	ınsjah 7	Cannon-direct
1	GEORG	E CANNON, called as a witness
2	by t	he government, being first duly sworn,
3	test	ified as follows:
4	DIRECT EX	AMINATION
5	BY MR. GR	EEN:
6	Q	Where do you live, Mr. Cannon?
7	А	Miami, Florida.
8	Q	And where do you work?
9	A	I'm self-employed as a private investigator.
10	Q	How long have you been a private investigator?
11	A	Approximately three months.
12	Q	What did you do before that?
13	A	Prior to that, I was Special Agent of the FBI
14	for nearly	y twenty-two years.
15	Q	Now, before you retired from the FBI, where were
16	you assigne	ed?
17	A	In Miami.
18	Q	How long were you assigned to the Miami field office
19	A	Nearly fifteen years.
20	Q	And what was the nature of the work you did in the
21	Miami fie	ld office before you were retired?
22	A	I was assigned to a terrorist unit there.
23		THE COURT: Was it a terrorist unit or anti-
24	terrorist	unit?
2 5		THE WITNESS: Well, we called it a terrorist unit.

I guess to be correct, it should have been anti-terrorist 1 unit. You're probably correct. What was the full name of that unit? Q 3 It had a squad designation, actually. It was a A squad number. And this was just the unit within that 5 6 squad. 7 Now, during the time you were inthe Miami 8 field office, did you work on the investigation of Omega 7? 9 Α Yes, I did. What was your role in that investigation? 10 I was the over-all coordinator of the case. Α 11 In other words, there were several agents who handled the 12 investigation. They had several subjects that they 13 14 investigated or activities. And I would coordinate their investigation, set forth leads and send out communications, 15 that sort of thing. 16 INTERPRETER KOHN: Excuse me, your Honor, could 17 the witness speak up. 18 THE COURT: Move up and take the microphone closer 19 to yourself. Put it right in front of you. Thank you, sir. 20 In other words, you were the coordinator of the 21 investigation which your squad was conducting into 22 activities of Omega 7, is that correct? 23 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 24

0

25

Now, when did you stop working on the Omega 7

investigation?

2

A In late November, early December, 1982. There was a squad change and that's when I finished my part of the investigation or that assignment, that assignment, I should say.

6

5

Q Now, during the time that you were working in the

Α

8

9

7

Eduardo Arocena?

10

Q Do you see him in the courtroom today?

Miami field office, did you have occasion to talk with

11

A Yes, I do.

Yes, I did.

12

Q Would you tell us where he's sitting and what he's wearing?

13 14

MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, we'll stipulate that he knows Mr. Arocena.

15 16

THE COURT: Very well.

17

Q How many times did you talk with Mr. Arocena?

18

A On three different occasions all in September of

19

1982.

Q When was the first time?

21

20

A September 15th. It was at his home in the southwest section of Southwest 14 Terrace.

22

Q With whom did you go to Mr. Arocena's home on September 15th of 1982?

23

Special Agent Robert Lee, who was also assigned to

24

25

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE
FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

msjah 10 Cannon-direct	1181
the Miami division.	
Q Waht was your purpose in going to Mr. A	Arocena's
residence?	
A We were there to interview him, of cour	rse.
But prior to that time, Mr. Arocena had been in 1	New York,
where he appeared before a federal grand jury.	And we
felt that part of the testimony that he had provi	ided a t
that time was perjury.	•
Q Without going into the testimony itself	f, why
don't you just tell us in a general way what your	r reason
for going was?	
A Well, we had hoped to talk to him and p	point out
this fact to him and solicit his cooperation in	the
investigation of Omega 7.	
Q Who was at Mr. Arocena's residence when	n you
arrived there?	
A His wife answered the door.	
THE COURT: What was the date of your	first visit

to his home?

Q

Α

0

And did you speak with him? Q

Yes, we did.

We talked with him briefly, yes. A

THE WITNESS: That was September 15th, 1982.

And after you saw Mrs. Arocena, did you have

T4B

rms

1	Q During the course of your work in the FBI
2	did you ever participate in a surveillance?
3	A Yes, I have.
4	Q What is a surveillance?
5	A It is the following of an individual. It can
6	be a stationary one, where you are watching an apartment,
7	you can follow him while he is walking on foot, or it can
8	be a moving surveillance where he is traveling in an auto-
9	mobile, anything of that nature.
10	Q Approximately how many times have you
11	participated in a surveillance?
12	A I would say 60 to 70 times, maybe more.
13	Q What are some of the methods used by people to
14	avoid surveillances?
15	A They can do a number of things if they feel
16	they are being surveilled. They may vary their speed,
17	speed up, slow down, change lanes, make turns rather
18	suddenly
19	THE COURT: Double back, things like that?
2 0	THE WITNESS: Correct.
21	THE COURT: All the things everybody has seen
22	on television, right?
23	THE WITNESS: Everything that you have seen,
24	your Honor.

Q Prior to the time that you met Mr. Arocena for

1	the first time, in September of 1982, was he ever placed
2	under surveillance by agents of the FBI?
3	A Yes, he was.
4	Q Did you personally participate in surveilling
5	Mr. Arocena on many occasions?
6	A I did.
7	Q Approximately how many times?
8	A Three times.
9	Q With what other agents did you conduct those
10	surveillances?
11	A Again, with Agent Lee, and on another occasion
12	with Special Agent Walzer, W-a-l-z-e-r.
13	Q As best you recall, when did those surveil-
14	lances take place?
15	A I believe they were probably in the late spring
16	or early summer of that year, 1982.
17	Q What happened on the occasions that you
18	participated in surveillances?
19	A On the first surveillance we almost immedi-
2 0	ately lost him. He exited his house and drove around
21	the block and left in a different direction. So that
22	was a very, very short one.
23	Q Do you recall what happened on either of the
24	other two occasions?

On the second surveillance it was myself and

Agent Lee that were involved and we did follow him for a little distance at that time and I believe that it was a good surveillance we were conducting, but Mr. Arocena almost immediately picked it up. He drove up on the Expressway, traveled south for a while, came back, traveled north for a while, got on and off and it became quite apparent he had picked up the surveillance and we eventually discontinued it.

> Do you recall the third occasion? 0

A The third occasion was one where we knew he was going to be at a specific location, a restaurant in We saw him go in and we just watched other cars Miami. going in, took license plates, and it didn't amount to much more than that.

You testified that the first time that you Q spoke to Mr. Arocena was September 15, 1982. When was the next time?

- A On September 28 of 1982.
- How did that meeting come about? 0

Prior to that meeting Mr. Arocena had gone to New York, where he spoke with some of our agents there and discussed matters concerning Omega 7, of course, and arrangements were made then for him to travel to Miami and the agents from Miami would speak to him concerning some of the Omega activities we had in the Miami office

11

12

13

(

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

1	
•	

area, South Florida.

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Where did the meeting on September 28 take place?

Α In a room at the Ramada Inn, just east of the Miami Airport.

When you first met Mr. Arocena on that day, Q who else was present?

Myself, Agent Lee, Agent Wack, from New York, Detective Brandt, from New York, and eventually another one of the Miami agents joined the interview.

- Which agent later joined the interview? Q
- Α That was Special Agent George Kuszynski.
- Of the Miami field office? 0
- Α Yes.
- What happened when Mr. Arocena first arrived there?

He first arrived -- they had separate hotel A rooms, Detective Brandt and Agent Wack, and he went to one of the hotel rooms where Wack and Brandt talked to Myself, Lee and actually Agent Walzer was there also, in the other hotel room. They talked to Mr. Arocenafor a short time and then came over and got us and we went over and were introduced. Of course, we had met already. And then we started the interview.

> Let me show you what is marked as Government's Q

1	Exhibit 623 for identification and ask you if you recognize
2	it?
3	A Yes, I do.
4	Q What is Government's Exhibit 623?
5	A It is an interrogation advice of rights form
6	and a waiver of rights portion on the form also.
7	Q Do you recognize any of the signatures on it?
8	A My signature is on it. I recognize the name
9	of Special Agent Lee and it is signed by Mr. Arocena.
10	Q When was this advice of rights form given to
11	Mr. Arocena?
12	A This was given to him on September 28, 1982,
13	prior to the inception of the interview on that day.
14	Q What happened after it was given to him?
15	A He read it and indicated he understood his
16	rights and signed the waiver portion of it and we com-
17	menced the interview.
18	MR. GREEN: Your Honor, the Government offers
19	Government's Exhibit 623 for identification.
2 0	MR. AGUILAR: May I just look at it for a
21	minute, your Honor?
22	THE COURT: Certainly.
2 3	(Pause.)
24	MR. AGUILAR: No objection, your Honor.
2 5	THE COURT: Received.

1	(Government's Exhibit 623 was received in
xx 2	evidence.)
3	Q After Mr. Arocena signed the advive of rights
4	form, how was the interview conducted?
5	A We proceeded primarily along the oines, asking
6	him about activities, bombings, and so forth, that had
7	taken place in Miami which we thought were that Omega 7
8	was responsible for.
9	We asked him about different individuals we
10	thoguht might have been connected with Omega 7 to see
11	whether they were actually people who were members of the
12	group.
13	Q Who was present during the interview?
14	A Myself and Special Agent Lee and, as I said,
15	Special Agent Kiszynski also came in later.
16	During the beginning of the interview
17	Special Agent Wack and Detective Brandt were there and
18	they eventually left and went back to the other hotel
19	room.
20	Q Do you recall which bombings Mr. Arocena
21	discussed on that day?
22	A He spoke to several. Among them were the
23	bombing of Replica Magazine, the bombing of the Mextcan
24	Consulate, both in 1981, the bombing of the Venezuelan
2 5	Consulate in Miami, and the attempted bombing of the

Nicaraguan Consulate in Miami.

0

2

3

Q What did Mr. Arocena say about the bombing of the Nicarguan Consulate?

A Actually, this was one that did not detonate, the bomb did not detonate in this case. He had said he had constructed the device that was used in that instance and he described it as being composed of a watch, C-4 as the explositive, an electrical firing train, an electrical blasting cap, and he also mentioned -- he described the construction of the device a bit, said that he had soldered one of the leads in the circuit to the neck of the device, of the watch, that is, and he indicated that this would be a trademark of his, that this was normally the way he would construct the device.

I recall in this instance also the face of the clock he had removed in order to do the construction and it was glued back, this time with Krazy Glue, and this was the only time that he had used Krazy Glue.

Previously, in other instances, he used Epoxy. The device was placed in two boxes and newspaper was used as kind of a packing. He mentioned also that it was placed under a television monitor in the Consulate.

When the device didn't detonate, they went back to get it, pick it up, and it was no longer there. It was found, actually, about two weeks later, I believe

0

23

on the 25th, but he couldn't account for the fact how it was missing or where it had been during that period.

Q Do you recall what Mr. Arocena said about the bombing of the Venezuelan Consulate?

A Yes. He said he had constructed that device also. It was a similar device, an electrical device. The explosive this time was composed of not only C-4 but TNT, and again a watch as a timing device was used, a non-volt battery, a Duracell, as the initiator, and an electrical blasting cap.

Q What if anything did Mr. Arocena say about the bombing at the Mexican Consulate?

A He told us that this was also the work of his people, that the bomb originally had been placed there — it was a similar type bomb he had made and it was placed in a box under a couch in the waiting room of the Consulate. Apparently the first time — there was tape of some nature on the box and they tried to stick it on the bottom of the couch and it wouldn't work, because there was dirt there or the tape was not strong enough. So it became necessary to remove it and they taped it or put a stronger adhesive on it and went back and were successful in placing it under the couch in that Consulate.

Q Did Mr. Arocena mention any other bombings that took place that day?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2 0	

22

23

24

25

A He mentioned a bombing had taken place at Replica Magazine, on that same date.

Q The same date as the Mexican Consulate?

A Right.

Q Did Mr. Arocena say anything about explosives that were in Miami?

A He told us there were several hundred pounds of explosives in Miami, I believe it was Geledyne he was talking about, and said that this was obtained or was in the possession of two individuals who worked on the construction site there, crane operators. He identified one of them as El Grande.

Q Do you recall anything else thatwas said about those explosives?

A The explosives that were used on Replica

Magazine -- he said there were two watches used with it,

I recall. There was also a 50 millimeter shell that

was part or the explosive train in that bombing, or

attempted bombing.

Q Did Mr. Arocena say anything about Omega 7 communiques?

A Yes. He told us how he prepared them.

These were typewritten communiques and he said some of them were prepared on these display typewriters in Sears Roebuck, a store like that --

1	THE INTERPRETER: I can barely hear this,
2	your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Please speak into the microphone.
4	What did he say about the notes?
5	A He said the communiques were preapred on a
6	typewriter, for instance, a Sears typewriter, a display-
7	type typewriter, that he would go into the store and
8	type the communique on that on some occasions and on other
9	occasions they would use an old typewriter which he would
10	get rid of after he typed the communique. He would sign
11	them with his name, being Omar, and then he had his own
12	duplicating system, where he would bring that typewritten
13	communique back and they would put the Omega 7 seal or
14	logo on the top and then run them through his duplicating
15	machine so that he had enough copies for whatever he
16	would need.
17	Q What language did the interview take place in
18	on September 28?
19	A In English.
20	Q Were there ever occasions on which Spanish was
21	spoken?
22	A At times when Agent Kiszynski was talking to
23	him he would perhaps lapse into Spanish abit, but they
24	were very, very brief occasions.
25	Q Besides the things that you just spoke of, were

0

1 there other things as well that were discussed during 2 the interview? We spoke to other individuals, of 3 course, that I thought might be -- he might be acquainted 5 with or were members of his organization. He touched 6 briefly on some of the Omega activities in New York and 7 things of that nature. 8 At the conclusion of the interview, what if 0 9 any arrangements were made for future meetings? 10 He was to call Agent Wack the following day. We were going to interview him again on the following day 11 12 and he was to make the arrangements through Agent Wack to 13 come back to the same hotel room and we would continue 14 the interview. 15 Who left that meeting first? 0 16 Mr. Arocena did. A 17 When he left was there any surveillance of him? Q 18 Α No. 19 What was the next time that you met Mr. Arocena? 0 -On-the following day. _ 20 21 Who was present during that meeting? Q 22 Myself, again, Agent Lee, Agent Kiszynski, A 23 Agent Walzer this time, Thomas Walzer, Agent Wack. We were 24 all present during it.

> SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

How did that interview begin?

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$

1	A	Again, it started the same way: Agent Walzer
2	gave him the	e interrogation advice of rights form, which
3	he read and	indicated that he understood.
4	Q	I show you what has been marked as Government's
5	Exhibit 624	for identification.
6		Do you recognize that exhibit?
7	A	Yes, I do.
8	Q	What is Government's Exhibit 624 for iden-
9	tification?	
10	A	Again, it is an interrogation notification of
11	rights form	with a waiver of rights portion on the bottom
12	section.	
13	Q	Do you recognize any of the signatures?
14	A	My signature is on it. I recognize the name
15	of George K	iszýnski and the signature of Mr. Arocena.
16	Q	When was it signed by Mr. Arocena?
17	A	2:27 p.m., September 29, 1982.
18		MR. GREEN: The Government offers Exhibit 624
19	for identif	ication.
2 0		MR. AGUILAR: No objection.
21		THE COURT: Received.
2 2		(Government's Exhibit 624 was received in
23	eviđe	nce.)
24	Q ·	After Mr. Arocena signed the advice of rights
2 5	form, what	was discussed on the 29th?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

21

22

23

24

25

A Again, the topics were almost the same as the previous day, people involved with the Omega 7 organization and bombing activities, and the like, in South Florida.

Q Do you recall which bombings were discussed on September 29?

A I recall he discussed the bombing of the Padron Cigar factory on that date.

- Q When did that bombing take place?
- A Actually, it occurred in July of 1979.
- Q What did Mr. Arocena say about the bombing in the Padron Cigar factory in July of 1979?

A Actually, the bombing was to have taken place in March of that year and it was to take place in coordination with other bombings in New York and New Jersey at the time, and I believe it was TWA, Alamacen El Espanol in New Jersey, Programa Cubano in New Jersey, and what happened on this particular occasion, apparently they didn't have enough personnel to carry out the bombings in Miami and he commissioned an individual named Ramon Sanchez to conduct this bombing and the bomb was placed outside the cigar factory but, for some reason, didn't detonate and Sanchez gave the reason that the wiring had gone loose, or something of that nature, and they retrieved the bomb and Mr. Arocena went back several months later and

completed the bombing.

Padron Cigar factory?

1		
•		

3

2

6

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

Do you remember what if anything he said about the bomb that was placed in July of 1979 at the

He said it was again the same type of bomb, with electrical circuit, a watch, Duracell battery, electrical blasting cap, C-4 as the explosive.

> Were there other things discussed on that day? Q

We discussed other matters again, as Α Yes. before, names that we had, other things that had taken place, to see whether they were his people who had conducted them or whether he was acquainted with any of these people.

- Q What language was the interview conducted in?
- This was in English. A
- Was there some Spanish spoken? Q

There may have been times when it lapsed Α into Spanish, but it would have been very brief.

Were any arrangements made to meet with Mr. Arocena again?

We made arrangements to meet him at A the same location the following day. I don't remember whether the time was set at that time, but we did arrange to see him again the following day.

Did you meet Mr. Arocena again?

ET5A

1	A No, we did not.
2	Q From the time that Mr. Arocena arrived in
3	Florida, on September 27, to the time that he failed to
4	appear for the meeting on the 30th, was he ever accompanied
5	or placed under surveillance by FBI agents or other law
6	enforcement authorities?
7	A No, he was not.
8	Q During that time were any transmitters or
9	any other electrical surveillance devices placed on his
10	car?
11	A No.
12	Q Did you have any contact with Kiriam Arocena
13	after Mr. Arocena failed to appear on September 30?
14	A Yes. Following that we had a warrant for
15	his arrest. We went out to his house to find him and
16	we went through his house looking for him, actually, and
17	that would be the only occasion that I had any contact
18	with Mrs. Arocena.
19	Q Aside from that occasion, did you ever have
2 0	any telephone contact with Mr. Arokena?
21	ANo,-I-did not
22	MR. GREEN: No further questions, your Honor.
23	THE COURT: You may cross-examine, Mr. Fernandez.
24	MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, your Honor.
2 5	

В

1

2

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did you relay a message to Mr. Arocena to call Agent Wack on the 17th, Friday?

A Not that I recall, no.

calling the office.

- Q You don't recall what September 17 is, but we all know it is Mr. Wack's birthday, and I thought perhaps -- MR. GREEN: Objection, your Honor.
 - O You didn't tell him to call on the 17th?
 - A I don't recall telling him that, no.
- Q You are telling this jury that you spoke to him on the 15th and then he called on the 17th, but you had nothing to do with that?
 - A No.
- Q You didn't put any pressure whatsoever on Mr. Arocena to call?
- A I didn't talk to him at all after the interview of the 15th.
- Q Before you spoke to him on the 15th, youdid see his wife?
 - A Yes.
- Q And you spoke to him approximately for fifteen minutes?
- A Yes.
 - Q Well, it is known that on the 17th he called.

 During that conversation on the 15th, you might have

 mentioned in passing that you suspected that some charges were
 going to be brought in against him?
- 24 A Yes.

25

Q Just in passing. Did Mr. Arocena on that occasion

rmjah	4	Cannon-cross
-------	---	--------------

- Q You indicated that you had surveilled Mr. Arocena
 I believe at least on three different occasions, is that
 correct?
 - A Yes.

δ

- Q These three occasions that you surveilled him, what dates were these?
- A I don't recall the dates. As I testified, I believe, to the best of my knowledge, they were in the late spring or early summer of that year.
 - Q Prior to September, 1982?
 - A Correct.
 - Q Who gave you the orders to surveil Mr. Arocena?
- A This was a case where actually you didn't get orders to do something like that. As I say, we felt that it was necessary this time and we felt something might be gained by conducting the surveillance. It was a case where somebody said, "All right, you go out and conduct the surveillance." We were running the case.
- Q Don't think I am being disrespectful, but you thought, "Let's follow Eddie and see what happens"?

 MR. GREEN: Objection.

THE COURT: "Let's see where this one leads."

Tell us briefly how it came about that you determined

to surveil Mr. Arocena in the late spring, early summer of

1982.

rmja	h	5	Cannon-cross
rmijo	111	J	Caminon-CLOSS

- A I believe on these occasions we had developed information of some type that he might be meeting somebody or doing something which would be of interest to us.
 - Q How did you get this information?
 - A Part of it was received, again, I believe, through an electronic surveillance.
 - Q That is because you had wiretaps on his telephone?
 - A That's right.
 - Q Was the other part received from conversations with Agent Wack, agents in New York?
 - A It is possible it could have been, if he made a phone call from his house.
 - Q Did any other people give you information so that you would conduct this free-lame surveillance?

MR. GREEN: Objection.

THE COURT: You could answer yes or no. I don't buy the "free-lance," but "the surveillance."

A You have to understand, the case agent in this case was Agent Lee, and he of course would funnel information through me. He would have received the information saying that this was going to happen, that was going to happen and we perhaps should conduct a surveillance.

In effect, despite the fact that I was

coordinating the investigation, Agent Lee would approach
me and ask if I was available to conduct a surveillance
on that particular date. He would be basically the
individual who would have had the information to which you
refer.

- Q Agent Lee, that is?
- A Agent Lee.
- Q Agent Cannon, when you were conducting this surveillance, how many cars did you all use? How did you go about it?

A Well, there was a lot of work on this case, as you probably understand, and we used what cars were available. On the first occasion, there were three cars that we had, myself, Lee and Walzer, we each had a car. On the second occasion, there was just Agent Lee and myself. On the third occasion, at the restaurant, I don't recall, but there were only a couple of cars at that time. It wasn't necessary, actually, to have too many.

Q These good people in the jury are not familiar with the Miami area. You lost him on the Miami Expressway, and I assume it is I-95?

A I didn't say that.

Q I believe the first time you conducted the surveillance at an unknown time in '82 --

MR. GREEN: Objection.

}	1204
1	THE COURT: It is not an unknown time. It was
2	late spring, early summer of 1982.
3	MR. FERNANDEZ: I am sorry.
4	Q He was going north and south on the Expressway?
5	A I think you are probably referring to the
6	second surveillance. The first surveillance
7	THE COURT: They lost him right away, shortly
8	after he left his home, on the first one. The Expressway
9	situation was the second one.
10	Q The first time where was the Arocena house?
11	A On Southwest 14th Terrace, in the 100th
12	Avenue block.
13	Q Do you know what the main thoroughfare in that
14	area is?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Would it be 16th Street, perhaps?
17	A Actually, either way. If you went north,
18	it would be Southwest 8th Street, and the other way it is
19	16th Street.
2 0	Q He had only two ways to go, 16th Street or
21	8th Street?
22	A That's right.
23	Q So it wasn't that hard to follow somebody?
24	A Actually, if you are going to conduct a
2 5	surveillance, and again I am not an expert, but you don't sit

0

2

in front of his house. There are avenues he could take leading out of there, and you put one car on one avenue and the other on another avenue and the third perhaps sitting a little distance away.

Hopefully, one of the cars would pick him up when he either came east or west, and that car by radio would notify the others and we would all attempt to join the surveillance then.

It is not as easy perhaps as it may seem to you.

- Q It is not easy for two cars, obviously.
- A What happened on that day, he came out and went around the block and came directly at one of the cars and left in an opposite direction, and before that car could turn around and follow him or notify us, the other two, he was gone.
- Q Please tell the people of this jury, is that a very congested area traffic-wise?
- A It is a residential area. Southwest 8th Street is one of the main thoroughfares that goes across the state, actually. It depends on the time of day. If there are people going to work early in the morning it is a residential area and the 100 Avenue is fairly well traveled and the next avenue, 102 yes, it could get fairly congested at certain times of the day.
 - Q It is not like Fifth Avenue?

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GREEN: Objection.

THE COURT: I will allow it, with a yes or no. At least at that time, did you receive information with regard to this meeting from talking to Justo Rodriguez? no, or you don't know.

	rmjah 11 Cannon-cross
1	THE WITNESS: No.
2	Q Did you receive information from Nestor Gomez?
3	MR. GREEN: Objection.
4	THE COURT: At that time, yes or no?
5	THE WITNESS: Could I add actually to it?
6	Q Go ahead.
7	A You have to realize this part of the investigation
8	was I was involved with it but these names you are
9	giving me now, as far as I can recall they were names
10	unknown to me at the time that my part of the investigation
11	was completed. I wasn't familiar with either one of them.
12	Q So in good faith your answer would be no, simply
13	no?
14	A No.
15	Q What about Ernesto Rodriguez?
16	A No.
17	Q What about Gerardo Necuze?
18	A No.
19	Q Did youget information from a Mr. Roberto
2 0	Aguilar?
21	A No.
22	Q What about from Ignacio Gonzelez?
23	A No.
24	THE COURT: I gather you received all of your
25	information from the case agent, Mr. Lee?

	rmjah 12 Cannon-cross 1209	
1	THE WITNESS: Correct.	
2	THE COURT: And he then would ask you to do	
3	something and you would do it, such as the surveillance?	
4	THE WITNESS: That's correct.	
5	Q Let's go now to the second face-to-face meeting.	
6	This was approximately September 28?	
7	A The second interview?	
8	Q Let me backtrack. First it was the 15th of	
9	September?	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q The second time would be on the 28th, at the	
12	Ramada Inn, at the airport?	
13	A Right.	
14	Q Where was this Ramada Inn, about 80th and	
15	Palmetto?	
16	A It is east of the airport. It would be east of	
17	Lejeune Road, I believe.	
18	THE COURT: Lejeune Road is one of the perimeter	
19	roads around the International Airport?	
2 0		
21	Q When you first saw Mr. Arocena at one of the two	
22	rented rooms, how long had he been there?	
23	A I would say about thirty minutes, maybe a little	
24	less about thirty minutes.	
25	Q I believe your testimony was that Agent Wack and	

1		
	rmjah 13	Cannon-cross 1210
1	Detective	Brandt were with him at that time?
2	A	When I first met him, yes.
3	Q	I guess they were having drinks or having a good
4	time?	
5		MR. GREEN: Objection.
6		THE COURT: Well, let's find out what, if anything,
7	they were	doing when you walked in.
8		THE WITNESS: They were not having drinks. As
9	far as I	know, it was all very businesslike.
10	Q	They were not watching TV or
11	A	Not that I recall, no.
12		THE COURT: Were they engaged in conversation?
13		THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	Q	At that time, to your knowledge, was there
15	strike th	aat.
16		At that time, to your knowledge, did Agent Wack
17	or Detect	rive Brandt have any recording devices?
18	A	To my knowledge, they didn't.
19	Q	So that these good people here don't think we are
2 0	backwards	s in Florida

from Florida are the least bit backward. Let's get on with it. We have a Florida attorney and a Florida witness and we have a New York jury.

Nobody around here thinks you people

MR. GREEN: Objection.

THE COURT:

21

22

23

24

25

1	MR. GREEN: Objection to the form of the
2	question.
3	THE COURT: Sustained. The word "accompanied"
4	is what is objected to. Would you just change that
5	word. "In the presence of" is perfectly all right, or
6	anything else you want to say.
7	When you saw Mr. Arocena, was there always at
8	least one other agent present?
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	Q During the time that you were with him, did
11	he ever go to the bathroom?
12	A No, I don't recall him going, no.
13	Q Did he eat?
14	A I can't recall whether it was before he left, but
15	we might have had some soft drinks or some sandwiches
16	brought up. I can't recall whether it was during or after
17	the interview.
18	Q Didhe change clothes?
19	A No.
2 0	Q Did he call his wife?
21	A Not that I remember.
22	Q Did he call his attorney?
23	A If he were to make a telephone call, he would not
24	use the telephone in the hotel room. If he made any
	•

calls, he would go to a pay telephone to do it.

rmjah 17 Can:	n	on	-0	r	0	SS
---------------	---	----	----	---	---	----

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Sustained. I gather it is your testimony that you at that time were not aware of any confidential informants?

THE WITNESS: That is correct.

- Q At that time, did you have access to lab reports on the different crime scenes?
- A Yes. Well, it would depend on how far back they were. The most recent ones, probably not. By "the most recent ones," I mean the Venezuelan and the Nicaraguan. The ones a year previous, we would have had access to those.
- Q You would have had access to some of the information but not all of the information?
 - A Yes.
- Q You had some reports but you didn't have any knowledge of confidential informants?

MR. GREEN: Objection.

THE COURT: I think that has been covered, counsel.

Q Did you go to the Nicaraguan building or consulate in Miami?

FOLEY SOUARE. NEW YORK. N.Y. - 791-1020

- A You mean after the bombing, before?
- Q It wasn't a bombing, right?
- A The attempted bombing.
 - Q But no bomb ever went off?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20 -21

22

23 24 25 A No.

Q You testified about somebody called El Grande.
Would you tell us what "El Grande" means, and who this person was?

A "El Grande" means "the big one, the big man," actually. We eventually determined that he was an individual named Raciel Rodriguez, and the brother of Reinol Rodriguez.

really was a very pertinent investigative lead at that 1 time. 2 So you didn't try to match any typewriter to --3 I didn't say that, I didn't say we didn't try to match typewriters. The laboratory may have tried to do 5 that, but I am not personally aware of it. 6 You said there were numerous Sears stores in 0 7 Miami and --As you know, there is more than one Sears store 9 in Miami. There are several. 10 You wouldn't know if they seized a Sears 11 credit card for Mr. Arocena, would you? 12 Α No. 13 I will backtrack. If they had seized a 14 Sears credit card, you wouldhave known about it? 15 MR. GREEN: Objection. 16 THE COURT: Sustained. 17 MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay, withdraw the question. 18 On the 29th, when you and Agent Walzer read 19 Mr. Arocena his rights, was he under custody? 20 We didn't read him his rights. We gave him a Α 21 form which he read, but we didn't read his rights, we ourselve 22 Is it the procedure of the FBI in Miami to give 23

A It is according to the individual agents. I know

somebody a waiver of rights if he is not in custody?

24

1	what you mean, it is not required by law, but there are
2	times when youfeel that perhaps this should be done, that
3	it is to your best interests and may come up in court at
4	some time. It depends on the case and at times you would
5	give somebody the rights form.
6	Q On the 29th of September, did you have any

- Q On the 29th of September, did you have any information as to whether any of the FBI agents had sworn a complaint against Mr. Arocena on the 26th of September?
 - A I had no such information.
 - Q You did not know that?
 - MR. GREEN: Objection.
- THE COURT: Did not know whether any complaint had been sworn prior to the 29th?
- MR. GREEN: I would ask for a side bar, your Honor.
- THE COURT: No. The fact that a question is asked, ladies and gentlemen, doesn't mean that the fact is to be assumed.
- MR. FERNANDEZ: I have two more areas, your Honor.
- Q You indicated that during the period of September 27 through September 30, there was not any surveillance for Mr. Arocena, is that correct?
 - A No physical surveillance, no.

Q But you had on three other occasions prior to 1 September surveilled him? 2 Α Right. 3 Did somebody give you an order not to surveil 0 him? 5 A No. 6 Q On the 30th I believe you spoke to Mrs. Arocena? 7 On the 30th? Α 8 Yes. That is the day that he didn't come up 9 for his meeting. 10 Α No, I didn't speak to her that day. 11 Did you go to his house? Q 12 Α No. 13 To your knowledge, did any agents go to the Q 14 Arocena residence that day? 15 Not to my knowledge. Α 16 MR. FERNANDEZ: If I may have just one minute, 17 your Honor? 18 THE COURT: Certainly. 19 (Pause) **2**0 MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, this may be an 21 appropriate time to take the lunch break. 22

THE COURT: It would be much more appropriate to conclude with this witness.

23

24

25

MR. FERNANDEZ: Okay, then give me a minute.

		rmjah 23	Cannon-cross	122
	1	•.	THE COURT: Certainly.	
	2		(Pause)	
	3			
	4			
	5			
5B	6		(Continued on next page)	
	7			
	8			
	9			
	10			
	11			
	12			
	13			
	14			
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

-BY MR. FERNA	NDEZ	:
BY MR. FERNA	NDE	Z

- Q Refreshing your recollection, on September 30th, 1982, did you have occasion to see Mrs. Arocena and Mr. Arocena's daughter in the Arocena residence?
 - A No.
 - Q Youhave never been to Mr. Arocena's residence?
- A Yes, I have.

THE COURT: No, no, no. Didn't you indicate that there did come a time when you went to the residence and spoke with Mrs. Arocena?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. But it wasn't September 30th.

- Q I'm sorry, when was it, when was the date?
- A That would have been I believe on October 2nd when we had the arrest warrant for him.
- Q I stand corrected. It was a couple of days afterwards. And at this time, on October 2nd, did you have occasion to enter the Arocena residence?
 - A Yes, we did.
 - Q And did you have your gun drawn at that time?
- 21 A No.
 - Q And was his little daughter inside the house?
 - A I believe there were children in the house. I don't remember how many or whatever. There were children in the house, I believe.

No, I didn't.

A

	msjah 4	Cannon-cross
1	· Q Yo	ou didn't see any Omega 7 communiques there?
2	A No	o, I didn't.
3	QI	believe you testified that that was the last
4	time that yo	ou saw Mr. Arocena. Is that correct?
5	A No	o, I didn't say that.
6	Q O	cay. Did there come another time when you
7	saw Mr. Aroo	cena?
8	A Ye	es, there was.
9	Q Ar	nd this was the time you arrested him, wasn't
10	it?	
11	A Ye	es.
12	Q A	nd youwere theone that put the shotgun to his
13	head, weren	't you?
14	A No	o.
15	MI	R. GREEN: Objection.
16	TI	HE COURT: The fact that a question is
17	asked, ladie	es and gentlemen, doesn't assume that the
18	information	in the question has any evidentiary value. The
19	evidence co	omes from the answer. You heard the question.
2 0	The answer	is no. That's where the matter stands at the
21	present time	e.
22	M	R. FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Good luck in your
	1	·
2 3	business.	
23 24		HE WITNESS: Thank you.

We did search the premises for Mr. Arocena.

And did you conduct any serach?

24

25

Α

	msjah 6 Cannon-redirect
1	Q And beyond that?
2	A Beyond that, no. We didn't conduct an actual
3	search of the premises.
4	Q Now, you testified that you participated in
5	the arrest of Mr. Arocena. Is that correct?
6	A That's correct.
7	Q Would you have had authority to use a weapon
8	in arresting a fugitive?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And did you, in fact, use a weapon?
11	A I had a weapon at the time.
12	Q But did you point it at anyone?
13	A Actually, yes, I did, when we entered the
14	premises.
15	Q And what happened after that?
16	A Mr. Arocena surrendered.
17	MR. GREEN: No further questions, your Honor.
18	MR. FERNANDEZ: No questions, your Honor.
19	THE COURT: You're excused,
2 0	THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
21	(Witness excused)
22	THE COURT: We'll take our luncheon recess
23	at this time and resume at ten minutes past two. Ladies and
24	gentlemen, please don't discuss the case among yourselves,
2 5	and continue to keep an open mind on all aspects of the case.

l (, 🛴

Enjoy your lunch. 1 (The jury left the courtr-om) 2 THE COURT: Counsel are excused for the luncheon 3 recess. MR. TABAK: May we have a brief discussion 5 either now or right after the recess, your Honor? 6 THE COURT: Now, because if we do it later, 7 it will only delay the jury. I don't mind being delayed 8 9 a few minutes ourselves. Do we have the interpreter? Very well, we do. 10 Everyone is here. 11 12 Mr. Tabak. 13 MR. TABAK: Yes, there are two points we would like to raise. 14 The first is, we think the time has come for 15 there to be no further questions about whether there was a 16 confidential informant. Aside from the fact it has been 17 answered a million times that there was not. It is 18 totally irrelevant if there was. There is no legal 19 justification for the question. If Mr. Arocena wants to engage 20 in reprisals against someone, that doesn't make it proper 21 22 to ask a question which couldn't possibly be relevant to this case. 23 And secondly, I think the time has come to stop 24

asking questions for which there is no good-faith basis.

THE COURT: Certainly, no counsel should ask a question for which there is no good-faith basis. I have to assume that, in connection with each of the inquiries, there is a good-faith basis.

MR. TABAK: Your Honor, I would inquire what the good-faith basis is for a question about whether a complaint was drafted on September 26th, 1982, when counsel well knows that the only complaint ever filed in this case was drafted October 1st, 1982, after Mr. Arocena was a fugitive. I think it's highly offensive that he asks questions like that purposely designed to mislead the jury with no basis at all.

THE COURT: Let me ask counsel, what was the basis for the inquiry?

MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I have a complaint here.

THE COURT: What is the date of it?

MR. FERNANDEZ: Well, it says here, "Sworn to and subscribed to me the 1st day of October." And it refers to September 26th, '82. And I believe that this is the basis of the complaint against Arocena. I was just clearing that up.

THE COURT: Sounds to me like the complaint is dated October.

MR. FERNANDEZ: It is. It is dated October 1st.

5

A

If the impression is that I meant to mislead the Court on the date -- but the date in Paragraph 6 is the 26th of September.

THE COURT: I imagine it was an inadvertent error, and I trust that will not occur again.

MR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Counsel are excused.

MR. TABAK: The other matter was as to repeatedly asking who a confidential informant is. I for one see no possible relevance in asking that question.

THE COURT: Well, let me put it to you this way, gentlemen:

At least in the context of this case, as I have listened to the evidence for two and a half weeks, which included not only the testimony of sixty-four witnesses to date, but numerous exhibits, it doesn't appear to the Court that it would serve a useful purpose to continue asking questions with regard to the confidential informant or the identity or the information furnished by confidential informants. There may be an exceptional situation, and I would suggest if counsel wishes to go into that matter subsequent to this time, you request a side bar.

Then I'll hear you. I'll hear from Mr. Tabak, and if it proves to be appropriate under the particular

1 2 34)
--------	---

1 circumstances present at that time, we can proceed.
2 Otherwise, I'll indicate that it would be inappropriate,
3 you would have made your record, and the matter, in my
4 judgment, would be dealt with in an appropriate
5 fashion.

We'll now take our luncheon recess.

MR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor.

(Luncheon recess)

1 AFTERNOON SESSION 2:20 p.m. 2 3 (The jury entered the courtroom) THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and 5 6 gentlemen. 7 THE JURORS: Good afternoon, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Good afternoon, gentlemen. 9 Mr. Tabak, call your next witness. 10 MR. TABAK: Thomas Menapace. THOMAS MENAPACE, called as a 11 12 witness by the government, being previously duly sworn, 13 testified as follows: 14 THE COURT: Agent Menapace, you were 15 previously sworn in this case, is that correct? 16 THE WITNESS: That's correct, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: You will be continuing your testimony at this time under the oath which was administered when you 18 19 first took the stand. Do you understand? 20 THE WITNESS: I understand, your Honor. THE COURT: Please be seated. 21 **22** DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. TABAK: 24 THE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Tabak.

MR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor.

msjah 12	Menapace-direct
----------	-----------------

2	surveilling the defendant, Mr. Arocena, on December 13,
3	1981. Did you ever see Mr. Arocena again after that?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q When was that?
6	A September 26th, 1982.
7	Q Where did you see him that day?
8	A At the Westbury Hotel, 69th and Madison Avenue,
9	New York City.
10	Q About what time did you see Mr. Arocena that
11	evening?
12	A I saw him at approximately eleven o'clock that
13	night, on the night of the 26th.
14	Q Was anybody else present when you first saw
15	Mr. Arocena at that time?
16	A Yes. Present in the room with Mr. Arocena
17	when I met him that evening were Agent Larry Wack,
18	Agent James Lyons and Detective Robert Brandt.
19	Q Was there any discussion among you, Agent Lyons and
2 0	Wack and Detective Brandt, in Mr. Arocena's presence?
21	A Yes. We were advised, Agent Lyons and I were
2 2	advised, by Agent Wack and Detective Brandt that Mr. Arocena
23	was providing information, however would not provide
24	a taped statement and would not provide a signed statement,
2 5	but he was providing information.

Agent Menapace, you previously testified about

		msjah 13 Menapace-direct
		Q Now, did Mr. Arocena say anything when he first
	1	
	2	arrived?
	3	A Yes. When he first arrived, he indicated that he
	4	had been in New Jersey at his mother's house having dinner.
•	5	Q Now, did anybody accompany Mr. Arocena when he
	6	arrived?
	7	A No, he was alone.
	8	Q How long did Agent Wack and Detective Brandt
	9	remain with you and Agent Lyons and Mr. Arocena?
	10	A It was only a couple of minutes, probably less
	11	than ten minutes.
	12	Q And what then happened?
	13	A Agent Lyons and I advised Mr. Arocena of his
	14	rights by showing him a form, setting forth his rights,
	15	which he read and signed.
	16	Q Now, before you got into advising him of his
	17	rights, did Mr. Arocena go anyplace?
	18	A Yes, he returned to his room briefly before we
	19	advised him of his rights. He went to his room and then
	2 0	returned to the room where we were, for the interview
	21	Q I'm now going to show you what has been marked as
	22	Government's Exhibit 4, for identification.
, xxxx	23	(Government's Exhibit 4 was marked

for identification.)

Q

Do you recognize that?

24

XXXXX

18

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 Q After Mr. Arocena executed the advice of rights form, did you and Agent Lyons then have a conversation with Mr. Arocena?

1 Α Yes. we did. 2 About how long did the conversation last? 3 It was approximately an hour and a half. Α Now, do you speak fluent Spanish? Q 5 Α No, I do not. 6 To your knowledge, does Agent Lyons speak any Q 7 Spanish? 8 I don't believe he speaks any Spanish. 9 0 What language was your conversation with 10 Mr. Arocena in? 11 The entire conversation with Mr. Arocena was in Α 12 English. 13 Q What was the reason why you and Agent Lyons 14 were doing this particular interview with Mr. Arocena? 15 Agent Lyons is a bomb technician and has the 16 expertise to ask appropriate questions regarding, you 17 know, construction of various explosive devices. 18 I was present for the interview, because I am assigned or was at the time, assigned to the Newark 19 office and had fairly good-knowledge of the New Jersey 20 21 bombings. 22 Now, are you, yourself, a bomb technician? Q 23 Α No, I'm not.

about the construction of particular bombs?

Was there discussion by Mr. Arocena in your presence

24

25

Q

msjah 16 Menapace-direct

20-

A Yes, there was discussion regarding the construction of various bombs.

Q Now, aside from the technical bomb discussion, was there also discussion by Mr. Arocena about how particular bombings were carried out?

A Yes, there was.

Q What do you recall Mr. Arocena saying about any of these particular bombings?

A Mr. Arocena discussed the March 25th, 1979, bombings at the TWA facility at Kennedy Airport, the Programa Cubano bombs in Weehawken on March 25th, 1979, and the bombing of Alamacen El Espanol in Union City, also on March 25th, 1979.

In regards to the TWA bombing, he stated that that particular bombing was carried out by himself and Mr. Pedro Remon, that they purchased a ticket at Kennedy Airport and checked a piece of luggage containing the explosive device through. They then proceeded to make phone calls to TWA, to warn them that the bomb had been checked through on one of their flights. He indicated that TWA personnel didn't appear to take the matter too seriously, so they made another call from a highway near the airport.

That same evening, they returned to New Jersey
from New York and planted two bombs, one at Programa Cubano

msjah 17 Menapace-direct

(

and one at Alamacen El Espanol, both incidents being done by Mr. Remonland Mr. Arocena, on March 25th, 1979.

- Q Now, in addition to discussing those three particular bombs of March 25, 1979, did you have any additional conversation with Mr. Arocena about how he did other bombings?
- A Yes, we did.
 - Q Would you please tell the jury?

A He discussed the December 7th, 1979, bombing of the Cuban Mission to the United Nations. He stated that particular bombing was carried out by himself, Pedro Remon, Andres Garcia and Eduardo Losada-Fernandez. In that particular bombing at the Cuban Mission on December 7th, 1979, he indicated that Pedro Remon actually put the bomb down, that he and Mr. Losada-Fernandez essentially served as lookouts, and that Andres Garcia drove the getaway car.

Q And did he tell you about any particular incident that happened with regard to that?

bombing, while he and Mr. Losada were serving as lookouts, and Mr. Remon was actually carrying the bomb to the Cuban Mission, an elderly couple were walking down the street, in the direction of where the bomb was to be placed. He stated that he had detained the couple, to prevent them from

-walking down the street to prevent injury on their part.

2

3

Now, did you discuss with Mr. Arocena any other bombings that occurred in December of 1979?

5

6

Α

Yes. The December 11th, 1979, bombing of the Soviet Mission to the United Nations. He stated, regarding that bombing, that that bombing was carried out by himself and the same three individuals who had carried out

7 8

the December 7th bombing. Those people being Pedro Remon,

9

Andres Garcia and Eduardo Losada-Fernandez.

10

of the police sentries of the Soviet Mission, and at 11

12

an appropriate time, placed the device at the Mission. As

He stated that they had timed the activity

13

14

was across the street from the Soviet Mission observed them

police officer started to come towards them, at which point

they were leaving the scene, a New York City fireman who

15

and called to a police office in the area, and the

16 17

they fled the scene.

New York City.

18

Q Now, did Mr. Arocena discuss any additional bombings with you?

19

20

21

Yes. He discussed three bombings that occurred Α on January 13th, 1980. These three bombings were at the Cuban Consulate, Montreal, Canada, the Padron Cigar Store

22

in Miami, Florida, and the Aeroflot Airlines ticket office in

23 24

He stated -- he declined to identify who had

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

carried out the Cuban Consultate bombing in Montreal. He admitted that the bombing of the Padron Cigar in Miami was carried out by himself. And the bombing of the Aeroflot office in New York City was carried out by Pedo Remon and Andres Garcia.

During the course of discussing the bombing at the Aeroflot facility, he advised that Pedro Remon had fired a shot at the police officer that was guarding the Aeroflot office during the course of that bombing.

Q Now, did you discuss any additional bombing attempt with Mr. Arocena?

A Yes. Mr. Arocena discussed the March 25th,

1980, attempted bombing -- bombing assassination of the

vehicle that was to be carrying the Cuban Ambassador to

the United Nations, Raul Roa. He stated that it was a

remote-control bomb that had been constructed by

Ramon Sanchez and that participating in this attempted

bombing were himself, Pedro Remon, Andres Garcia,

Alberto Perez and Eduardo Losada-Fernandez.

The bomb was placed on the vehicle. However, he stated that because of the presence of schoolchildren in the area, a decision was made not to detonate the device for fear of harming innocent individuals.

Q Did he say wherethe bomb was at that particuoar moment?

Q Now, did you also discuss any New Jersey matters relating to Omega 7 with Mr. Arocena on September 26th, 1982 in this interview?

A Yes. We discussed the machinegun murder of Eulalio Negrin on November 25th, 1979. Mr. Arocena advised that the act was actually carried out by Andres Garcia and Pedro Remon, that Andres Garcia drove the vehicle and that Pedro Remon was actually the triggerman who actually did the shooting of Mr. Negrin on that occasion.

He stated that the plan for that murder was that a stolen car was supposed to have been used. However, he is not sure that that is indeed what occurred, because he was not actually present when Mr. Negrin was killed.

He did recall that in speaking to Andres Garcia and Pedro Remon after the murder, that Andres Garcia was very upset because a woman had apparently, in Mr. Garcia's opinion, gotten a good look at himself and Pedro Remon following this murder.

Q Now, did you discuss any other New Jersey individual with Mr. Arocena during this meeting?

A Yes. Mr. Arocena discussed the activity as it related to Omega 7 of an individual named Eduardo Ochoa.

Mr. Arocena essentially said that Mr. Ochoa lacked the personal courage to actually carry out a bombing himself. He stated that while Mr. Ochoa had knowledge of Omega 7 activity and did perform a function for them, that he didn't have the courage to actually carry out a bombing.

He cited two examples of that, one being a Cuban performer, I believe Alicia Alonso was appearing in New York City, and Eduardo Ochoa was to place an explosive device at the location where she was appearing. Mr. Ochoa was with Mr. Arocena in the vehicle, and when Mr. Arocena gave the explosive device to Mr. Ochoa, Mr. Ochoa was paralyzed with fear, he couldn't get out of the car, and Mr. Arocena indicated, he was so scared he actually wet his pants at the prospect of holding a bomb or getting out or doing anything to it.

He cited another situation in which the Cuban boxing team was appearing at Madison Square Garden, and he picked up Mr. Ochoa at Mr. Ochoa's residence, and informed him that they were going to do a bombing that night too, you know, at the location where the Cuban boxing team was appearing.

Mr. Ochoa was again very fearful, but Mr. Arocena

told him that all he would be expected to do that night would be to drive the car. Mr. Ochoa did indeed drive the car for that bombing.

Mr. Arocena said that when they got to the area of Madison Square Garden, the opportunity to actually place the bomb at Madison Square Garden didn't present itself, so he, instead, placed the bomb at a sporting goods store, Gerry Cosby Sporting Goods, which is apparently in the area of Madison Square Garden, but not part of the complex. That's my understanding.

- Now, what, if anything, did you and Agent Lyons do when this meeting with Mr. Arocena ended?
 - Α We went to sleep. We stayed in the room.
 - And what, if anything, did Mr. Arocena do? Q
 - Mr. Arocena returned to his room. Α
- Did anybody, to your knowledge, watch or Q surveil Mr. Arocena that night?
 - Α No.
 - Now, did you see Mr. Arocena again? Q
 - Yes, I did. Α
 - And when was that?
 - I assume the following day. Α
- Where did you first see him? 0
 - I first saw him in the lobby of the Westbury Hotel the following morning.

23

24

mss

1	Q And then what happened?
2	A He was present in the lobby. Agent Lyons
3	and I were there and Agent Larry Wack.
4	I then went to the New York office of the FBI.
5	And Agent Wack, Agent Lyons and Mr. Arocena, I believe,
6	went to return a rental car. And then I saw them later
7	at the New York office of the FBI.
8	Q Now, at about what time did you leave the FBI
9	office that day, Monday, September 27th, 1982?
10	A I left the New York office at approximately
11	four p.m. on the 27th.
12	Q Did anybody accompany you at that time?
13	A Yes.
14	Q Who was that?
15	A Eduardo Arocena accompanied me on the 27th.
16	Q And what did you do?
17	A I drove him to the Newark Airport so he could
18	take a flight.
19	Q To your knowledge, did anybody surveill or
_ 20	accompany_him_on_that_flight?
21	A No. To my knowledge, no one did.
22	Q Did you have any conversation with Mr. Arocena
23	while you were driving him to Newark Airport that day?
24	A Yes. Our conversation in the car from the
2 5	New York office to the airport was essentially small talk.

1 Discussed Cuban food, and Mr. Arocena was curious as to whether I had any type of military background. 2 3 Q Now, I direct your attention to October 20th, What did you do that day? 5 October 20th, 1982, I was a participant in Α 6 a search of a supermarket called Fruit Meat King on 7 Prospect Street in Newark, New Jersey. 8 I'm going to show you Government's Exhibit 0 9 27-E --10 MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I'm going to object 11 to this line of questioning. All this has been gone over. 12 And I think it is repetitive and cumulative. All this 13 has been gone into. I think we have had three or four 14 agents that have gone to the Fruit King, and they were 15 there and found certain material. And that's already 16 been introduced. 17 THE COURT: Well, if the Government intends to 18 introduce additional evidence, fine. If not, I would 19 think it is cumulative, since at this point, I would 20 suggest that the evidence which has been testified to has not really been controverted. I do not think the thrust 21 22 of the cross-examination was in the direction of controvert-23 ing the testimony of those witnesses who had been at the 24 Fruit King market.

MR. TABAK:

25

I agree. The Government only

~		
3m	١S	9

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$

Menapace - direct

i	Tronspand uzzoot
1	intends to introduce one exhibit through this witness,
2	and not ask him anything further about that day.
3	THE COURT: Very well. You may proceed,
4	under those circumstances.
5	Q I show you Government's Exhibit 27-E for
6	identification (handing).
7	(Government's Exhibit 27-E was marked for
8	identification.)
9	Q Do you recognize that?
10	A Yes, I do.
11	Q What is that?
12	A It's a consent to search form, which I
13	presented to a John Fernandez, owner of the market on
14	Prospect Street in Newark, on October 20th, 1982.
15	Q And did he, in fact, give his consent to
16	search and sign the form?
17	A Yes, he did.
18	Q To your knowledge, is John Fernandez any
19	relation to Eduardo Losada-Fernandez?
- 2 0	ATo-my-knowledge, he has no relationship to him
21	at all.
22	MR. TABAK: Government offers Exhibit 27-E.
23	MR. AGUILAR: No objection, your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Let us see. That is not the same
2 5	exhibit that was shown yesterday.

хx

 $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$

Į	41133
1	MR. TABAK: No. That was from October 2,
2	1982.
3	THE COURT: All right, no objection?
4	27-E is received.
5	(Government's Exhibit 27-E was received into
6	evidence.)
7	MR. TABAK: I have no further questions.
8	THE COURT: You may inquire, Mr. Aguilar.
9	MR. AGUILAR: Thank you, your Honor.
10	CROSS EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. AGUILAR:
12	Q Agent Menapace, how long altogether did you
13	speak with Mr. Arocena?
14	A On the night of the 26th, sir?
15	Q Altogether on the 26th, 27th, if you give us
16	an estimate of how many hours.
17	THE COURT: Why don't you take it day by day.
18	MR. AGUILAR: I will, your Honor.
19	A On the night of September 26th into the early
20	morning hours of September 27th, 1982, I would say approx-
21	imately an hour and a half.
22	Q And on the next day?
23	A I saw him on occasion I would say, as far as
24	speaking to him, a total of maybe 45 minutes, including the
25	car ride.

We were

25

1	Q And you had found out that other FBI agents
2	had been conversing with him on those two dates;
3	correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Do you remember the number of agents that
6	spoke with Mr. Arocena on those two dates?
7	A On the 26th and the 27th?
8	Q Yes.
9	THE COURT: To your knowledge.
10	Q To your knowledge, sir.
11	A I really couldn't give you an exact number,
12	Mr. Aguilar.
13	Q Would you say it would be about four or five,
14	altogether?
15	A I would say that would probably be a fair
16	estimate.
17	Q Okay. Prior to that day, as part of your
18	investigation of bombings in New Jersey, had the name
19	Eduardo Arocena come up during your investigation?
2 0	MR. TABAK: Objection.
21	THE COURT: Sustained as to form.
2 2	Q Had you been investigating Eduardo Arocena?
23	MR. TABAK: Objection: beyond the scope of the
24	direct.

THE COURT: Remember what we said.

24

25

going to give him latitude on that. 1 Under those circumstances, I'll allow the 2 inquiry. 3 Thank you, your Honor. MR. AGUILAR: 4 I had been investigating Omega 7 in New Jersey 5 during that time, and Mr. Arocena had become a suspect. 6 In that regard, he was subject of an investigation. 7 Had Mr. Arocena become a subject of the 0 9 investigation, or to your knowledge, did you in your investigation consider him to be the leader of Omega 7. 10 THE COURT: Before he told anyone at the FBI 11 that he was Omar, did you believe that Eduardo Arocena was 12 the leader of Omega 7? 13 THE WITNESS: Prior to him admitting it, no. I don't believe that I did believe he was indeed the 15 leader. 16 Had you other suspects you believed 17 Okay. to be the leader of Omega 7? 18 At that juncture, I personally could not say 19 that I would point to one or the other and say one was a 20 leader and the others were followers. 21 When you conferred with Mr. Arocena 22 0 All right.

> in the Westbury Hotel, were you then convinced that this person in front of you was Omar?

> > Based on his statements to me, yes.

1	Q And you also knew that he had been conversing
2	with the FBI prior to your talking with him; is that
3	correct?
4	A Yes. It was my understanding he had been
5	providing information for a couple of days prior to my
6	speaking to him.
7	Q And it is your testimony that he spoke to you
8	freely and voluntarily?
9	A Completely.
10	Q Completely.
11	And weren't you shocked that here is a man
12	who has told you that he is the leader of a terrorist
13	organization, speaking freely and voluntarily?
14	THE COURT: Were you shocked, surprised?
15	What was your general feeling in the matter?
16	THE WITNESS: My general feeling in the matter,
17	I was pleased that he was coming forward and being candid
18	with the Government.
19	Q Did he indicate to you why he was cooperating
2 0	with you?
21	A We did not, Agent Lyons and I did not, get
22	into a long-winded discussion of his motivation for coming
23	in. We had been told by Agent Wack and Detective Brandt
24	that to keep our interview fairly short so that
25	Mr Arocena could get some rest. So we were intent on

1	getting as much information from him during the course					
2	of the interview as we could to still enable him to get					
3	a night's sleep.					
4	Q Was there any particular reason why he needed					
5	some rest?					
6	A Yes. He was to be					
7	MR. TABAK: Objection.					
8	May we have a side bar at this time?					
9	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor,					
10	THE COURT: Oh, no, no. Wait, One step at					
11	a time.					
12	There was a reason why he needed rest; is that					
13	right?					
14	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.					
15	THE COURT: Very well. Was it something that					
16	he had requested or that the Bureau wanted?					
17	THE WITNESS: Mr. Wack indicated to me					
18	THE COURT: I do not want to know what Mr. Wack					
19	indicated, unless counsel wishes to press that matter.					
2 0	THE WITNESS: It was					
21	THE COURT: Wait. I am waiting for counsel					
22	now.					
23	MR. AGUILAR: I was waiting for your Honor to					
24	finish.					
25	THE COURT: Oh, no. If Mr. Arocena had said					

1	something, I think it would have been appropriate.
2	If Mr. Wack said something, you might not want to
3	press on.
4	Q You were instructed that he was going to be
5	interrogated the next day and that the FBI did not want
6	him to be tired out? Is that correct?
7	A That was my understanding, yes.
8	Q And he had been interrogated on that whole day
9	by the FBI, and he was already very, very tired; correct?
10	A On the 26th, Mr. Aguilar?
11	Q Yes, sir.
12	A I don't know. He spoke to Agent Lyons and I
13	for about an hour and a half. I don't know how long he
14	had been talking to Agent Wack and Detective Brandt on
15	that date.
16	Q And other agents, if you know?
17	A It's my understanding that the only people
18	that spoke to him on September 26th, prior to the arrival
19	of Agent Lyons and myself, were Agent Wack and Detective
2 0	Brandt.
21	MR. TABAK: Your Honor, the Government would
22	request a side bar at this time to bring something to the
23	Court's attention, and I think it would be appropriate to
24	bring it up at this time.

THE COURT: Very well.

ļ

(At the side bar.)

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Tabak?

MR. TABAK: What I would like to bring up, is that I have very assiduously instructed all of the Government's witnesses who have gotten into the subject of what happened on Monday, September 27th, 1982, not to volunteer certain information which I am about to get into, which defense counsel has been aware or for months.

I do not want them, unless they understand the situation, to go ahead and elicit this information and then move for a mistrial based on it. That's why I want to stop right now and say that the defense is well aware, and they've gotten the documents on this, that what happened on Monday morning, September 27th, 1982, which required him to have had an adequate amount of sleep was that he was polygraphed by the FBI. He passed the polygraph and that is why he was then -- or one of the reasons why he was allowed to go to Florida.

Now, since polygraph results would not normally be admissible, the Government felt it was not appropriate, even to put in any information that there was a polygraph test. Because we do not want to create any potential problems here.

And, indeed, there was another rights form that was signed in conjunction with the polygraph that I chose

not to put in, to avoid any potential problems.

Now, certainly, if Mr. Aguilar wants to inquire, that's fine with me. But I don't want him then, if he doesn't like the answer, jumping up and down and moving for a mistrial on it.

MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I think in this particular case, I think we are entitled to know how many agents interrogated him. We are entitled to know the length of time they interrogated him.

I don't think the polygraph should come in at all. I have not brought it up. I haven't gotten into it. I have known a long time there was a polygraph, and Mr. Fernandez and I discussed it last night, and I haven't gone near that area.

MR. TABAK: You have gone very close to it, characterizing it as interrogation. I'm not going to tell the witness what to say. I want you to be aware that if you ask a question that elicits that answer, and then move for a mistrial -- so just be careful what you ask.

THE COURT: This is the point I would make to defense counsel.

Since the polygraph operator ordinarily conducts the examination by asking questions, I must say I think that an open-ended question could very well open the door. And therefore, you must structure your inquiry

very clearly to exclude any tests which may have been 1 conducted, because an open-ended question, "Do you know of 2 any other interrogations by any Government people on the 3 27th," could easily elicit this answer that obviously you do not want. So I caution you to structure your inquiry 5 carefully. 6 MR. TABAK: I will also note for the record 7 8 that the name, Dan Craft, as an agent did come up. 9 instructed, I believe it was Agent Wack who testified about it, only to identify him as an agent and not to 10 spell out that he was a polygraph --11 THE COURT: I was aware of that from previous 12 13 information. MR. TABAK: And I have purposely stayed clear 14 of it, and I want the defense counsel to be aware of it. 15 THE COURT: You have put up the red flag of 16 17 caution, and I am aware of it, as well. 18 MR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: You may proceed, Counsel. 19 (In open court.) 20 21 (Continued on the next page.) 22 23

ET6B

24

25

rms

1	(In open court.)
2	T	HE COURT: You may proceed, Mr. Aguilar.
3	м	R. AGUILAR: Thank you, your Honor.
4	BY MR. AGUILA	R:
5	A Q	gent Menapace, you said you had no surveillance
6	that night of	the 26th on Mr. Arocena, is that correct?
7	A T	hat's correct.
8	Q D	id you see him going to his room?
9	А н	e walked out the door of our room and that
10	is the last I	saw of him that night.
11	Q D	o you know if anybody had placed any devices
12	in the room i	tself
13	T	HE COURT: In his room.
14	A N	0.
15	QI	n Mr. Arocena's room?
16	A T	o my knowledge, nothing was placed in his room.
17	Q W	hen you took him to Newark Airport and placed
18	him on a plan	e to Miami, was there anyone following him?
19	A N	o, no one.
2 0	N	obody-was-supposed to pick_him_up_in_Miami
21	either?	
2 2	T A	o my knowledge, no one in our Miami office had
23	been instruct	ed to meet him coming off the plane or surveill
24	him.	
25	QI	oid Mr. Arocena ever tell you during your

conversations with him why he was talking to you?

A As I said previously, Mr. Aguilar, we did not have the time to really get into his motivations for coming in and speaking to the Government. He did not go into a long explanation of that.

THE COURT: Did he go into a short explanation or did he give you any explanation at all?

THE WITNESS: Not really. We did not really pursue that with him.

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. AGUILAR: May I have a second to confer with counsel, your Honor?

THE COURT: Surely.

(Pause.)

Q Agent Menapace, on the morning of the 26th, when you and other agents of the FBI left the Westbury Hotel, in what fashion did you escort Mr. Arocena?

THE COURT: I think that is the 27th?

MR. AGUILAR: I am sorry, yes.

A I did not accompany Mr. Arocena that morning, to the best of my recollection. I had driven my own Government car to the Westbury and got in my car and drove along to the New York office, by myself.

THE COURT: Did you leave first?

THE WITNESS: I don't recall, your Honor.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. — 791-1020

g

25

1	THE COURT: In any event, you don't have any					
2	knowledge of who went with Mr. Arocena and how he went?					
3	THE WITNESS: I believe that Mr. Arocena left					
4	the Westbury with Agent Lyons and Agent Wack, but I was					
5	not with them. I had my own vehicle and I went from the					
6	Westbury to the New York office the morning of the 27th.					
7	Q Did all three vehicles pull out of the hotel					
8	at the same time?					
9	A No. We were parked in various locations and					
10	I believe there was a rental car that was to be returned					
11	and I believe Agent Lyons had his Government car. So I					
12	left in my own car and went right to the New York office.					
13	It is my understanding, and again I am not positive as to					
14	who was in which car, but that Agent Lyons and Agent Wack					
15	and Mr. Arocena left in the other two vehicles. Who was					
16	in which vehicle, I really can't say.					
17	MR. AGUILAR: Nothing further, your Honor.					
18	MR. TABAK: No further questions, your Honor.					
19	THE COURT: Thank you, Agent Menapace.					
_2 0	(Witness excused.)					
21	THE COURT: The Government calls Agent Lyons.					
22						
23						
24						

Q

-					
2	JAMES R. LYONS, called as a witness				
3	by the Government, being first duly sworn, testified				
4	as follows:				
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
6	BY MR. TABAK:				
7	Q Mr. Lyons, what is your occupation?				
8	A I am a special agent with the FBI.				
9	Q How long have you been an FBI agent?				
10	A It will be 14 years in November.				
11	Q Which office are you assigned to?				
12	A I am assigned to the New York office, Terrorist				
13	Task Force.				
14	Q Do you have any additional designation within				
15	the FBI besides special agent?				
16	A Yes. I am a bomb technician.				
17	Q What is that designation of bomb technician				
18	based upon?				
19	A It is based upon various training courses I				
2 0	have received and experience over the years, starting with				
21	the United States Army's hazardous devices course in				
22	Redstone Arsenal, followed by numerous FBI seminars,				
23	New York City Police Department seminars, and I also teach				
24	in that field.				

I direct your attention to March 26, 1980.

j					
1	Did you work that day?				
2	A Yes, I did.				
3	Q What did you do that day?				
4	A You said March 26?				
5	Q Yes.				
6	A I responded to the New York City Police Departmen				
7	Bomb Squad to retrieve evidence of a bombing attempt that				
8	had occurred on the previous day, the 25th of March.				
9	Q Do you know who that bombing attempt related to?				
10	A It was an attempted bombing assassination of				
11	Raul Roa, the Cuban Ambassador to the United Nations.				
12	Q Did you at the police office observe any				
13	components of that bomb?				
14	A At the Bomb Squad office I obly observed the				
15	explosives themselves that were used in the bomb. I later				
16	went to the Police Department laboratory and retrieved the				
17	components of the bomb.				
18	Q How much of the explosive did you see at the				
19	Bomb Squad's office?				
2 0	A Just a small sample, maybe an ounce.				
21	Q Do you know where				
2 2	A And the detonating cord and the caps.				
23	Q Do you know where the rest of the explosives				
24	were?				

At the Police Department range at Rodman's Neck.

Lyons - direct rms What did you observe about the explosives you 0 saw at the Bomb Squad office? 2 3 The explosives were what appeared to be Α military composition C-4, a plastic explosive -- the color was black -- two Dupont No. 6 electric blasting caps and 6 detonating cord, also called primacord. 7 What order of explosives are those? 8 They are high explosives. 9 MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, most respectfully, 10 I believe this testimony is cumulative. I recall Agent 11 Kelly testified this morning and all the questions were 12 asked. 13 14

1

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: But, you know, you did cover one thing in an objection: You pointed out that there were some holes in a blasting cap and I remember there was some objection lodged to the exhibits being received. Detective Kelly, as I recall, said he didn't make the holes and nobody at the New York City Bomb Squad did and he pointed the finger at the FBI. So I guess they are going to fill it in.

THE COURT: I will let counsel go in his own way.

MR. TABAK: Thank you, your Honor.

What if anything did you do with the items that you saw at the Bomb Squad office?

1	A I transported them to the Explosives Unit				
2	of the FBI labortory in Washington, D.C. on the following				
3	day, the 27th of March.				
4	Q How did you get to Washington with these items?				
5	A I drove them.				
6	Q Do you know if anything was done to alter in				
7	any way the plastic caps?				
8	A I did not alter them in any way.				
9	THE COURT: Does the FBI have a procedure				
10	whereby they do anything to blasting caps that are brought				
11	into the lab?				
12	THE WITNESS: Yes. They render them safe, or				
13	inert. The exact procedure they use, your Honor, I do				
14	not know.				
15	THE COURT: Very well.				
16	Q Agent Lyons, you also went to the Police				
17	Department laboratory?				
18	A Yes, I did.				
19	Q Did you retrieve any items from there?				
- 2 0	AYes, the electrical components and the remainder				
21	of the explosive device, other than the explosives.				
22	Q What if anything did you do with those items?				
23	A I also transported them to Washington, D.C., to				
24	the FBI laboratory.				

I am now going to show you Government's Exhibit

1	709, which is in evidence.				
2	THE COURT: Do you recognize that?				
3	THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.				
4	THE COURT: What is it?				
5	THE WITNESS: These are six servo switches and				
6	a power pack for a Fuvava, a model airplane remote control				
7	device.				
8	Q Where did you first see it?				
9	A At the Police Department laboratory.				
10	Q Could you hold up for the jury a servo switch				
11	so they will know what it is?				
12	A Yes. These are individual servo switches, and				
13	I am holding two.				
14	Q What is the purpose of a servo switch?				
15	A When a transmitter emits the signal on the				
16	radio frequency, the switches will close and when all of				
17	the switches close, in a case of an explosive device, the				
18	bomb can fire, can detonate.				
19	THE COURT: In other words, when the entire				
2 0	circuit is closed, the blast can be set of?				
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.				
22	THE COURT: That is done, you say, without any				
23	wires? It is done by remote radio transmission?				
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, it is.				
25	THE COURT: Very well.				

1	Q	Are Fuvava receivers and transmitters
2	commercially	available to anybody?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	What is their normal use?
5	A	To fly model airplanes.
6	Q	How many servo switches do the Fuvava receivers
7	normally com	e with?
8	A	The one we purchased had four in it.
9	Q	How many did the one that you retrieved from
10	the Police D	epartment laboratory have?
11	A	Six.
12	Q	Can you explain, based upon your experience
13	and training	as a bomb technician, why someone might put
14	six in inste	ad of the four?
15	A	For additional safety precautions, so that there
16	would not be	a premature detonation due to stray radio
17	energy, elec	tricity, because six switches have to close,
18	as opposed t	o four or two or one.
19	Q	I am now going to show you Government's Exhibit
2 0	706, which i	s in evidence, and ask you if you recognize it?
21	A	Yes. This is the receiver that was part of
22	the explosiv	e device, the Fuvava receiver.
23	Q	What frequency does it indicate that this
24	receiver	what frequency is listed on the receiver?
25	A	72.240 megahertz.

1	MR. TABAK: With the Court's permission, I
2	would like to show this to the jury.
3	THE COURT: You may.
4	(Pause.)
5	Q I am now going to show you Government's Exhibit
6	701 in evidence and ask if you recognize this?
7	A Yes. This is a piece of pressed board panel-
8	ing that the explosive device was mounted on.
9	Q I am also going to show you Government's Exhibit
10	710 in evidence. In particular, I direct your attention
11	to one particular piece that was in there. What is that,
12	if you recognize it?
13	A This is also a piece of pressed board paneling.
14	Exactly how these pieces of wood and dowels were used
15	to secure the magnets on the device. How exactly they
16	were on there, I don't know, because I didn't see it all
17	in one piece. It was disassembled at that time.
18	Q Where did you obtain this?
19	A From the Crime Laboratory in the Police Depart-
2 0 ·	ment.
21	THE COURT: New York City Police Department?
22	THE WITNESS: Yes.
23	Q That was also March 26, 1982?
24	A Yes, it was.
25	MR. TABAK: I would like to pass to the jury

Q

	_				
1	these two items, which are Exhibit 701 in evidence and				
2	the one portion of Exhibit 710 in evidence, if your Honor				
3	please.				
4	THE COURT: Why don't you just show it to them?				
5	MR. TABAK: Yes, your Honor.				
6	(Pause.)				
7	Q Agent Lyons, I now direct your attention to				
8	the evening of Sunday, September 26, 1982. Did you work				
9	that evening?				
10	A Yes, I did.				
11	Q Where did you go that evening?				
12	A I went to the Westbury Hotel, at East 69th				
13	Street and Madison Avenue, here in Manhattan.				
14	Q I will ask you to talk a bit louder so all				
15	the jurors can hear you.				
16	THE COURT: Did you go there with someone?				
17	THE WITNESS: No, your Honor, I went by myself.				
18	Q About what time did you arrive at the Westbury				
19	Hotel that evening?				
2 0	A Approximately six or seven p.m.				
21	Q Did you come to meet anybody else at the hotel				
22	that evening?				
23	A Yes, Special Agent Larry Wack, Detective Robert				
24	Brandt and Special Agent Thomas menapace.				

What was your purpose in going to the Westbury

rms	Lvons	_	direct
	-10110		MATEC !

Hotel that evening? ET7A To conduct an interview of Eduardo Arocena. (Continued on the next page.) 21 -

Q

(1

	rmjah l	Lyons-direct
1	Q	Was Mr. Arocena there when you arrived?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Did he arrive sometime that evening?
4	A	Yes, he did. He arrived at approximately
5	11:00 p.m	•
6	Q	Did anybody accompany Mr. Arocena when he arrived?
7	A	No, he arrived alone.
8	Q	What, if anything, happened when he arrived?
9	A	Special Agent Wack and Detective Brandt left
10	and mysel	f and Tom Menapace interviewed Mr. Arocena in the
11	hotel roc	om.
12	Q	Do you see Mr. Arocena here in the courtroom
13	today?	
14	А	Yes, I do.
15	Q	Can you point him out or identify him?
16	A	Yes. He is sitting at the table wearing a gray
17	suit, wit	ch a headset.
18		MR. AGUILAR: I stipulate this is Mr. Arocena.
19		THE COURT: It is conceded that the witness
2 0	recognize	es Mr. Arocena.
21	Q	What types of subjects were discussed during this
22	interview	w generally?
23	A	The activities of Omega 7, the bombings, murders,
24	how the	organization was formed, things of that nature.

FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

Did you participate in this interview for any

particular reason?

•

A Yes. I participated because I was assigned the investigation but I also participated as a bomb technician to question Mr. Arocena about the construction of some of the explosive devices utilized by Omega 7.

Q What, if anything, did Mr. Arocena tell you about who had made Omega 7 bombs?

A He stated that he made -- he personally constructed almost all of the devices.

Q Did he tell you any exceptions?

A Yes, one exception in particular, the Fubava device we are speaking about here, which was originally made in 1979 by Ramon Sanchez. That device was made in October, 1979 to be used against Fidel Castro when he visited New York City.

Q Did Mr. Arocena tell you anything about that device being in his posession at any time?

A Yes. He told me that the opportunity to use it against Fidel Castro did not present itself, and it was partially dismantled and that he re-assembled it in the Fruit & Meat King Supermarket in Newark, New Jersey, to be used in the attempt on Raul Roa.

Q Did Mr. Arocena give you any description of the types of materials that he used in making bombs?

A Yes. He described that most of his explosive

rmjah 3 Lyons-direct

devices consisted of either Geledyne, dynamite, or

Composition C-4. He stated that he had approximately fifty

pounds of Geledyne, to start with, but that he used it

all up in his various devices.

He stated that he utilized in seventy-five percent of his devices primacord, or detonating cord, as a booster to the main charge.

Q Can you explain what a booster is?

A Yes. All his explosives, dynamite and Composition C-4 being his explosives, require a blasting cap to detonate them, the blasting cap itself having his explosives in it. Some explosives in the particular Composition C-4 -- that is a very stable explosive and it therefore requires a larger blasting cap to detonate it, requires a greater punch.

Some blasting caps are too small to detonate the main charge explosive and, therefore, a booster is used. In this case, the blasting cap is primed into the detonating cord. It will fire the detonating cord, which then fires the main charge.

THE COURT: So it is a three-stage situation?
THE WITNESS: Yes, your Honor.

A The method Mr. Arocena described in how he utilized the detonating cord was to tie a knot in one end and prime the main charge explsoive by putting the knot inside

the explosive. By having a knot, you get that much more punch to it.

Q Based upon your training and expertise as a bomb technician, would the procedures that Mr. Arocena told you about in fact be able to detonate a high explosive such as Military C-4 or dynamite?

A Yes, it would.

Q Did Mr. Arocena tell you how the Geledyne that he used came?

A It originally came, he stated, in two-inch-by24-inch cartridges, and that he cut the dynamite cartridges
into thirds, making them two-inch by eight-inch. He said the
devices where he used the Geledyne, he used approximately
two and a half pounds, which would be two to three
cartridges of the two-by-eight-inch.

Q To your knowledge, dc Geledyne explosives come in two-inch-by-24-inch cartridges?

A Yes, they do.

Q Did Mr. Arocena give any other description about ways that he would detonate the explosives?

A Yes. He said in some of his devices, he used a non-electric blasting cap and safety fuse, with a black insulation.

A safety fuse is a burning type fuse where you light one end and it burns into the blasting cap, fires the

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

A Yes.

blasting cap and then the explosives. That is one method he used. The other method that he used, that he described

to me, was an electrical firing system where he used pocket watches for his time delay and batteries and electric blasting caps. An electric blasting cap requires some power and the battery acts as that power source.

Q With regard to the fused bombs he discussed, did he tell you any particular way he would ignite the fuses?

Yes. He stated that he would slit the end of the fuses, and a safety fuse has a black powder core and you have to light that, and he stated he took a knife and split the fuse open, inserted matchheads into the black powder core, tied it with string and then could use a cigarette lighter to ignite the matchheads, which would then ignite the powder.

Is that a way of igniting a fuse bomb?

Α The standard way is to use a commercial friction type fuse lighter or Military fuse lighter, which he mentioned, but in the absence of those, that is the way I was taught to do it.

During the interview with Mr. Arocena on the evening Q of December 26, 1982, did he discuss any specific bombs he had made?

Q Which bombs do you recall he described in detail?

A He described the Match 25, 1979 bombing of the TWA baggage area at Kennedy Airport, and there were two other bombings that night in New Jersey that he described, one at Alamacen El Espanol and at Programa Cubano. Those three bombings occurred on the same night.

He also described the December 7, 1979 bombing of the Cuban Mission to the United Nations, the December 11, 1979 Soviet Mission to the United Nations bombing, the attempted assassination of Raul Roa, of course, and the bombing of the Aerofloat Soviet office, which occurred on January 13, 1980, and on that same evening, the bombing of the Padron Cigar factory in Miami.

Q What did Mr. Arocena tell you about the TWA bombing, as to the composition of the bomb?

A He stated that the device consisted of Geledyne explosive, approximately three sticks, or cartridges. He stated that it was contained in a Samsonite briefcase. He stated that he used a dual firing system in this particular device. One of the firing systems consisted of two Ingersoll or satellite pocketwatches and an accompanying battery and blasting cap.

The other system consisted of what is called a printed circuitboard, also called a 555 time, with its accompanying battery and electric blasting cap.

Q Did he tell you what types of batteries he used in this bomb?

A Yes. He used two nine-volt batteries and four double-A batteries in a holder with a snap connector on it.

Q Did he tell you why he used two firing systems for the one bomb?

A Yes. By using two firing systems, he was giving himself insurance that if one system failed, the other one would work and the bomb would still detonate.

Q What did Mr. Arocena tell you about the construction of the Alamacen and Programa Cubano bombs?

A He stated those were the fused type bombs, using the black insulated fuse. He stated the Alamacen bomb consisted of two sticks of dynamite, Geledyne, and the Programa Cubano bomb consisted of three sticks of Geledyne.

Q Did he tell you why he used only two sticks at Alamacen?

A Yes, because there were residences on the upper floors, and he didn't want to injure innocent people.

Q What did Mr. Arocena tell you about the Cuban Mission's bomb construction from December of 1979?

A He said the Cuban Mission bomb was also a non-electric bomb, consisting of approximately three sticks of Geledyne explosives, the black insulated fuse and a

.non-electric blasting cap.

Q What did he tell you about the December, '79 Soviet Mission to the United Nations' bomb as to the construction?

A That was similar to the Cuban Mission bomb, approximately three sticks of Geledyne with a non-electric firing system.

Q What did Mr. Arocena tell you about the way he built the Aeroflot bomb?

A That was also a non-electric system, but he stated that he used Geledyne and some Composition C-4 mixed in in that particular device, and the Padron Cigar Company bomb, on the same evening, was constructed using the Ingersoll or Satellite pocketwatch, a battery, I am not sure what kind, and Geledyne.

Q What did Mr. Arocena tell you about the construction of the Roa bomb?

A He stated that the bomb consisted of Composition C-4, a black plastic explosive. He stated that there were six servo -- he said switches, I said servo, and we agreed that is what we were referring to -- he said there were nine-volt batteries in it, that it was mounted on pressed-board paneling, was contained in a gun box and it was boosted with primacord and two electric blasting caps.

Q Based upon your training and experience, would

	rmjah 9 Lyons-direct
1	bombs constructed along the lines of each of the ones you
2	described be working bombs?
3	THE COURT: In your opinion?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Did Mr. Arocena tell you anything about the then
6	current whereabouts of any explosives?
7	A Yes. He stated there were still 600 pounds
8	of explosives in possession of some of the Omega 7 people,
9	and that 300 pounds were being moved to New Jersey and the
10	other 300 were in Miami.
11	Q Did he describe any other types of explosives?
12	A He said he didn't give me a particular
13	location, but he said C-4 was easily obtained in Miami.
14	Q Did he describe any particular type of way
15	the C-4 came?
16	A Yes. He described the blocks to me, and the
17	blocks he described, the sizes and weight, are called
18	M-112 blocks.
19	Q With regard to the Roa bomb, did Mr. Arocena tell
2 0	you how that bomb came to be inside Manhattan?
21	A Yes. He stated he and Pedro Remon transported the
22	device from New Jersey.
23	Q What happened at the conclusion of your
24	interview with Mr. Arocena?
25	A We had two rooms. Tom Menapace and I slept in one

T7B

хx

1	A It was in the evening hours, and I went to the
2	home of Judge Duffy to present him with United States
3	Attorney John Martin and swore to the complaint in
4	Judge Duffy's home.
5	Q Do you know when this complaint was first
6	drafted?
7	A That same day, October 1st. I was present
8	during the drafting of the complaint.
9	Q Do you know what precipitated the drafting of
10	the complaint?
11	A Yes. Eduardo Arocena had decided to flee
12	and not cooperate any more.
13	MR. TABAK: The Government offers Exhibit 9
14	in evidence.
15	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, we have no objection,
16	although we believe it is part of the Court file.
17	THE COURT: If you have no objection, I will
18	take it.
19	Received.
2 0	(Government's Exhibit 9 was received into
21	evidence.)
2 2	Q Who are named as defendants in this complaint?
23	THE COURT: The document speaks for itself.
24	MR. TABAK: If I may, I will just read the
25	names of the defendants.

1	Pedro Remon, Eduardo Arocena, Eduardo
2	Losada-Fernandez, Andres Garcia and Alberto Perez.
3	Q Now, was anything obtained from Judge Duffy
4	as a result of, and after the swearing of, this complaint?
5	A Yes. Arrest warrants.
6	Q Now, in the complaint which you swore,
7	Exhibit 9 in evidence, it refers to a confidential informant
8	who had provided information on September 26th, 1982.
9	Who was that person identified as a confidential informant?
10	A Eduardo Arocena.
11	MR. FERNANDEZ: Excuse me. Could you repeat
12	the answer?
13	THE COURT: "Eduardo Arocena."
14	In other words, he has testified that the
15	confidential informant named in the complaint is Mr. Arocena
16	MR. FERNANDEZ: Thank you, your Honor.
17	Q What was the reason, Agent Lyons, when Mr.
18	Arocena, who is listed as a defendant in the complaint,
19	was in the body of the complaint not named in the phrase,
2 0	"confidential informant"?
21	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I'm going to object
2 2	to that question.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	Q Now, I direct your attention to October 19th,
25	1982. Did you do anything that day?

1	A Yes, I did. I conducted a search, along
2	with Special Agent Donald Wofford from the Newark office
3	from the FBI. And the search was conducted at the
4	Fruit Meat King supermarket in Newark, New Jersey.
5	Q Now, I'm going to show you
6	MR. TABAK: This is a different exhibit.
7	THE COURT: I was going to ask. But you have
8	now answered. All right.
9	Q Government's Exhibit 27-D, for identifica-
10	tion (handing).
11	(Government's Exhibit 27-D was marked for
12	identification.)
13	THE COURT: 27-D?
14	MR. TABAK: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Very well.
16	Q Dò you recognize that, Agent Lyons?
17	A Yes, this is a consent to search form that
18	we presented to the owner, one of the owners, of the
19	Fruit Meat King building, authorizing us to conduct a
20	search of his building.
21	QAnd did the owner sign that in your presence
22	and give consent?
23	A Yes, he did.
24	MR. TABAK: The Government offers Government's
25	Exibit 27-D in evidence.

	1	MR. AGUILAR: No objection, your Honor.
	2	THE COURT: Received.
	3	(Government's Exhibit 27-D was received into
	4	evidence.)
хх	5	THE COURT: What was the date of that?
	6	MR. TABAK: October 19th.
	7	THE COURT: '82?
	8	MR. TABAK: Yes.
	9	Q Now, Agent Lyons, were you aware on October
	10	19th of 1982 that Agent Amaya had already done a search of
	11	part of the Fruit Meat King on October 2, 1982?
	12	A Yes, I was.
	13	Q Why were you interested in doing another
	14	search?
	15	A Agent Amaya's search, they were principally
	16	looking for the .45 caliber bullets, shell casings or the
	17	machine-gun pattern of fire on the wall. I was particu-
	18	larly interested in finding evidence that would evidence
	19	of the construction of the remote control bomb utilized
	2 0	against Raul Roa.
	21	Q Was there anything in particular that made you
	22	think you might find something there?
	23	A Yes. One of the detectives on the squad,
	24	Santo Parola, was present at the search conducted by
	2 5	Agent Amaya on October 2nd. And we were in our squad

1	2	O	2
1	Z	ŏ	Z

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$

ХX

1	area, and I was looking at some photos of the Roa device,
2	and Parola noticed
3	THE COURT: And he said something to you,
4	didn't he?
5	THE WITNESS: Yes, he said
6	THE COURT: No, don't tell us what he said.
7	Q And as a result, did you decide to look for
8	anything in particular when you went there on October 19th,
9	1982?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And what were you looking for?
12	A Mainly we were looking for pressboard paneling.
13	Q All right. I'm going to show you Government's
14	Exhibit 3004, for identification (handing).
15	(Government's Exhibit 3004 was marked for
16	identification.)
17	A Yes, this is the pressboard paneling that we
18	found in the Fruit Meat King on October 19th, 1982.
19	MR. TABAK: The Government offers Exhibit 3004
2 0	in e vidence.
21	MR. FERNANDEZ: No objection, your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Exhibit 3004 received
23	(Government's Exhibit 3004 was received into
24	evidence.)
25	Q Now, did you do any further search of the Fruit

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

 $\mathbf{x}\mathbf{x}$

1	Meat King the following day, October 20th, 1982?
2	A Yes.
3	Q What is the reason you went back the following
4	day?
5	A On the 19th, it was only myself and Wofford,
6	and we needed we felt we needed more time since we
7	found the pressboard paneling, we felt we could possibly
8	find other evidence used in the construction of the Roa
9	device.
10	Q And did you find any further evidence on
11	October 20th, 1982, at the upstairs of the Fruit Meat
12	King?
13	A Yes, we found some electrical tape, electri-
14	cian's tape, a roll of that; some miscellaneous tape.
15	We found some string, and we also found three newspapers.
16	Q And were there other items?
17	A Yes, there were.
18	Q I'm now going to show you Government's Exhibits
19	3000, 3001, 3002, 3003 and 3013, for identification.
2 0	(Handing.)
21	(Government's Exhibits 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003,
22	and 3013 were marked for identification.)
23	Q Do you recognize those items?
24	A Yes, I do.
2 5	Q And without showing them to the jury, would

it was found?

24

25

you describe what each of those items is, identifying it 1 2 by exhibit number? 3 Α Okay. Exhibit 3002 is some string. THE COURT: Do not show it to the jury. It 5 has not yet been received. 6 THE WITNESS: Sorry, your Honor. THE COURT: String. All right. 8 0 Now, go to the next. 9 Α Exhibit 3003 is pressboard paneling. 10 Exhibit 3000 is electrician's tape. 3001 is a roll of electrician's tape. 11 12 And 3013 is miscellaneous lengths of electri-13 cian's tape. 14 THE COURT: And you recognize all of this as 15 having been obtained at the Fruit Meat King market? 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. 17 THE COURT: Very well. 18 To the extent that electrician's tape in those exhibits is not on a roll but is loose or cut, is that 19 the condition that it was found in? 20 The laboratory, when they did their examinations, 21 22 mounted this tape. 23 But was the tape in separate pieces at the time

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

THE COURT: Or was it on a roll?

	1	A No, it was separate pieces.
	2	MR. TABAK: The Government offers Exhibits
	3	3000, 3001, 3002, 3003 and 3013 into evidence.
	4	MR. FERNANDEZ: No objection, your Honor.
	5	THE COURT: Received.
	6	(Government's Exhibits 3000, 3001, 3002, 3003
	7	and 3013 were received into evidence.)
ХX	8	MR. TABAK: With the Court's permission, I
	9	would like to display to the jury Exhibits 3003 and 3004
	10	in evidence.
	11	THE COURT: You may.
	12	(Government's Exhibits 3003 and 3004 were
	13	displayed to the jury.)
	14	MR. TABAK: I have no further questions of the
	15	witness.
	16	THE COURT: You may inquire, Counsel.
	17	MR. AGUILAR: Thank you, your Honor.
XX	18	CROSS EXAMINATION
	19	BY MR. AGUILAR:
	2 0	Q Agent Lyons, how soon after you first met
	21	Mr. Arocena at the Westbury Hotel on the 26th of September
	22	did you read him his rights?
	23	A Approximately five, ten minutes.
	24	Q That means he came in the room, you sat down
	2 5	with him, started talking to him and then, after five
		i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, U.S. COURTHOUSE FOLEY SQUARE, NEW YORK, N.Y. - 791-1020

(

1	minutes had transpired, then you read him his rights?	
2	Is that correct?	
3	A I spoke to him about general things. I asked	
4	him how his mother was. I spoke to Agent Wack and	
5	Detective Brandt. And when they left, I advised him of	
6	his rights.	
7	Q Agent Lyons, is there anything unusual about	
8	that paneling that was shown to you before?	
9	A No, sir.	
10	Q It's common paneling shown anywhere?	
11	A I don't know how common it is. I can't answer	
12	that.	
13	Q It's paneling found anywhere?	
14	A I don't know how common it is.	
15	THE COURT: There is nothing unique about it.	
16	I think that is counsel's question.	
17	THE WITNESS: No, I don't think there is any-	
18	thing unique about it.	
19	Q I can go to any lumber yard and buy this type	
2 0	of paneling?	
21	THE COURT: He doesn't know about any lumber	
22	yard.	
23	But it would be available, in your opinion, for	
24	someone who would look for it?	
2 5	THE WITNESS: Yes.	

1	Q	And this type of tape, is it unusual?
2	A	No, it's not unusual.
3	Q	Pretty common?
4	A	Yes, it is.
5	Q	Do you have some at home?
6	A	Yes, I do.
7	Q	Okay. You can buy it anywhere
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	that sells it?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	When you went to the Fruit Meat King market,
12	was the own	er waiting for you already? Did you phone
13	ahead?	
14		THE COURT: When he went when? He went a
15	couple of t	imes.
16		MR. AGUILAR: I'm sorry, your Honor.
17	Q	The particular day you found the paneling.
18		THE COURT: That would have been on October
19	19th.	
2 0	A	I don't recall if we phoned ahead. I may have,
21	but I don't	recall.
22		THE COURT: That's when he found the paneling.
23		When you found the tape, do you recall anything
24	about that?	
2 5		THE WITNESS: About calling ahead? No, I don't.

1	THE COURT: Very well.
2	Q Do you happen to know the nationality of the
3	owner of the Fruit King market?
4	A No, I do not.
5	Q It wouldn't surprise you to find out that he's
6	Cuban?
7	A No.
8	MR. TABAK: Objection.
9	May we have a side bar on this, your Honor?
10	THE COURT: No. We will stop at that point.
11	He said he did not know the nationality of the owner of
12	the Fruit King market. I think that is as far as it
13	goes. The rest of it strikes me as being somewhat
14	speculative.
15	You wouldn't be surprised. Since counsel
16	can't testify, we'll leave it that he doesn't know. If
17	you want to follow up, you may do so.
18	MR. AGUILAR: Thank you, your Honor.
19	Q Where is that market located?
2 0	A It's in Newark, New Jersey.
21	Q Is it a predominantly Spanish speaking area?
22	THE COURT: So far as you know.
23	A So far as I know, yes.
24	Q And predominantly Cuban?
2 5	THE COURT: So far as you know.

1	A So far as I know, yes.
2	Q Was there anything unusual about the news-
3	papers that you found there?
4	A Only that they dealt, all three dealt, with
5	the assassination of Felix Garcia.
6	Q Okay.
7	THE COURT: Which had taken place how long
8	before your search?
9	THE WITNESS: It was on September 11th, 1980,
10	your Honor, two years.
11	THE COURT: And your search, when you found
12	the newspapers, was October 20th, 1982?
13	THE WITNESS: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Very well.
15	Q And did you assume that that newspaper had
16	been there for quite a long time?
17	A Yes, it appeared to have been there a long
18	time.
19	Q And you don't know who had placed it there?
2 0	A No, I do not.
21	Q The owner of the establishment could have
22	placed it there?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Did you ask him about the newspaper?
2 5	A I personally did not.

1	Q During your investigation, did you come to
2	realize that the Cubans living in that particular area
3	have an antagonistic feeling toward Fidel Castro or
4	anything dealing with
5	MR. TABAK: Objection. There is no testimony
6	that Cubans live in that area. People that live in that
7	area
8	THE COURT: Let us leave it at that. It is
9	a residential neighborhood, so people live there.
10	Do you know that people there have those views
11	or do you not know that?
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know that.
13	Q Okay. Now, the transmitter you spoke about
14	before
15	A Yes.
16	Q is it illegal to own one of those trans-
17	mitters?
18	A No, it is not.
19	Q Where does one usually purchase that type of
2 0	transmitter?
21	A In a hobby shack or hobby store.
22	THE COURT: You could go to Radio Shack, for
23	example, could you?
24	THE WITNESS: A store like Radio Shack, yes,
2 5	your Honor.

1	THE	COURT: Or a hobby store?
2	THE	WITNESS: A hobby store that features
3	model airplanes	•
4	THE	COURT: Yes.
5	Q And	you can change the frequency on those
6	transmitters;	right?
7	A Yes	. There are crystals or plugs and
8	they're removab	le.
9	Q And	it is not unusual for hobbyists who fly
10	model airplanes	to change frequencies, if you know?
11	A I w	ouldn't think so, but I'm not a hobbyist,
12	so I don't know	· •
13	THE	COURT: You don't fly model airplanes.
14	THE	WITNESS: No, I do not.
15	THE	COURT: Very well.
16	Q Whe	n you executed the affidavit on the com-
17	plaint that you	obtained October 1st, I believe
18	THE	COURT: He signed it on October 1st.
19	I believe that'	s what he said.
2 0	MR.	AGUILAR: Yes, your Honor.
21	Q You	said it was prepared in your presence.
2 2	Is that correct	
23	A Ye Q And	s. who was preparing it in your presence?
24	A Ass	istant United States Attorney Michael Tabak.
2 5	Q Was	there any particular reason that in Para-

ET8A

1	graph 6 and 7 you didn't use the name of Eduardo Arocena?
2	A Yes, there was.
3	Q Was it to put pressure on him?
4	A No, it was to protect his life.
5	Q To protect his life.
6	Did you ever tell Judge Duffy that Paragraph 6
7	and 7 dealt with Eduardo Arocena?
8	A I believe so, yes.
9	Q When the complaint was drafted in your presence,
10	wasn't it mentioned that one of the ways to get Eduardo
11	Arocena to testify or cooperate further would be to put
12	this type of pressure on him?
13	A No.
14	MR. TABAK: Objection. That's not the testi-
15	mony.
16	THE COURT: He has answered the question. He
17	said no. I will let it stand.
18	
19	(Continued on the next page.)
2 0	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Q	You were in the room on the 26th at the
2	Westbury I	Notel with Agent Menapace, is that correct?
3	A	Yes, that's correct.
4	Q	And you had conversations with Mr. Arocena.
5	A	That's correct, yes.
6	Q	Had you been informed or learned that he had been
7	the subject	et of an investigation concerning Omega 7 prior to
8	that day?	
9	· A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. And did it shock you at all that Eduardo
11	Arocena w	as here freely and voluntarily talking to you?
12	A	No, it didn't shock me.
13	Q	Surprise you?
14	A	It's always a surprise when someone wants to come
15	in and ta	lk to you.
16		MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, may I have a moment?
17		(Pause)
18	Q	Agent LYons, did you meet Eduardo Arocena on
19	September	2nd, 1982?
2 0	A	Yes.
21	Q	Where did you meet him?
22	A	In this courthouse.
23	Q	Can you tell us
24	A	Outside of the grand jury room.
2 5	Q	Okay, did you ride in an elevator with him and

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No, I did not. Α

Did you hear Agent Wack say that? Q

No, I did not. Α

Nobody ever said that? Q

Not in my presence. Α

Okay. Q

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. AGUILAR: I have nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Tabak.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. TABAK:

Agent Lyons, with regard to Government's

Exhibits 3000 and 3013 in evidence, the strips of tape

(handing), I believe you testified that that type of tape

is not unusual, is that correct?

A That's correct.

Q To your knowledge, is there anything unusual about the way this tape was cut?

A Yes, there is.

Q And what is that?

A It's cut in somewhat of a V fashion.

Q And is that of significance in any respect that you're aware of withregard to Omega 7 bombs?

A Yes, it is.

Q In what way?

A The tape that was used to wrap the remote-control device in the Roa case was cut in similar fashion.

Q Now, Agent Lyons, I direct your attention to the neighborhood of the Fruit & Meat King. Do you happen to know what the predominant nationality in that neighborhood is?

A Yes, I believe, I believe so.

.. 105,

	msjah 4 Lyons-redirect
1	Q What do you believe it is?
2	A I believe it's Cuban.
3	Q Have you ever been told that it's any other
4	nationalities?
5	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor, I'm going to object
6	if he's been told anything. He has testified.
7	THE COURT: Apparently he didn't know it of
8	his own knowledge. So apparently someone told him.
9	MR. TABAK: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the
10	question. We'll put on another witness on this subject,
11	if necessary.
12	THE COURT: Well, if the neighborhood around the
13	Fruit King market is or at least at the time inquestion
14	was predominantly Cuban, it does seem to me that the
15	parties could agree on that, since I thought that was the
16	thrust of Mr. Aguilar's question, as well.
17	MR. TABAK: We cannot stipulate because in fact
18	it is not Cuban.
19	THE COURT: All right.
2 0	MR. AGUILAR: Your Honor
21	MR. FERNANDEZ: He's testifying.
22	THE COURT: All right. That was not necessary.
23	You could have stopped by saying, "We cannot stipulate."
24	Ladies and gentlemen, counsel onboth sides have
25	a tendency to make little statements. That's a form of

THE COURT: I believe he had testified to that

msjah 6

already.

1

2

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TABAK: Yes, I just want to cover one last matter based on cross.

THE COURT: That's all right.

Was there any discussion in your presence between John Martin, the U. S. Attorney and Judge Duffy, with regard to who the confidential informant in the complaint was, just answer yes or no?

Α Yes.

MR. TABAK: No further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Aguilar?

Thank you, your Honor. MR. AGUILAR:

RECROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. AGUILAR:

As to the V cut of the tape, you say it's unusual 0 because somebody told you that it's unusual. You have no independent knowledge that it's unusual, isn't that correct?

Α I have never seen it before. I wouldn't cut it that way.

But you're not an electrician. I'm asking you, did somebody tell you that it's unusual?

Α Yes.

You didn't have any knowledge of that.

I thought it to be unusual. Α

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: Well, let me see if I can clarify this.

Did you testify before that it's unusual because you thought it was unusual or because someone told you it was unusual?

THE WITNESS: Really both, your Honor.

THE COURT: Both. All right.

Q You testified before on September 21st, 1983, here in the Southern District of New York, that you were told by the examiner in the FBI lab that it would be unusual. But you didn't have any independent knowledge of that, did you?

A No one asked me, I don't believe, what my opinion was. They asked me if I was told and I said yes.

MR. AGUILAR: I have nothing further, your Honor.

MR. TABAK: Nothing further, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Agent Lyons, you're excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

(Witness excused)

MR. TABAK: The government calls Donald Woffard.

THE COURT: Would you ask Mr. Woffard to come in, Agent Lyons.

Mr. Woffard, would you come forward, please.

25

24