. 19 Is it possible? 20 What is possible? 21 Q Is it possible that while you claim you 22 were drugged that you told the FBI about Omega 7?" 23 MR. AGUILAR: I object. He has already 24 answered the question about four times now. 25 I will let him respond again.

THE COURT:

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

1	THE INTERPRETER: May I have the question
2	again, please.
3	(Question read)
4	A In the first place, I don't claim to have
5	been drugged; I was definitely drugged.
6	In the second place, when I mentioned sodium
7	pentathol, I mentioned that they might have used some
8	other type of drug, and you know very well that they are
9	accessible to you. When a person is under that treatment,
10	he doesn't have any knowledge about what is happening.
11	He simply doesn't remember what happened. Simply, the
12	persons who are asking the questions obtain the information
13	that they need and they never showed me what they got from
14	me and consciously I have never admitted anything, nor have
15	I ever admitted to them being Omar or having
16	belonged to the Omega 7. That is my answer. Are you
17	satisfied with it?
18	Q The question, which I believe is now the fourth
19	time I am asking it, calls for a yes or no answer. Is it
<b>2</b> 0	possible that you gave information to the FBI that day that
21 -	you don't remember?
22	MR. AGUILAR: Objection. He is asking the
23	witness to speculate on something he cannot possibly
24	testify to.

THE COURT: If he believes it to be possible,

	rm ja 4 Arocena - cross 2377
1	he can answer yes. If he doesn't believe it to be possible,
2	he can answer no. If he doesn't know, he may say so.
3	A Consciously, no.
4	Q That is not the question, sir.
5	MR. AGUILAR: Now he is arguing with the
6	witness.
7	A I cannot give you any other answer, not
8	the one that you want.
9	What do you want me to do? Do you want me
10	to lie here before the jury?
11	Q No. I would like you to tell the truth to the
12	jury.
13	MR. AGUILAR: He is arguing with the witness.
14	THE COURT: No arguments. The witness has
15	indicated that he has answered the question to the best of
16	his recollection, to the best of his knowledge. In essence,
, 17	he is saying he can't respond with a yes or no answer.
18	Is that correct, Mr. Arocena?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
<b>_20</b> _	MR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor.
21	Q You also claimed that the FBI kidnapped you that
22	day.
228	A Yes, sir, that is true.

But of course you testified yesterday that they did not handcuff you at any time that day, isn't that correct? SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A Yes, sir, that's true.

Q And indeed you also testified yesterday that you didn't even insist they get an arrest warrant for you to come down, you just came with them?

A That is not true. I asked them whether I was under arrest and they told me that I wasn't, but that if I gave them any problems, that they had orders to take me forcefully, or by force, and if they had shown me any document like that -- at any rate, they wanted to take me down to headquarters, that that was the order that they had, that they had to go, one way or another.

So what did you expect me to do, kill them at that moment? I had no reason to get into a fight with them at that moment.

Q So therefore you did not use any force, you just went with them?

A They are very convincing when they want to be.

Q Would you answer with a yes or no whether you used any force or whether you just went with them?

A I accompanied them.

Q You did not use any force?

A No, I did not use any physical force.

Q And this is what you call kidnapping?

A Taken away against my will, yes, because I told them I didn't want to go, that I had everything ready

25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. = 791-1020

New York, and you say to me that that is not forcing me?

Remember, they have a usual way of intimidating citizens
who don't like to have problems with anyone.

Q Of course, since youwere an Olympic caliber wrestler, you could not be easily intimidated, could you?

A Just imagine that a traffic cop were to stop
me and he asked me for my license and I opened the door,
I started kicking him because I am an Olympic wrestler and
I don't want to go with them and I don't want to show him
my license. Don't give me that stuff.

Q But you told the truth when you said you were an Olympic caliber wrestler, correct?

and you have all my records since I came to this country, and I am sure that you must have checked it out with Castro. I was very well known in Cuba because of that, and since you are used to interchanging intelligence matters, one with the other, and I am sure that the file on me that Castro has and the file that you all have on me here has been interchanged between the two of you, so that you at this time and Castro at this time know completely who Eduardo Arocena is. So I have nothing to hide, and much less about my past.

MR. TABAK: I would ask that everything in that answer other than his response that he had been an Olympic

And my

1

caliber wrestler be stricken.

2

3

MR. AGUILAR: He asked him that and he wants to show the people how a lot of people know that he was such a wrestler and he opened the door wide, your Honor. Now he doesn't like the answer and he wants it stricken, your Honor.

6 7

8

9

5

THE COURT: I will let it stand. The answer has been heard by the jury, and although it went beyond a response to the question as asked, since the jury has heard it, I will let it stand.

10

11

MR. TABAK: I would ask that the witness be directed to respond to the questions and not to volunteer any other information.

12 13

any other information.

14

suggestion to you is that if you wish to keep the witness

THE COURT:

you wish to pursue the matter.

been put by the prosecutor.

16

from responding at greater length than you desire, that you

Yes, I will do that.

17

ask for a yes or no answer and then if he says he can't

18

answer the question yes or no, make a decision whether

19

20

Mr. Arocena, I do direct you to listen

21

to the questions and then answer the question as it is

22

asked. If there is something else, your attorneys will

23

have the opportunity on redirect examination to ask what

24

they believe should be asked after the questions which have

25

1 Do you understand? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Very well. 4 (Continued on next page) / 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19			rm	ja	8		Ar	ocena	- cro	s			2381
3 THE COURT: Very well.  4 (Continued on next page)  7  8  9  10  11  12  13  14  15  16  17  18  19  20  21  22  23		1				Do y	ou un	derst	and?				
4 (Continued on next page) / 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 19 20 - 20 - 21 22 23 ,		2				THE	WITNE	ss:	Yes,	your	Honor.		
T1 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 19 22 23 1		3				THE	COURT	': V	ery we	11.			
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		4						(Cont	inued	on nex	kt page)		<b>y</b>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Т1	5											
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23		6											
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		7											
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		8					×.					•	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		9											
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		10											
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		11											
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		12											
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	,	13											
16 17 18 19		14											
16 17 18 19		15					•						
17 18 19													
18 19													
19													
21 22 23													
21 22 23													
22 ,													
23													
									,				
		24							<del>,</del>				NAS 4
25	<b>\</b>												

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	າແກ້ຂ	Alocena - closs
2	Q	Mr. Arocena, would you answer with either a
3	yes or no	whether you received any kind of martial arts or
4	karate tra	ining?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Now, isn't it a fact, Mr. Arocena, that the

very night of the day in which you claim you were kidnaped you drove alone in your car to your mother's house for dinner?

Please answer with a yes or no.

Α Yes.

And isn't it a fact, as you testified yesterday, that although you thought there might have been a finder in the car, that you never saw one?

I have problems with that answer.

Did you see a finder or a beeper in the car that you drove to your mother's home on Sunday evening, September 26, 1982? Yes or no?

THE COURT: I thought he answered that question yesterday, in response to a question which I asked him, and he said he did not see one.

Does counsel recall that?

I think he MR. AGUILAR: Yes, your Honor. said they were hard to spot, but he did not see one.

THE COURT: Did the jurors hear that?

25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

1	Needless to say, if the witness wants to
2	enlarge on his answer or change it, he may do so.
3	MR. FERNANDEZ: It is on Page 2230, your Honor.
4	It was asked and answered.
5	BY MR. TABAK (Continuing):
6	Q You don't wish to change that answer from
7	yesterday?
8	A No, sir. It is exactly the way I answered
9	yesterday.
10	Q Now, you also testified yesterday, on Page 2235
11/	of the transcript, that when you drove to your mother's
112	house that night you gave the FBI a hard time and you made
13	them really run for their money.
14	A It's true.
15	Q And isn't it a fact that you lost them but when
16/	you got to the house they were there?
	A I knew they were waiting for me there. I know
18	how they operate.
19	Q And you lost them on the way?
20	A The ones that were following me, yes.
21	Q Mr. Arocena, why didn't you just get out of
22	the car and leave if you lost them?
23	A Because it was a very short distance at that
24	point.
<b>2</b> 5	Q That was in New Jersey?

Α I was already in the area of Newark. Knowing 1 how they operate, my chances were too limited, too limited. 2 Besides, we must take into consideration that 3 I could have gotten out of the car, that they would locate the car, but I refer to the time it would take for them 5 to locate the car and to the time for them to locate me 6 in the area would be a matter of minutes, and I wouldn't 7 have had enough time to get away. I know how they function, and I would have to wait for the opportune moment, and that's exactly 10 what I did when I got to Miami. 12 I didn't run away when I was there. I think I have proven my point. 13 0 Weren't you being surveilled in Miami? 14 More than you could imagine. Α In Miami? 15 And yet you escaped in Miami, but yet you 16 didn't try to run away when you lost the surveillance 17 in New Jersey. 18 You can answer with a yes or no. 19 I'm not sure about that answer. Α 20 Q Now, while you were driving to your mother's 21 22 house on September 26, 1982, you didn't stop at a phone booth to call for a lawyer or call for help, did you? 23 Please answer with a yes or no. 24 Α No, sir. 25

1	Q And of course that day when you were at the
2	FBI you had repeatedly demanded a chance to call a lawyer,
\$	didn't you?
8	A Yes, sir. They had not drugged me at that
5	point.
6/	Q But when you were driving from Manhattan to
7	New Jersey, you never stopped at a pay phone to make a
8	call?
9	A The agents were right behind me up to the
10	entrance of the Turnpike at Newark. That's where I lost
11	them. They made a wrong turn, and in the circle there,
12	there that's where I lost them, because that's the area
13	where I work, and that's where I was able to get away
14	into a side street.
15	Q But you didn't go to a pay phone and call
16	for a lawyer or call for help, did you?
17	A I didn't have time enough for anything.
18	Q And while you were driving you never honked
19	your horn or anything else in Manhattan to attract
20	attention, did you?
21	A To call whose attention?
22	Q Anybody's attention, since you were being
23	drugged and kidnaped and everything else?
24	A I didn't have any reason to call the attention
25	of anybody in the street. I wanted to get in touch with

1	my lawyer, somebody who knew something about my case,							
2	and what I actually did was to do what I did, and that							
3	was to escape from you.							
4	Q But not in New Jersey or New York?							
5	A If I had enough opportunity I would have done							
6	it, but you tried very hard to keep me from doing it.							
7	Q Now, it was very important for you to reach							
8	a lawyer, wasn't it?							
9	A Considering the circumstances, yes.							
10 /	Q Now, please answer with a yes or no whether							
11	your mother had the instrument known as a telephone at							
12	her house that night.							
13	A Yes.							
14	Q But you didn't call a lawyer, did you?							
15	A No, sir.							
18	Q Even though you continued to meet with the FBI							
17	the next several days between New York and Miami; isn't							
18-	that a fact?							
19	A In the first place, remember now, it was							
20	Sunday morning. In the second place, when I got back							
21	to Miami it was already late, and when I began on Tuesday							
22	you had already put surveillance on me.							
23	Actually, my situation was not a question of							
24	going to the lawyer. It was the getting away from you.							
18_	that a fact?							

Q

And you knew very well that the person who

25

might help you to see that justice was done would be a But you didn't call a lawyer, did you? Later on I did try to call a lawyer. But on Sunday, September 26th, at your mother's house, you did not call a lawyer, did you? With the offices closed, how was I going to And you didn't ask your mother to call a lawyer for you the following day, did you? My family doesn't know what was going on. I have I had no reason to worry them with that problem. That is because you never were kidnaped --MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I think he should THE COURT: Finish your answer, and then you I have never involved my family in anything, so I had no reason to tell them what happened. my problem with you, and I tried to solve it in the best

I tried to warn my companions and my compatriots what you are planning, what your plans were and

to warn them of them of the witch hunt that was after me and everything that you did afterwards.

It worked out, because fortunately you haven't been able to destroy it all. You have kind of destroyed about half of the exile group, which has nothing to do with anything, but you didn't destroy everybody.

I think that at least I cooperated somewhat in that.

- Q Are you completed with your answer?
- A More or less.
- Q Well, is there any more you would like to say in response to that question?
  - A Is there anything else you want to know?
- Q Yes. I would like to know and perhaps the jury would like to know why you didn't even ask your mother to try to get in contact with a lawyer the following day for you?

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, he has already answered that question.

THE COURT: I will let him go further.

If there is anything else the witness wishes to say, I will allow it.

A I told you previously and you know better than anyone that not my mother but my wife, who lives with me, never, has never known anything about what I do.

23

25

Α

0

I have never involved anybody in my family 1 in anything. I had no reason to get her involved in . 2 what what was happening to me at that time. 3 My mind was a bit confused. I didn't know exactly what was happening at that time. I was trying 5 to coordinate my ideas, and I tried to deal with the 6 situation the best that I could. 7 8 I think that's the best thing that I did. 9 Isn't it a fact that after the Court appointed 10 Mr. Walpin for you you had your wife get a lawyer for you: Mr. Orta? 11 12 I did not understand that very well. Isn't it a fact that after the Court had 13 0 appointed Mr. Walpin to represent you, you asked your wife 14 to find another lawyer, and she found Mr. Orta, another 15 16 lawyer, for you? 17 That's true. Now, Mr. Arocena, you testified yesterday that 18 after you had dinner with your mother that day you saw two 19 20 friends of yours? 21 Yes. Α 22 Did you see them at your mother's house or some Q 23 place else?

Who were these two friends?

In the backyard of the building there.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

	9mps	Arocena - cross	2397
1	A	I don't remember at this moment.	
2	Q	But you warned them about your sit	tuation;
3	right?	<i>,</i>	
4	A	About what you were trying to do,	yes.
5	Q	And you didn't ask them to get you	u a lawyer,
6	either, di	d you?	
7	A	I told them what was happening, the	hat you had
8	taken me t	o New York by force and that you had	d drugged me
9	and that y	ou were following me and that you w	ere outside
10	and that y	ou wanted me to go back.	
11		That's what I told them.	
12	Q	And of course you didn't ask them	for any help
13	of any kin	d, did you?	
14	A	When they asked me what I wanted	from them, I
15	told them,	nothing, absolutely nothing.	
16	Q	And that is because you didn't re	ally need any
. 17	help, sinc	e you had not been kidnaped or drug	ged, had you?
18	A	No. I just didn't want them to	get involved
19	in anythir	ng either and to give you the opport	unity to get
<b>2</b> 0	two more v	vretches.	
21	0	So you did not ask them to try to	get a lawyer
22	for you or	r other help for you?	7
83		MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I will	object.

He has already testified, no, he didn't ask them to get

24

25

a lawyer.

1	THE COURT: I think he did. All right.					
2	Let him respond again. I thought he did, too, frankly.					
3	A I told them about the situations that existed,					
4	and that's all.					
5	Q Now, on Monday, September 27th, when you					
6	flew home to Miami, you stayed at your own home that night					
7	correct?					
8	A Yes, sir.					
9	Q And there were no FBI agents inside the house					
10	with you, were there?					
11	A It would have been a rather serious problem					
12	for my wife, but they were outside. Two cars.					
13	Q The questionis, were there any agents inside					
14	the house that night?					
15	A They may have been in the yard of the house,					
16	but there were two cars outside.					
17	Q The question is, and I think you can answer					
18	with a yes or no: Were there any FBI or other Government					
19	agents inside your house that night?					
<b>2</b> 0	A Outside the house.					
21	Q Were there any inside the house?					
22	A They weren't under the bed.					
23	Q Were there any inside the house?					
24	A Not that I saw.					
25	Q Did you have a telephone in your house?					

1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q Did you call for a lawyer or for any other kind
3	of help?
4	A First of all, I knew that my telephone was
5	already bugged by you, and in the second place my lawyer's
6	office was closed.
7	In the third place, I had already decided that
8	I had to leave.
9	At any rate, I did not need a lawyer nor ten
10	lawyers. I just had to get away from you, and that's
11	what I did.
12	Q And that's why you went to your office the
13	following morning, because the FBI couldn't find you there?
14	A No, sir. I went to my office to put my
15	matters in order, to pick up certain documents that I had
16	there, and I noticed that the office had been opened, and
17	I noticed that certain papers had been shuffled, and I
18	noticed, I had set the numbers on the copying machine, and
19	I noticed that they had made two hundred or three hundred
<b>2</b> 0	_extra copies on it, and no one works at my office.
21	You all entered there. You took my papers
22	and you took the extra copies that were there. You knew
23	what you were doing.
24	Q Are those the Omega 7 documents that have been
25	introduced at the trial?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	ļ
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
<b>2</b> 0	
21	

23

25

A No, sir. My legal papers and the papers that I used in my office, the normal ones, the legal white papers, and I usually had quite a supply of them there.

Q Now, that same day, Tuesday, September 28th, 1982, you drove on your own to meet the agents at the Ramada Inn; is that correct?

A When I left my house, the Federal agents followed me to my office. When I got there, I saw the cars parked, and when during the morning when I was at the office, Agent Wack called me, and he told me what room he was in, and he told me what time he wanted me to go there and meet them and for me to be there punctually and to try not to be late.

Q Will you please answer with a yes or a no?

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, the man is trying to answer the question.

THE COURT: He has a right to ask the question that way, and the witness should respond yes or no or he cannot answer the question that way.

MR. AGUILAR: I think he is making a sincere attempt to answer the question.

THE COURT: Don't editorialize on your belif.

You are thrusting your opinion into the case. He is speaking words. If the jury believes he is answering the question to the best of his ability they will accept that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

13

15

16 \

18

19

**2**0

21

23

24

If they don't, they will reject it.

It is not for you or me to evaluate his credibility.

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am not trying to argue with the Court. I am just trying to say he is trying to get to the answer.

THE COURT: Let's get on with it.

Q Please answer yes or no:

Did you drive alone in your car to the Ramada

Inn that day?

A Yes, sir.

Q And did you -- and please answer with a yes or no -- meet with the FBI agents there that day?

A Yes, with a whole bunch of them.

Q And did you -- please answer yes or no -- excuse me. Was this two days after you had been kidnaped and drugged?

A It was a Tuesday.

Q So since you were kidnaped and drugged, according to your testimony, on Sunday, this was two days later; is that correct?

A Yes. A Tuesday, yes, sir.

Q And you did not make any attempts to have a lawyer with you there with the FBI; yes or no?

A I answered you previously that my intention

25

ET2

was not that of looking for a lawyer but of looking for the chance to get away, and I don't know whether you realize that, but it is probable if you would analyze my telephone calls you would know it was very difficult for me to get in contact with my lawyer, Villa Lobos, very difficult to try to make an appointment with him, and actually I didn't want to lose any more time and to give you the opportunity to know what my intentions were, because you let me know why clearly you don't have the slightest chance with a lawyer; you've got to cooperate with us. We are going to give you all you need so that you will cooperate with us.

(Continued on the next page.)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

Α

Isn't it a fact, sir, that the only time you 0 1 ever met personally with me before you ran away was in 2 the grand jury where I read you all your rights, including 3 the right to a lawyer? 4 And isn't it true, Mr. District Attorney, that 5 you are the one who is in charge of the grand jury? 6 MR. TABAK: I ask that the answer be stricken. 7 MR. AGUILAR: I ask that Mr. Tabak not arque 8 with the witness. 9 THE COURT: He is not arguing with the witness. 10 He asked that the answer be stricken. The witness has 11 not completed his answer and it is the normal practice 12 in my court to let the witness complete his answer, 13 and then you can move as you seem appropriate. 14 Let's have the question and answer read up 15 to this point, but the answer must respond to the question 16 he asked. 17 / Record read) 18 THE COURT: Isn't that the only time you met 19 face to face with Mr. Tabak? 20 THE WITNESS: Yes, but he didn't let me 21 22 finish. 23 THE COURT: You may finish. THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 24

And isn't it true, Mr. Tabak, that you are up to

2

3

5

6

7

8

**2**0

21

22

23

24

25

date on all of the investigation regarding me, that the day that I appeared before the grand jury you had all of the information regarding me for quite some time back, because you already knew quite some time before that who Eduardo Arocena was, because Mr. Wack and the federal agents were giving it to you and you were already preparing the set-up for me on that occasion, because you knew perfectly well who Eduardo Arocena was? Even more than that, you even knew the questions you were going to have to ask me, indeed, even the questions that you knew you could catch me in perjury with, because you knew well that the identity of Medina had to do with the government of the United States, and when you asked me, knowing that I had been turned over, I had to protect it and that is what I did, and that I had been trained in explosives and trained in underwater demolition and trained in arms and

because you knew very well who I was, because for that reason I didn't need a lawyer at that time, because I thought that I could act like a normal person, like any other person, and I tried to be as honest as possible, but you knew exactly who I was and you knew how to catch me in that situation, because the trap was already prepared,

trained in infiltration and trained in many other thing,

and I had to protect all of that.

not only for me, but for all of our compatriots. 1 Now you can go on with your game. 2 MR. TABAK: Your Honor, I ask first that 3 everything in the answer except the word "yes" be stricken 4 and I ask that the witness be ordered and explained the 5 consequences of disobeying the order, to answer only the 6 questions. MR. AGUILAR: May we have a side bar? 8 9 THE COURT: No. Let me hear the question. (Question read) 10 THE COURT: Mr. Arocena, you gave a long 11 answer. Can you answer Mr. Tabak's question, which was 12 just read back -- can you answer that question yes or 13 no, and if you want it read back once again and 14 translated, I will have that done. 15 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, how can I answer 16 Mr. Tabak, give him an answer, in the way that he asks 17 me? 18 THE COURT: That is my point. If you cannot 19 answer the question he asked yes or no, just say that you 20 can't. 21 THE WITNESS: I am trying to give the best 22 possible answer, but he takes me and he corners me in a 23 certain way, and I am afraid to lie here. 24 It is very important, obviously, THE COURT: 25

મુઇ

that you tell the truth. You are under oath, and the jury as well as the court expects you to tell the truth.

If he asks you a question where he says,

"Answer yes or no," I give you a third choice: You either

answer yes or you answer no or you say, "I can't answer the

question yes or no." Then he can ask either another

question or he can drop the matter.

Do you understand?

THE WITNESS: Now I do understand.

THE COURT: We will have the question that was asked by Mr. Tabak a few minutes ago read back to you, with my comments to you in your mind. All right?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: We will strike at this point the prior response, and have the question re-put to the witness.

(Ouestion read)

A Yes, sir.

Q Mr. Arocena, you testified yesterday, and I am referring to pages 2289 and 2290 in the transcript, that after you were sent to Otisville, after you were arrested, and I am quoting from line 21, "You never allowed the press to go up there and visit me and you know that there were many times that I asked to see the press, so that I would be able to speak to them, to tell them about all the

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

abuse you were committing against me and all the violations you were doing against me," and so on.

MR. AGUILAR: I object. If he wants to refer to that, I think he should read the whole answer, which begins on page 2289.

MR. TABAK: I will read the rest of the paragraph.

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, that is not fair If he is going to refer to this particular answer, he should read the whole answer, the question and the answer.

THE COURT: Read the whole question and the answer.

The question was:

Would you explain why during all these sessions you never complained before today that you were being drugged?" And then you answer:

"A In the first place, the only judge that I have complained to about my condition and the only judge to whom I brought all my problems is Judge Ward. He has been the only one that I have to thank because he signed an order so that they would permit me to see my family and so that I could speak to my lawyer because you well know that when I was in Otisville, you gave the order so that I would be able to speak to my family only once a month and

22

23

24

25

twice a month with my lawyer, designed by you. I was deprived of all that, and you know that up there I was submitted to this drug treatment and you know that I was stuck in a hole up there and you know that you had me incommunicado up there and you know that you were manipulating my situation on the outside and that you are seeing everybody, making everybody believe that I was cooperating, making them believe that I was one of yours so that you could get confessions out of other companions. And that is the reason you never allowed the press to go up there and visit me and you know that there were many times that I asked to see the press so that I would be able to speak to them to tell them about all the abuse you were committing against me and all the violations you were doing against me, and why I had to wait for five months before I was even charged and why you were submitting my family to the abuses you were submitting them to, that I was deprived of their visit and every time that they went to visit me, you kept them waiting two or three hours just because you wanted to, where you put them through about 20 different abuses."

I have finished quoting at this time. The answer goes on for another two pages, and I would just like to ask about the portion that was read up to this point.

1	Mr. Arocena, isn't it a fact that you were
2	interviewed at Otisville by a reporter from the New York Post
3	at your request? Please answer yes or no.
4	MR. AGUILAR: I object to that question.
5	THE COURT: Overruled.
6	MR. AGUILAR: Thank you, your Honor.
7	A I am going to use the third option which the
8	judge gave me, that is, that I want to explain why.
9	Q Instead of doing that
10	MR. AGUILAR: I object.
11	THE COURT: I will let you do this: First
12	answer yes or no, and then I will ask you the question if
13	you wish to explain why.
14	THE WITNESS: I see.
15	THE COURT: Answer his question yes or no.
16	A No.
17	THE COURT: You did not meet with a reporter
18	from the New York Post at Otisville? The answer is no?
19	THE WITNESS: No, I did meet with a reporter.
<b>2</b> 0	THE COURT: From the New York Post?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: Who came to the prison at Otisville
23	and interviewed you?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, but I want to explain how.
<b>2</b> 5	THE COURT: Now I will let you explain how.

**₩** 

0

MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Go ahead.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

A Indeed, I wanted to speak to the press and explain my situation to them. First of all, I tried to get in contact with our newspapers, and you tried to keep that from happening, starting with <u>Pedro Hernandez</u>. When he tried to see me, you blocked his visit.

of the Miami News, and on numerous occasions she tried to get in touch with me, and youalways, especially you,

Mr. Tabak, would send an order to Otisville so that I would be kept incommunicado, so that the press would not be able to speak to me.

New York Post had the courage to sneak in and give me an interview, and he came in posing as a friend of my sister. When I saw him, I asked him who he was and he told me that he was a newspaperman, that he worked for the New York Post, which made me very happy, and I, basing myself on the time that we had, I gave him an interview and the interview is around here somewhere.

Q Isn't it a fact that the government asked the judge not to permit you to be interviewed unless the judge first explained to you that anything you said

rmjah 9 Arocena-cross 1 could be used against you? Yes or no. Yes, sir. Isn't it a fact that after Judge Ward did 3 explain that to you, that the government no longer objected to you being interviewed, since you understood the 5 situation? Yes or no. 6 7 I would like to explain to the judge, because he 8 doesn't give me a chance to explain things --9 THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 11 12 13

You are asking me whether the judge said all that to me. Indeed, he did, but you forget that those interviews were before I saw Judge Ward, a long time before that, and precisely when I finally came into Judge Ward's presence, already the interviews, not only that of the New York Post but that in Miami, were already there, so that your information is not exact. I suggest that you check it out better.

Mr. Arocena, did you testify truthfully Q yesterday when you testified that there were no interviews with the press when you were at Otisville? Yes or no.

Yes.

But you have just told us you were interviewed at Otisville by the press, didn't you?

THE WITNESS: Your Honor, I would like to explain.

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Go ahead.

manner possible, from the time I was in Otisville, to get in touch with the press, and I even sent letters to the press, and there is a lot of proof of that, and basing myself on the letters and the context I tried to make, you all got scared, because you didn't want my compatriots to know the truth and you tried -- all the time you tried to keep me from making any contact with the press.

Even after having made contact with the press, you tried to force me here not to have any future contact with the press, and it was then when Judge Ward warned me about the consequences of my future statements, that they might be used against me, and basing myself on that, I had to have a lawyer present, because you were afraid that I would say certain things, these very things that I am saying right now.

At that time, I didn't want to say, because
I didn't want to tell anybody what was happening, because
you sent a lot of messengers to find out what I had
against you, because you were afraid of lesing the case,
like you are right this minute. For that reason, you
don't want to even give one inch of credibility to my
things. You are never satisfied when you start piling up
evidence over there, because you are afraid, because you are

1

2

3

5

6

7

8 .

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Let's get it clear on the record. Mr. Tabak doesn't think he recalls the answer, but I do, and I thought he said that there was a point in time when he was at Otisville where someone from the Post

 $A - - Yes, -sir_{\bullet} - - - -$ 

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Does that refresh your recollection that you didn't say one word to the New York Post reporter about having been drugged or kidnapped?

FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK. N.Y. - 791-1020

No, it doesn't. Α

1		MR. TABAK: The government offers Exhibit 23
2	in evidence	•
3		MR. AGUILAR: I object to it, your Honor.
4		THE COURT: Sustained.
5		THE WITNESS: I would like to say something.
6		THE COURT: Well, there is no question pending.
7	Q	Isn't it also a fact that answers that you sent
8	to Karen Pa	yne were published in the Miami News while you
9	were at Oti	sville? Yes or no.
10	А	Clandestinely, yes.
11	Q	They were published publicly in the Miami News,
12	yes or no?	
13		THE COURT: On Saturday, February 4, 1984?
14		THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
15	Q	It was a very lengthy article, wasn't it?
16	Yes or no.	
17	A	Yes, sir.
18	Q	And at no time did you tell the Miami News
19	that you ha	d been drugged or kidnapped, did you, sir?
<b>2</b> 0	Yes or no.	
21	A	No, sir.
22	Q	You testified on Monday that the reason you
23	came to the	United States as a stowaway from Spain is
24	that the re	d tape of coming legally was taking too long.
<b>2</b> 5	Isn't it a	fact that it was only a few months that you

22

23

24

25

rmjah 14

waited in Spain before you came to the United States illegally? Yes or no.

- A Yes, just a couple of months.
- Q So you decided you didn't have to follow the Immigration laws of the United States? Yes or no.

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am going to object to that.

THE COURT: I will allow an answer, if the witness can answer.

Overruled.

- A In accordance with my situation, yes.
- Q And of course you aleady had gotten asylum in Spain, had you not? Yes or no.
- A Yes, but my final destination was the United States.
  - Q 3 ut you aleady had asylum in Spain, correct?
- A I had asylum through the American Embassy in Morocco.
- Q You also testified on Monday that you opened a handbag that belonged either to Manny Fernandez or Tony Ibarria while they were out of the office getting coffee, and that you photographed an address book that was in there?
  - A Yes, sir.
  - Q Did you have their permission to do that?

Α No, sir.

2

3

Did you have any kind of court order permitting Q you to do that?

4 5

6

The same ones that you have that gives you permission to go in anyplace when you want to, to find out something, when you want to penetrate where the enemy is.

7

8

What judge gave you that court order that you 0 had?

10

9

Α Morality.

11

12

So morality allows you to do things that are against the law?

13

14

15

16

Α If to look for the way to destroy or to look for evidence, to look for information about individuals who are cited, who are mentioned as Castro agents infiltered into the United States and who are in charge of introducing drugs into the United States, and if I have received the order of checking on them and many others, I don't have to mention the name of any judge to

17 18

19

20

And that is because there was no judge, was there?

carry out those missions, and many others.

21

You know very well that in this kind of thing Α there at no judges. When they give you orders to do things, you do them, the same one that Mr. A ack told me.

22

23

24

25

**T**3

mps

1	Q Isn't it a fact that during this very trial	
2	and before the trial you have seen the Court order signed	
3	by a Federal Judge for the wiretap on your phone and that	
4	you have seen the Court order signed by a Federal Judge	
5	for a search warrant of the place you were arrested?	
6	Yes or no?	
7	A Yes. I saw them after about a year. I'm sure	
8	it was quite difficult for you to get those orders.	
9	Q And of course you saw the dates on those orders	
10	were before the wiretap started and before the search	
11	started?	
12	A I haven't seen others which I know were previous	
13	to that as well as I haven't seen other documents that	
14	you haven't wanted to show to me.	
15	There are a lot of things taht you haven't	
16	wanted to show, and you know that quite well.	
17	MR. TABAK: Your Honor, I ask that the answer	
18	be stricken.	
19	THE COURT: I will let it stand.	
<b>2</b> 0	Q Mr. Arocena, you testified yesterday that you	
21 -	legally owned several weapons, including an AR-15 and	
22	an Uzi.	
23	A Yes, sir.	
24	Q You have identified Government's Exhibits 1023	
25	and 1025 as two of the five receipts you had for those	

	zmps	Alocena - closs 241	2
1	weapons; i	s that right?	
2	A	Yes, sir.	
3	Q	And, indeed, when you were before the gran	ıđ
4	jury on Sep	tember 2, 1982, you also produced receipts	
5	for those s	ame weapons; is that correct?	
6	A	Yes. I turned them over to you, and you	read
7	them all.		
8	Q	And they were given back to you that day?	
9	А	Yes, because they were mine.	
10	Q	And as the receipt shows	
11	A	Excuse me. You asked me to give them to	o the
12	FBI so they	would make copies of them, which I did, as	nd
13	then they g	ave them back to me.	
14			
15	Q	Now, is the receipt correct that the AR-1	5
16	cost you \$5	572?	
17	A	Yes. The amounts that appear there. The	hat's
18	what it cos	st.	
19	Q	And did you pay cash for that?	
20	A	Possibly, yes.	
21		And is it correct that the Uzi and access	ories-
22	and the cli	ips and ammunition cost you \$640?	
23	A	Yes, sir.	
24	Q	And once again you paid cash?	
25	А	I didn't have any reason to pay with a cr	edit

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A Yes, sir. Ask your witness. Ask your witness Necuze. He's the one that was keeping the files. He's the one that was in charge of that mini warehouse.

When I was on the run, I went, when my wife wasn't there, I went to my house, and I took all the

weapons. I took clothes and the necessary things, and then I called her later. I told her about it. I told her not to be afraid nor to think that she had been robbed, that I had been at the house.

She said she was going to change the latches, because she did not like the situation, and I told her that was fine, and I never thought about bothering her again, and if I am not mistaken I think the combination is somewhere around there.

All of this landed up in the custody of Mr. Necuze and Mr. Gonzalez, who is a friend of mine, a very fine person.

In some way or other, Mr. Necuze put it all in a corresponding place, and he gave it to you, and he sat right here to testify against me.

He is your witness. All of that, he is the one who produced it. For that reason I am explaining to the jury where these things all appeared from, because everything appeared in my house -- not in my house but in the apartment where you arrested me, because he had the key to that place, because I was reading a bunch of things the other day, and I had been located there already a month, and you had more than enough time, and you prepared the situation there, because you know that I came i and I left the place for several days, and you

0

prepared that situation, and when you arrested me you stuck all of those things in the house, and that's the reason it appeared in a different place, because one single person who helped you, my friend, Gerardo Necuze, your witness.

Q Of course, that is not what hs testimony was, was it?

A I suppose, I imagine he said what you told him to say. Aren't those the rules of the game? Isn't that what you promised him, that he had to say that in order to get what he wanted?

Q Have you read his cooperation agreement?

A I don't think there is a single human being who could possible absorb the enormous amount of papers that were given to a person in such a short period of time like the papers that were given to me.

In practically just about a month in the situation that happened, and everyone has seen me every single day, dragging in that great big suitcase that I have there, and if you go to my cell you will see that I've got a three- or four-foot high pile of documents, apart from the two or three hundred tapes that you brought to me.

Apart from all of the problems, the enormous amount of problems that I have all day, every day with

0

you, I have tried to cover in the shortest possible time without going crazy and to prepare myself the best way I can and to bring myself up to date on everything and to try to deal with the situation.

So that whenever you asked me whether I have seen certain things, unfortunatly sometimes I haven't seen them until the day before or the night before.

For instance, last night I saw the testimony of Justo Rodriguez, because you went home to sleep early last night in your house, but I had to spend almost two hours waiting for them to send me from the MCC upstairs, because you know that that is how they make me sweat, of making you waste time, and I went to bed last night at about two in the morning, checking my papers, to prepare myself the best I could for all this crap that you bring in here.

And for that reason I answered you the best I could, and I will tell the jury where did all this come from.

Are you satisfied with my answer or do you want more?

Q In other words, you thought Mr. Necuze's testimony was so unimportant and so uninteresting to you that you never bothered to read his grand jury testimony and his cooperation agreement? Is that your testimony?

but it is doubtful.

	2417
1	Yes or no?
2	A I would like to answer.
3	Q I will ask if you can answer:
4	Did you ever read Mr. Necuze's grand jury
5	testimony and cooperation agreement, which was provided
6	to you by the Government? Yes or no?
7	A I have not been able to read it.
8	Q Now, whom did you give your Omega 7 documents
9	to, to hide for you?
10	A I have never had any documents from Omega 7.
11	Q We will start with Exhibit 1109, yellow and
12	white tag, and 1109-A and 1109-B.
13	Will you explain to the jury how your finger-
14	prints got on all of these?
15	A Maybe I can explain the origin of that card-
16	board thing.
17	That cardboard, I had quite a few of them.
18	They were not mine. They belonged to my wife. They
19	were for her pinata business.
20	Do you know where they were? They were in
21	my_office, where you broke in and took them and the rest_
22	you all did, and maybe Necuze did or any other one of
23	those people, and then they got my fingerprints, and I
24	have not denied the fact that the fingerprints are on them

	•
1	Q I am going to show you Exhibit 1008, an
2	Omega 7 communique, and Exhibit 1030-C, which is the
3	Anarchist's Cook Book.
4	Did your wife use those for her pinata busi-
5	ness, too?
6	A No, sir. No. I had this book. I bought
7	it at a book store.
8	THE COURT: Indicating Exhibit 1030-C.
9	A (Continuing) And I bought the other one, the
10	Big Brother's Game, which explains all of your techniques,
11	a very interesting book, which everybody should read and
12	which does not show up very often in book stores.
13	Q So the Government didn't put your fingerprints
14	on there; they god there because you had them?
15	A This was in my apartment together with a
16	variety of books.
17	Q And how about Exhibit 1008, the Omega 7 com-
18	munique, which Agent Martin testified had you prints
19	on it?
- 20	THE COURT: Repeat the question, Mr. Reporter.
21	(Question read.)
22	A What was so difficult about this document?
23	Q How did your fingerprints get on there?
24	A I have already explained that you broke into
25	my office, and in my office there was an enormous amount

of boxes of paper and blank paper, and I still doubt
whether my fingerprints are on there, knowing that you
are capable of lifting a fingerprint and putting it
wherever you want, because that is the way you operate.
That's the way you do things.

With regard to this document, anyone could have made it. I go to your office, I can take one of the things that you use, a blank one. I look for a typewriter, and I write what I have to write, and I take one of these stickers, I stick it on the top, and I say "Mr. Tabak", because it's got Mr. Tabak's prints on it.

Come on. I thought that you could do something better than that.

Q Of course, you never had an Omega 7 communique, because you never were a member of Omega 7?

A Let me tell you something.

Having heard the testimony of Mr. Wack, as careful as I was, because it seems because I wrote it with balloons on my hands, I used gloves -- I was a professional. I didn't leave any kind of fingerprints, and there are also four or six sets of gloves.

Don't you think if I were Omar in eight or ten
years that you would never have been able to get a single
fingerprint of that person or any of his members, that I
was going to suddenly appear with this amount of finger-

1 prints, so easy?

Q So your testimony is you were never a member of Omega 7?

A I told you before that I don't have the privilege, the honor to be a member of Omega 7, because for me it would be an honor.

I don't care what you say. That is what I feel for them.

Q And you have always supported Omega 7, what it does?

A Yes, from deep down in my heart, and about that I will take any consequences that I have to take, and if you want to find me guilty for that, fine, and if you don't, let the trial finish right here and now, because I don't feel at all ashamed to that, because as a Cuban, as an expatriate, which I am, and as an anti-Communist and as a fighter, which I am, I feel very proud of that group as well as of many other clandestine groups, and all they do is fight against Communism and to be allies of this country and to try to destroy Communism and to fight against Imperialist Russia, the very ones who are trying to destroy this country.

Unfortunately, you don't do anything against them. On the contrary, you are trying to destroy those who are struggling against them.

0

Q In other words, you believe that people who blow up buildings and murder people in the United States should not be prosecuted as long as they don't like Castro?

A I think that people who violate the law in the United States, if they are captured they should be judged in accordance with the situation.

In our case, and I am referring to the case of Cubans, it should be remembered that the situation that we are in is not our fault. It has been provoked by the American Government because of the pact which they signed, where they miserably tied our hands trying to keep us from carrying out our duty to free our country from the hands of the red tyrant and from a foreign power which has the Island completely covered with missiles and nuclear arms, and invading armies from other countries, pointing where? Pointing at the United States, looking for instructions at any time.

And who are we? The only ones who can stop that, and they don't want to give us the opportunity, and for twenty-five years they have been betraying us.

And what do you want us to do? Surrender?

We are not going to. As long as there is an exiled

Cuban we are going to go on fighting, and they will go on

living here, and in any court of the United States, and

the juries will go on, because we will go on no matter

2	A	2	2
Z	4	4	4

mps Arocena - cross

1 what the price is.

ET4 2

That's all.

(Continued on the next page.)

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERN U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

0

Q So, in other words, you agree that the
United States Government should prosecute murderers and
bombers in the United States, but you just feel that we
have the wrong man this time?

A It would be very easy for me to say that you made a mistake, which is true. You say no, but luckily for me, my luck is not in your hands; I put it in the hands of the jury. They are the ones that have to make the decision.

Q But you agree completely that the United States

Government should prosecute people who commit murders

and bombings in the United States, is that correct? Yes

or no.

A In accordance with the law, yes.

Q Can you explain to the jury why out of 220 million people in the United States, we have picked on you?

that you and an elite group, in order to cover up a series of situations, used me as a cornerstone and as a squealer. I am not the first one, nor am I the last, and the example has been given before, and even at this moment, and will continue in the future, because all of this is part of a plan so that you can get out of it the way that you are trying to, so that you can comply with the pact

that you have signed, so that you can cover up your own cowardice, becasue you don't have the nerve to fight against Communism, because there are groups that do have the courage to do it and you are the ones that put them into difficult situations and you cannot allow that because one has to respect pacts.

You are the ones that respect pacts. The United States respects pacts. One part of the United States forces them to respect pacts, precisely, the Communist allies. The Communist allies are the ones that force these pacts to be respected.

Q And why did the United States Government pick on you instead of Pedro Remon or somebody else?

A decause, simply, when everything began,

I was supposed to instead of testifying -- I was supposed
to have taken the Fifth Amendment, because the plan is to
put all of the heads inside of jail, and when I say
"head," I refer to hotheads, which is the way you refer to
us, we people who are worried about fighting for our
country, revolutionaries and fighters, people who are
concerned about democracy. Those are the ones you call
hotheads, people who don't allow Communists to do whatever
they want to with the United States, people who base
themselves on their own constitution to abuse the
United States, to try to destroy it, to become allies with

the enemy, they create destruction within the United States, and when someone who shows up who is against those forces, they are hotheads, they are opposed to pacts. One has to destroy these people and that started more than ten years ago, after the pact you had to put everyone in jail, and you did little by little, little by little. Isn't it a fact that in September, 1982, you concluded that Pedro Remon had ratted on you and you decided to rat on him and everyone else in the group?

A It is not true. On the contrary, all of the rumors that you started going in the street were completely backwards. You also said that through Pedro Remon you had gotten to me, when the truth is really something else.

You are protecting some people who from since the year 1979 -- some person gave my name and you know who that is, and you changed that person's situation at that time and started pointing at me and pointing at a whole series of compatriots who are in exile.

Don't blame Pedro Remon. Don't blame anyone.

You are the ones who created the situation which is dividing us completely. You showed me papers, you showed me statements, you showed me tapes of conversations with all of these compatriots who were testifying against me and giving information against me and against other compatriots, trying to get me to cooperate, that they were enemies of the

1 United States, that they were even cooperating with Cuba, 2 and I heard you and I told you at that time I didn't want 3 anything to do with that because we Cubans have a pact among ourselves. We do not believe anything until the 5 person sits down here and starts talking. I am telling 6 you so that you will know what the thing is like, so that 7 you will know how we Cubans are, so that you will know how Justo Rodriguez had to feel, and Necuze, when they sat 9 here, and that other crap about Manny Fernandez doesn't 10 interest me because he is nothing but a criminal, and you 11 know who he is. He is a criminal who works for Castro, 12 a confessed criminal who has been ruining this country 13 with drugs, but you are protecting him. 14 0 And that is why you let him in your house 15 where your children live?

> MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, most respectfully, could we have a side bar or a small break? We have a matter that really shouldn't come before the jury. It is collateral to the trial, but we need a break at this time.

THE COURT: We will take a short recess, ladies and gentlemen.

Ladies and gentlemen, please do not discuss the case among yourselves, and continue to keep an open mind. There will be a brief recess, perhaps ten, maybe a few more minutes than that.

21

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

1	(The jury left the courtroom)
2	THE COURT: We will proceed to the side bar.
3	(At the side bar)
4	MR. FERNANDEZ: I will preface my comment or
5	statement by making a comment that the work of the
6	interpreter has been excellent. However, I noted that
7	he has been translating for approximately two hours
8	and in the last response, which got somewhat winded, there
9	were a couple of words that were perhaps crucial and
10	at the same time I thought perhaps he needed a break.
11	I asked the head of the interpreters if it would be
12	proper and she said to take the matter at the side bar.
13	In all fairness to him, the interpretation
14	has been excellent. The one word that gave me a problem
15	was "chivo expiatorio," and the word that the interpreter
16	used or translated was as "squealer"
17	THE INTERPRETER: And it should have been
18	"scapegoat."
19	MS. KOHN: We both agree with that, your
<b>2</b> 0	Honor.
21	THE INTERPRETER: I couldn't stop and go back.
22	I caught it myself, but there was no way to go back.
23	THE COURT: I suggest we correct the record when
24	the jury returns.
25	All right, we will have a brief recess.

(Recess) 1 (Jury present) 2 THE COURT: Mr. Arocena, Mr. Palmer. 3 Ladies and gentlemen, during the recess I had a conference with counsel and our official court 5 interpreter, Miss Dena Kohn, and it was brought to my attention that about five minutes before the recess, in an answer which was interpreted by Mr. Palmer, he interpreted a Spanish word as "squealer." You may remember the answer. It has been agreed that the correct interpretation of the 10 word used by the witness at that point was "scapegoat." 11 Gentlemen, does that accord with our 12 discussion? 13 MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, your Honor, yes. 14 THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Tabak. 15 MR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor. 16 I believe there was a question pending before Q 17 the break. Was there a question pending? 18 (Question read) 19 BY ME. TABAK: 20 I was referring to Manny-Fernandez. - - -21 No, sir. I explained previously what the Α 22 circumstances were. 23 You testified that you were surveilling him, 24

not that he was surveilling you, correct?

		rmjah	7	Arocena-cross	2429
	1		A	That's correct.	
	2		Q	And you knew this man was a drug dealer,	
	3	corre	ct?		
	4		A	Yes, sir.	
	5		Q	And you hate drugs, correct?	
	6		A	With all the strength of my heart.	
	7		Q	And you had that man, with your knowledge	•
	8	insid	le your	house, correct? Yes or no.	
	9		A	That man made a phone call to me against	my
Т5	10	will.			
	11				
	12			(Continued on next page)	
	13				
	14				
	15				
	16				
	17				
	18				
	19				
	20				
	21				
	22				
	23				
	24				
	25				

20

21

22

23

24

25

mps Arocena - cross 1 Q Did you let him in your house? THE COURT: Are you saying that he made a phone 2 call from your house? 3 THE WITNESS: Yes. 4 THE COURT: And is it your testimony that that 5 6 was done without your knowledge? 7 THE WITNESS: No, your Honor. He was outside 8 in the car, and he asked me whether he could call his 9 I tried to put things off, and he told me it was 10 very important for him to call his lawyer at a specific 11 time, and I had no other choice, just out of courtesy and 12 so that Ignacio would not get angry in the future, and so 13 he himself wouldn't get angry for a little thing like 14 calling on the phone. 15 And so, contrary to my will, I let him go into 16 the house and make the call, but I did tell him quite 17 clearly -- but I warned him quite clearly for him not to 18 make any other kind of call.

> I put the packages that I had brought from the store, I put them down, in other words, and I went into the other room to speak to my wife and to give her some money that was necessary for the rent, and during that time Mr. Fernandez took advantage of that to go into the family room in order to make the phone call.

> > Q In other words, you showed him your house and

Q

1	you let him into your house? Yes or no?
•	_
2	THE COURT: He asked for a yes or no.
3	A Yes, sir, but I would like to explain.
4	Q Well, your attorney can ask you on redirect.
5	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I don't think it is
6	up to Mr. Tabak to instruct the witness.
7	THE COURT: I will let him explain now, but
8	not what he just told us. If he wishes to explain his
9	answer -
.0	THE WITNESS: As you know and based on the
1	relationship with Mr. Fernandez, when I took him into my
2	house I went round and round so he would not know where
3	my house was, and the way I went in was so that he would
4	not be able to see the number on my house, because I was
5	not the least bit interested in Mr. Fernandez knowing
.6	where I live, because I had no intention of letting
7	Mr. Fernandez go into my house.
.8	All I had to do was to arrive at the house
9	and leave the packages which my wife needed and leave the
00	money for the rent, the money that she needed to pay the
1	rent and leave immediately.
2	Q Is there a street sign on the corner at your
3	house?
4	A It's covered with political propaganda paper.

Is there a street sign by your house that bears

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 the name of the street?

A It's covered with strips of political documents.

Q And you remember on that day, two and a half years ago, it was covered so Mr. Fernandez would not be able to see what street you lived on?

A In the way that I drove him there, yes. In the way that he saw later, at my reference, and I made reference to that later when you asked me, I said that the Government had taken him later on there for him to find out where I lived, because I am very sure that Mr. Fernandez up to that point did not know where I lived.

Q The fact is that this street sign was uncovered on the day he was there?

A No, sir.

Q You remember that from two and a half years ago?

A Well, I saw it every single day when I went to my house.

Q And did you have the number of your house outside your house?

A It's in a position that people can hardly realize it's there, because everyone who goes there looks for the number stuck on the wall.

Q But it is outside the house?

1	A It is on a black sign. You have to go
2	around to the side and look at it.
3	Q And of course you didn't run on ahead and
4	cover the number so Mr. Fernandez couldn't see it, did
5	you?
6	A No, but the sign is over here, and I came in
7	this way. It's impossible to see the number, because
8	my driveway is circular.
9	Q And of course when you were driving Mr. Fernande
10	around to make sure he couldn't see any other street signs
11	that were uncovered, you blindfolded him; is that right?
12	A No, but I was looking at his eyes and I was
13	talking to him, to be sure that he wasn't looking at any
14	other place, because he understood very well what the
15	message was.
16	THE COURT: Could we stay with that just a
17	moment?
18	MR. TABAK: Yes, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: He went into your house and used
<b>2</b> 0	your telephone to call his lawyer?
21	THE WITNESS: That's what he told me, your
22	Honor.
23	THE COURT: Was there a number on your tele-
24	phone?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes.

1	THE COURT: Very well. Proceed.
2	THE WITNESS: Right on the telephone.
3	THE COURT: Right on the telephone was your
4	telephone number?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes.
6	BY MR. TABAK (Continuing):
7	Q Before Mr. Fernandez made the telephone call,
8	did you take some black electrician's tape and cover the
9	telephone number so Mr. Fernandez couldn't see the number?
10	THE COURT: He didn't say anything like that.
11	Why don't you leave that alone.
12	You don't have to answer that, Mr. Arocena.
13	Next question.
14	Q Mr. Arocena, you testified that Enrique Castro
15	is a friend of yours.
16	A Yes, sir. He is a good person. He is a
17	person I have known for a long time. He is a person who
18	has a very solid reputation in the community. As far as
19	I know, and I have known him for quite some time, he has
<b>2</b> 0	had different businesses in different places, and he is a
21	man who is accustomed to helping everyone, and he is a
22	person who you were precisely interested in hurting.
23	I don't know for what reason. But as far as I am con-
24	cerned Mr. Castro is a fine person, a very good person.
25	+ t'/x  O Now, you recall that on the day that Mr. Huber

I was making, yes.

1	
2	,.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
<b>2</b> 0	
21	
99	

23

24

25

Garcia was murdered, when you got the parking ticket
near the Cuban Mission, that was because you were making
a phone call to Mr. Enrique Castro: is that true?

A Possibly, among some of the phone calls that

Q And on that tape that has been placed before the jury, where you told Mr. Wack about Mr. Enrique Castro's drug operations and other activities, that was also a fabrication, that tape?

A Absolutely. I deny that absolutely. You have gotten that from some other source, because you wanted to hurt that man, and I don't know for what reason.

Q I show you Government's Exhibit 2, the advice of rights form from September 25, 1982. That is your signature; isn't it?

A Yes, sir.

Q And I show you Exhibit 3, which is the advice of rights form in Spanish from September 25, 1982. That is your signature on it, is it not?

A Yes, sir.

Q I am going to show you Exhibit 4, which is the advice of rights form from September 26, 1982. Is that your signature?

A Yes. They all look quite a lot like my signature.

1	<del>-</del>
1	Q I show you Exhibit 5, which is the advice
2	of rights form from September 25, 1982. Is that your
3	signature?
4	A Yes. It also looks like my signature.
5	I would say that it is my signature.
6	Q I show you Exhibit 623, which is the advice of
7	rights form from September 28, 1982.
8	Is that your signature?
9	A It looks like my signature.
10	Q I am going to show you Exhibit 624, which is
11	the Spanish advice of rights form from September 26, 1982.
12	Is that your signature?
13	A It seems to be my signature.
14	Q I show you Exhibit 631-A, which is the per-
15	mission to search in Spanish from September 22, 1982.
16	Is that your signature?
17	A No. This does not look like my signature.
18	Q Isn't it a fact that on all these dates in
19	September 1982 you told the FBI all about yourself and
<b>2</b> 0	Omega 7 and that you have made up everything you have
21	said about Mr. Necuze, about being drugged and kidnaped?
22	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I am going to object
23	to it as a compound question.
24	THE COURT: Yes. It is a compound question.
25	I think the form is inappropriate. Break it down, and you

1 may inquire.

0

2 MR. TABAK: I will use the second half of the question.

Q Isn't it a fact that you are trying to blame
Mr. Necuze for things that you did?

A No, sir.

MR. TABAK: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: I have just a few, before the redirect examination.

Mr. Arocena, you testified yesterday at Page 2334, beginning at line 23, talking about a conversation that you had with Mr. Wack, and you said, beginning on line 23, to Mr. Wack:

"I know all about you, except that I trusted you too much. I thought you were loyal. I thought you were decent in certain aspects, and I was trusting, and that is what hurts, and a lot of people are going to get a bad impression. That is the reason I am here, so my whole country will know how you work, what your tactics are like, what you do with us, so that no one will be confused in the future and that they will never be able to set this trap again, and especially what you do with our families, how you terrorize them, how you bug the telephone, how you even get into our mail, how you interfere

1	with everything, how our private life has stopped
2	existing for everything."
3	Did you say those things to Mr. Wack on the
4	telephone?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: You indicate here that you trusted
7	him, and my question to you is:
8	When did you stop trusting Agent Wack? Was
9	it before or after September 26, 1982, when you say you
10	were drugged by the FBI?
11	THE WITNESS: I refer to trusting him, I refer
12	to the way in which they have acted, what they mean, that
13	I never thought that they were capable of doing such a
14	thing.
15	THE COURT: Did there come a time when you
16	stopped trusting him, or do you trust him today?
17	THE WITNESS: I do not trust him, but I do
18	trust in the rest of North American justice, which is
19	what I am trying to point out here.
<b>2</b> 0	THE COURT: You told gs that. In any pvent,
21	you indicate there did come a time, some time before
22	today, when you stopped trusting Mr. Wack; is that
23	correct?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

THE COURT: Before you stopped trusting Mr.

Wack, did you give him any information which you thought would help in your fight against Castro and Communism?

Yes or no?

THE WITNESS: No, jir.

THE COURT: In other words, you never gave him any information concerning Omega 7 fighting against Castro and Communism; is that correct?

that he had an order from his Government for us to help him to fight against terrorism in the United States,

Communist terrorism, the infiltration, the Communist infiltration, Castro Communist infiltration.

THE COURT: Well, did you help him in this

fight againsq Castro and Communism? Yes or no?

THE WITNESS: No, sir. I simply listened to him.

THE COURT: And you never gave him any information to help him in the fight in which he was engaged; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. The only thing that hurt me was that I had another image of him. I thought that they were our allies and then I saw everything was a lie and what they wanted to do was destroy us, and that is the reason I say that I will never again trust him, nor will I ever trust anyone again.

1	THE COURT: You just said that you thought
2	they were your allies; is that correct?
3	THE WITNESS: The same that I thought they
4	were allies of all of us.
5	THE COURT: When you thought they were allies
6	and you trust them, did you help them? Yes or no?
7	THE WITNESS: No, your Honor. Not in the way
8	that they expressed. I did not help them.
9	THE COURT: Did you help them in some other way:
10	THE WITNESS: No. I simply listened to what
11	he was saying. I listened to him all the time.
12	THE COURT: You always listened and you never
13	said anything?
14	THE WITNESS: I put before them the political
15	statements which I feel as a Cuban. They asked me what
16	I felt for my country. They asked me about the trips
17	that I had made to Cuba. They asked me a lot of things
18	but nothing that would indicate that I should give them
19	information about anybody.
20	THE COURT: What about all the tapes we heard
21	that were played here in court? Wasn't that you speaking
22	with Mr. Wack?
<b>2</b> 3	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, there were normal
24	conversations, normal interrogations that I make.
25	THE COURT: The conversations: Were they the

conversations that we heard here in this courtroom during the trial? Yes or no?

extract things from the tapes. In the way they function they extract the words. They make a questionnaire up, and then they get the answers. They put them all together, and it looks like a normal conversation. That I can do. Anyone can do that with a bunch of different tapes. They know they can do that. They know what I am talking about.

THE COURT: In other words, it is your testimony that this is your voice but that these conversations were changed around? Is that your testimony?

THE WITNESS: On some occasions I recognize my voice. At other times I don't.

THE COURT: When you recognized your voice, were those the words that you spoke at the time?

THE WITNESS: Not in the coordination in which it is set down there.

THE COURT: So it is your voice but the words were changed around? Is that your testimony?

THE WITNESS: Not in all the tapes, your Honor.

THE COURT: In some of them; is that correct?

THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. They have altered the tapes.

XX

1	THE COURT: It is your testimony that it is	
2	Your voice on many of the tapes but that the tapes were	
3	altered by the Government? Yes or no?	
4	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor. That's	
5	correct.	
6	THE COURT: If counsel wishes to make any	
7	further inquiry on cross-examination in view of the ques-	
8	tions asked by the Court, he may proceed. If not, we	
9	will proceed to the redirect examination.	
10	MR. TABAK: I have nothing further on cross-	
11	examination, your Honor.	
12	MR. FERNANDEZ: May I have a minute, your	
13	Honor?	
14	THE COURT: Certainly.	
15	(Pause.)	
16	THE COURT: Incidentally, ladies and gentlemen,	
17	I would like to instruct you that the questions asked by	
18	the Court are asked for clarification. They do not mean	
19	and they should not mean to you that I take a position one	
20	way or another.	
21	You may proceed, Counsel.	
22	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	
23	BY MR. FERNANDEZ:	
24	Q Mr. Arocena, you indicated in one of the answers	
25	on cross-examination asked by Mr. Tabak	

1	THE COURT: I thought he said it right.
2	Let's hear the question.
3	(Question read.)
4	THE COURT: You meant questions asked by Mr.
5	Tabak or Mr. Tabak's cross-examination, but in any event
6	why don't we start again.
7	Q You indicated your destiny when you were in
8	Spain was to come to the U.S. Why did you want to come
9	to the U.S.?
10	A Because in the first place the United States
11	called to us Cubans. They offered United States territory
12	to us to train us so that we could go back to Cuba and to
13	overthrow the Communist regime in Cuba.
14	Q Sir, you indicated that in your apartment you
15	had several books.
16	MR. FERNANDEZ: May I just approach counsel
17	table?
18	A That is the so-called Anarchist Cook Book,
19	which is in evidence. The tag merely reads for iden-
<b>2</b> 0	tification, but I recall we received a large number of
21	exhibits at that time, and they were not actually marked
22	in evidence.
23	MR. FERNANDEZ: 1030-B and 1030-C.
24	THE COURT: I don't think the tags are up to
25	da+e.

1	MR. TABAK: Indeed, we would request, without
2	wasting the jury's time, that all those exhibits received
3	be appropriately marked.
4	THE COURT: Very well.
5	Q The two books, the Big Brother Game and the
6	Anarchist's Cook Book: How did you get these books?
7	A At a place where they sell magazines. It is
8	a public place where thpy sell them.
9	Q A regular bookstore?
10	A Yes. It is a place where they sell newspapers
11	and magazines. It is near my house.
12	Q You read the books?
13	A Yes, in part.
14	Q And you had the books at your house?
15	A Yes. I had a great amount of books. I had
16	dictionaries.
17	Q Now, when you stowed away from Cuba, I believe
18	in 1965, and you left your family in Cuba, who was taking
19	care of your family?
<b>2</b> 0	A My mother and my father, basically they, because
21	I had entrusted them with that.
22	Q These are other family members?
<b>23</b>	A Yes. The rest of the family.
24	Q You didn't leave your wife and kids alone?
25	A No. And besides that, she was living with

ł	-
1	her parents. The only thing that I asked my parents
2	was the part that was up to me, that they help them,
3	and whenever I could I would pay them back for that.
4	Q Now, you indicated that your attorney in Miami
5	in 1982 was Jose Villa Lobos.
6	A Yes, sir.
7	Q Did you have a business car from Mr. Villa Lobos?
8	A I don't remember at this time.
9	Q Did you have his home number, sir?
10	A No, just his office.
11	Q This was in Miami; right?
12	A Yes, in Miami.
13	Q Around the 23rd or the 24th, when you came in
14	from New York, it was late when you arrived?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q You have indicated that you would do anything
17	to help the Cuban cause against Communism. Is there an
18	American cause against Communism?
19	A I don't understand the question.
<b>2</b> 0	Q Is the Cuban struggle against Communism
21	antagonistic to the American cause for democracy?
22	A I still don't understand the question.
23	Q Do you respect the laws of this country, sir?
24	A Yes, all the time.
<b>2</b> 5	Q Do you believe what this country stands for,

sir?

1 2 3 4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
<b>2</b> 0	

21

22

23

25

A	Yes,	sir.

- Q What does this country stand for?
- A Democracy.

MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I have no further questions.

## RECROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MR. TABAK:

Q You just testified that the reason why you came to the United States in violation of the Immigration laws --

MR. AGUILAR: I am going to object. Mr. Tabak is telling the jury that this witness violated the Immigration laws. This man may have legal staqus in the United States. We don't know that. It has never been shown to the jury.

THE COURT: I think you have to go back one step, Mr. Tabak.

MR. TABAK: I will phrase the question differently.

Q You testified when you came to the United States the reason was because you wanted to train and go back to train and fight in Cuba.

A Yes, sir.

Q And I believe on direct examination you also

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

testified that you were interested in geqting your wife and children here to the United States.

A It was the normal practice, because it was human.

Q And that is why immediately upon your arrival you volunteered to go to Vietnam?

A As part of obeying the North American laws I hadto do it, yes, sir, because whenever the North American Government needed me I had to accept that, because that is the way I felt about it, and I still feel that way about it. I don't care what they do.

(Continued on the next page.)

ET6

Œ

1

But you were not a citizen, you just volunteered? Q

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, sir, because I believed in this country, Α and I still believe in this country, and I have been fighting against the Communists and wherever Communism exists, if they ask for my help, especially this country, they will always have me right there.

You have also just testified that you always have obeyed the United States law.

I have been showing it for 18 years and I have never had any problems with the United States, except the situation which I have right now.

Q Isn't it a fact, however, that as is reflected by Government's Exhibit 202-T and by the tape, Exhibit 202, that in a conversation that you placed to Larry Wack on December 19, 1982, you said, "I know it's difficult because I know I broke the law in many places and, you know, I can't do nothing about it, so I have to keep going. If I -- I told you before I don't sorry, I didn't sorry about that, I don't regret --"

Those were your words to Agent Wack?

That is what you arranged on the tapes. I deny Α everything that you put on those tapes. I denied it before, and I am still denying it right now, because you prepared them and you fixed them up and you know very

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TABAK: Your Honor, the government, on rebuttal, doesn't call any witnesses but we at this time offer in evidence Government's Exhibit 325, which was verified or identified at page 1674 of the transcript by Mr. Necuze. This is the cooperation agreement relating to Mr. Necuze, which we believe is admissible because his credibility is attacked, and we cite among other cases

xxxxx

	$\mathbf{i}$
1	United States v. Edwards, 631 F.2d, 1049, a 1980
2	Second Circuit case.
3	MR. AGUILAR: No objection.
4	THE COURT: Received.
5	(Government's Exhibit 325 was
6	received in evidence.)
7	MR. TABAK: I would like to read the last
8	two paragraphs of page 2 to the jury, your Honor.
9	MR.AGUILAR: We object to that, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: He can read the entire document,
11	if you insist, or the two paragraphs which he wishes to
12	read, if you have no objectionto that.
13	MR. AGUILAR: It would be taken completely out
14	of context, and I think the whole document should be
15	read.
16	THE COURT: All right, if you wish to read the
17	document, which is Exhibit 325 in evildence, you may do so.
18	MR. TABAK: I will read the entire document.
19	(Mr. Tabak read to the jury from
<b>2</b> 0	Government's Exhibit 325 in evidence.)
21	MR. TABAK: The government has nothing
22	further, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Do both sides rest?
24	MR. TABAK: Yes, your Honor.
25	MR. AGUILAR: Yes, your Honor.