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## ER O C E E DI NG S

THE DEPUTY MARSHAL: Remain seated and come to order
THE DEPUTY CIERK: United States of America v. Guillermo Novo Sampol and Alvin Ross Diaz, Criminal No. 78-367.

Mr. Barcella, Mr. Feldman and Mr. Brown represent the Government. Mr. Goldverger and Mr. Dubin represent the defendants.

THE COURT: Counsel. I understand the jury has reached a verdict.
[Whereupon, the members of the jury resumed their places in the jury box.]

THE DEPUTY CLERK: WIll the foreperson of the jury please rise?
[The forelady of the jury stood up.]
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Madam Forelady, has the jury reached a verdict?
the forelady: Yes, it has.
THE COURT: Have the marshal get the jury form.
[The marshal takes the form from the forelady and hands it to the clerk, who passes it to the Court.]

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Will the defendants please stand and face the jury?
[The defendants stand and face the jury.]
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Madam Forelayd, would you please rise?

Madam Forelady, as to the defendant Guillermo Novo Sampol, how does the jury find as to Count 1, conspiracy to murder a foreign official, O. Letelier?

THE FORELADY: Not gullty.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 2, murder of a foreign official, 0. Letelfer?

THE FORELADY: Not guilty.
THE COURT: AII right. Just a second.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 3,
first degree murder of 0 . Letelier?
THE FORELADY: Not guilty.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 4, first degree murder, R. Moffitt?

THE FORELADY: Not guilty.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 5, murder by use of explosives, 0 . Letelier and $R$. Moffitt?

THE FORELADY: Not guility.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 6, false declarations?

THE FORELADY: Guilty.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 7, false declarations?

THE FORELADY: Guilty.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: As to defendant Alvin Ross Diaz, how do you find as to Count 1 , conspiracy to murder a foreign
official, O. Letelier?
THE FORELADY: Not guilty.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 2 , murder of a foreign official, 0 . Letelier?

THE FORELADY: Not guilty.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 3 , first degree murder, $0^{\prime}$ Leteliter?

THE FORELADY: Not guilty.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 4 , first degree murder, R. Moffitt?

THE FORELADY: Not guilty.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you find as to Count 5, murder by use of explosives, $O^{\prime}$ Letelier and R. Moffitt?

THE FORELADY; Not guilty.
THE DEPUTY GLERX: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, your foreperson has sitated that you find as to the defendant Guillermo Novo Sampol not guilty as to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 and guilty as to Counts 6 and 7 of the indictment.

As to defendantalvin Ross Diaz your forelady has announced that you find him not guilty as to Counts 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, so say you each and all.

THE COURT: You may be seated.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I am now going to poll you individually.

As your name is called, please say yes if your
verdict is the same as that announced by your foreperson. Juror No. 1 ? JUROR NO. 1: Yes. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 2? JUROR NO. 2: 'Here. THE-COTRI: No, it is not whet or not you are here. Is your verdict the same as announced by the forelady? JUROR NO. 2: Yes.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 3? JUROR NO. 3: Yes.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 4?

JUROR NO. 4: Yes.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 5?
JUROR NO. 5: Yes.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 6?
JUROR NO. 6: Yes.

THE COURT: Juror No. 7?
JUROR NO. 7: Yes.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 8?
JUROR NO. 8: Yes.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 9?
JUROR NO. 9: Yes.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 10?
JUROR NO. 10: Yes.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. $11 ?$

JUROR NO. 11: Yes.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: Juror No. 12?
JUROR NO. 12: Yes.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: The jury has been polled, Your Honor

TKE COURT: Very well. The defendants may be seated.
[Defendants seated.]

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, you Initially came before the Court in connection with your proposed jury service, as I recall, on May the 5 th, and for the several days remaining in that week we went through jury selection, and certain discrete questions were put to you to determine whether or not you were eligible to serve, and on the following week, Monday, May the llth, the jury was indeed selected. However, you were not sworn in until the following day, May the 12 th .

And then on May l3th you began to hear the live testimony and the live testimony continued from May 13th thru May the 26th.

Now, of course, there were several days that you did not continue for a full five- or six- or seven-hour day in listening to testimony, but during the time that you were here, I will say without exception all and each one of you did not lack as far as $I$ could observe in terms of your
attentiveness and consideration of this matter.
Now, of course, when you started out there were six alternate jurors and one of them fell by the wayside fumediately before you got into the box, and then toward the last day a young juror, young man, was discharged; and then the following day a second juror was discharged, and I under stand that one of the jurors or perhaps even several of the jurors made an inquiry of Inspector Reid and the other marshals who were in attendance as to what happened to those two jurors.

Well, I think you are entitled to know in a general sense what happened.

As far as the first young man, there was a problem in his personal life with respect to his household, his residence, which was entirely separate and apart and distinct from anything that had to do with this case, and the Court after considering the matter, and after talking with counsel, decided that gince we had an adequate number of jurors, tt would be the better part of wisdom to not have that furor to continue to serve.

As far as the second juror is concerned, it involved an incident which did not have anything to do with his hearing of the case, not anything to do while he had the case under consideration as a juror, but it did have something to do with a situation which devaloped in the hotel where he was not considering the matters of this case.

But in any event, it was a serious matter as far
as the Court was concerned.
There again I brought counsel in, and the matter was discussed with counsel on the record, and a report on the incident was given to the Court by the United States Marshal, and the incident is something which may or may not be of -gexiourness.

In any event, it will be explored. It will be fuxther investigated, and those who might possibly be involved, they know, of course, who they.

In any event, the Court took steps in its own judgment after consultation with counsel to remedy a situation to the extent that it should be remedied in the discretion of the Court.

Aside from all of that., I want to say that the Court has no doubt that during your deliberations and during your consideration of this case that you have done nothing beyond that which would be expected of any jury.

In short, what $I$ am saying is that as far as the Court is concerned, you have given fair consideration to this case. By that I don't mean to say that -- I am not casting -- you are the judges of the facts, and I feel that you have carefully, objectively, impartially, intelligently, dispassionately considered the case, and $I$ want to thank you for your consideration.

May you have a very pleasant weekend.

Now I am sure that there will be persons who will inquire of you as to your attitude, your position, your beliefo concerning this case, and, of course, you have the right to discuss it, but may I say this?

There is still one further procedure in this case and that is I I should retract thet. I don't know what other procedure there is in this case.
[The clerk hands document to the Court.]
THE COURT: There is one further procedure in this case in terms of the defendant Guillermo Novo Sampol.

Until that procedure is dispensed with, namely, the sentencing of Guillermo Novo Sampol on the false declarations count, until that has been completed, the Court requests that you not discuss the extent of your deliberations with anyone.

Very well. You may follow the marshal.
And again have a very pleasant weekend.
When I say the Court thanks you, I don't mean this Court, I mean that the entire United States District Court, the judges that sit on the Court.

You are excused.
[Whereupon, at 2:02 p.m. the members of the jury departed from the courtroom.]

THE COURT: Mr. Novo, come forward.
[Mr. Novo steps forward accompanied by his counsel,

Mr. Goldberger.]
THE COURT: Mr. Novo, Mr. Dubin and Mr. Goldberger, is there any reason why $I$ shouldn't step this defendant back pending sentence?

MR. GOLDBERGER; Judge, I would ask you to continue nis bail.

THE COURT: I know that, but give me the reasons.
MR. GOLDBERGER: Well, the reasons are, Judge, that he has been here for three days with a life sentence hanging over his head. He has adhered to every admonition Your Honor has asked.

Your Honor has asked they be here at 8:30. They have been on the floor each time.

Judge, he didn't know and I don't think anybody knew what this fury was going to do, and he was facing life on five counts in this case, and he was here. He has no plans to run away, Judge.

He has a lot of family matters to attend to. He will be back, Judge, for the sentence on the perjury counts. He has already got chree years in jail, Your Honor, on the counts.

I would ask Your Honor to set a date for sentence and continue his bail. He has no intentions of running away now. The life sentences are no longer hanging over his head. He didn't run when those were hanging over his head,

Judge, and he certainly is not going to run when the perjury are hanging over his head, especially since he has already got three years in on the perjury sentences.

I would ask Your Honor to continue the bail. He is not going to go, Judge. He didn't when Your Honor gave him all the warnings about where he should be and when he should be here.

Please continue the bail uncil sentence, Your Honor.
He will be here.
THE COURT: Mr. Barcella?
MR. BARCELLA: Your HOnor, I will leave the matter in the Court's discretion.

THE COURT: Very well.
Mr. Novo, I will permit you to remain on bond.
I will set the sentencing date in this case.
THE DEPUTY CLERK: June 19th.
THE COURT: What date was that?
THE DEPUTY CLERK: June 19th, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I can take it before then. When is
your next trial in the New York court?
MR. GOLDBERGER: June 9th we start, Your HOnor.
THE COURT: June 9th?
MR. GOLDBERGER: That is a week from Tuesday.
THE COURT: Give me a date before June 9th.
MR. GOLDBERGER: You are talking about next week
then, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
MR. GOLDBERGER: You are talking about next week then?

THE COURT: No, I am just concerned when you have

MR. GOLDBERGER; Well, what I could do is -- I am not sure. Judge Goettel said he probably wouldn't work Fridays because the trial was going to be five to six weeks long, but if Your Honor would hear it on a Friday like the week of the 9th, maybe the 13 th .

May I approach with the U.S. Attorney for one second, Judge, with regard to the date?

THE COURT: Yes.
[At the Bench:]
MR. DUBIN : What is the penalty for this? :.
"..". . $\because$ MRR: BARCELLA: Five years, $\$ 10,000$, or both.
THE COURT: Miss Flynn, what is the Code citation? Do you have the indictment?

MR. BARCELLA: It is 18 U.S. Code 1623.
THE COURT: 1623? What were you going to say?
MR. DUBIN: Judge, the only thing I wanted to say with regard to the sentencing date that Your Honor is going to choose is Your Honor knows we lost the wiretap motion in the case before Judge Goette1, and pretty much the case is
academic at this point.
MR. GOIDBERGER: It looks like we are going to reserve our rights in appeal and probably take an appeal in the case. That is what $1 t$ looks like.

MR. DUBIN: I don't necessarily think, Judge -what I am saying is June 9 th is the date we are going to get locked into a six-week trial. I think we are probably going to have a plea next week.

THE COURT: How about June the 5th?
MR. DUBIN: What $I$ was thinking, Judge, if you could give him a couple of weeks the other way.

MR. GOLDBERGER: Could you give us the original date you set?

THE COURT: The $19 t h ?$
MR. BARCELLA: Your Honor, I wasn't going to be here the week of the l4th. Could we make it either the 12 th -THE COURT: I will make it the 12 th. MR. GOLDBERGER: Could you make it the 22 nd or 23 rd , Judge? Any date that week would be all right with me.

MR. BARCELLA: The 22nd is fine, Your Honor. THE COURT: Yes, I have a trial that date.

You gentlemen perhaps want to allocute. Except that you see -- well -- what sentence did I give him before? MR. BARCELLA: You gave him five years on each of the False declaration counts.

THE COURT: Five years?
MR. BARCELLA: I don't believe -- you didn't impose
a fine. Five years, if I recall.
MR. GOLDBERGER: He has done three.
THE COURT: The 26th?
MR. GOLDEERGER: The 26th is Eine
Thank you very much, Judge.
MR. DUBIN: Thank you very much.
[In open Court:]
THE COURT: You are to report back before this
Court on the 26th of June for sentencing at 10 o'clock, a.m.
MR. GUILLERMO: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
MR. GOLDBERGER: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
THE DEPUTY MARSHAL: All right.
THE COURT: Mr. Novo, the conditions originally imposed by the Court as to reporting in New Jersey ---

MR. NOVO: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: The original order that I entered, those conditions still prevail.

MR. NOVO: Prevail?
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. NOVO: Thank you, Judge.
the deputy marshal: This Honorable Court stands recessed until the return of court.
[Whereupon, at 2:10 p.m. the above-captioned proceedings were concluded.]
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