Due to the poor conservation of domestic structures in tropical and subtropical environments, the study of households has received little attention from Caribbean archaeologists. However, recent studies have produced good quality household data in the form of post-mold distributions that can be used to address this topic. A method for the definition of houses using the post-mold data is introduced, and three cases from Puerto Rico are used to investigate changes in house shape and size through prehistoric times. These changes are discussed in the context of sociocultural and political trends in Pre-Columbian social formations.

Households have been described by anthropologists and social historians as the basic analytical unit for social studies (Netting et al. 1984; Wilk and Netting 1984; Wilk and Rathje 1982). The household seems to be the perfect unit of analysis since it is the basic socioeconomic unit of production, distribution, transmission, and reproduction. From ethnographic and historical studies it is known that changes in the size and form of households are determined by shifts in the strategies...
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selected by their members to adapt to new conditions in the social and economic structures. These changes in strategies can include marked alterations in the socioeconomic functions of households.

Changes in the shape and size of prehistoric houses seemed to have accompanied other cultural changes in Caribbean societies, such as settlement patterns, ceramic designs, and subsistence systems. If it is assumed that in Caribbean prehistory houses represented households, then it is probable that these changes included modifications in the nature, size, and form of indigenous domestic groups. Therefore, changes in household structures noted in the archaeological record may reflect major shifts in the prehistoric social order.

Contrary to other areas of the world where household structures are made of nonperishable materials, the archaeological study of households in the Caribbean has been limited by problems of preservation. Although post molds and wall marks can and have been identified in archaeological units, large areas of a site need to be excavated to be able to define and identify the totality of the household unit. Until recently, extensive excavations were the exception rather than the rule in Caribbean archaeology.

The purposes of this paper are to (1) present a method to define house structures from the distribution of post molds; (2) suggest a possible trend in house change through time in prehistoric Puerto Rico; and (3) briefly discuss how these changes might have been related to other social, economic, political, and cultural transitions.

**SOURCES OF INFORMATION ON CARIBBEAN HOUSES**

*The Chronicles*

The Caribbean was the first area in the New World settled by Europeans, and the Tainos were the first native group to feel the social, biological, and cultural impact of the Conquest. This encounter was of such magnitude that the indigenous people and their culture were destroyed or remarkably changed faster than any other group in the New World. The speed of this destruction and holocaust explains why there were few reliable and detailed early writings describing the Precolumbian groups.

Although some of the sources describe Caribbean houses at the time of Contact (Columbus 1965; de las Casas 1951, 1967; Fernández de Oviedo y Valdez 1959; Martír de Anglería 1964), little is said in these early documents about their architectural, spatial, and social aspects. Most descriptions focus on chiefly houses from the Bahamas, Cuba, and Hispaniola (very little is reported about indigenous houses from Puerto Rico). All of the sources provide similar descriptions of the houses: They were circular and constructed using a frame of posts, reed walls, and straw roof; they seem to have had two doors and no windows; and in general were conical in shape (Figure 1).

In addition to the circular houses, Fernández de Oviedo (1959:144) mentions the use of rectangular houses by the chiefs that were more spacious than the others and had porches and windows. However, Loven (1935:339–341) has argued, and I agree, that this type of house was of European influence and was not in existence in Precontact times. There are at least two reasons to believe that Loven might be right. First, Fernández de Oviedo arrived in the Greater Antilles in the early 1530s, about 40 years after the first contact between the islanders and the Europeans. By this time the indigenous population had been greatly diminished, and their social and cultural traits strikingly changed, in particular by adapting many European traditions (Wilson 1990:ix). Second, although other sources have made the distinction between commoners' and chiefly houses in terms of size, none of them, including Columbus and de las Casas, who knew the indigenous cultures in their original state, made reference to rectangular houses with porch and windows in the Caribbean. If chiefly houses were rectangular and had a porch, certainly other sources would have distinguished them from the commoners' houses by differences in shape and not simply by size.

Although most of the chronicles state that houses were similar on all the islands in terms of materials and construction techniques, there is documentary evidence to support the idea of regional variations across the Caribbean. For example, Columbus noted in his diary of the first voyage (de las Casas 1951:1:221) some differences in the quality of Cuban houses compared to those from the Lucayas (Bahamas). This suggests some interisland differences in the quality of the houses in Precontact times, although not in their shape.
Few of the chronicles made reference to the size of the houses. De las Casas (1967:1:243) reports that the diameter of the houses ranged from 9 to 12 m (30 to 40 feet), and Mártil de Anglería (1964:123) mentions a diameter of 32 paces (i.e., 26–32 m) for a chiefly house. Assuming that de las Casas was referring to commoners' houses, and that they had a perfect circular plan, the total floor area for these structures ranged between 64 and 113 m², while the chiefly house described by Mártil de Anglería might have had an area between 530.9 and 840.2 m².

In terms of the number of people housed by these structures, most of the sources simply commented that many related families lived together in the same house (e.g., de las Casas 1951:1:221, 246, 248). De las Casas is the only one to indicate the number of people per house: 10 or more vecinos (neighbors/residents/citizens) in one instance (de las Casas 1967:1:229) and between 10 and 15 vecinos and their wives and children in another (de las Casas 1967:1:243). It is not clear if the term vecinos in the first description includes women and children or not, although it probably refers only to adult males. Meanwhile, Pané (1974:48, 55) mentions a chiefly household that included 16 or 17 people. However, this number should be used carefully since it seems that he was referring to a low-status chief, and it is not clear if he meant that all these people belonged to the chief's family or if they were actually living in the same house. Nonetheless, on the basis of these figures, many archaeologists and historians have deduced that Taino households consisted of extended families (Cassá 1974; Rouse 1948; Wilson 1990). Thus, assuming that an indigenous family from Cuba, Hispaniola, and the Lucayas averaged five people (Siegel 1989:195), and combining de las Casas's and Pané's information, the number of occupants seemed to have ranged somewhere between 10 and 75 persons.

Archaeological Studies

Mason (1917, 1941) was the first archaeologist to report the discovery of posts and post molds in an archaeological site in Puerto Rico. His extensive excavations in the ceremonial center of Caguana produced about 99 posts and post molds. However, besides a large, possibly “rounded” structure between plazas A and B, he was not able to define any other architectural feature. Although Mason described some of the posts and the post molds, he did not publish the dimensions and descriptions of each one of them, making further studies of the plans very difficult.
Robinson et al. (1983, 1985) reported the presence of hundreds of post molds in the Elenoid and Chicoid site of El Bronce. Robinson (1985) attempted to discern some patterns from the post molds by analyzing mainly their morphology and tentatively identified only one round structure of about 6 m in diameter. Espenshade (1987) excavated 13 postholes at site PO-21 in the Cerrillos Valley, dated to the early Ostionoid period. Espenshade (1987:143) argues that the post molds are part of an oval-shaped house measuring approximately 8 x 6 m, although he states that the evidence is extremely tenuous. Rivera and Rodríguez (1991) reported 54 post molds at the site of Playa Blanca-5 in the municipality of Ceiba, in eastern Puerto Rico. The site seems to represent a single habitational unit dating to the Chicoid period. The post molds were assigned as belonging to a round structure of approximately 7.14 x 6.64 m (Curet 1990:5). These dimensions are remarkably close to the ones reported by Robinson et al. and Espenshade. Finally, a large ditch excavated in the Saladoid-Ostionoid site of Maisabel was interpreted by Siegel (1989) as representing the limits of a prehistoric house. The house has an oblong shape and covers an area of approximately 576 m². Siegel (1989:195) dates the structure to the Ostionoid period and estimated that it housed roughly 60 people.

Other evidence of structures has been commonly reported for Puerto Rico (e.g., Rodríguez 1986; Rouse 1952), but it normally comes from limited excavation units, and complete views of the floor plan are rarely presented. In Punta Candelero, an early and late Saladoid site, a great number of post molds have been recently excavated. Although the spatial analysis of these post molds is still in process, judging from their wide diameter and spatial distribution it seems that some of the structures might have been communal houses (Miguel Rodríguez, personal communication 1988). Other post molds seem to belong to smaller structures.

In the rest of the Caribbean the number of structures reported is very small (Siegel 1989:204). Recently Versteeg (1989, 1991) and Schinkel (1991) reported the presence of up to six Saladoid, circular structures in the site of Golden Rock in St. Eustatius. Structure diameters ranged from 7 to 19 m, and the population was estimated from the floor areas between 7 and 91 people (Schinkel 1991:30). In Cuba, Tabío and Rey (1966:138) attempted to define house size in prehistoric times from the size of refuse mounds and “platforms.” However, no direct evidence of the presence of structures was reported to determine conclusively that these mounds represented prehistoric houses (i.e., surface/subsurface congruency). Finally, recent excavations in the Tutu site of Saint Thomas (Virgin Islands) have uncovered a large number of post molds possibly representing prehistoric houses (Righter and Lundberg 1991). The excavations of the site are still in process, and no analysis of the structures has been made.

As can be seen, data on domestic structures are very scarce, not only for Puerto Rico, but also for most of the Caribbean. Nevertheless, it is possible to identify some chronological and cultural trends in this fragmentary and incomplete body of information.

PREHISTORIC DOMESTIC STRUCTURES IN THE CARIBBEAN

As discussed above, recent excavations at three sites from Puerto Rico (i.e., Playa Blanca-5, El Bronce, and Maisabel) (Figure 2) have provided enough information to define floor plans of prehistoric houses in a reliable manner. A summary of the methods used to define the structures is presented for the site of Playa Blanca 5 (Rivera and Rodríguez 1991) and El Bronce (Robinson et al. 1985). The definition and description supplied by Siegel (1989) for the structure from Maisabel are used here, although his estimate of the number of people dwelling in the structure is modified.

Playa Blanca 5

The Playa Blanca 5 site is located on a high knoll, surrounded by wetlands on the east coast of Puerto Rico (Figure 2). Forty percent of the site was excavated by Rivera and Rodríguez (1991), who found at least 54 post molds, 8 burials, a relatively large hearth, and a circle of fire-cracked rocks. The artifactual evidence and radiocarbon dates support the argument that the site was primarily a dwelling place during the Chicoid period (A.D. 1200–1500) (Rivera and Rodríguez 1991: 543–544).

In their preliminary analysis, Rivera and Rodríguez (1991:545–546) suggested that (1) the structure
might have had a circular or oval floor plan, although the main posts might have been arranged in a square pattern as is suggested by ethnographic observations (Miguel Rodríguez, personal communication 1988); (2) the hearth, which is surrounded by five post molds, might have been at the center of the structure; (3) all adult burials are located in the interior of the structure; and (4) there might have been other smaller “compound-type activity structures” associated with the main building.

To determine the shape and size of the structure more precisely a spatial study of the distribution of the post molds was designed (Curet 1990:3-4). Some working assumptions were made to perform the analysis more efficiently. First, it was assumed, following Rivera and Rodríguez’s suggestion, that the house might have had a square frame and a circular floor plan. Second, it was thought that the main frame was composed of relatively “large” or wide post molds, which were responsible for supporting most of the weight of the structure. Smaller posts should have been used for other functions (e.g., walls). Third, as is suggested by some of the chronicles, the corner posts of the square frame may have formed part of the external perimeter (wall) of the structure (see Figure 1). Fourth, it was not assumed that all post molds belonged to the main structure, but, as suspected by Rivera and Rodríguez (1991:546), other associated structures might have been present as is common among South American groups (e.g., Siegel 1990). And finally, it was assumed that not all the structural posts were actually represented in the archaeological record, but that some of them could have been obliterated by cultural and/or natural formation processes.

Since, according to these assumptions, post molds can be distinguished as belonging to frame or walls, the first step of the analysis was to classify them according to their size. Area of post mold (in cm²) was used in this analysis instead of volume, because for a given height of structure, the main quality for selecting trees to be used as posts is their diameter. It is mainly the width of a trunk that will determine its strength. De las Casas (1967:1:229) stresses that the posts of the frame or perimeter of the structure were as thick as a human leg or thigh, while the others were thinner. In addition, for El Bronce Robinson et al. (1985:42) stress that there is not always a direct relation between post-mold depth and girth.

The area covered by each post mold was calculated, and a histogram of size distribution was plotted (Figure 3). As can be seen from the plot, the distribution is trimodal, which suggests that three sizes of posts were used in the construction of the structure: small (less than 336 cm²), medium (between 336 and 602 cm²), and large (larger than 602 cm²).

Once the post-mold types were identified, the next step in the analysis was to study their spatial distribution (Figure 4). The large post molds were plotted first in an attempt to define the frame of the main structure, while the medium and small post molds were added in successive stages. From
the plot, a distribution of the large post molds in a quasi-square pattern was discernible, suggesting that the assumption of a square frame was right. One of the large post molds was also present around the hearth, which in fact seemed to be located near the center of the frame, suggesting that these posts might have supported the central part of the conical roof of the house. Other large post molds were located in marginal areas that seemed to have been part of secondary associated structures. With the addition of the medium-size post molds the central structure showed a more circular pattern around the square frame. Finally, when the small post molds were added, the picture became somewhat confusing, although the circular pattern detected in the previous stages was more complete. In addition to the main circular structure, four other smaller constructions were possibly present in the adjacent area.

Although most of the post molds have been assigned to some kind of structure, the presence of others cannot be easily explained. Possible explanations for these features can be that they are not post molds but marks of roots, trees, etc.; that they might have been related to nonroofed structures (e.g., windbreaks, net-hanging posts); or that they might have been associated with remodeling of the main circular structure.

In summary, the post molds discovered in Playa Blanca S seem to belong to a single small oval structure 7.14 m long and 6.64 m wide, covering an area of about 37 m². Of the eight burials found, seven seem to have been buried inside the structure, and one is located outside the house (an infant). The hearth is located almost in the center of the structure, suggesting that the roof must have had an opening to vent the smoke, as is reported in the chronicles (de las Casas 1951:1:214).

**El Bronce**

The site of El Bronce is located in the south-central part of Puerto Rico, about 13 km from the coast (Figure 2), and consists of a central plaza limited on one side by a stone row surrounded by several clusters of post molds. Ceramic studies and radiocarbon dates suggest that the site was possibly inhabited during the later half of the Ostionoid–Elenoid period (ca. A.D. 900–1200) and
the Chicoid period (ca. A.D. 1200–1500) (Robinson et al. 1985:36). Since it seems that the site was occupied continuously for as long as six centuries, and considering the large number of post molds, it is very probable that some, if not all, of the clusters represent several superimposed structures rather than simply one house (Robinson 1985:J16; Robinson et al. 1985:43).

Although the feature data are generally of high quality, unfortunately not all the information concerning post-mold locations and dimensions was registered at all loci. Out of the seven loci of post molds, loci 1 and 2 had the lowest fractions of missing data. It is for this reason that only these two clusters are used in the analysis presented below.

Since the information on the dimensions of the post molds included only depth and volume, their diameter was estimated using these two figures. It was assumed that the molds were perfectly cylindrical, which is not a completely realistic assumption. However, considering that the estimation is used for an intrasite comparison (i.e., to see which ones are bigger than others) the consequences of this assumption should be minimal.

Due to the possibility of superimposition of structures, and to provide better control during the process of definition of the floor plan, it was decided to start by plotting only the post molds larger than 800 cm², and add smaller molds in 100 cm² decrements. After plotting the post molds greater than 500 cm², the floor plan of an oblong structure became apparent in locus 1 (Figure 5). Although no pattern was discernible in locus 2, the post molds presented an elongated distribution, as well. At this point, it was striking that a complete, oblong structure has already been defined without plotting about 50 percent of the post molds from locus 1. This raised the possibility that at least one more structure composed of smaller post molds might be present, overlapping with the oblong
house. To study this possibility, it was decided to continue the study plotting only those post molds smaller than 400 cm$^2$ and add more post molds in 100 cm$^2$ increments. When the post molds up to a size of 700 cm$^2$ were included, circular floor plans with square frames were apparent in both loci 1 and 2.

When post molds with missing dimensions were added to these plots, the floor plans became more clear. The oblong version in locus 1 is 7.6 m long and 4.0 m wide (an area of 30.48 m$^2$). The circular structure of locus 1 was 5.2 m long and 5.0 m wide (an area of 20.42 m$^2$). On the other hand, the structure of locus 2 is 5.6 m long and 5.3 m wide, covering an area of 23.31 m$^2$. This last floor plan in locus 2 is exactly the same one defined by Robinson (1985) by using post-mold morphology. When all the post molds were included in the plots it seems that the circular structures are qualitatively similar to the one defined for Playa Blanca 5 (compare Figures 4 and 5): both of them present a square frame and more than one post in the center of the structure, probably to support the roof (in the case of Playa Blanca 5 there were five posts, while loci 1 and 2 have only 4 and 3, respectively).

Determination of the construction sequence in loci 1 and 2 is very difficult. Although the radiocarbon dates were not useful, ceramic data suggest that the area east of the plaza was heavily used during the later Chicoid period, although Ostionoid–Elonoid pottery is found in most areas of the site (Robinson et al. 1985:32). However, comparing the structures from El Bronce with those from Playa Blanca 5 and Maisabel, it can be suggested that the oblong structures preceded the circular ones in Puerto Rican prehistory. Therefore, I tentatively have assigned the elliptical structure from

Figure 5. Spatial distribution of all post molds from loci 1 and 2 of El Bronce.
locus 1 to the later half of the Elenoid period (ca. A.D. 1000–1200), while the circular houses are assigned to the Chicoid period (ca. A.D. 1200–1500).

Maisabel

The site of Maisabel, located on the north coast of Puerto Rico (Figure 2), has been partially excavated by Siegel (1989). During the excavations a large ditch was uncovered, which was interpreted as the border of a prehistoric house. Assuming a symmetrical design, the rest of the house was reconstructed. The floor plan has an oblong shape and is approximately 52 m long and 14 m wide (these were measured from the plan published by Siegel [1989:228]), covering an area of 576 m² (Siegel 1989:195). Using data he gathered among the Waiwai from Guyana, Siegel estimated the number of people housed by the structure as approximately 60. Siegel (1989:194) dates the structure to the Ostionoid period (A.D. 600–1200) based on artifact styles and radiocarbon dates, but most of the latter seem to fall within the Monserrate period (A.D. 600–900) (Siegel 1989: Table 1).

ESTIMATES OF HOUSEHOLD SIZES

To estimate the number of people housed by each structure, a simple linear-regression analysis was run to correlate floor area and number of dwellers using ethnographic data from lowland South America (Table 1, Figure 6). In most cases, the area of the floor plan was calculated from the dimensions of circular or square houses mentioned in the texts, or from direct measurements from scaled maps and drawings provided in the reports. Houses with only one dweller were eliminated from the regression analysis, since in most of the cases they did not represent a whole economic and kinship unit. As can be observed, the regression analysis presented an acceptable value of \( r^2 \) (.955). Other mathematical models (i.e., logarithmic and exponential) were also used, but they resulted in smaller \( r^2 \) values (< .9).

The population estimates obtained by applying the regression equation to the floor areas are presented in Table 2. The structure from Maisabel presented the high value of 98 people, which is considerably higher than the value of about 60 estimated by Siegel. On the other hand, this number is consistent with the value of 96 people, obtained by applying the formula suggested by Casselberry (1974). Nevertheless, using either Siegel’s estimate or the value presented here, there is little doubt that the structure from Maisabel was a communal structure, which could have housed between 60 and 98 people. In contrast, it can be seen from Table 2 that the structures from El Bronce and Playa Blanca 5 could have housed between 5 and 8 people, which suggests nuclear family dwellings.

Another point of difference between the structures is the shape. The structure from Maisabel and one of the houses from El Bronce have an oblong or elliptical shape, while the rest of the houses from the latter site and Playa Blanca 5 are circular. Although other possibilities should not be discarded and more studies are needed, these differences in shape seem to be cultural or ethnic in nature. The circular shape of the Taíno or Chicoid houses coincides with the early descriptions of the chronicles. However, while most of the writers, especially Columbus and de las Casas, reported that the houses were inhabited by extended families, the archaeological record suggests that at least in Puerto Rico they were small nuclear family houses. Since the Taíno houses discussed in this study are associated with both villages and dispersed settlements, it is believed that this result is not an artifact of the sample.

The discrepancy between the historical documents and the archaeological evidence can be explained in one of two ways. First, since most of the houses described by Columbus and de las Casas were from the Bahamas, Cuba, and Hispaniola, structures from Puerto Rico might have been smaller in size, indicating that there were some regional, or maybe ethnic, differences in house style and size. The second reason is that many of the writings only described chiefly houses, which tended to be large to accommodate the chiefs, their relatives, and servants. Therefore, the description of houses in early chronicles might have been biased toward large, elite houses.
Table 1. Ethnographic Reports and Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Floor Area</th>
<th>Number of People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wallace 1889</td>
<td>609.66</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farabee 1922</td>
<td>270.00</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guillin 1936</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.69</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>49.59</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.92</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.39</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11.02</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36.73</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metraux 1963</td>
<td>16.22</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldman 1963</td>
<td>315.00</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yde 1965</td>
<td>201.06</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>130.00</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thurn 1967</td>
<td>55.75</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carneiro 1970</td>
<td>175.93</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>81.56</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilbert 1972</td>
<td>176.71</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>706.86</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casselberry 1974</td>
<td>560.00</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dumont 1976</td>
<td>360.00</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henley 1982</td>
<td>94.25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jackson 1983</td>
<td>176.80</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeidler 1984</td>
<td>161.00</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>González Torres 1987</td>
<td>157.08</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>680.00</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chavez Mendoza and</td>
<td>70.00</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerta Retrepo 1988</td>
<td>60.00</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.00</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Although the sample used for this study is small, some preliminary statements can be made to motivate discussion and further research on this topic. The discussion that follows is by no means conclusive or final and should be tested when more data on Caribbean prehistoric houses are available.

From the evidence presented above some chronological trends in changes of shape and size of Precolumbian houses can be hypothesized. It seems that in early times (Late Saladoid/Early Ostionoid period) the houses tended to be communal and oblong or elliptical in shape. Sometime between early and late Ostionoid–Elenoid times, houses were still being constructed with an oblong shape, but smaller in size, probably to house a single nuclear family. By Chicoid times houses were still small, but their shape had been transformed to a circular floor plan, similar to the houses reported in the chronicles.

This trend is supported by recent discoveries of relatively large, communal, Saladoid dwellings in Punta Candelero in Puerto Rico (Miguel Rodriguez, personal communication 1988), and Golden Rock in St. Eustatius (Schinkel 1991; Versteeg 1989). However, the shape of the structures at Golden
Rock present a circular, instead of an oblong floor plan (like the house in Maisabel), suggesting chronological or ethnic differences, or else variation across space within the same cultural group.

What does this possible chronological trend in house size and shape mean? If it is assumed that house size and shape reflect household structure and composition, then the changes in Precolumbian Caribbean dwellings can be seen as a change in household strategy to enhance the efficiency of the domestic group in adapting to new economic and social conditions. If this is true, these changes in the economic and subsistence base must have occurred sometime between the Early and Late Elenoid and Ostionoid periods, between A.D 600 and 900.

A shift in the economic, religious, and political spheres, which seems to have been related to the eventual development of complex chiefdoms, also has been observed for this transitional period in the archaeological record (Moscoso 1986; Rouse 1956, 1982; Veloz Maggiolo 1977, 1987). Changes during this time included: (1) an increase in incised decoration on ceramic vessels and a reduction in the use of paints and slips; (2) a shift in the diet of the prehistoric groups specializing in marine resources (Goodwin 1979, 1980; López Sotomayor 1974; Rainey 1940; Rouse 1986); (3) the de-

![Regression analysis of the ethnographic data from Table 1.](image)

Table 2. Population Estimate for Each House.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Dates (A.D.)</th>
<th>Tradition</th>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Area (sq. m)</th>
<th>Number of People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maisabel</td>
<td>600–900</td>
<td>E. Elenoid</td>
<td>Oblong</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Bronce</td>
<td>900–1200</td>
<td>L. Elenoid</td>
<td>Oblong</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Bronce</td>
<td>1200–1500</td>
<td>Chicoid</td>
<td>Circular</td>
<td>20–23</td>
<td>5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Playa Blanca</td>
<td>1200–1500</td>
<td>Chicoid</td>
<td>Circular</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Population estimate calculated by using the regression equation presented in Figure 6.
velopment of agricultural intensification (Moscoso 1986; Veloz Maggiolo 1977, 1987); (4) an increase in population, evidenced in the archaeological record by an increase in the number of sites (Curet 1987; 1991; López Sotomayor 1974; Rodríguez 1985; Rouse 1952, 1964, 1986); (5) the appearance of ball courts (Alvarado Zayas 1981; González Colón 1984); and (6) the development of chiefdoms (Moscoso 1986; Veloz Maggiolo 1977). In summary, the proposed changes in household sizes as reflected by the floor plans in archaeological sites coincide with changes in the economic and political structures of prehistoric societies.

If the correlation between these phenomena is upheld by results of future research, then studies of social and cultural change in prehistoric Puerto Rico should refocus their perspectives of simple ecological and migratory views. It is obvious, for example, that models based on simple population increase or overexploitation of the environment have to be redefined to include in their explanations deeper social changes even at the level of the basic social unit, the household. Social, cultural, and political changes cannot be seen as a simple response of society to new environmental conditions. The specific path taken by a prehistoric society must be defined in order to have a better understanding of the nature and reasons for change. Households do not have a passive role in these social processes. On the contrary, they have a dynamic and active part, specifically in the decision-making process, which eventually determines the shape and form of new social structures.
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